

## LA-UR-11-10825

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

Title: Transverse measurements of polarization in optically-pumped Rb vapor cells

Author(s): Tupa, Dale  
Dreiling, J.M  
Gay, T.J.  
Norrsgard, E.B

Intended for: APS DAMOP 2011, 2011-06-14/2011-06-18 (Atlanta, Georgia, United States)



**Disclaimer:**

Los Alamos National Laboratory, an affirmative action/equal opportunity employer, is operated by the Los Alamos National Security, LLC for the National Nuclear Security Administration of the U.S. Department of Energy under contract DE-AC52-06NA25396. By acceptance of this article, the publisher recognizes that the U.S. Government retains nonexclusive, royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or to allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes. Los Alamos National Laboratory requests that the publisher identify this article as work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy. Los Alamos National Laboratory strongly supports academic freedom and a researcher's right to publish; as an institution, however, the Laboratory does not endorse the viewpoint of a publication or guarantee its technical correctness.

In optical-pumping of alkali-metal vapors, polarization of atoms is typically determined by probing along the entire length of the pump beam, resulting in an averaged value of longitudinal polarization. Unfortunately, these measurements do not give information about spatial variations of polarization along the pump beam's propagation distance. Using a D1 probe beam oriented perpendicular to the pump beam, we have demonstrated a heuristic method for measuring polarization. This method has possible applications in mapping atomic polarization in alkali-metal vapor cells.

**Transverse Probe Beam:** We consider an optically-pumped alkali-metal vapor where a pump beam is parallel to an imposed magnetic field along the  $z$  quantization axis. Linearly-polarized light oriented transverse to the pump beam can have two different polarizations:  $\pi$  (polarization axis parallel to the  $z$ -axis), and  $\pi_y$  (polarization axis perpendicular to the pump beam). Fig. 1 shows the allowed transitions for such beams.




Fig. 1: Allowed transitions for transverse polarized light.  $\pi$  light drives  $\Delta m_f = 0$  transitions, and  $\pi_y$  light has an equal chance to drive  $\Delta m_f = +1$  transition and  $\Delta m_f = -1$  transition.

A maximally-polarized vapor with all atoms having  $m_f = +F$  in the ground state will be transparent to a  $\pi$  probe beam tuned to a transition with  $F_g > F_e$ , where  $F_g$  and  $F_e$  are the degeneracy of the ground and excited states respectively (see Fig. 2). If the probe is  $\pi_y$ , the atoms may be excited. Thus,  $\pi_y$  light is more strongly absorbed than  $\pi$  light.

<sup>87</sup>Rb  $F_g = 2 \rightarrow F_e = 1$




Fig. 2: Possible transitions from the  $F = 2, m_f = 2$  ground state to the  $F = 1$  excited state driven by transverse linearly polarized light.

Conversely, if a transverse probe beam is tuned to a transition for which  $F_g = F_e$ , there is always the opportunity for  $\pi$  light to be absorbed regardless of  $m_f$  (see Fig. 3). However, for a completely spin-polarized vapor, half the absorption of  $\pi_y$  light is suppressed. Therefore,  $\pi$  light will be preferentially absorbed compared to  $\pi_y$  light.

<sup>87</sup>Rb  $F_g = 2 \rightarrow F_e = 2$




Fig. 3: Possible transitions from the  $F = 2, m_f = 2$  ground state to the  $F = 2$  excited state driven by transverse linearly polarized light.

Finally, if a transverse probe beam is tuned to a transition with  $F_g < F_e$ , the absorption probability is determined by relevant Clebsch-Gordan coefficients.

**Experimental Results:** An absorption profile was obtained for both a  $\pi$  and  $\pi_y$  probe beam passed transversely through a cylindrical cell of optically pumped Rb vapor. The cells were 4.3 cm long and 2.5 cm in diameter and contained 10 torr of  $N_2$  buffer gas. The profile of the  $\pi$  probe was subtracted from that of the  $\pi_y$  probe to give a difference value,  $D$ . As can be seen in the data in Fig. 4, the most prominent feature in the difference signal corresponds to  $\pi_y$  light being more strongly absorbed than  $\pi$  light near the <sup>87</sup>Rb  $F_g = 2 \rightarrow F_e = 1$  transition. Other features predicted in the previous discussion are visible to some extent. The exception to this is near the <sup>85</sup>Rb  $F_g = 3 \rightarrow F_e = 2$  transition, which preferentially absorbs  $\pi_y$  light, and the <sup>87</sup>Rb  $F_g = 2 \rightarrow F_e = 2$ , which preferentially absorbs  $\pi$  light. Because these two transitions are separated by less than 1 GHz, the effects cancel.




Fig 4: Absorption profiles for transverse  $\pi_y$  and  $\pi$  light and the difference between those two signals ( $\pi_y - \pi$ ) for Rb densities of  $2.4 \cdot 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-3}$  and 10 torr  $N_2$  buffer gas. The positions of hyperfine transitions are given at the bottom of the graph. From left to right: <sup>87</sup>Rb  $F_g = 2 \rightarrow F_e = 1$ , <sup>87</sup>Rb  $2 \rightarrow 2$ , <sup>85</sup>Rb  $3 \rightarrow 2$ , <sup>85</sup>Rb  $3 \rightarrow 3$ , <sup>85</sup>Rb  $2 \rightarrow 2$ , <sup>85</sup>Rb  $2 \rightarrow 3$ , <sup>87</sup>Rb  $1 \rightarrow 1$ , <sup>87</sup>Rb  $1 \rightarrow 2$ .

**Analysis:** The normalized difference signal for the <sup>87</sup>Rb  $F_g = 2 \rightarrow F_e = 1$  transition was plotted versus  $P_{Rb}$ , the polarization measured using longitudinal Faraday rotation [1]. This was done for five Rb densities,  $N$ , each with 10 torr of  $N_2$  buffer gas (Fig. 5). The data was fit to a line of the form

$$D = A + B \cdot P_{Rb} \quad (1)$$




Fig. 5: Difference signal plotted versus measured longitudinal polarization. Data was taken for five different Rb densities.

Fig. 6 shows that  $A$  and  $B$  are dependent on Rb number density (measured in  $10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ). One can obtain  $A$  and  $B$  from the empirically obtained equations

$$A = [22(11) - 30(10) \cdot N]^{-1} \quad (2)$$

and

$$B = [3.36(0.10) + 0.52(0.04) \cdot N]^{-1} \quad (3)$$




Fig. 6: Dependence of linear fit coefficients in Eq. 1 versus Rb density. The fits correspond to Eqs. 2 and 3.

**Validation:** Two more measurements of  $D$  versus  $P_{Rb}$  were performed at Rb densities of  $1.3 \cdot 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-3}$  and  $9.6 \cdot 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ . Based on the measured value of  $N$ , the coefficients  $A$  and  $B$  were calculated. The predicted value of  $P_{Rb}$  was compared to the value measured using the standard longitudinal Faraday rotation technique. The polarization predicted by transverse absorption was plotted in Fig. 7 versus polarization measured by the longitudinal Faraday method. As a guide for the eye, the line  $P_{\text{absorb}} = P_{\text{Faraday}}$  (i.e. when both methods are in perfect agreement) is shown in green.




Fig. 7: Comparison of measurements performed using the longitudinal Faraday rotation method and the transverse absorption method.

**Potential Application:** The transverse probe beam method for determining polarization has potential applications in mapping the spatial variation of the polarization in a cell of optically pumped Rb vapor. This has an advantage over traditional Faraday rotation in that it is relatively simple to set up.

## References:

- [1] H. Batelaan, A.S. Green, B.A. Hitt, and T.J. Gay, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 4216 (1999).  
 This work was supported by NSF Grant PHY-0855629 and PHY-0821385 (MRI) and is unclassified: Los Alamos Unlimited Release LA-UR XX-XXXX.  
 \*Current address: Yale University, New Haven, CT 06520