LA-UR- 96-2548

CONF-951062--9

Title: Evidence for $\bar{\nu}_\mu \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_e$ Oscillations

RECEIVED
AUG 26 1996
OSTI

Author(s): F. J. Federspiel

Submitted to: *Baryons'95, 7th International Conference on the Structure of Baryons*
Santa Fe, New Mexico
October 3–7, 1995

MASTER



Los Alamos
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Los Alamos National Laboratory, an affirmative action/equal opportunity employer, is operated by the University of California for the U.S. Department of Energy under contract W-7405-ENG-36. By acceptance of this article, the publisher recognizes that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or to allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes. The Los Alamos National Laboratory requests that the publisher identify this article as work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy.

Form No. 836 R5
ST 2629 10/91

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

EVIDENCE FOR $\bar{\nu}_\mu \to \bar{\nu}_e$ OSCILLATIONS

F. J. FEDERSPIEL
(FOR THE LSND COLLABORATION)
Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545, USA

A search for $\bar{\nu}_e$'s in excess of the number expected from conventional sources has been made using the Liquid Scintillator Neutrino Detector, located 30 m behind the LAMPF beam stop. The $\bar{\nu}_e$ are detected via $\bar{\nu}_e p \to e^+ n$ with e^+ energy between 36 and 60 MeV, followed by a γ from $np \to d\gamma$ (2.2 MeV). Using strict cuts to identify γ's correlated with an e^+ yields 9 events with only 2.1 ± 0.3 background expected. A likelihood fit to the entire e^+ sample results in a total excess of $16.4^{+9.7}_{-8.9} \pm 3.3$ events. If attributed to $\bar{\nu}_\mu \to \bar{\nu}_e$ oscillations, this corresponds to an oscillation probability of $(0.34^{+0.20}_{-0.18} \pm 0.07)\%$.

Neutrino mass is a central issue for both particle physics and cosmology. To search for such mass an experiment was carried out using neutrinos from π and μ decay at rest from the Los Alamos Meson Physics Facility (LAMPF) beam stop. Observation of $\bar{\nu}_e$ production above that expected from conventional processes may be interpreted as evidence for $\bar{\nu}_\mu \to \bar{\nu}_e$ oscillations (and hence mass).

Protons from the LAMPF 800-MeV linac produce pions in a 30-cm-long water target about 1 m upstream from the copper beam stop,[1] which provide a source of $\bar{\nu}_\mu$ via $\pi^+ \to \mu^+ \nu_\mu$ followed by $\mu^+ \to e^+ \nu_e \bar{\nu}_\mu$ decay-at-rest. The relative $\bar{\nu}_e$ yield is $\sim 4 \times 10^{-4}$ (Ref. 2) for $E_\nu > 36$ MeV. The Liquid Scintillator Neutrino Detector (LSND) detects $\bar{\nu}_e$ by $\bar{\nu}_e p \to e^+ n$, followed by a γ from $np \to d\gamma$ (2.2 MeV). Requiring an e^+ energy above 36 MeV eliminates most of the accidental background from $\nu_e\ {}^{12}C \to e^- X$ (the detector not distinguishing between e^+ and e^-), while an upper energy requirement of 60 MeV allows for the $\bar{\nu}_\mu$ endpoint plus energy resolution. Runs of 1.5 months in 1993 and 3.5 months in 1994 used 7691 coulombs of protons, for a calculated e^+ decay-at-rest flux[1] totaling $(3.75 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{14}$ ν/cm^2 at the center of the tank.

The experiment is located about 30 m from the neutrino source and is shielded by the equivalent of 9 m of steel. The detector, an approximately cylindrical tank 8.3 m long by 5.7 m in diameter, is under ~2 kg/cm^2 of overburden to reduce the cosmic-ray flux and is inside a liquid scintillator veto shield with 292 5-inch phototubes.[4] On the inside surface of the tank 1220 8-inch Hamamatsu phototubes provide 25% photocathode coverage with uniform spacing. The tank contains 167 metric tons of liquid scintillator consisting of mineral oil (CH_2) and 0.031 g/l of b-PBD. The low scintillator concentration allows the detection of both Čerenkov and scintillation light and gives an attenuation length larger than 20 m for wavelengths above 400 nm.

A sample of $\sim 10^6$ $e^\pm$ from cosmic-ray muon decays in the tank was used to determine the $e^\pm$ energy scale and resolution. A typical e^+ at the end-point energy of 52.8 MeV leads to ~1750 photoelectrons, of which ~300 are in the Čerenkov cone. The phototube time and pulse height signals are used to reconstruct the e^+ track with an average r.m.s. position resolution of ~17 cm in each coordinate, an angular resolution of ~12°, and an energy resolution of ~7%.

Particle identification (PID) for relativistic particles is based upon the Čerenkov cone and the time distribution of the light,[5] which is broader for non-relativistic particles. Three PID quantities are used: the Čerenkov cone fit quality, the event position fit quality, and the fraction of phototubes hit at a time corresponding to light emitted more than 12 ns later than the reconstructed

event time. Comparing $e^{\pm}$ from cosmic-ray muon decays with cosmic-ray-produced neutrons of similar deposited energy demonstrates a neutron rejection of $\sim 10^{-3}$ at an $e^{\pm}$ efficiency of 79%.

Each phototube channel is digitized every 100 ns. A primary event trigger requires ≥ 100 hit phototubes in a 200-ns period. However, in order to reject $e^{\pm}$ from the decay of stopped cosmic-ray muons, a 15.2-μs veto is imposed following the detection of > 5 hit phototubes in the veto shield. The trigger is always operative, so the beam duty factor of 7.3% allows 13 times more beam-off than beam-on data to be collected. After a primary trigger with > 125 hit phototubes (> 300 in 1993), the threshold is lowered to 21 hit phototubes for a period of 1 ms in order to record the 2.2-MeV γ from $np \rightarrow d\gamma$, which has a 186-μs capture time. In addition, "activity" events are recorded for any event within the previous 51.2 μs having > 17 hit detector phototubes or > 5 hit veto-shield phototubes.

The first step in searching for $\bar{\nu}_e$ interactions is to select e^+ candidates with PID information consistent with a $\beta \sim 1$ particle, < 2 veto shield hits, and no "activity" events in the previous 40 μs. The reconstructed position of the track midpoint is required to be > 35 cm from the locus of the phototube faces. Within this region the energy resolution and efficiency are well understood. Events with three or more associated γ's are consistent with cosmic-ray neutrons and are eliminated. The overall e^+ selection efficiency is 28 ± 2%. For $36 < E_e < 60$ MeV, there are 135 such events with the beam on and 1140 with the beam off, giving a beam-on excess of 46.1 ± 11.9 events.

The second step is to search for a correlated 2.2-MeV γ. Loose initial cuts require a reconstructed distance, Δr, within 2.5 m of the e^+, a relative time, Δt, of < 1 ms, and a number of hit phototubes, N_γ, between 21 and 50. The neutron detection efficiency is 0.87 from these cuts times 0.72 from uncorrelated vetoes, for 0.63 overall. The three quantities discriminate correlated γ's from accidental signals, which increase with Δr vs. being confined, are flat vs. exponential in Δt, and have lower average N_γ. We define a function R of Δr, Δt, and N_γ to be approximately the ratio of likelihoods for correlated and accidental hypotheses.[1] Distributions of these quantities for correlated γ's are approximately independent of position and are measured using cosmic-ray neutron events. Accidental γ distributions are measured as a function of e^+ position. Figure 1 shows both the expected and observed R distributions.[1]

Finding a γ with $R > 30$ has an efficiency of 23% for events with a recoil neutron and an accidental rate of 0.6% for events with no recoil neutron. Figure 2 shows the beam-on minus beam-off energy distribution for events with $R > 30$. There are 9 beam-on and 17 beam off events between 36 and 60 MeV, corresponding to a beam-on excess of 7.7 events. When any e^+ selection criterion is relaxed, the background increases slightly without significant change in the excess.

Table I lists the expected number of background events with $36 < E_e < 60$ MeV for $R > 30$. The *beam-unrelated background* is well determined from the data sample collected between accelerator pulses. To set a limit on *beam-related neutron backgrounds*, events were selected having the correlated $e\gamma$ signature and in the e^+ energy range of interest, but meeting neutron (rather than e^+) PID criteria. Fewer than 3% of these events were beam-related during the period when the beam was on. Assuming this ratio applies to neutrons passing e^+ PID criteria, the beam-related neutron background is bounded by 0.03 times the total beam-unrelated background, and is thus negligible. The largest *neutrino background*, μ^+ decay at rest in the beam stop followed by $\bar{\nu}_e p \rightarrow e^+ n$ in the detector, is calculated using the Monte Carlo beam simulation.[1] Another background with a recoil neutron arises from $\bar{\nu}_\mu p \rightarrow \mu^+ n$ or $\bar{\nu}_\mu C \rightarrow \mu^+ nX$, with the muon failing to satisfy the "activity" trigger or misidentified as an e^+. This background is determined



Fig. 1. The distribution of R, the γ likelihood parameter. The left-most bin corresponds to no γ found within cuts ($R = 0$), properly normalized in area. (a) Accidental photons (averaged over the tank) and correlated photons (2 methods, described in text). (b) Beam-on minus beam off spectrum for events with $36 < E_e < 60$ MeV. The dashed histogram is the result of the R likelihood fit for events without a recoil neutron, while the solid histogram is the total fit, including events with a neutron.

from our measurement of $\nu_\mu C \to \mu^- X$ (Ref. 6) and from our detector simulation.[7] The sum of all backgrounds involving *accidental* γ's is computed from the yield of e^+ without correlated neutrons, which is *measured* using the likelihood fit described below. The total estimated beam-related background for $R > 30$ is 0.79 ± 0.12 events, which implies a net excess of 6.9 events with $36 < E_e < 60$ MeV. The probability that this excess is a statistical fluctuation is $\lesssim 10^{-4}$.

While the $R > 30$ sample demonstrates the existence of an excess, the size of the excess is better determined by utilizing all e^+ data between 36 and 60 MeV. The total numbers of beam-on and beam-off e^+ events with correlated γ's are obtained from a likelihood fit of the observed events to the expected R distributions. The fit took into account the strong dependence on e^+ position of the accidental γ rates. Two ways of estimating the R distribution for correlated photons give excesses of $18.3^{+0.5}_{-8.7}$ events (Monte Carlo) and $19.9^{+10.0}_{-9.1}$ events (cosmic neutrons). Averaging these numbers, subtracting the neutrino background with a neutron (2.7 ± 0.4 events), and interpreting the remainder as due to neutrino oscillations gives an oscillation probability of $(0.34^{+0.20}_{-0.18} \pm 0.07)\%$, where the first error is statistical and the second systematic. The statistical error is non-Gaussian; the result has only 0.7% probability of being $\lesssim 0$. The systematic error



Fig. 2. The e^+ energy distribution, beam-on minus beam-off, for events with an associated 2.2 MeV γ with $R > 30$. The e^+ efficiency drops for $E < 28$ MeV. The dashed histogram shows the expected background from known neutrino interactions. The dotted curve is the expected distribution for neutrino oscillations in the limit of large Δm^2, normalized to the excess between 36 and 60 MeV.

Table 1. Expected number of background events in the $36 < E_e < 60$ energy range for $R > 30$. The neutrinos are from either π and μ decay at rest (DAR) or decay in flight (DIF). Neutrino backgrounds with an accidental neutron signature are measured using the R likelihood fit described in the text.

Background	Neutrino Source	Events with $R > 30$
Beam-unrelated		1.33 ± 0.32
Beam-related n's		< 0.04
$\bar{\nu}_e p \to e^+ n$	$\mu^+ \to e^+ \nu_\mu \bar{\nu}_e$ DAR	0.44 ± 0.06
$\bar{\nu}_\mu p \to \mu^+ n$	$\pi^+ \to \mu^+ \nu_\mu$ DIF	0.19 ± 0.08
Accidentals	π, μ DAR, DIF	0.16 ± 0.06
Total		2.12 ± 0.34

arises primarily from uncertainties in the neutrino flux (7%), effective fiducial volume (10%), and γ efficiencies (10%). The remaining 27 0^{+8}_{-9} beam-correlated e^+ events have no recoil neutron. Background estimates from $\nu_e {}^{12}C \to e^- {}^{12}N$, $\nu_e {}^{13}C \to e^- {}^{13}N$, $\nu e \to \nu e$, etc., predict ~ 14 events.[1]

The cosmic-ray background is larger in the outer regions of the detector and where the veto has gaps — beneath the detector (low Y), and around the periphery of the upstream end (low Z). To test for anomalous spatial concentrations of the oscillation candidates, we performed Kolmogorov tests on distributions of various quantities, among which were Y, distance from the lower upstream corner, and distance from the surface containing the photomultiplier faces. These tests, done both with no photon criteria and with $R > 30$, gave probabilities above 25% of consistency with what is expected for neutrino interactions, with the exception of one distribution not expected to be sensitiv to background; the distribution in X, with no photon criteria, had a probability of 4%.

The two-generation neutrino oscillation probability is $P = (\sin^2 2\theta)\sin^2(1.27\Delta m^2 L/E)$, where E is the neutrino energy (MeV), L is its flight distance before interaction (m), and Δm^2 is the mass-squared difference (eV^2). The 90% C.L. upper and lower limits of P, found using the R distributions of all e^+ data between 36 and 60 MeV, were converted using this equation into 90% C.L. limits on $\sin^2 2\theta$ as functions of Δm^2. The calculation took into account $\bar{\nu}_e p$ kinematics, detector resolution, the uncertainty of the ν production vertex, and background subtraction.[1] These limits bound the shaded area (approximately 80% C.L. interval) of Fig. 3. Some of the allowed region is excluded by the ongoing KARMEN experiment[9] at ISIS, E776 at BNL,[10] and the Bugey reactor experiment.[11]



Fig. 3. Results from interpreting the excess signal from all e^+ data as due to two-generation neutrino oscillations. The two edges of the shaded band are the 90% C.L. limits of $\sin^2 2\theta$ as a function of Δm^2. Not included is the 20% systematic uncertainty in the LSND normalization. Also shown are 90% C.L. limits from Ref. 8 (dotted histogram), Ref. 9 (dashed histogram), and Ref. 10 (dot dashed histogram).

In conclusion, the LSND experiment observes 9 e^+ events within $36 < E_e < 60$ MeV that satisfy strict criteria for a correlated low-energy γ. The total estimated background from conventional processes is 2.1 ± 0.3 events, so the probability that the excess is a statistical fluctuation is $< 10^{-3}$. If the excess obtained from a likelihood fit to the full e^+ sample arises from $\bar{\nu}_\mu \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_e$ oscillations, it corresponds to an oscillation probability of $(0.34^{+0.20}_{-0.18} \pm 0.07\%)$, leading to the allowed regions shown in Fig. 3. We will collect more data and continue the study of backgrounds and detector performance to improve our understanding of this excess.

This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy and the National Science Foundation.

References

1. LSND, in preparation, to be submitted to *Physical Review* The LSND collaboration consists of C. Athanassopoulos, L. B. Auerbach, D. A. Bauer, R. D. Bolton, B. Boyd, R. L. Burman, D. O. Caldwell, I. Cohen, B. D. Dieterle, J. B. Donahue, A. M. Eisner, A. Fazely, F. J. Federspiel, G. T. Garvey, M. Gray, R. M. Gunasingha, V. Highland, R. Imlay, K. Johnston, W. C. Louis, A. Lu, J. Margulies, K. McIlhany, W. Metcalf, R. A. Reeder, V. Sandberg, M. Schillaci, D. Smith, I. Stancu, W. Strossman, M. K. Sullivan, G. J. VanDalen, W. Vernon, Y-X. Wang, D. H. White, D. Whitehouse, D. Works, Y. Xiao, and S. Yellin.
2. The $\bar{\nu}_e$ to $\bar{\nu}_\mu$ ratio is given approximately by the product of the π^-/π^+ ratio (0.2), the probability of π^- decay in flight (0.03), and the probability of μ^- decay at rest before capture in the Cu beam stop (0.12). The $\bar{\nu}_e$ spectrum is also softer than that of $\bar{\nu}_\mu$.
3. R. L. Burman, M. E. Potter, and E. S. Smith, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods A* **291**, 621 (1990); R. C. Allen *et al.*, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods A* **284**, 347 (1989).
4. J. Napolitano *et al.*, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods A* **274**, 152 (1989).
5. R. A. Reeder *et al.*, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods A* **334**, 353 (1993).
6. M. Albert *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. C* **51**, R1065 (1995).
7. K. McIlhany *et al.*, in *Proc. of the Conference on Computing in High Energy Physics*, April 1994 (to be published).
8. J. Hill, Results from the 1994 LSND Neutrino Oscillation Searches in Both the $\bar{\nu}_\mu \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_e$ and $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_e$ Channels, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Pennsylvania, 1995 (unpublished); J. Hill, to be published in *Physical Review Letters*.
9. G. Drexlin, *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **32**, 375 (1994); B. Armbruster *et al.* (KARMEN Collaboration), *Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.)* **38**, 235 (1995).
10. L. Borodovsky *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **68**, 274 (1992).
11. B. Achkar *et al.*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **434**, 503 (1995).