# LA-UR-25-20916

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

Title: TA-03-38 Metal Fab Shop MSGP SWPPP Jan 2025 Rev 6

Author(s): Knight, Jacob Lamar

Intended for: Issued: 2025

2025-01-30









Los Alamos National Laboratory, an affirmative action/equal opportunity employer, is operated by Triad National Security, LLC for the National Nuclear Security Administration of U.S. Department of Energy under contract 89233218CNA000001. By approving this article, the publisher recognizes that the U.S. Government retains nonexclusive, royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or to allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes. Los Alamos National Laboratory requests that the publisher identify this article as work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy. Los Alamos National Laboratory strongly supports academic freedom and a researcher's right to publish; as an institution, however, the Laboratory does not endorse the viewpoint of a publication or guarantee its technical correctness.

# **MSGP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan for:**

# **TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shops**

Triad National Security, LLC (Triad)

Los Alamos National Laboratory

January 2025

**Revision 6** 

Page intentionally blank

# **Table of Contents**

PREFA	CE	
1.0	FACILI	TY DESCRIPTION
	1.1	Facility Information
	1.2	Stormwater Pollution Prevention Team (PPT)7
	1.3	Site Description
	1.4	Outfalls9
	1.5	General Location Map 10
	1.6	Site Map 10
2.0	POTEN	ITIAL POLLUTANT SOURCES 11
	2.1	Potential Pollutants Associated with Industrial Activity
	2.2	Spills and Leaks 13
	2.3	Unauthorized Non-Stormwater Discharges14
	2.4	Salt Storage
	2.5	Historical Data Summary 15
3.0	STORM	/WATER CONTROL MEASURES 16
	3.1	Non-Numeric Technology-Based Effluent Limits 16
		3.1.1 Minimize Exposure
		3.1.2 Good Housekeeping
		3.1.3 Maintenance
		3.1.4 Spill Prevention and Response
		3.1.5 Erosion and Sediment Control
		3.1.6 Management of Runoff
		3.1.7 Salt Storage Piles or Piles Containing Salt
		3.1.8 Dust Generation and Vehicle Tracking of Industrial Materials
	3.2	Numeric Effluent Limitations Based on Effluent Limitations Guidelines
	3.3	Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations and Water Quality Standards 22
4.0	SCHED	ULES AND PROCEDURES 22
	4.1	Good Housekeeping 22
	4.2	Maintenance 22
	4.3	Spill Prevention and Response
	4.4	Erosion and Sediment Control 22

	4.5	Employee Training 23						
	4.6	Routine Facility Inspections and Quarterly Visual Assessments	23					
		4.6.1 Routine Facility Inspections	24					
		4.6.2 Quarterly Visual Assessments	24					
	4.7	Monitoring 2	25					
5.0	DOCU	MENTATION FOR ELIGIBILITY CONSIDERATIONS UNDER OTHER FEDERAL LAWS 2	28					
	5.1	Endangered Species 2	28					
	5.2	Historic Properties 2	28					
6.0	CORRE	CTIVE ACTIONS AND DEADLINES 2	29					
	6.1	Immediate Actions	30					
	6.2	Subsequent Actions	30					
	6.3	AIM Baseline Status and Triggering Events	30					
		6.3.1 AIM Level 1	30					
		6.3.2 AIM Level 2	31					
		6.3.3 AIM Level 3	31					
		6.3.4 AIM Exceptions	32					
	6.4	Corrective Action and AIM Documentation	32					
7.0	ACRO	IYMS	33					
8.0	SWPPI	CERTIFICATION	34					
FIGUR	E A, GE	NERAL LOCATION MAP	35					
FIGUR	E B-1, F	ACILITY SITE MAP METALS FABRICATION AND PIPE FITTER'S SHOPS	36					
FIGUR	E B-2, N	IETALS FABRICATION SHOP SATELLITE STORAGE AREA	37					
FIGUR	E B-3, N	EARBY RECEIVING WATERS	38					
FIGUR	E B-4, E	NDANGERED SPECIES MAP	39					
ΑΤΤΑΟ		1: NOTICE OF INTENT, SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION, AND UPDATES	40					
ΑΤΤΑΟ		2: SWPPP AMENDMENTS	41					
ΑΤΤΑΟ		3: CERTIFICATION OF NO UNAUTHORIZED STORMWATER DISCHARGES	42					
ΑΤΤΑΟ	HMEN	4: DULY AUTHORIZED SIGNATORY MEMORANDUM	43					
ΑΤΤΑΟ	HMEN	5: DISCHARGE MONITORING REPORTS	44					
ΑΤΤΑ		6: ANNUAL REPORTS	45					

ATTACHMENT 7:	ROUTINE FACILITY INSPECTIONS 4	6
ATTACHMENT 8:	QUARTERLY VISUAL ASSESSMENTS 4	7
ATTACHMENT 9:	CORRECTIVE ACTION DOCUMENTATION AND CERTIFICATION 4	8
ATTACHMENT 10:	SCHEDULED MAINTENANCE LOG 4	.9
ATTACHMENT 11:	TRAINING DOCUMENTATION	1
ATTACHMENT 12:	MSGP (OR ACTIVE URL)	2
	THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES HABITAT MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR DS NATIONAL LABORATORY	3
ATTACHMENT 14:	MSGP IPAC TRUST RESOURCES REPORT 5	4
	EPC-CP-PIP-2101, NPDES MULTI-SECTOR GENERAL PERMIT PROGRAM TATION PLAN	5
ATTACHMENT 16:	EPC-CP-QP-2108, MSGP ROUTINE FACILITY INSPECTIONS5	6
ATTACHMENT 17:	EPC-CP-QP-2109, MSGP CORRECTIVE ACTIONS5	7
ATTACHMENT 18:	EPC-CP-QP-2105, MSGP STORMWATER VISUAL ASSESSMENTS 5	8
	EPC-CP-TP-2103, INSPECTING ISCO STORMWATER RUNOFF SAMPLERS AND G SAMPLES	9
ATTACHMENT 20:	EPC-CP-QP-2106, PROCESSING MSGP STORMWATER SAMPLES	0
ATTACHMENT 21: OR EVENTS	EPC-CP-QP-0903, ENVIRONMENTAL REPORTING REQUIREMENTS FOR RELEASES 61	;
ATTACHMENT 22:	EPC-CP-QP-1007, UNPLANNED RELEASES 6	2
	EPC-CP-QP-2110, MSGP STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN ON AND MAINTENANCE	3

TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shops

## STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN

#### PREFACE

This Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) was developed in accordance with the provisions of the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. §§1251 et seq., as amended), and the *United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Multi-Sector General Permit for Stormwater Discharges Associated with Industrial Activity (MSGP)* (U.S. EPA, January 2021) issued by EPA. The SWPPP uses the industry specific permit requirements for *Sector AA-Fabricated Metal Products* as a guide. The applicable stormwater discharge permit is EPA General Permit Tracing Number NMR050013 [Triad National Security, LLC (Triad)]. Click here to view contents of the <u>2021 Multi-Sector General Permit</u>.

This SWPPP applies to discharges of stormwater from the operational areas of the TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shop (MFS) and Pipe Fitter's Shop (PFS) (collectively known as the TA-03-0038 Shops) and associated Metals Fabrication Shop Satellite Storage Area (MFSSSA) at Los Alamos National Laboratory. Los Alamos National Laboratory (also referred to as LANL or the "Laboratory") is owned by the Department of Energy (DOE) and is operated by Triad. Throughout this document, the term "facility" refers to the TA-03-0038 Shops. The current MSGP expires at midnight on February 28, 2026.

## 1.0 FACILITY DESCRIPTION

#### 1.1 Facility Information

Name of Facility: TA-03-0038 Metal Fabricat	tion Shops					
Street: Southeast side of the intersection of Enewetak Road east about one and a half mi			Atoll Rd. The MFSSSA is located down			
City: Los Alamos State: NM ZIP Code: 87545						
County: Los Alamos						
NPDES ID (i.e., permit tracking number): NN	1R050013 N	1SGP 2021				
Primary Industrial Activity SIC code, and Sect 3499, Sector AA, Subsector AA1; PFS: SIC 349		•				
Estimated area of industrial activity at site ex	kposed to st	tormwater: Apx	. 2.2 acres			
Discharge Information						
Name(s) of surface water(s)/segment that re Canyon to NPDES outfall 001)	eceives stor	mwater from yo	ur facility: Sandia Canyon (Sigma			
Does this facility discharge industrial stormw	vater directl	y into any segme	ent of an "impaired water" (see			
definition in 2021 MSGP, Appendix A)? 🛛 Yes No						
Pollutants causing the impairment: Total Re	coverable A	luminum, PCB (Ar	oclors), and Dissolved Copper			
Pollutants causing the impairment (see abov this Facility: Total Recoverable Aluminum an		•	dustrial stormwater discharges from			
Are any of your stormwater discharges subje □Yes ⊠No	ect to efflue	nt limitation gui	delines (ELGs) (2021 MSGP Table 1-1)?			
If Yes, which guidelines apply? Not applicable	e.					

### **1.2** Stormwater Pollution Prevention Team (PPT)

The Stormwater PPT for the TA-03-0038 Shops consists of operations and management personnel from the Institutional Facilities (IF) Facility Operations Division (FOD), operations and management personnel from the Logistics Division (LOG-DIV), the shops, a representative from Environmental Protection and Compliance-Compliance Programs (EPC-CP), and a Deployed Environmental Professional (DEP). The EPC-CP representative is responsible for subject matter expertise to ensure Laboratory compliance under the NPDES permit regulations. The team members are selected based on their familiarity with the activities at the facility and the potential impacts of those activities on stormwater runoff.

Specific duties of individual team members within the PPT are listed in the table below.

Staff Positions	Individual Responsibilities
Deployed Environmental	Responsible for the support and oversight of all environmental programs and
Professionals (Primary and	issues for the yards, buildings and facilities listed within this Plan. The DEP is
Backup):	responsible for training, recordkeeping, and SWPPP revision. The DEP ensures

Staff Positions	Individual Responsibilities
EPC-CP, Environmental Professional	documentation of inspections and other required MSGP records relative to the SWPPP are managed in accordance with the Permit and established document control procedures and that the SWPPP is kept current. The DEP provides technical and regulatory support and regularly communicates with facility and operations personnel, as well as the facility PPT, regarding implementation of the MSGP and this SWPPP. Lastly, the DEP conducts routine facility inspections and if necessary, visual assessments in accordance with the Permit. Identified conditions requiring corrective actions from routine facility inspections are entered into the EPC-CP Corrective Action Report (CAR) database. The DEP is responsible for tracking and updating the status of corrective actions that cannot be implemented immediately. The DEP is also responsible for immediate and timely communication to appropriate facility and operations management personnel to ensure that they are aware of non-compliant issues within the MSGP boundary and that they understand immediate action is required to correct the non-compliance.
FOD/Deputy FOD/ Manager/Representative: Maintenance Manager, Logistics-Central Shops (LOG-CS)	Responsible for managing the maintenance and operation of all aspects of the yards, buildings and facilities listed within this Plan. The manager shall provide review and ensure coordination with core personnel and the PPT, as appropriate, when tenants within the IF FOD propose a new process, new site or operation that may be subject to the MSGP. This Manager/Representative is key to ensuring adequate communication and coordination of issues regarding implementation of the MSGP and this Plan. Deputy FOD certifies SWPPP and corrective actions.
EPC-CP Core: MSGP Program Lead, Environmental Professional	The MSGP Program Lead is responsible for managing and administering the MSGP Program for all industrial facilities operated by Triad within LANL. The MSGP Program Lead advises and provides guidance to facility or operations personnel on NPDES MSGP regulations/requirements. The MSGP Program Lead also acts as the institutional point of contact for all interactions with the regulatory authority (EPA) and supervises personnel implementing stormwater monitoring requirements for the facility.
Operations Manager(s): LOG-DIV LOG-CS, Pipe Fitter's Shop Superintendent LOG-CS, Metals Fabrication Shop Superintendent	Responsible for day-to-day operations at the facility. Assists the DEPs and EPC with inspections; spill reporting; implementing, installing and maintaining stormwater controls (also known as Best Management Practices (BMPs); and providing documentation as requested by other team members. The Superintendents are key to ensuring adequate communication and coordination of issues regarding implementation of the MSGP and this Plan. These Superintendents also assist the DEP/EPC-CP with training and/or briefings as requested.

## 1.3 Site Description

The MFS and PFS are located in the northwest portion of Building 38. Industrial activities and major structures at Building 38 and shop areas are shown on the site map (Figure B-1). The MFSSSA located on Enewetak Dr. is shown on site map (Figure B-2).

Other operational areas (associated with the MFS) include an enclosed storage area in Building 37, Room 106 used for storing machine oil, as well as outdoor material storage areas in the northern and western portion of the lots.

Loading docks and bays are also located on the west side of the building for all shops.

Building 38 also houses laboratory personnel in administrative offices or shops that are not part of this plan. Other facilities housed in Building 38 include a sheet metal shop, an ironworker's shop, a paint shop, a fire protection shop and an electrician's shop.

## Metal Fabrication Shops

The primary operation of this facility is fabrication of metal components for a variety of uses around the Laboratory. All metal fabrication is performed indoors.

Outdoor activities at the facility include the following:

- Use of loading docks and bays for loading and unloading materials and fabricated items.
- Metal storage in designated yard areas and on metal pipe racks.
- Shop vehicle and equipment (i.e., forklift) parking.
- Roll-off bins storing scrap metal for recycle and wood.

A PFS is located on the northwest side of Building 38, adjacent to the MFS. The shop cuts, grinds, and welds piping indoors and occasionally stores metal piping outdoors, which is covered with heavy duty tarps. For practical purposes, the Pipe Fitter's shop will be included within the industrial activities for the MFS.

# 1.4 Outfalls

### Metal Fabrication Shop and Satellite Storage Area

Outfall 076 receives drainage from the fenced metal storage yard at the northeast portion of the yard. The outfall area is paved with asphalt. An asphalt berm installed around the perimeter of the fenced storage yard prevents run-on from impacting the site and acts to manage and divert run-off towards the HardyChar Heavy Metal Filter Dikes and Outfall 076. HardyChar Heavy Metal Filter Dikes installed at the outfall are designed to capture and filter heavy metals and slow stormwater runoff from the yard. Monitoring samples of stormwater discharge are collected with automated samplers identified as **MSGP07601** on the site map (see Figure B-1). Outfall 076 is the sole outfall for the MFS. Discharge is to Sandia Canyon via storm drain drop inlets that are located in the west parking lot of Building 38, and east of the fenced metal storage yard. The storm drain system discharge pipe runs south from the facility, through TA-03 and daylights east of Building 261.

Outfall 077 is located at the northeast corner of the MFSSSA and is designed to capture all of the runoff from the area for metal storage at the MFSSSA. The outfall area is stabilized with rock to minimize erosion. There are also HardyChar Heavy Metal Filter Dikes to capture and filter heavy metals and compacted asphalt millings berms to divert run-on away from the metal storage area and divert run-off from within the storage area to the outfall. Stormwater discharge is monitored with automated sampler **MSGP07701** at this representative monitoring location (see Figure B-2).

## 1.5 General Location Map

The general location map for the facility can be found as Figure A. Figures B-1, B-2, and B-3 contain all site maps and receiving waters associated with stormwater discharges from the TA-03-0038 Shops and the MFSSSA. 100% of the site flows to Sandia Canyon. The canyon at this location is a perennial stream and eventually flows into the Rio Grande approximately 10 miles southeast of the site.

## 1.6 Site Map

The site maps provided as Figures B-1, B-2 and B-3 illustrate the facility's activities: including facility boundaries, structures, impervious surfaces, industrial activity areas, spills, operational areas, drainage patterns, stormwater controls, monitoring locations, outfalls, and nearby receiving waters.

- Site boundaries and acreage. The site covers approximately 2.2 acres (total).
- Significant structures and impervious surfaces. The MFS is 100 % impervious (primarily due to pavement, concrete and structures) and the MFSSSA is 0% impervious due to compacted asphalt millings and riprap.
- Direction of stormwater flow and site drainage. Direction of flow is indicated with arrows.
- Locations of stormwater control measures. Control measures are identified numerically.
- Locations of all receiving waters. Stormwater discharges to Sandia Canyon (Sigma Canyon to NPDES outfall 001) which is an impaired water. There is no TMDL for Sandia Canyon. A map of nearby receiving waters is provided as Figure B-3.
- Locations of all stormwater conveyances. This includes all ditches, pipes, and swales.
- Locations of potential pollutant sources.
- Locations of significant spills or leaks.
- Locations of all stormwater monitoring points.
- Locations of stormwater inlets and outfalls. Each outfall has a unique identification code. This facility is not currently associated with a municipal separate storm sewer system (MS4).
- Areas of designated critical habitat for endangered or threatened species. There are none in the direct vicinity of the TA-03-0038 Shops. The MFSSSA is within the Mexican Spotted Owl buffer area. A map for threatened and endangered species within LANL property is included as Figure B-4.
- There are no non-stormwater discharges at the facility (see certification in Attachment 3)
- Locations of the following activities where such activities are exposed to precipitation:
  - o loading/unloading areas
  - o locations used for the treatment, storage, or disposal of waste
  - o processing and storage areas
  - immediate access roads used or traveled by carriers of raw materials, manufactured products, waste material, or by-products used or created by the facility
  - o machinery
  - o locations and sources of run-on to the site

# 2.0 POTENTIAL POLLUTANT SOURCES

Industrial activities that could potentially result in releases of pollutants to the environment are summarized in Section 2.1 below. The site maps for the TA-03-0038 Shops are in Figure B-1 and B-2.

## Metal Fabrication Shop

Most industrial activities at the MFS take place indoors, where materials are not exposed to stormwater. Potential stormwater pollutants involve facility materials stored outdoors. These primarily include finished or raw metal stock, scrap metals or metal shavings that may contain residual cutting oils and outdoor activities such as loading/unloading materials at shop bays and vehicle/forklift parking.

The primary metal storage yard (located on the southwest side of the outdoor lot) is enclosed by a chain link fence and locked gate. The yard contains five covered metal storage racks and a covered bin for the temporary storage of scrap metal for recycling. Large pieces of scrap metal are stored on wooden pallets and kept covered with heavy-duty (28 mil.) tarps. A garbage dumpster and a cardboard recycling dumpster, both covered, are positioned on the north side of the outdoor lot. There are also roll-off bins containing wooden pallets stored in the northern portion of the site.

The satellite storage yard (MFSSSA) located on the North side of Enewetak Dr. contains metal storage racks for pipe and other miscellaneous metals. Raw materials are covered with canopies and runoff is managed by compacted asphalt millings berms. Gravel mulch is present at the northern end of the site and a rock berm runs around the northern perimeter of the site.

Machine oil is stored on secondary containment units inside TA-03-37-0106, a fully enclosed storage building, where it is not exposed to stormwater.

Vehicle parking is limited to areas adjacent to the north boundary fence line and west of Building 38. Forklifts are parked inside and occasionally outside on the west end of Building 38. Loading docks and bays on the west and southwest side of the facility are primarily used to transport metal stock or finished metal products to and from the shop.

The Pipe Fitter's shop, adjacent to the MFS, occasionally stores piping outside at the north fence line under tarp cover.

### 2.1 Potential Pollutants Associated with Industrial Activity

Industrial activities that could potentially result in releases to the environment are summarized in 2.1 below.

### **Metal Fabrication Shop**

### Covered Metal Raw Material Storage Area (Metal Storage Yard)

Potential pollutants include metals exposed to precipitation (rust).

### Covered Metal-Recycle Roll-Off Bin

Potential pollutants include processed metal chips, turnings, small metal pieces, and cutting oil residues (if leakage occurred from the roll-off bin).

### Pipe Storage Racks (Metal Storage Yard)

Potential pollutants include metal pipe exposed to precipitation (rust).

### Vehicle Parking

Potential pollutants include leakage of fuel, oil, or hydraulic fluids.

## Forklift Storage

Potential pollutants include leakage of fuel, oil, or hydraulic fluids.

## **Dumpsters Containing Trash and Cardboard**

Potential pollutants include trash, debris, plastics, food, and cardboard, which can get blown around the parking lot or carried out of the dumpster by birds or other wildlife.

## Solid Waste Management Unit (SWMU) or Consent Order Site

One SWMU is located within the boundary of the MFS at the southeast corner of the fenced metals storage yard. It is not included as part of the LANL NPDES Individual Permit (IP) for SWMUs at the Laboratory covered under Sector K.

SWMU 03-013(i) consists of soil and gravel contaminated from historical releases of hydraulic oil at the former locations of Buildings 03-246 and 03-247, which were used to test the tensile strength of various steel cables used in conjunction with underground nuclear test assemblies. The Facility was constructed prior to 1967 and was operated until the mid-1980s when a replacement Facility was constructed on Sigma Mesa. Building 03-246 was a corrugated metal building constructed on a concrete slab and contained the controls for the pull test equipment, as well as a hydraulic oil compressor and storage tank. Building 03-247 was a corrugated metal building constructed on a gravel floor and contains two hydraulic rams used to perform the tensile strength testing. Hydraulic oil was provided to the rams through underground pipes between Buildings 03-246 and 03-247. The contamination identified at SWMU 03-013(i) consisted of oil-stained soil around Building 03-246 and oil-stained gravel inside Building 03-247. At the former location of Building 03-246, hydraulic oil appears to have been released to the concrete slab floor inside the building and to have subsequently flowed beneath the building walls and onto the soil surrounding the building. Visible soil contamination existed along the north side of the building and along the northeast and northwest corners. The gravel floor inside Building 03-247 was visibly stained with oil in several locations beneath the hydraulic ram assembly.

**Note:** Both Buildings 03-246 and 03-247 were decommissioned and removed during the summer of 2004. While they are no longer present, SWMU 03-013 (i) was established to monitor and remediate spills that did occur while those two buildings were used to house test equipment.

SWMU 03-013(i) was not included in the 1990 SWMU Report or the OU1114 RFI Work Plan, but was discovered in 2004 during planning for the demolition of Buildings 03-246 and 03-247. Two samples of the oil-stained soil adjacent to the former location of Building 03-246 were collected by the Laboratory's Solid Waste Regulatory Compliance Group in 2004 and analyzed for inorganic chemicals, organic chemicals, PCBs, and total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH). Four inorganic chemicals (cadmium, copper, lead, and zinc) were detected above BV, but below SALs. TPH was also detected, but no organic chemicals or PCBs were detected. Oil-stained soil was removed when the two buildings were demolished, and confirmation samples were collected by the ER Project. This SWMU is being proposed for no further action (NFA) and is not a potential pollutant of concern in regard to the TA-03-0038 MFS.

## 2.2 Spills and Leaks

Spills and leaks that occurred after June 25, 2021, the active date of the 2021 MSGP, are summarized below. Future spills and leaks will be documented as corrective actions and tracked.

Date	Description	Corrective Action Description	Outfall(s) Affected
4/10/2023	EPC-CP responded to TA-3-0038 for a fire	The spill occurred within the MSGP	None
	extinguisher process that spilled.	boundary for the Metal Fab Shops just	
	Approximately 2.5 gallons of material was	outside the NE entrance to the shops.	
	released from several Amerex Fire	The spill area was covered with plastic	
	Extinguishers, onto asphalt, on the	and samples of the spilled powder	
	northeast side of TA-3-0038. The fire	were collected on 4/10/2023 and	
	extinguishers were emptied into five-	analyzed using the modified NIOSH	
	gallon buckets that have a filter, but the	7300 method. Results were received	
	recharge process was not capturing all of	on 4/14/2023. Work was paused.	
	the extinguishant. IF personnel are going	Cleanup of the powder could not start	
	to work with an Industrial Hygienist (IH)	until an assessment by an Industrial	
	and Waste Management Coordinator	Hygienist occurred to determine	
	(WMC) to clean the area. The spill	required personnel protective	
	occurred within the MSGP boundary for	equipment and method of cleanup. IF-	
	the Metal Fab Shops just outside the NE	Operations and IH discussed how to	
	entrance to the shops (Note: This process	clean up the waste from the ground. A	
	it not a metal fab related activity.	discussion on how to improve the	
	However, the discharge of the powder is	common practice of releasing the	
	an unauthorized release within a Clean	material will also occur. Waste will be	
	Water Act permitted area for industrial	disposed with WMC.	
	activity stormwater runoff).		

Information on areas where spills and leaks could occur at the TA-03-0038 Shops is provided below by shop location.

Metal Fabrication Shop

Location	Outfalls (see site map)
Metal Storage Yard and Covered Metal Recycle Roll-Off Bin	076
Vehicle Parking	N/A
Forklift Storage	N/A
Loading and Unloading Operations	N/A
Pipe Fitter's Shop	N/A
MFSSSA Raw Material Metal Storage	077

In the event of any future spill or leak at any of the facility areas, a spill report, documenting the occurrence and the nature of the spill or leak, will be completed. The spill report will be filed promptly upon completion and documentation of the spill clean-up and will be summarized in this section of the SWPPP.

The probability of spills or releases at the facility is minimized by the application of good housekeeping procedures and appropriate operational methods. Spill protection and clean-up materials are readily available on site. Appropriate response measures for a spill or release of hazardous materials are applied when addressing spills. The specific spill response and cleanup procedures depend on the nature of the spilled material. Specific spill response and reporting procedures for LANL are listed in Section 3.1.4 of this SWPPP.

# 2.3 Unauthorized Non-Stormwater Discharges

There are no NPDES permitted non-stormwater discharges or unpermitted outfalls associated with the facility. Potential sources of non-stormwater discharges at the facility include the testing of fire hydrants in the area. All wastewater drainage within the building discharges to the Sanitary Wastewater System.

The "Non-Stormwater Discharge Assessment and Certification" is located in Attachment 3. This form certifies that all stormwater outfalls have been evaluated for the presence of non-stormwater discharges. The form is updated whenever a change in possible non-stormwater discharge is determined.

# 2.4 Salt Storage

No salt storage or piles containing salt are present at the facility. There is no salt storage anticipated for this facility as part of an industrial activity.

#### 2.5 Historical Data Summary

Permitted Facility: TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shops and MFSSSA (Sigma Mesa storage yard for PFS)

#### Calendar Year 2024

No discharge occurred at outfall 077 during CY2024, therefore no data are available.

Monitored Outfall	Discontinue	Continue Monitoring						
	Average of four monitoring values did not exceed benchmark; quarterly monitoring discontinued for the duration of the permit.	Impaired water constituent was not detected in storm water discharge; annual monitoring discontinued for the duration of the permit.	Benchmark Baseline	Monitori AIM Level 1	AIM Level 2	AIM Level 3	Indicator parameter monitoring required annually for entirety of permit. Impaired water constituent was detected. Continue monitoring annually.	
076	_	Total Aroclors	Al, NO2+NO3- N, Zn	_	_	_	N/A	AI
077	_	_	—	—	_	_	N/A	-

N/A = Not applicable. No Indicator parameter required.

AIM = Additional Implementation Measures

Al = Aluminum

NO3+NO2-N = Nitrate plus Nitrite Nitrogen

Zn = Zinc

### 3.0 STORMWATER CONTROL MEASURES

Control measures at the facility are designed to minimize the potential for spills, releases, exposure of materials, or any other events that could adversely affect the quality of water and sediment that may be transported out of the area by stormwater runoff.

Proper material management and storage minimize the potential for exposure of precipitation and runoff to potentially hazardous materials. Containers that could be susceptible to spillage or leakage are plainly labeled (e.g., "Used Oil," "Spent Solvents," etc.). Most operations are performed indoors, and materials are stored indoors or outdoors in covered or enclosed structures. The potential for exposure of industrial materials to stormwater is limited primarily to loading/unloading operations at outdoor dock areas and yards, leaks from the transfer of machining oil from TA-03-37-0106 to the shops, leakage of oil from the metal recycle roll-off bin, or from vehicle parking in the west lots. Adequate secondary containment is provided for oil containing equipment.

#### 3.1 Non-Numeric Technology-Based Effluent Limits

Part 8 of the 2021 MSGP identifies sector-specific requirements for **Sector AA** in addition to the general nonnumeric limits outlined in this section. The facility must comply with requirements associated with the primary industrial activities described in Section 2.1 of this SWPPP and any co-located industrial activities as defined in Appendix D of the 2021 MSGP. The sector specific requirements only apply to those areas of the facility where the sector-specific activities occur.

The following sector-specific non-numeric effluent limits are addressed at this facility.

#### **Metal Fabrication Shop**

#### **Raw Steel Handling Storage**

Most of the handling and all fabrication/processing occurs inside the MFS. All shavings, chips, turnings, and iron dust resulting from fabrication activities are contained in receptacles below each piece of machinery. Receptacles are emptied into bins located throughout the MFS. Once the bins are full of metal chips, turnings and small metal pieces, they, along with larger metal scrap pieces are emptied into the outside covered metal recycle roll-off bins located in the fenced metal storage yard. Scrap metal from Pipe Fitter's and other miscellaneous fieldwork is placed in the covered metal recycle roll-off bin located outdoors at the east side of the Pipe Fitter's Shop at TA-03-0038, Room 104. Excess piping and other metals are either placed on covered elevated racks or on pallets covered with tarps in the northwest metal storage yard. Pipe Fitter's covered storage racks are located at the MFSSSA. No wastes are disposed on-site.

### Metal Fabricating Areas

All areas are enclosed and maintained daily to ensure all chips, turning, and iron dust is contained. Areas around all machinery are swept and inspected daily for spills. Oil absorbent for dry cleanup is readily available in the event of leakage, and all hydraulic shear and rolling machines are equipped with equipment shields.

#### **Storage Areas for Raw Metal**

The primary outside metal storage area is the metal storage yard. Some metal or piping may be stored on a pallet covered with tarps within the metal storage yard. Most metal is stored on covered racks. Additional storage area containing pipe storage racks and miscellaneous metal storage, is maintained in a neat, orderly state at the MFSSSA. All raw metals stored at the site are covered with tarps.

#### Metal Working Fluid Storage Area

Cutting and drilling fluids and oils used at the facility are stored in Building 37, Room 106. The room is fully enclosed and drums are stored on secondary containment. This area is not exposed to precipitation.

#### **Cleaners and Rinse Water**

All rinse water and cleaners are located or stored inside to prevent stormwater contamination. Floor drains have either been closed or rerouted to the sanitary sewer system.

#### Lubricating Oil and Hydraulic Fluid Operations

All operations occur inside to prevent stormwater contamination. In the case of temporary outdoor storage, secondary containment is utilized for lubrication oils in 55-gallon drums. Metal recycle roll-off bins are covered to prevent stormwater from contacting metal chips and turnings with cutting oil residue.

#### **Chemical Storage Areas**

Any chemicals (including paints) used in the shop are stored inside buildings inside flammable cabinets if necessary. Chemical items are labeled appropriately and are inventoried annually through LANL's Chemlog (barcode) tracking system.

#### Spills and Leaks

A detailed description of spill prevention and response procedures is included in Section 3.1.4. The probability of spills or releases at the facility is minimized by the application of good housekeeping procedures and appropriate operational processes. Operational processes include use of drum dollies and drum grapplers on the forklifts for unloading and reloading.

There are no areas at this facility where chemical formulations are sprayed to provide surface protection; and no stormwater discharges associated with this type of activity.

### 3.1.1 Minimize Exposure

Control measures at the facility are designed to minimize the potential for spills, releases, exposure of materials, or other events that could adversely affect water quality and sedimentation/erosion.

Most operations and storage areas are located within structures, so that the potential for exposure to stormwater is limited to the loading/unloading areas, the metal storage yard, and vehicle parking areas. When leaky vehicles or equipment are identified during daily or routine facility inspections, absorbents are applied until the vehicle or equipment is removed from the facility for maintenance or repair. Micro-Blaze® is sprayed on asphalt or concrete after all liquids have been absorbed. Locations for spill cleanup kits and spill response materials are described in Section 3.1.4 of this SWPPP. There are no hazardous waste storage areas associated with the shops. All major metal fabrication activities occur inside. Specific structural controls are listed below:

### **Metal Fabrication Shop**

### Covered Metal Recycle Roll-Off Bins

Metal chips and turnings and scrap metal are placed inside covered roll-off bins which are shipped off site for recycle on a routine basis.

#### **Covered Metal and Pipe Storage Racks**

Metal raw material, pipe and finished/fabricated metal parts are stored on elevated racks to prevent direct contact with stormwater runoff. Where it is not feasible to store metal materials on covered racks (due to size, weight, etc.), the metal is stored off-ground on pallets and covered with sturdy, 28 mil. tarps that are manufactured to last 25 years.

## Spill Control

Parking areas are frequently inspected for leaks and checked monthly during routine facility inspections. Oil absorbent is available in the MFS for containment if needed. Forklifts are parked inside on most occasions to reduce the potential for exposure to stormwater. Maintenance on forklifts is performed off site at the Heavy Equipment Shop.

## Petro-Plug<sup>®</sup> Oil Barrier

The Petro-Plug<sup>®</sup> oil barrier is installed at the end of the drainpipe that discharges excess stormwater from the trench drain/sump pump outside the Pipe Fitter's shop. The Petro-Plug<sup>®</sup> prevents any oil that may accumulate in the trench drain from being discharged. Pumping of the trench drain is required to prevent flooding of the adjacent shop. The Petro-Plug<sup>®</sup> is replaced every year. The replacement of this control is logged in Attachment 10 as maintenance.

## Berms (Run-on Control)

Mixed asphalt millings/earthen berms along Bikini Atoll Road and West Jemez Road prevent stormwater runon to the MFS and PFS from adjacent roadways. An asphalt berm was installed around the outdoor metal storage yard in 2019 to prevent run-on and to channel all stormwater to the monitored outfall and stormwater controls. A rock berm is located along the northern perimeter of the MFSSSA. This berm manages runoff and prevents offsite sediment migration. There is also a compacted asphalt millings berm that directs run-on stormwater away from the metal storage area at the MFSSSA. Whenever these berms need repair, they are identified as a condition requiring corrective action on the routine facility inspection form and entered into the EPC-CP CAR database and repaired.

### Trash Dumpsters & Cardboard Recycle Dumpsters

Trash dumpsters and cardboard recycle dumpsters (adjacent to the facility) are kept closed when not in use and dumped on a regular basis. Dumpsters are kept in good condition and are repaired or replaced, if needed, by Roads & Grounds.

### HardyChar Heavy Metal Filter Dikes (Wattles)

Wattles are used to filter out metal residuals in stormwater runoff. Currently 5 wattles are installed at the outfall location for the metal storage yard. There are also two more wattles placed at the east perimeter of the yard to intercept stormwater flow from the two metal racks staged at the east side. At the MFSSSA there are 6 wattles installed along the drainage pathway in the metal storage area before water is discharged to the outfall. Wattles are replaced quarterly. Replacement of these wattles is logged in Attachment 10. When replacement of wattles is noted on the routine facility inspection form, with installation dates, this information is tracked in the Maintenance Connection database. In June of 2023, EPC-CP started using the Environmental Compliance Management System database in place of Maintenance Connection.

### 3.1.2 Good Housekeeping

Good housekeeping practices specifically applicable to the prevention of stormwater contamination are identified below.

Site areas exposed to precipitation, including outfalls, are inspected during periodic walk downs to ensure grounds are kept in an orderly condition. Floatable debris, garbage, waste, sediments and other pollutant-carrying items are removed.

West parking areas are swept monthly with the vacuum sweeper to reduce sediment accumulation.

Trash and debris are disposed of in covered trash dumpsters. The trash dumpsters are serviced by Roads and Grounds on a weekly basis.

Outdoor metal storage areas at the MFS, PFS and MFSSSA are monitored to ensure metal and pipe is stored properly off the ground on storage racks and is covered. Large scrap metal is elevated and stored on tarp covered pallets or contained inside a covered metal recycle roll-off bin within the metal storage yard at the MFS. Small metal pieces, chips and turnings may be contained in a closed metal drum within a larger roll-off bin or placed in a small covered 10 cubic yard scrap metal roll-off bin. Receptacles used to collect chips, turnings and small metal pieces are emptied into bins within MFS, which are then transferred to the larger bins outside.

Metal recycle and wood roll-off bins are covered and monitored to ensure they are scheduled for pickup by the Material Recycling Facility (MRF) when they are 3/4 full.

Loading docks, storage sheds, vehicle loading and forklift parking areas are inspected for signs of spills or leaks. All spills and leaks are cleaned-up immediately per Section 3.1.4 of this SWPPP. Government vehicles and equipment found leaking fluids are removed immediately and sent to the Heavy Equipment Shop for maintenance.

Per Part 2.1.2.2 of the 2021 MSGP, the following actions will be implemented to ensure good housekeeping.

- Sweep or vacuum at regular intervals or, alternatively, wash down the area and collect and/or treat, and properly dispose of the washdown water.
- Store material in appropriate containers.
- Keep all dumpster lids closed when not in use. For dumpsters and roll off boxes that do not have lids and could leak, ensure that discharges have a control (e.g., secondary containment). Consistent with Part 1.2.2, the permit does not authorize dry weather discharges from dumpsters or roll off boxes.
- Minimize the potential for waste, garbage and floatable debris to be discharged by keeping exposed areas free of such materials, or by intercepting them before they are discharged.

### 3.1.3 Maintenance

Control measures at the facility are kept in effective operating condition by implementing scheduled preventive maintenance, standard operating procedures (SOPs), engineering guidance, and manufacturer's specifications as applicable. If control measures need to be replaced or repaired to maintain compliance with the 2021 MSGP, necessary modifications are made according to the timelines specified in the *Corrective Actions and Deadlines* requirements of Section 6.0 of this SWPPP.

Deficient items (i.e., conditions requiring corrective action) identified during monthly routine facility inspections or other walk downs are documented on the inspection form and entered into the CAR database. All reasonable steps are taken immediately to address any identified condition requiring corrective action. The CAR will remain open until proper maintenance or corrective action has been completed. CAR information along with documentation of repair of control measures is kept on file in Attachment 9 of the SWPPP.

Note: "All reasonable steps" means that the permittee has responded to the condition(s) triggering the action, such as, cleaning up any exposed material that may be discharged in a storm event (e.g., through sweeping, vacuuming) or making arrangements (i.e., scheduling) for a new stormwater control measure (SCM) to be installed. If a control measure was never installed, was installed incorrectly or not in accordance with Part 2

and/or 8 of the 2021 MSGP, or is not being properly operated or maintained, site personnel will conduct corrective action as specified in Part 5 of the 2021 MSGP.

Per manufacture recommendation HardyChar Heavy Metal Filter Dikes\_are replaced every 6 -12 months dependent on the condition and current effectiveness of the control. Sweeping is performed monthly with a vacuum sweeper. In the event the vacuum sweeper is down for repair, sweeping will occur as soon as equipment is functional and able to be scheduled.

Maintenance like monthly sweeping, emptying roll-off bins when 3/4 full, replacing metal filtering wattles are documented in the *Scheduled Maintenance Log* contained in Attachment 10.

# 3.1.4 Spill Prevention and Response

Spills, leaks, or releases are minimized and prevented by the application of good housekeeping procedures, BMPs, and engineering/administrative controls. Containers that could be susceptible to spillage or leakage are plainly labeled (e.g., "Used Oil," "Spent Solvents," etc.) to encourage proper handling and facilitate rapid response if spills or leaks from these containers should occur. Spill cleanup materials are located inside Building 38 at the MFS and at the MFSSSA. Micro-Blaze<sup>®</sup> is kept in the DEP office at TA-03-0142.

In general, the approach to spill cleanup is to secure the spill area and contact the Shop Superintendent and/or the Emergency Management Response (EM-RESP) Team (if necessary). For incidental releases, Micro-Blaze<sup>®</sup> or dry absorbents are used and the contaminated absorbents from spill clean-up are containerized and disposed of properly off-site.

All spills or releases are reported to EPC-CP by using the spills pager (505) 664-7722. Although incidental spills may be cleaned up by facility personnel, all emergency spills or releases are reported to Emergency Management Response (EM-RESP) and/or the Facility Duty Officer by calling 667-2400. If fire or explosion is present, or if the potential for such exists, the situation must be reported by dialing 911 from a non-cellular phone or by activating a fire pull box. In the event of a spill, EM-RESP will coordinate appropriate cleanup procedures and EPC-CP will notify the individuals or organizations responsible for completing spill reports and providing information needed to fulfill regulatory reporting requirements.

Unauthorized releases or discharges within industrial facility boundaries are entered into the CAR database in accordance with EPC-CP-QP-2109, *MSGP Corrective Actions*. In addition, the completion of an Unplanned Release Report is required in the event of a spill. The report will be submitted to EPC-CP personnel and handled according to internal spill record keeping procedures. Spills may be "reportable" (requiring external agency notification) depending on the nature of the spilled material and the location of the release. External agency notification may consist of verbal and/or written notification to the National Response Center, Environmental Protection Agency Region VI, or the New Mexico Environment Department (NMED). EM-RESP, the FOD and EPC-CP, in accordance with Laboratory and DOE policies and federal and state regulatory reporting requirements, will make the determination for the type of reporting required. EPC-CP-QP-0903, *Environmental Reporting Requirements for Releases or Events* is used for this purpose (see Attachment 21).

Copies of internal spill reports are maintained by the responsible organization and in the EPC-CP database. The EPC-CP procedure for spill reporting and response, EPC-CP-QP-1007, *Unplanned Releases*, can be found in Attachment 22 of this SWPPP.

#### 3.1.5 Erosion and Sediment Control

#### **Metal Fabrication Shop**

The entire outside area associated with the shop, except for small plots of grass adjacent to the buildings, is paved with asphalt and concrete; therefore, erosion and sediment transport is unlikely. A mixed asphalt millings and earthen berm along Bikini Atoll Road and West Jemez Road prevents run-on to the shop's lot from adjacent roadways. Vacuum sweeping of the west lot at the MFS is done monthly except when snow prevents it. Regular vacuum sweeping reduces sediment accumulation on site and transport of associated pollutants. The potential for sediment migration from the covered raw metal storage areas located west of TA-03-38 is minimized by the asphalt berm installed around the perimeter of the area and HardyChar Heavy Metal Filter Dikes installed at Outfall 076.

The entire surface of the MFSSSA is stabilized with rock and asphalt millings which acts to prevent erosion and allow for some infiltration. The rock berm along the northern perimeter of the site manages sediment by reducing the potential for offsite sediment migration.

#### 3.1.6 Management of Runoff

#### **Metal Fabrication Shop**

Stormwater runoff from the facilities outdoor industrial activity areas is captured by 4 grated storm drains located on the west side of Building 03-38. In the event of a stormwater backup at the grated (trench) drain west of the Pipe Fitter's shop, the sump-pump discharges stormwater north, onto West Jemez Road. This is necessary to prevent the Pipe Fitter's shop from flooding.

Run-on generated from the paved area west of the metal storage yard is diverted around the metal storage yard into the grated storm drains located on the west side of Building 38. Runoff from the metal storage yard is managed by the berm along the fenced perimeter and the HardyChar Heavy Metal Filter Dikes installed at the outfall located at the northeast corner of the yard.

The grated drop inlets west of Building 38 are inspected during monthly routine facility inspections and all debris or other obstructions are removed immediately. All onsite and offsite storm drains at the facility connect to a common storm system and common outfall which daylights into a tributary of Sandia Canyon.

Runoff generated from the MFSSSA is minimal. Riprap and asphalt millings cover the footprint of the site and allow some stormwater to infiltrate which helps minimize runoff. Runoff is managed by the perimeter rock berm located north of the site and a compacted asphalt millings berm around the site that ensures runoff from the metal storage area flows to the outfall.

See the site maps in Figures B-1, B-2 and B-3 and the outfall information provided in Section 1.3 for additional information on the drainage patterns and control measures associated with shops.

### 3.1.7 Salt Storage Piles or Piles Containing Salt

Salt storage piles or piles containing salt are not stored at this facility.

## 3.1.8 Dust Generation and Vehicle Tracking of Industrial Materials

The entire outside surface area of the facilities, except for small plots of dirt and grass adjacent to the site on the south boundary, is paved with asphalt and concrete. Other sections of adjacent property on the south side of the facility are stabilized with rock. Dust generation is therefore minimal, and dust suppression is not required. The MFSSA is stabilized with rock and asphalt millings to prevent dust generation and vehicle tracking onto Enewetak Dr.

## 3.2 Numeric Effluent Limitations Based on Effluent Limitations Guidelines

The TA-03-0038 Shops are classified under **Sector AA-Fabricated Metal Products** and do not meet the industrial category requirements for effluent monitoring as listed in Part 1.2.1.4 (*Table 1-1 Applicable Effluent Limitations Guidelines*) of the 2021 MSGP.

## 3.3 Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations and Water Quality Standards

Impaired waters monitoring is performed annually at the facility as listed in Section 4.7 of this SWPPP. The pollutants monitored can change yearly based on the requirements of the MSGP. The table in Section 4.7 lists the current year monitoring requirements, constituents and regulatory standards. Refer to Section 4.7 for specific actions that are taken when a water quality standard is exceeded.

Stormwater from the TA-03-0038 Shops discharges to Sandia Canyon Assessment Unit NM-9000.A\_047 (Sigma Canyon to NPDES outfall 001). Certain stream reaches within Sandia Canyon have been identified as impaired waters by the NMED Surface Water Quality Bureau (SWQB). According to the 2022-2024 State of New Mexico Clean Water Act 303d/305b Integrated Report and Final List of Assessed Surface Waters, pollutants causing the impairment are listed as total recoverable Aluminum, PCBs (Aroclors), and dissolved Copper. EPA has not yet approved or established TMDLs for Sandia Canyon.

# 4.0 SCHEDULES AND PROCEDURES

Preventative maintenance of control measures used to comply with the Permit effluent limits can avoid situations that result in discharges to the environment. Part 6.2.5 of the 2021 MSGP specifies control measures will have a schedule or frequency for maintenance and procedures specifying how maintenance is conducted. Part 6.5 requires documentation of maintenance and repairs including the date(s) of regular maintenance. See Attachment 10 for the Scheduled Maintenance Log.

### 4.1 Good Housekeeping

See Section 3.1.2 of this SWPPP.

### 4.2 Maintenance

See Section 3.1.3 of this SWPPP.

### 4.3 Spill Prevention and Response

See Section 3.1.4 of this SWPPP.

# 4.4 Erosion and Sediment Control

See Section 3.1.5 of this SWPPP.

## 4.5 Employee Training

Employee training is essential for effective implementation of the SWPPP and MSGP requirements. The goals for the training program are to ensure that employees: (1) are aware of what happens when pollutants come in contact with stormwater; (2) are familiar with and will implement the requirements of this SWPPP; (3) are capable of preventing spills; (4) respond safely and effectively to an accident when one occurs; (5) recognize when there is an issue with a control measure; (6) recognize when additional control measures are necessary; and (7) identify situations that could lead to stormwater contamination.

Per Part 2.1.2.8 of the 2021 MSGP, training relevant to the SWPPP and MSGP is required for all workers at the facility that work in areas where industrial materials or activities are exposed to stormwater (MSGP sites); workers, managers, and supervisors who are responsible for implementing activities necessary to meet the conditions of this permit (e.g., inspectors, maintenance personnel); and all members of the PPT. Training is designed to ensure these personnel understand the MSGP and SWPPP requirements, as well as their specific responsibilities regarding these requirements.

Training provided and assigned to these personnel cover both the specific control measures used at the facility; along with monitoring, inspection, planning, reporting, and documentation requirements described in this SWPPP. Training will be conducted at least annually. The DEP and Pollution Prevention Team members are responsible for ensuring all appropriate personnel receive this training.

Training activities are documented in accordance with LANL's Training Standards. In cases where training is formalized enough to require specific curricula and recurrence, the training activity is recorded in LANL's official U-TRAIN database. Informal briefings, such as those included in group safety meetings are not typically recorded in U-TRAIN. Sign-in sheets are used to document attendance and are considered controlled unclassified information (CUI). All training records will be managed in accordance with P204-1, *Controlled Unclassified Information*.

The topics in this SWPPP that are covered in the latest version of the facility-specific annual MSGP training (see Attachment 11) include the following:

- Overview of the SWPPP contents;
- Spill response and cleanup procedures, good housekeeping, maintenance requirements, and material management practices to prevent stormwater pollution;
- The location of all controls on the site required by this permit and how they are maintained;
- The proper procedures to follow with respect to the permit's pollution prevention requirements; and
- When and how to conduct inspections, record applicable findings, and take corrective actions.

### 4.6 Routine Facility Inspections and Quarterly Visual Assessments

Routine inspections at this facility are conducted and documented monthly in accordance with EPC-CP-QP-2108, *MSGP Routine Facility Inspections* (Attachment 16).

Visual assessments are conducted in accordance with EPC-CP-QP-2105, *MSGP Stormwater Visual Assessments* (Attachment 18).

### 4.6.1 Routine Facility Inspections

At least once each calendar year, the routine facility inspection is conducted during a period when a stormwater discharge is occurring. A qualified member of the PPT (typically the DEP, a representative from the EPC-CP Storm Water Permitting/Compliance Team or EPC-CP Program Lead) performs the inspection. EPC-CP performs at least one routine facility inspection per year at the facility in order to evaluate corrective action status for the Annual Report requirements.

Routine inspections will evaluate the following areas, at a minimum:

- Areas where industrial materials or activities are exposed to stormwater
- Areas identified in the SWPPP and those that are potential pollutant sources
- Areas where spills and leaks have occurred in the last three years
- Discharge points [outfalls/Substantially Identical Discharge Points (SIDPs)]
- Control measures used to comply with the effluent limits contained in this permit

Specific areas of the facility to be inspected are described in Section 2.1 and 2.2.

During routine inspections, the following are examined:

- Industrial materials, residue or trash that may have or could come into contact with stormwater
- Leaks or spills from industrial equipment, drums, tanks and other containers
- Offsite tracking of industrial or waste materials, or sediment where vehicles enter or exit the site
- Tracking or blowing of raw, final or waste materials from areas of no exposure to exposed areas
- Control measures needing maintenance, repairs or replacement

Inspections performed by the PPT member are documented by completing the routine facility inspection form, which identifies all conditions requiring corrective action and other potential stormwater pollution issues that were encountered. All conditions requiring corrective actions identified during the inspection are addressed in accordance with Section 6.0 *Corrective Actions and Deadlines* of this plan. Facility personnel or the DEP may also perform daily, weekly, or other periodic facility surveys (walk downs) between monthly routine facility inspections to ensure compliance with the SWPPP and MSGP. Completed routine facility inspection forms are provided in Attachment 7 of this SWPPP and meet the requirements listed in the 2021 MSGP (Part 3.1.2.).

### 4.6.2 Quarterly Visual Assessments

Once each quarter, (January-March, April-June, July-September, October-December) a stormwater sample is obtained and visual assessment performed at each outfall, if a measurable storm event occurred. A qualified member of the PPT (DEP, EPC-CP field team member or MSGP Program Lead) conducts the visual assessment. The visual assessment will be:

- Of a sample in a clean, clear colorless glass or plastic container and examined in a well-lit area;
- On samples collected within the first 30 minutes of an actual discharge from a storm event or as soon as practicable thereafter. Alternatively, document why it was not possible to collect the sample within the first 30 minutes (i.e., adverse conditions, not enough flow, etc.); and
- Conducted at least 72 hours since the last storm event; or document that the 72-hour period is representative of local storm events during the sampling period.

Note: Snowmelt samples need only be collected during a period of measurable discharge.

The visual assessment will assess for the following water quality characteristics: color, odor, clarity, floating solids, settled solids, suspended solids, foam, oil sheen, and other obvious indicators of stormwater pollution.

If a visual assessment is not conducted during a quarter:

- Document rationale (no precipitation event or adverse conditions, etc.); and
- Perform an additional assessment during the next qualifying storm event if unable to perform in a particular quarter.

Perform one quarterly assessment during snow melt (taken during a measurable discharge from the site).

For facilities with SIDPs, quarterly visual assessments may be performed at only one of the outfalls, provided that you perform visual assessments on a rotating basis at each SIDP.

The PPT member performing the visual assessment documents potential stormwater pollution problems that were observed during the assessment on the quarterly visual assessment form. Any condition requiring corrective action identified during the assessment is addressed in accordance with Section 6.0 *Corrective Actions and Deadlines* of this plan. Completed quarterly visual assessments are provided in Attachment 8 of this SWPPP and meet the requirements listed in the 2021 MSGP (Part 3.2.2).

## 4.7 Monitoring

Analytical monitoring for this site is comprised of Impaired Waters and quarterly benchmark monitoring for industrial activity as identified in Table 4-1 of the 2021 MSGP. Monitoring occurs when storm events result in an actual discharge from the site and follow the preceding measurable storm event by at least 72 hours (3 days), unless documented that the storm event is representative of local storm events during the sampling period. For runoff from snowmelt, the monitoring is performed at a time when a measurable discharge from the site occurs.

Monitoring is conducted according to test procedures approved under 40 CFR Part 136. Runoff samples are collected by taking a minimum of one grab sample from a discharge, collected within the first 30 minutes of a measurable storm event. If it is not possible to collect the sample within the first 30 minutes of a measurable storm event, the sample will be collected as soon as practicable after the first 30 minutes and documentation is kept with the SWPPP explaining why it was not possible.

LANL is located in a high elevation, semi-arid climate where the majority of rainfall occurs during a period between July and September. Freezing conditions that would prevent runoff from occurring for extended periods may also occur during the winter months. If adverse weather conditions prevent the collection of a sample according to the relevant monitoring schedule, a sample will be collected during the next qualifying storm event or as soon as practicable.

Monitoring for the MFS occurs at automated sampling station **MSGP07601** as identified in Section 1.5. The automated sampler and outfall location is shown on the site map provided in Figure B-1. The primary contributor of pollutants to stormwater discharges from outfall 076 is metal storage, chips and turnings, and cutting oil. Seven HardyChar Heavy Metal Filter Dikes wattles are used to manage runoff from the metal storage yard and treat metal residuals. Leaks and spills can occur from the product storage area or vehicles in the area.

Monitoring for the MFSSA occurs at automated sampling station **MSGP07701** as identified in Section 1.5. The outfall location is shown on the site map provided in Figure B-2. The primary contributor of pollutants to

stormwater discharges from outfall 077 is metal stored on covered racks in the satellite storage area. Raw material metal is covered and stored off the ground.

For impaired waters pollutants, monitoring is required annually in the first and fourth year of permit coverage. If any pollutant associated with the impairment is detected, annual monitoring will continue.

If the impaired water or benchmark constituent value exceeds the New Mexico Water Quality criterion, the Pollution Prevention Team will:

- Review the selection, design, installation, and implementation of control measures to determine if modifications are necessary to meet the effluent limits
- Implement the necessary modifications within the timeframe specified for corrective action
- Continue annual monitoring of the constituent (as required by Part 4.2 of the 2021 MSGP)

For each monitoring event, except snowmelt monitoring, the following information is recorded and maintained through work orders, LANL database systems, and Discharge Monitoring Reports:

- The date, exact place, and time of sampling or measurements
- The date and duration (in hours) of the rainfall event
- Rainfall total (in inches) for that rainfall event
- The individual(s) who performed the sampling or measurements
- The date(s) analyses were performed
- The individual(s) who performed the analyses
- The analytical techniques or methods used
- The results of such analyses

All records of monitoring information, including all calibration and maintenance records are maintained for a minimum period of at least three years from the date the permit expires.

LANL's applicable stormwater monitoring procedures can be found in the following Attachments:

- EPC-CP-TP-2103, Inspecting Stormwater Runoff Samplers and Retrieving Samples for the MSGP (Attachment 19)
- EPC-CP-QP-2106, Processing MSGP Stormwater Samples (Attachment 20)

The table on the following page lists the current monitoring requirements. The monitoring values have been modified to reflect New Mexico water quality standards and are based on the most protective water quality standards from the Standards for Interstate and Intrastate Surface Waters (effective on February 28, 2018), 20.6.4.900 NMAC; and as set forth in Part 9.6.2.2 of the 2021 MSGP.

#### **2025 Monitoring Year Requirements**

Monitored Outfall	Monitoring Requirement	Industrial Sector	Assessment Unit	Analyte	Filtered/ Unfiltered	Regulatory Standard	Units	Regulatory Standard Type	Regulatory Standard Reference
	Quarterly Benchmark	AA	_	Al	F10u	1100	µg/L	Benchmark Limit	Part 8.AA.6
076	Quarterly Benchmark	AA	_	NO3+NO 2-N	UF	0.68	mg/L	Benchmark Limit	Part 8.AA.6
076	Quarterly Benchmark	AA	_	Zn	F	104	µg/L	Benchmark Limit	Part 8.AA.6
	Impaired Waters	_	NM- 9000.A_047	Al	F10u	N/A	µg/L	Report Only	Part 4.2.5.1
	Quarterly Benchmark	AA	_	Al	F10u	1100	µg/L	Benchmark Limit	Part 8.AA.6
	Quarterly Benchmark	AA	_	NO3+NO 2-N	UF	0.68	mg/L	Benchmark Limit	Part 8.AA.6
077	Quarterly Benchmark	AA	_	Zn	F	104	µg/L	Benchmark Limit	Part 8.AA.6
	Impaired Waters	_	NM- 9000.A_047	Al	F10u	N/A	µg/L	Report Only	Part 4.2.5.1
	Impaired Waters	_	NM- 9000.A_047	Total Aroclors	UF	N/A	µg/L	Report Only	Part 4.2.5.1

F10u = 10  $\mu$ m filter

 $F = 0.45 \ \mu m$  filter

UF = Unfiltered

N/A = Not applicable

Al = Aluminum

NO3+NO2-N = Nitrate plus Nitrite Nitrogen

Zn = Zinc

µg/L = Micrograms per Liter

mg/L = Milligrams per Liter

NM = New Mexico

### 5.0 DOCUMENTATION FOR ELIGIBILITY CONSIDERATIONS UNDER OTHER FEDERAL LAWS

#### 5.1 Endangered Species

The Final Site-Wide Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the Operation of Los Alamos National Laboratory (DOE/EIS-0380) was issued in May 2008, and a Record of Decision in September 2008. Stormwater issues and associated pollution prevention requirements and activities at LANL are analyzed in Chapters 4 and 5 of the 2008 Site-Wide EIS. These activities are integrated into environmental reviews on a project-specific level through LANL's Integrated Review Tool (IRT), which incorporates both the Excavation Permit (EX-ID) and Permit Requirements Identification (PR-ID) process. Stormwater issues are identified and pollution prevention activities are implemented during the design and construction phases of all LANL projects, and as part of facility operations, including routine maintenance. LANL staff monitors stormwater pollution prevention compliance at MSGP sites in accordance with Section 4.7 *Monitoring* of this plan. Corrective actions are taken as necessary as described in Section 6.0 *Corrective Actions and Deadlines* of this plan.

Part 6.2.6.1 of the 2021 MSGP requires areas of designated critical habitat for endangered or threatened species, as applicable, be included in the SWPPP. The *Threatened and Endangered Species Habitat Management Plan for Los Alamos National Laboratory* (LA-UR-22-20556) was last updated in October 2017 (see Attachment 13). This document provides a management strategy for the protection of threatened and endangered species and their habitats on LANL property. The MSGP IPaC Trust Resource Report (see Attachment 14) is also attached for informational purposes.

#### 5.2 Historic Properties

In April 2021, August 2015 and December 2008, the Cultural Resources Team (using GPS spatial data as well as conducting visual inspections), reviewed the Laboratory industrial sites (see list below) and their associated outfalls and monitoring stations subject to the 2021 Multi-Sector General Permit (Permit #NMR050000) for effects on historic properties. All of these sites were found to be undertakings of no effect and in compliance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (i.e., Criterion B).

- TA-03-0038 Metals Fabrication Shop
- TA-09-0214 Metals Fabrication Shop
- TA-16 Stockpile area
- TA-60 Asphalt Batch Plant
- TA-60-0001 Heavy Equipment Yard
- TA-60 Material Recycle Facility
- TA-60 Roads and Grounds
- TA-60-0002 Warehouse

## 6.0 CORRECTIVE ACTIONS AND DEADLINES

When any of the following conditions occur or are detected during an inspection, Level 1, 2, or 3 additional implementation measures (AIM) monitoring or any other means, this SWPPP (e.g., sources of pollution; spill and leak procedures; non-stormwater discharges; the selection, design, installation and implementation of control measures) is reviewed and revised (as appropriate).

- An unauthorized release or discharge (e.g., spill, leak, or discharge of non-stormwater not authorized by this or another NPDES permit to a water of the U.S.) occurs at the facility
- A discharge violates a numeric effluent limit
- Stormwater control measures are not stringent enough for stormwater discharge to be controlled as necessary such that the receiving water of the United States will meet applicable water quality standards or to meet the non-numeric effluent limits in the permit
- An inspection identifies that a required control measure was never installed, was installed incorrectly or is not being properly operated or maintained
- Whenever a visual assessment shows evidence of stormwater pollution

The purpose is to ensure effluent limits of the 2021 MSGP permit are met and pollutant discharges are minimized.

When any of the following conditions occur, a review of the selection, design, installation, and implementation of control measures is performed to determine if modifications are necessary to meet the effluent limits in this permit:

- Construction or a change in design, operation, or maintenance at the facility significantly changes the nature of pollutants discharged in stormwater from the facility, or significantly increases the quantity of pollutants discharged
- The average of 4 quarterly sampling results exceeds an applicable benchmark. If less than 4 benchmark samples have been taken, but the results are such that an exceedance of the 4 quarter average is mathematically certain (i.e., if the sum of quarterly sample results to date is more than 4 times the benchmark level) this is considered a benchmark exceedance, triggering this review (see Section 4.7)
- If an impaired water constituent exceeds the New Mexico Water Quality criterion (see Section 4.7).

If any of the AIM triggering events (i.e., an annual average exceeds an applicable benchmark threshold) in Parts 5.2.3, 5.2.4, or 5.2.5 occur, PPT members must follow the response procedures described in those parts. An annual average exceedance for a benchmark parameter can occur if:

1) The four-quarter annual average for a parameter exceeds the benchmark threshold, or

2) Fewer than four quarterly samples are collected, but a single sample or the sum of any sample results within the sampling year exceeds the benchmark threshold by more than four times for a parameter.

There are three AIM levels: AIM Level 1, Level 2, and Level 3. PPT members must respond, as required, to different AIM levels which prescribe sequential and increasingly robust responses when a benchmark exceedance occurs. The corresponding AIM level responses and deadlines described in Parts 5.2.3.1, 5.2.3.2, 5.2.4.1, 5.2.4.2, 5.2.5.1 and 5.2.5.2 must be followed unless the facility qualifies for an exception under Part 5.2.6.

When the review identifies the need to modify the SWPPP, it will be revised within 14 calendar days of completion of the associated condition requiring corrective action.

## 6.1 Immediate Actions

When a condition requiring corrective action is identified, all reasonable steps necessary to minimize or prevent the discharge of pollutants are immediately taken (i.e., spill clean-up, scheduling repairs) until a permanent solution (if needed) can be implemented. Immediate action means all reasonable steps are taken the same workday or no later than the following workday (when it is too late in the day to take corrective action).

## 6.2 Subsequent Actions

When additional corrective actions are required (e.g., installing or making operational a new or modified control, completing repairs, ordering BMPs) they will be completed by the next storm event, if possible, or within 14 calendar days (from initial discovery). When it is determined that it is infeasible to complete corrective actions within 14 days, documentation of infeasibility and a schedule for completion of the work is documented in the CAR database, which will be completed no later than 45 days (from initial discovery). When it is determined that corrective actions will exceed 45 days, EPA is notified and provided justification of why actions will exceed the timeframe; and a minimal amount of additional time to complete the work may be approved.

## 6.3 AIM Baseline Status and Triggering Events

Once the facility is authorized to discharge under the MSGP, it is considered to be in a baseline status for all applicable benchmark parameters required by that facility to be monitored. If an AIM triggering event occurs, the facility may return directly to baseline status once the corresponding AIM-level response and conditions are met.

# 6.3.1 AIM Level 1

When an annual average exceeds an applicable benchmark threshold, the PPT must immediately review the MSGP SWPPP and the selection, design, installation, and implementation of stormwater control measures to ensure the effectiveness of existing measures and determine if modifications are necessary to meet the benchmark threshold for the parameter that exceeded.

Note: An AIM triggering event is outfall and parameter specific.

After reviewing the SWPPP, additional measures, considering good engineering practices, will be implemented, that will reasonably be expected to bring the exceedance below the parameter's benchmark threshold.

Note: If it is determined that nothing further is required to bring the exceedance below the parameter's benchmark threshold for the next 12-month period, document this in the MSGP CAR database.

All modifications and additional control measures required in response to AIM Level 1 will be implemented within 14 days of identification of an AIM Level 1 exceedance. If doing so within 14 days is infeasible, documentation is entered into the MSGP CAR database as to why it is infeasible. Completion of the response must occur within 45 days.

Note: There is no provision in the 2021 MSGP for exceeding the 45-day time frame for response to AIM Level 1.

An additional four quarters of Benchmark monitoring will occur at the outfall where the parameter exceeded the benchmark threshold for AIM Level 1. This monitoring will begin no later than the next full quarter after all responses and deadlines required by AIM Level 1 have been completed. After four quarters of monitoring, the parameter will either return to baseline (see Section 6.3) if it does not exceed the same benchmark threshold or, another annual average exceeds the benchmark threshold causing the facility to move to AIM Level 2

# 6.3.2 AIM Level 2

When a second benchmark threshold exceedance occurs at an outfall, the PPT will review the SWPPP and implement additional pollution prevention/good housekeeping SCMs, (considering good engineering practices), beyond those implemented in response to AIM Level 1.

Additional control measures required in response to AIM Level 2 will be implemented within 14 days of identification of the AIM Level 2 exceedance. If it is feasible to implement a measure, but not within 14 days, facility personnel may take up to 45 days to implement the measure. In this case, documentation will be entered into the MSGP CAR database identifying why it was infeasible to implement the control measure within 14 days. EPA may grant an extension beyond 45 days, based on an appropriate demonstration by the operator.

An additional four quarters of benchmark monitoring will occur at the outfall where the parameter exceeded the benchmark threshold for AIM Level 2. This monitoring will begin no later than the next full quarter after all responses and deadlines required by AIM Level 2 have been completed. After four quarters of monitoring, the parameter will either return to baseline (see Section 6.3) if it does not exceed the same benchmark threshold or, the parameter continues to exceed the benchmark threshold causing the facility to move to AIM Level 3.

# 6.3.3 AIM Level 3

When a third benchmark threshold exceedance occurs at an outfall, facility personnel will install structural source controls (e.g., permanent controls such as permanent cover, berms, and secondary containment), and/or treatment controls (e.g., sand filters, hydrodynamic separators, oil-water separators, retention ponds, and infiltration structures). The controls, treatment technologies, or treatment train installed will be appropriate for the pollutant that triggered AIM Level 3, will be sufficient to bring the exceedance below the benchmark threshold and, will be more rigorous that the SCMs implemented under AIM Level 2. These controls will be installed for the outfall that exceeded the benchmark threshold and SIDPs, unless monitoring of the SIDPs demonstrates AIM Level 3 requirements are not triggered at those discharge points.

A schedule for installing the structural source and/or treatment stormwater control measures will be identified and documented in the MSGP CAR database within 14 days. Control measures in response to AIM Level 3 will be installed within 60 days unless it is not feasible to install them within 60 days. In this case, up to 90 days can be taken provided justification identifying why it is infeasible to install the measure within 60 days is documented in the MSGP CAR database. EPA may grant an extension beyond 90 days, based on an appropriate demonstration by the operator.

An additional four quarters of benchmark monitoring will occur at the outfall where the parameter exceeded the benchmark threshold for AIM Level 3. This monitoring will begin no later than the next full quarter after all responses and deadlines required by AIM Level 3 have been completed. After four quarters of monitoring, the parameter will either return to baseline (see Section 6.3) if it does not exceed the same benchmark threshold or, the facility will remain in AIM Level 3 and EPA may require the facility to apply for an individual permit.

# 6.3.4 AIM Exceptions

Any AIM Level exceedance may qualify for an exception from specific AIM requirements and continued benchmark monitoring after four quarters of monitoring, provided the requirements to demonstrate qualification of the exception are followed (see Parts 5.2.6.1 through 5.2.6.5 of the permit). These exceptions include the following for benchmark exceedances:

- 1. Solely attributable to natural background pollutant levels;
- 2. Due to run-on;
- 3. Due to an abnormal event;
- 4. Demonstrated to not result in an exceedance of facility-specific value using the national recommended water quality criteria in-lieu of the applicable MSGP benchmark threshold (for aluminum and copper benchmark parameters only); or
- 5. Demonstrated to not result in any exceedance of water quality standards.

**Note:** There are very specific and complicated documentation requirements and time frames that have to be met to qualify for any of these exceptions. Therefore, any demonstration to qualify for an exception will be coordinated through a representative of the EPC-CP Storm Water Permitting/Compliance Team.

## 6.4 Corrective Action and AIM Documentation

Upon discovery, conditions requiring corrective action are documented by the DEP or EPC-CP on a Routine Facility Inspection Form and/or entered into the CAR database. The action will be kept open in the database until the issue has been resolved. Documentation of maintenance and repairs of SCMs are kept in Attachments 9 and 10 of this SWPPP. Where corrective actions result in changes to procedures or controls documented in this SWPPP, modifications to the SWPPP are made accordingly within 14 calendar days of completing the corrective action(s). LANL procedure EPC-CP-QP-2109, *MSGP Corrective Actions* can be found in Attachment 17.

Any AIM Level triggering event will conform to the requirements and time frames provided in Sections 6.3 and 6.3.1 through 6.3.4.

# 7.0 ACRONYMS

AIM	Additional Implementation Measures
ВМР	Best Management Practice
CAR	Corrective Action Report
DEP	Deployed Environmental Professional
DESH	Deployed Environmental Safety and Health
DOE	Department of Energy
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement
ELG	Effluent Limitation Guidelines
EM-RESP	Emergency Management Response
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
EPC-CP	Environmental Protection and Compliance – Compliance Programs
FOD	Facility Operations Division
HAZMAT	Hazardous Materials (Response Group)
IF	Institutional Facilities
IPaC	Information for Planning and Consultation
LANL or the Laboratory	Los Alamos National Laboratory
LANS	Los Alamos National Security
LOG-CS	Logistics-Central Shops
MFSSSA	Metals Fabrication Shop Satellite Storage Area
MFS	Metal Fabrication Shop
MRF	Material Recycling Facility
MSGP or Permit	Multi-Sector General Permit
NMED	New Mexico Environment Department
NOI	Notice of Intent
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
PFS	Pipe Fitter's Shop
РРТ	Pollution Prevention Team
SCM	Stormwater Control Measure
SIPD	Substantially Identical Discharge Point
SWMU	Solid Waste Management Unit
SWPPP	Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan
URL	Uniform Resource Locator
UI	Utilities and Institutional Facilities
UIS	Utilities & Infrastructure Support

#### 8.0 SWPPP CERTIFICATION

#### STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shops Los Alamos National Laboratory

#### **CERTIFICATION STATEMENT**

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

JAMES O'GRADY

Signature (Affiliate) James Patrick O'Grady Operations Manager 4: IF-DO Digitally signed by JAMES O'GRADY (Affiliate) Date: 2025.01.23 14:36:25 -07'00'



# FIGURE A, GENERAL LOCATION MAP







FIGURE B-1, FACILITY SITE MAP METALS FABRICATION AND PIPE FITTER'S SHOPS




FIGIRE B-2, METALS FABRICATION SHOP SATELLITE STORAGE AREA



# FIGURE B-3, NEARBY RECEIVING WATERS




http://gis-arcserver-p/DSA\_Rev3/default.aspx




1/17/2024, 12:59:45 PM


Watercourse



# FIGURE B-4, ENDANGERED SPECIES MAP



ATTACHMENT 1: NOTICE OF INTENT, SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION, AND UPDATES



This collection of information is approved by OMB under the Paperwork Reduction Act, 44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq. (OMB Control No. 2040-0004). Responses to this collection of information are mandatory in accordance with this permit and EPA NPDES regulations (40 CFR 122.28(b)(2)). An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates and any suggested methods for minimizing respondent burden to the Regulatory Support Division Director, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2821T), 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20460. Include the OMB control number in any correspondence. Do not send the completed form to this address.

Permii Information

Master Permit Number: NMR050000

NPDES ID: NMR050013

Eligibility Information

State/territory where your facility is discharging: NM

Does your facility discharge to federally recognized Indian Country lands? No

Are you a "Federal Operator" as defined in Appendix A (https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2021-01/documents/2021\_msgp\_-\_appendix\_a\_-\_definitions.pdf)?

Yes

Which type of form would you like to submit? Notice of Intent (NOI)

By indicating "Yes" below, I confirm that I understand that the MSGP only authorizes the stormwater discharges in Part 1.1.2 and the allowable non-stormwater discharges listed in Part 1.2.2. Any discharges not expressly authorized in this permit cannot become authorized or shielded from liability under CWA section 402(k) by disclosure to EPA, state, or local authorities after issuance of this permit via any means, including the Notice of Intent (NOI) to be covered by the permit, the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP), during an inspection, etc. If any discharges requiring NPDES permit coverage other than the allowable stormwater and non-stormwater discharges listed in Parts 1.2.1. and 1.2.2. will be discharged, they must be covered under another NPDES permit.

Yes

Are you a new discharger or a new source as defined in Appendix A (https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2021-01/documents/2021\_msgp\_-\_appendix\_a\_-\_definitions.pdf)?

No

Have stormwater discharges from your facility been covered previously under an NPDES permit? Yes

- ➤ If yes, provide your most current NPDES ID (i.e., permit tracking number) if you had coverage under EPA's MSGP or the NPDES permit number if you had coverage under an EPA individual permit: NMR050013
- Are you discharging to any waters of the U.S. that are designated by the state or tribal authority under its antidegradation policy as a Tier 3 water (Outstanding National Resource water)? (See Appendix L (https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2021-01/documents/2021\_msgp\_-\_appendix\_I\_-\_list\_of\_tier\_3\_tier\_2\_and\_tier\_2.5\_waters.pdf))

No

Do you anticipate the discharge of groundwater or spring water from your facility? No

What is the legal name of the Operator as defined in Appendix A (https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2021-01/documents/2021\_msgp\_-\_appendix\_a\_-\_definitions.pdf)?

Triad National Security LLC

What is the name of your facility or activity as defined in Appendix A (https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2021-01/documents/2021\_msgp\_-\_appendix\_a\_-\_definitions.pdf)?

LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY

Operator Information	
Operator Information	
Operator Name: Triad National Security LLC	
Operator Mailing Address	
Address Line 1: PO Box 1663	
Address Line 2: MS K490	City: Los Alamos
ZIP/Postal Code: 87545	State: NM
County or Similar Division: Los Alamos	
Operator Point of Contact Information	
First Name Middle Initial Last Name: TERRILL LEMKE	
Title: Environmental Manager	
Phone: 5056652397 Ext.:	
Email: tlemke@lanl.gov	
NOI Preparer Information	
☑ This NOI is being prepared by someone other than the certi	fier.
First Name Middle Initial Last Name: Jacob Knight	
Organization: Triad National Security LLC	
Phone: 505-665-5880 Ext.:	
Email: jknight@lanl.gov	
Facility Information	
Facility Information	
Facility Name: LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY	
Facility Address	
Address Line 1: PO BOX 1663	
Address Line 2: MS K490	City: LOS ALAMOS
ZIP/Postal Code: 87545	State: NM
County or Similar Division: Los Alamos	
Latitude/Longitude for the Facility	
Latitude/Longitude: 35.872777°N, 106.321127°W	
Latitude/Longitude Data Source: GIS	Horizontal Reference Datum: WGS 84
General Facility Information	
What is the ownership type of the facility? Federal Facility (U.S	B. Government)
Estimated area of industrial activity at your facility exposed to	stormwater (rounded to the nearest quarter acre): $41$
Is your facility presently inactive and unstaffed? $\underline{No}$	
Exception for Inactive and Unstaffed Facilities: The requirement for benchmark monitoring does not apply at a facility that is inactive ar activities exposed to stormwater.	
If circumstances change during the permit term that affect your qua industrial materials or activities exposure to stormwater or your fac a NOI notifying EPA of the change in circumstances.	

Sector-Specific Information		
Primary Sector: P	Primary Subsector: P1	
Primary SIC Code: 4212		
Co-Located Sectors:		
Co-Located Sector: D	Co-Located Subsector: D1	Co-Located SIC Code: 2951
Co-Located Sector: N	Co-Located Subsector: <u>N2</u>	Co-Located SIC Code: 5093
Co-Located Sector: AA	Co-Located Subsector: AA1	Co-Located SIC Code: 3499
Co-Located Sector: A	Co-Located Subsector: A3	Co-Located SIC Code: 2411

✓If you are a Sector A facility, do you manufacture, use, or store creosote or creosote-treated wood in areas that are exposed to precipitation?

No

# **Discharge Information**

By indicating "Yes" below, I confirm that I understand that the MSGP only authorizes the stormwater discharges in Part 1.2.1 and the allowable non-stormwater discharges listed in Part 1.2.2. Any discharges not expressly authorized in this permit cannot become authorized or shielded from liability under CWA section 402(k) by disclosure to EPA, state, or local authorities after issuance of this permit via any means, including the Notice of Intent (NOI) to be covered by the permit, the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP), during an inspection, etc. If any discharges requiring NPDES permit coverage other than the authorized stormwater and non-stormwater discharges listed in Parts 1.2.1 and 1.2.2 will be discharged, they must be covered under another NPDES permit.

Yes

Other Discharge Information

Do you anticipate the discharge of groundwater or spring water from your facility? No

Does your facility discharge into a Municipal Separate Sewer System (MS4)? No

**Receiving Waters Information** 

List all of the stormwater discharge points from your facility.

Discharge Point 023: TA-60-1 Heavy Equipment Yard SIO to 022

**Applicable Sectors** 

Select the Sectors/Subsector(s) that apply to this discharge point.

	Sector	Subsector	SIC/Activity Code
	<b>D</b> - ASPHALT PAVING AND ROOFING MATERIALS AND LUBRICANTS	D1 - Asphalt Paving and Roofing Materials	2951
	N - SCRAP RECYCLING FACILITIES	N2 - Source-separated Recycling Facility	5093
¥	P - LAND TRANSPORTATION AND WAREHOUSING	<b>P1</b> - Railroad Transportation; Local and Highway Passenger Transportation; Motor Freight Transportation and Warehousing; United States Postal Service; Petroleum Bulk Stations and Terminals	4212
۲	<b>AA</b> - FABRICATED METAL PRODUCTS	<b>AA1</b> - Fabricated Metal Products, Except Machinery and Transportation Equipment, and Coating, Engraving, and Allied Services; Jewelry, Silverware, and Plated Ware	3499
	A - TIMBER PRODUCTS	A3 - Log Storage and Handling	2411

Federal Effluent Limitation Guidelines:

Identify the Effluent Limitation Guideline(s) that apply to your stormwater discharges.

There are no guidelines associated with the sector(s) selected in this discharge point.

Are you requesting permit coverage for any stormwater discharges subject to effluent limitation guidelines? No

Latitude/Longitude: 35.873193°N, 106.313116°W

☑ This discharge point is *Substantially Identical* to an existing discharge point.

Substantially Identical to Discharge Point ID: 022

Receiving Water

GNIS Name:

Waterbody Name: SANDIA CANYON (SIGMA CANYON TO NPDES OUTFALL 001)

Listed Water ID: NM-9000.A 047

Is this receiving water saltwater or freshwater? Freshwater

Is this receiving water designated by the state or tribal authority under its antidegradation policy as a Tier 2 (or Tier 2.5) water (water quality exceeds levels necessary to support propagation of fish, shellfish, and wildlife and recreation in and on the water)?

No

Will you have stormwater discharges from paved surfaces that will be initially sealed or re-sealed with coal-tar sealcoat where industrial activities are located during coverage under this permit?

No

# Benchmark Monitoring

Are you subject to benchmark monitoring requirements for a hardness-dependent metal? Yes

❤What is the hardness of your receiving water(s)? 61

(mg/L)

# Impaired Waters Monitoring

NOTE: The information automatically populated in this section may be outdated and inaccurate (i.e. determining if the receiving water is listed as impaired on the 303(d) list, the cause(s) of the impairment if impaired, the pollutant(s)). It is recommended that you consult with your state's guidance for discharges into impaired waters to determine whether the receiving water is listed as impaired and, if so, the correct causes for the impairment and pollutant(s), and update the information accordingly.

# Is the receiving water listed as impaired on the 303(d) list? Yes

Cause of Impairment Group	Pollutant	Units	Monitoring Required?	TMDL Completed?
METALS (OTHER THAN MERCURY)	Aluminum, total recoverable	Micrograms per Liter	Yes	No
POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS (PCBS)	Polychlorinated biphenyls [PCBs]	Milligrams per Liter	Yes	No
METALS (OTHER THAN MERCURY)	Copper, dissolved [as Cu]	Micrograms per Liter	No	No

Discharge Point 024: TA-60-1 Heavy Equipment Yard SIO to 022

**Applicable Sectors** 

Select the Sectors/Subsector(s) that apply to this discharge point.

Sector	Subsector	SIC/Activity Code
<b>D</b> - ASPHALT PAVING AND ROOFING MATERIALS AND LUBRICANTS	D1 - Asphalt Paving and Roofing Materials	2951
N - SCRAP RECYCLING FACILITIES	N2 - Source-separated Recycling Facility	5093

	Sector	Subsector	SIC/Activity Code
8	<b>P</b> - LAND TRANSPORTATION AND WAREHOUSING	<b>P1</b> - Railroad Transportation; Local and Highway Passenger Transportation; Motor Freight Transportation and Warehousing; United States Postal Service; Petroleum Bulk Stations and Terminals	4212
2	<b>AA</b> - FABRICATED METAL PRODUCTS	<b>AA1</b> - Fabricated Metal Products, Except Machinery and Transportation Equipment, and Coating, Engraving, and Allied Services; Jewelry, Silverware, and Plated Ware	3499
	A - TIMBER PRODUCTS	A3 - Log Storage and Handling	2411

Federal Effluent Limitation Guidelines:

Identify the Effluent Limitation Guideline(s) that apply to your stormwater discharges.

There are no guidelines associated with the sector(s) selected in this discharge point.

Are you requesting permit coverage for any stormwater discharges subject to effluent limitation guidelines? No

Latitude/Longitude: 35.873046°N, 106.315069°W

This discharge point is Substantially Identical to an existing discharge point.

Substantially Identical to Discharge Point ID: 022

Receiving Water

GNIS Name:

Waterbody Name:	Listed Water ID:
SANDIA CANYON (SIGMA CANYON TO	NM-9000.A_047
NPDES OUTFALL 001)	

Is this receiving water saltwater or freshwater? Freshwater

Is this receiving water designated by the state or tribal authority under its antidegradation policy as a Tier 2 (or Tier 2.5) water (water quality exceeds levels necessary to support propagation of fish, shellfish, and wildlife and recreation in and on the water)?

No

Will you have stormwater discharges from paved surfaces that will be initially sealed or re-sealed with coal-tar sealcoat where industrial activities are located during coverage under this permit? No

# Benchmark Monitoring

Are you subject to benchmark monitoring requirements for a hardness-dependent metal? Yes

➡What is the hardness of your receiving water(s)? 61

(mg/L)

# Impaired Waters Monitoring

NOTE: The information automatically populated in this section may be outdated and inaccurate (i.e. determining if the receiving water is listed as impaired on the 303(d) list, the cause(s) of the impairment if impaired, the pollutant(s)). It is recommended that you consult with your state's guidance for discharges into impaired waters to determine whether the receiving water is listed as impaired and, if so, the correct causes for the impairment and pollutant(s), and update the information accordingly.

### Is the receiving water listed as impaired on the 303(d) list? Yes

Cause of Impairment Group	Pollutant	Units	Monitoring Required?	TMDL Completed?
POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS (PCBS)	Polychlorinated biphenyls [PCBs]	Milligrams per Liter	Yes	No
METALS (OTHER THAN MERCURY)	Aluminum, total recoverable	Micrograms per Liter	Yes	No
METALS (OTHER THAN MERCURY)	Copper, dissolved [as Cu]	Micrograms per Liter	No	No

#### Discharge Point 032: TA-60 Roads and Grounds

#### **Applicable Sectors**

#### Select the Sectors/Subsector(s) that apply to this discharge point.

	Sector	Subsector	SIC/Activity Code
	D - ASPHALT PAVING AND ROOFING MATERIALS AND LUBRICANTS	D1 - Asphalt Paving and Roofing Materials	2951
	N - SCRAP RECYCLING FACILITIES	N2 - Source-separated Recycling Facility	5093
•	P - LAND TRANSPORTATION AND WAREHOUSING	<b>P1</b> - Railroad Transportation; Local and Highway Passenger Transportation; Motor Freight Transportation and Warehousing; United States Postal Service; Petroleum Bulk Stations and Terminals	4212
	AA - FABRICATED METAL PRODUCTS	<b>AA1</b> - Fabricated Metal Products, Except Machinery and Transportation Equipment, and Coating, Engraving, and Allied Services; Jewelry, Silverware, and Plated Ware	3499
	A - TIMBER PRODUCTS	A3 - Log Storage and Handling	2411

Federal Effluent Limitation Guidelines:

# Identify the Effluent Limitation Guideline(s) that apply to your stormwater discharges.

There are no guidelines associated with the sector(s) selected in this discharge point.

Are you requesting permit coverage for any stormwater discharges subject to effluent limitation guidelines? No

Latitude/Longitude: 35.870741°N, 106.306812°W

□ This discharge point is Substantially Identical to an existing discharge point.

**Receiving Water** 

**GNIS Name:** 

Waterbody Name:Listed Water ID:SANDIA CANYON (SIGMA CANYON TONM-9000.A\_047NPDES OUTFALL 001)NM-9000.A\_047

Is this receiving water saltwater or freshwater? Freshwater

Is this receiving water designated by the state or tribal authority under its antidegradation policy as a Tier 2 (or Tier 2.5) water (water quality exceeds levels necessary to support propagation of fish, shellfish, and wildlife and recreation in and on the water)?

No

Will you have stormwater discharges from paved surfaces that will be initially sealed or re-sealed with coal-tar sealcoat where industrial activities are located during coverage under this permit?

No

**Benchmark Monitoring** 

Are you subject to benchmark monitoring requirements for a hardness-dependent metal? No

# Impaired Waters Monitoring

NOTE: The information automatically populated in this section may be outdated and inaccurate (i.e. determining if the receiving water is listed as impaired on the 303(d) list, the cause(s) of the impairment if impaired, the pollutant(s)). It is recommended that you consult with your state's guidance for discharges into impaired waters to determine whether the receiving water is listed as impaired and, if so, the correct causes for the impairment and pollutant(s), and update the information accordingly.

Is the receiving water listed as impaired on the 303(d) list? Yes

Cause of Impairment Group	Pollutant	Units	Monitoring Required?	TMDL Completed?
POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS (PCBS)	Polychlorinated biphenyls [PCBs]	Milligrams per Liter	Yes	No
METALS (OTHER THAN MERCURY)	Aluminum, total recoverable	Micrograms per Liter	Yes	No
METALS (OTHER THAN MERCURY)	Copper, dissolved [as Cu]	Micrograms per Liter	No	No

Discharge Point 034: TA-60 Roads and Grounds SIO to 032

Applicable Sectors

Select the Sectors/Subsector(s) that apply to this discharge point.

Sector	Subsector	SIC/Activity Code
<b>D</b> - ASPHALT PAVING AND ROOFING MATERIALS AND LUBRICANTS	D1 - Asphalt Paving and Roofing Materials	2951
N - SCRAP RECYCLING FACILITIES	N2 - Source-separated Recycling Facility	5093
P - LAND TRANSPORTATION AND WAREHOUSING	<b>P1</b> - Railroad Transportation; Local and Highway Passenger Transportation; Motor Freight Transportation and Warehousing; United States Postal Service; Petroleum Bulk Stations and Terminals	4212
<b>AA</b> - FABRICATED METAL PRODUCTS	<b>AA1</b> - Fabricated Metal Products, Except Machinery and Transportation Equipment, and Coating, Engraving, and Allied Services; Jewelry, Silverware, and Plated Ware	3499
A - TIMBER PRODUCTS	A3 - Log Storage and Handling	2411

Federal Effluent Limitation Guidelines:

Identify the Effluent Limitation Guideline(s) that apply to your stormwater discharges.

There are no guidelines associated with the sector(s) selected in this discharge point.

Are you requesting permit coverage for any stormwater discharges subject to effluent limitation guidelines? No

Latitude/Longitude: 35.870603°N, 106.306055°W

☑ This discharge point is *Substantially Identical* to an existing discharge point.

Substantially Identical to Discharge Point ID: 032

Receiving Water

GNIS Name:

Waterbody Name:Listed Water ID:SANDIA CANYON (SIGMA CANYON TONM-9000.A\_047NPDES OUTFALL 001)NM-9000.A\_047

Is this receiving water saltwater or freshwater? Freshwater

Is this receiving water designated by the state or tribal authority under its antidegradation policy as a Tier 2 (or Tier 2.5) water (water quality exceeds levels necessary to support propagation of fish, shellfish, and wildlife and recreation in and on the water)?

No

Will you have stormwater discharges from paved surfaces that will be initially sealed or re-sealed with coal-tar sealcoat where industrial activities are located during coverage under this permit?

No

Benchmark Monitoring

# Impaired Waters Monitoring

NOTE: The information automatically populated in this section may be outdated and inaccurate (i.e. determining if the receiving water is listed as impaired on the 303(d) list, the cause(s) of the impairment if impaired, the pollutant(s)). It is recommended that you consult with your state's guidance for discharges into impaired waters to determine whether the receiving water is listed as impaired and, if so, the correct causes for the impairment and pollutant(s), and update the information accordingly.

# Is the receiving water listed as impaired on the 303(d) list? Yes

Cause of Impairment Group	Pollutant	Units	Monitoring Required?	TMDL Completed?
POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS (PCBS)	Polychlorinated biphenyls [PCBs]	Milligrams per Liter	Yes	No
METALS (OTHER THAN MERCURY)	Aluminum, total recoverable	Micrograms per Liter	Yes	No
METALS (OTHER THAN MERCURY)	Copper, dissolved [as Cu]	Micrograms per Liter	No	No

#### Discharge Point 028: TA-60-2 Warehouse SIO to 026

#### Applicable Sectors

# Select the Sectors/Subsector(s) that apply to this discharge point.

	Sector	Subsector	SIC/Activity Code
	<b>D</b> - ASPHALT PAVING AND ROOFING MATERIALS AND LUBRICANTS	D1 - Asphalt Paving and Roofing Materials	2951
	N - SCRAP RECYCLING FACILITIES	N2 - Source-separated Recycling Facility	5093
¥	P - LAND TRANSPORTATION AND WAREHOUSING	<b>P1</b> - Railroad Transportation; Local and Highway Passenger Transportation; Motor Freight Transportation and Warehousing; United States Postal Service; Petroleum Bulk Stations and Terminals	4212
0	AA - FABRICATED METAL PRODUCTS	<b>AA1</b> - Fabricated Metal Products, Except Machinery and Transportation Equipment, and Coating, Engraving, and Allied Services; Jewelry, Silverware, and Plated Ware	3499
	A - TIMBER PRODUCTS	A3 - Log Storage and Handling	2411

Federal Effluent Limitation Guidelines:

Identify the Effluent Limitation Guideline(s) that apply to your stormwater discharges.

There are no guidelines associated with the sector(s) selected in this discharge point.

Are you requesting permit coverage for any stormwater discharges subject to effluent limitation guidelines? No

Latitude/Longitude: 35.872505°N, 106.313542°W

This discharge point is Substantially Identical to an existing discharge point.

Substantially Identical to Discharge Point ID: 026

Receiving Water

 GNIS Name:
 Waterbody Name:
 Listed Water ID:

 SANDIA CANYON (SIGMA CANYON TO
 NM-9000.A\_047

 NPDES OUTFALL 001)
 NM-9000.A\_047

Is this receiving water saltwater or freshwater? Freshwater

Is this receiving water designated by the state or tribal authority under its antidegradation policy as a Tier 2 (or Tier 2.5) water (water quality exceeds levels necessary to support propagation of fish, shellfish, and wildlife and recreation in and on the water)?

No

Will you have stormwater discharges from paved surfaces that will be initially sealed or re-sealed with coal-tar sealcoat where industrial activities are located during coverage under this permit?

No

# **Benchmark Monitoring**

Are you subject to benchmark monitoring requirements for a hardness-dependent metal? No

# Impaired Waters Monitoring

NOTE: The information automatically populated in this section may be outdated and inaccurate (i.e. determining if the receiving water is listed as impaired on the 303(d) list, the cause(s) of the impairment if impaired, the pollutant(s)). It is recommended that you consult with your state's guidance for discharges into impaired waters to determine whether the receiving water is listed as impaired and, if so, the correct causes for the impairment and pollutant(s), and update the information accordingly.

# Is the receiving water listed as impaired on the 303(d) list? $\underline{\rm Yes}$

Cause of Impairment Group	Pollutant	Units	Monitoring Required?	TMDL Completed?
POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS (PCBS)	Polychlorinated biphenyls [PCBs]	Milligrams per Liter	Yes	No
METALS (OTHER THAN MERCURY)	Aluminum, total recoverable	Micrograms per Liter	Yes	No
METALS (OTHER THAN MERCURY)	Copper, dissolved [as Cu]	Micrograms per Liter	No	No

#### Discharge Point 035: TA-60 Roads and Grounds SIO to 032

# Applicable Sectors

Select the Sectors/Subsector(s) that apply to this discharge point.

	Sector	Subsector	SIC/Activity Code
	D - ASPHALT PAVING AND ROOFING MATERIALS AND LUBRICANTS	D1 - Asphalt Paving and Roofing Materials	2951
	N - SCRAP RECYCLING FACILITIES	N2 - Source-separated Recycling Facility	5093
2	P - LAND TRANSPORTATION AND WAREHOUSING	<b>P1</b> - Railroad Transportation; Local and Highway Passenger Transportation; Motor Freight Transportation and Warehousing; United States Postal Service; Petroleum Bulk Stations and Terminals	4212
	<b>AA</b> - FABRICATED METAL PRODUCTS	<b>AA1</b> - Fabricated Metal Products, Except Machinery and Transportation Equipment, and Coating, Engraving, and Allied Services; Jewelry, Silverware, and Plated Ware	3499
	A - TIMBER PRODUCTS	A3 - Log Storage and Handling	2411

Federal Effluent Limitation Guidelines:

Identify the Effluent Limitation Guideline(s) that apply to your stormwater discharges.

There are no guidelines associated with the sector(s) selected in this discharge point.

# Are you requesting permit coverage for any stormwater discharges subject to effluent limitation guidelines? No

Latitude/Longitude: 35.870474°N, 106.305432°W

This discharge point is Substantially Identical to an existing discharge point.

Substantially Identical to Discharge Point ID: 032

# Receiving Water

GNIS Name:

Waterbody Name:ListerSANDIA CANYON (SIGMA CANYON TONM-9NPDES OUTFALL 001)NM-9

Listed Water ID: NM-9000.A 047

Is this receiving water saltwater or freshwater? Freshwater

Is this receiving water designated by the state or tribal authority under its antidegradation policy as a Tier 2 (or Tier 2.5) water (water quality exceeds levels necessary to support propagation of fish, shellfish, and wildlife and recreation in and on the water)?

No

Will you have stormwater discharges from paved surfaces that will be initially sealed or re-sealed with coal-tar sealcoat where industrial activities are located during coverage under this permit?

No

# Benchmark Monitoring

Are you subject to benchmark monitoring requirements for a hardness-dependent metal? No

# Impaired Waters Monitoring

NOTE: The information automatically populated in this section may be outdated and inaccurate (i.e. determining if the receiving water is listed as impaired on the 303(d) list, the cause(s) of the impairment if impaired, the pollutant(s)). It is recommended that you consult with your state's guidance for discharges into impaired waters to determine whether the receiving water is listed as impaired and, if so, the correct causes for the impairment and pollutant(s), and update the information accordingly.

# Is the receiving water listed as impaired on the 303(d) list? Yes

Cause of Impairment Group	Pollutant	Units	Monitoring Required?	TMDL Completed?
POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS (PCBS)	Polychlorinated biphenyls [PCBs]	Milligrams per Liter	Yes	No
METALS (OTHER THAN MERCURY)	Aluminum, total recoverable	Micrograms per Liter	Yes	No
METALS (OTHER THAN MERCURY)	Copper, dissolved [as Cu]	Micrograms per Liter	No	No

#### Discharge Point 043: TA-60 Asphalt Batch Plant

Applicable Sectors

Select the Sectors/Subsector(s) that apply to this discharge point.

	Sector	Subsector	SIC/Activity Code
¥	D - ASPHALT PAVING AND ROOFING MATERIALS AND LUBRICANTS	D1 - Asphalt Paving and Roofing Materials	2951
	N - SCRAP RECYCLING FACILITIES	N2 - Source-separated Recycling Facility	5093
	P - LAND TRANSPORTATION AND WAREHOUSING	<b>P1</b> - Railroad Transportation; Local and Highway Passenger Transportation; Motor Freight Transportation and Warehousing; United States Postal Service; Petroleum Bulk Stations and Terminals	4212
	<b>AA</b> - FABRICATED METAL PRODUCTS	<b>AA1</b> - Fabricated Metal Products, Except Machinery and Transportation Equipment, and Coating, Engraving, and Allied Services; Jewelry, Silverware, and Plated Ware	3499

	Sector	Subsector	SIC/Activity Code
(	A - TIMBER PRODUCTS	A3 - Log Storage and Handling	2411

Federal Effluent Limitation Guidelines:

	<b>-</b> • • • • • • • •		
Identify the Effluent Limitation	Guideline(s) that app	oly to your stormwater discharges	

40 CFR Part/Subpart	Eligible Discharges	Affected MSGP Sector	New Source Date	Applicability
Part 443, Subpart A	Runoff from asphalt emulsion facilities	D	07/28/1975	Does your discharge point have any discharges subject to this effluent limitation guideline? Yes

Are you requesting permit coverage for any stormwater discharges subject to effluent limitation guidelines? Yes

Latitude/Longitude: 35.866084°N, 106.290165°W

□ This discharge point is *Substantially Identical* to an existing discharge point.

Receiving Water

**GNIS Name:** 

Waterbody Name: MORTANDAD CANYON (WITHIN LANL)

Listed Water ID: NM-9000.A\_042

Is this receiving water saltwater or freshwater? Freshwater

Is this receiving water designated by the state or tribal authority under its antidegradation policy as a Tier 2 (or Tier 2.5) water (water quality exceeds levels necessary to support propagation of fish, shellfish, and wildlife and recreation in and on the water)?

No

Will you have stormwater discharges from paved surfaces that will be initially sealed or re-sealed with coal-tar sealcoat where industrial activities are located during coverage under this permit?

No

# Benchmark Monitoring

Are you subject to benchmark monitoring requirements for a hardness-dependent metal? No

# Impaired Waters Monitoring

NOTE: The information automatically populated in this section may be outdated and inaccurate (i.e. determining if the receiving water is listed as impaired on the 303(d) list, the cause(s) of the impairment if impaired, the pollutant(s)). It is recommended that you consult with your state's guidance for discharges into impaired waters to determine whether the receiving water is listed as impaired and, if so, the correct causes for the impairment and pollutant(s), and update the information accordingly.

# Is the receiving water listed as impaired on the 303(d) list? Yes

Cause of Impairment Group	Pollutant	Units	Monitoring Required?	TMDL Completed?
POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS (PCBS)	Polychlorinated biphenyls [PCBs]	Milligrams per Liter	Yes	No
MERCURY	Mercury, total [as Hg]	Milligrams per Liter	No	No
METALS (OTHER THAN MERCURY)	Copper, dissolved [as Cu]	Micrograms per Liter	Yes	No
RADIATION	Alpha, gross adjusted	Picocuries per Liter	Yes	No

Discharge Point 031: TA-60 Roads and Grounds

# Applicable Sectors

Select the Sectors/Subsector(s) that apply to this discharge point.

	Sector	Subsector	SIC/Activity Code
	D - ASPHALT PAVING AND ROOFING MATERIALS AND LUBRICANTS	D1 - Asphalt Paving and Roofing Materials	2951
	N - SCRAP RECYCLING FACILITIES	N2 - Source-separated Recycling Facility	5093
•	P - LAND TRANSPORTATION AND WAREHOUSING	<b>P1</b> - Railroad Transportation; Local and Highway Passenger Transportation; Motor Freight Transportation and Warehousing; United States Postal Service; Petroleum Bulk Stations and Terminals	4212
	AA - FABRICATED METAL PRODUCTS	<b>AA1</b> - Fabricated Metal Products, Except Machinery and Transportation Equipment, and Coating, Engraving, and Allied Services; Jewelry, Silverware, and Plated Ware	3499
	A - TIMBER PRODUCTS	A3 - Log Storage and Handling	2411

Federal Effluent Limitation Guidelines:

## Identify the Effluent Limitation Guideline(s) that apply to your stormwater discharges.

There are no guidelines associated with the sector(s) selected in this discharge point.

Are you requesting permit coverage for any stormwater discharges subject to effluent limitation guidelines? No

Latitude/Longitude: 35.869227°N, 106.305685°W

□ This discharge point is *Substantially Identical* to an existing discharge point.

Receiving Water

<b>GNIS Name:</b>	
-------------------	--

Waterbody Name: MORTANDAD CANYON (WITHIN LANL) Listed Water ID: NM-9000.A 042

Is this receiving water saltwater or freshwater? Freshwater

Is this receiving water designated by the state or tribal authority under its antidegradation policy as a Tier 2 (or Tier 2.5) water (water quality exceeds levels necessary to support propagation of fish, shellfish, and wildlife and recreation in and on the water)?

No

Will you have stormwater discharges from paved surfaces that will be initially sealed or re-sealed with coal-tar sealcoat where industrial activities are located during coverage under this permit? No

NO

Benchmark Monitoring

Are you subject to benchmark monitoring requirements for a hardness-dependent metal? No

# Impaired Waters Monitoring

NOTE: The information automatically populated in this section may be outdated and inaccurate (i.e. determining if the receiving water is listed as impaired on the 303(d) list, the cause(s) of the impairment if impaired, the pollutant(s)). It is recommended that you consult with your state's guidance for discharges into impaired waters to determine whether the receiving water is listed as impaired and, if so, the correct causes for the impairment and pollutant(s), and update the information accordingly.

# Is the receiving water listed as impaired on the 303(d) list? Yes

Cause of Impairment Group	Pollutant	Units	Monitoring Required?	TMDL Completed?
POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS (PCBS)	Polychlorinated biphenyls [PCBs]	Milligrams per Liter	Yes	No
MERCURY	Mercury, total [as Hg]	Milligrams per Liter	No	No

Cause of Impairment Group	Pollutant	Units	Monitoring Required?	TMDL Completed?
METALS (OTHER THAN MERCURY)	Copper, dissolved [as Cu]	Micrograms per Liter	Yes	No
RADIATION	Alpha, gross adjusted	Picocuries per Liter	Yes	No

Discharge Point 033: TA-60 Roads and Grounds SIO to 032

Applicable Sectors

Select the Sectors/Subsector(s) that apply to this discharge point.

	Sector	Subsector	SIC/Activity Code
	<b>D</b> - ASPHALT PAVING AND ROOFING MATERIALS AND LUBRICANTS	D1 - Asphalt Paving and Roofing Materials	2951
	N - SCRAP RECYCLING FACILITIES	N2 - Source-separated Recycling Facility	5093
¥	P - LAND TRANSPORTATION AND WAREHOUSING	<b>P1</b> - Railroad Transportation; Local and Highway Passenger Transportation; Motor Freight Transportation and Warehousing; United States Postal Service; Petroleum Bulk Stations and Terminals	4212
	<b>AA</b> - FABRICATED METAL PRODUCTS	<b>AA1</b> - Fabricated Metal Products, Except Machinery and Transportation Equipment, and Coating, Engraving, and Allied Services; Jewelry, Silverware, and Plated Ware	3499
	A - TIMBER PRODUCTS	A3 - Log Storage and Handling	2411

Federal Effluent Limitation Guidelines:

Identify the Effluent Limitation Guideline(s) that apply to your stormwater discharges.

There are no guidelines associated with the sector(s) selected in this discharge point.

Are you requesting permit coverage for any stormwater discharges subject to effluent limitation guidelines? No

Latitude/Longitude: 35.870712°N, 106.306443°W

☑ This discharge point is Substantially Identical to an existing discharge point.

Substantially Identical to Discharge Point ID: 032

Receiving Water

GNIS Name:

Waterbody Name:Listed Water ID:SANDIA CANYON (SIGMA CANYON TONM-9000.A\_047NPDES OUTFALL 001)NM-9000.A\_047

Is this receiving water saltwater or freshwater? Freshwater

Is this receiving water designated by the state or tribal authority under its antidegradation policy as a Tier 2 (or Tier 2.5) water (water quality exceeds levels necessary to support propagation of fish, shellfish, and wildlife and recreation in and on the water)?

No

Will you have stormwater discharges from paved surfaces that will be initially sealed or re-sealed with coal-tar sealcoat where industrial activities are located during coverage under this permit? No

**Benchmark Monitoring** 

Are you subject to benchmark monitoring requirements for a hardness-dependent metal? No

# Impaired Waters Monitoring

NOTE: The information automatically populated in this section may be outdated and inaccurate (i.e. determining if the receiving water is listed as impaired on the 303(d) list, the cause(s) of the impairment if impaired, the pollutant(s)). It is recommended that you consult with your state's guidance for discharges into impaired waters to determine whether the receiving water is listed as impaired and, if so, the correct causes for the impairment and pollutant(s), and update the information accordingly.

# Is the receiving water listed as impaired on the 303(d) list? Yes

Cause of Impairment Group	Pollutant	Units	Monitoring Required?	TMDL Completed?
POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS (PCBS)	Polychlorinated biphenyls [PCBs]	Milligrams per Liter	Yes	No
METALS (OTHER THAN MERCURY)	Aluminum, total recoverable	Micrograms per Liter	Yes	No
METALS (OTHER THAN MERCURY)	Copper, dissolved [as Cu]	Micrograms per Liter	No	No

### Discharge Point 027: TA-60-2 Warehouse SIO to 026

# **Applicable Sectors**

Select the Sectors/Subsector(s) that apply to this discharge point.

	Sector	Subsector	SIC/Activity Code
	<b>D</b> - ASPHALT PAVING AND ROOFING MATERIALS AND LUBRICANTS	<b>D1</b> - Asphalt Paving and Roofing Materials	2951
	N - SCRAP RECYCLING FACILITIES	N2 - Source-separated Recycling Facility	5093
¥	P - LAND TRANSPORTATION AND WAREHOUSING	<b>P1</b> - Railroad Transportation; Local and Highway Passenger Transportation; Motor Freight Transportation and Warehousing; United States Postal Service; Petroleum Bulk Stations and Terminals	4212
	AA - FABRICATED METAL PRODUCTS	<b>AA1</b> - Fabricated Metal Products, Except Machinery and Transportation Equipment, and Coating, Engraving, and Allied Services; Jewelry, Silverware, and Plated Ware	3499
	A - TIMBER PRODUCTS	A3 - Log Storage and Handling	2411

Federal Effluent Limitation Guidelines:

# Identify the Effluent Limitation Guideline(s) that apply to your stormwater discharges.

There are no guidelines associated with the sector(s) selected in this discharge point.

Are you requesting permit coverage for any stormwater discharges subject to effluent limitation guidelines? No

Latitude/Longitude: 35.872401°N, 106.313391°W

☑ This discharge point is Substantially Identical to an existing discharge point.

Substantially Identical to Discharge Point ID: 026

Receiving Water

GNIS Name:

Waterbody Name:LisSANDIA CANYON (SIGMA CANYON TONINPDES OUTFALL 001)NI

Listed Water ID: NM-9000.A\_047

Is this receiving water saltwater or freshwater? Freshwater

Is this receiving water designated by the state or tribal authority under its antidegradation policy as a Tier 2 (or Tier 2.5) water (water quality exceeds levels necessary to support propagation of fish, shellfish, and wildlife and recreation in and on the water)?

No

Will you have stormwater discharges from paved surfaces that will be initially sealed or re-sealed with coal-tar sealcoat where industrial activities are located during coverage under this permit?

No

# **Benchmark Monitoring**

# Are you subject to benchmark monitoring requirements for a hardness-dependent metal? No

# Impaired Waters Monitoring

NOTE: The information automatically populated in this section may be outdated and inaccurate (i.e. determining if the receiving water is listed as impaired on the 303(d) list, the cause(s) of the impairment if impaired, the pollutant(s)). It is recommended that you consult with your state's guidance for discharges into impaired waters to determine whether the receiving water is listed as impaired and, if so, the correct causes for the impairment and pollutant(s), and update the information accordingly.

# Is the receiving water listed as impaired on the 303(d) list? Yes

Cause of Impairment Group	Pollutant	Units	Monitoring Required?	TMDL Completed?
POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS (PCBS)	Polychlorinated biphenyls [PCBs]	Milligrams per Liter	Yes	No
METALS (OTHER THAN MERCURY)	Aluminum, total recoverable	Micrograms per Liter	Yes	No
METALS (OTHER THAN MERCURY)	Copper, dissolved [as Cu]	Micrograms per Liter	No	No

#### Discharge Point 030: TA-60 Roads and Grounds SIO to 031

#### **Applicable Sectors**

Select the Sectors/Subsector(s) that apply to this discharge point.

	Sector	Subsector	SIC/Activity Code
	<b>D</b> - ASPHALT PAVING AND ROOFING MATERIALS AND LUBRICANTS	D1 - Asphalt Paving and Roofing Materials	2951
	N - SCRAP RECYCLING FACILITIES	N2 - Source-separated Recycling Facility	5093
Ø	P - LAND TRANSPORTATION AND WAREHOUSING	<b>P1</b> - Railroad Transportation; Local and Highway Passenger Transportation; Motor Freight Transportation and Warehousing; United States Postal Service; Petroleum Bulk Stations and Terminals	4212
	AA - FABRICATED METAL PRODUCTS	<b>AA1</b> - Fabricated Metal Products, Except Machinery and Transportation Equipment, and Coating, Engraving, and Allied Services; Jewelry, Silverware, and Plated Ware	3499
	A - TIMBER PRODUCTS	A3 - Log Storage and Handling	2411

Federal Effluent Limitation Guidelines:

# Identify the Effluent Limitation Guideline(s) that apply to your stormwater discharges.

There are no guidelines associated with the sector(s) selected in this discharge point.

# Are you requesting permit coverage for any stormwater discharges subject to effluent limitation guidelines? No

Latitude/Longitude: 35.869325°N, 106.306926°W

☑ This discharge point is Substantially Identical to an existing discharge point.

Substantially Identical to Discharge Point ID: 031

Receiving Water

Is this receiving water saltwater or freshwater? Freshwater

Is this receiving water designated by the state or tribal authority under its antidegradation policy as a Tier 2 (or Tier 2.5) water (water quality exceeds levels necessary to support propagation of fish, shellfish, and wildlife and recreation in and on the water)?

No

Will you have stormwater discharges from paved surfaces that will be initially sealed or re-sealed with coal-tar sealcoat where industrial activities are located during coverage under this permit?

No

# **Benchmark Monitoring**

# Are you subject to benchmark monitoring requirements for a hardness-dependent metal? No

# Impaired Waters Monitoring

NOTE: The information automatically populated in this section may be outdated and inaccurate (i.e. determining if the receiving water is listed as impaired on the 303(d) list, the cause(s) of the impairment if impaired, the pollutant(s)). It is recommended that you consult with your state's guidance for discharges into impaired waters to determine whether the receiving water is listed as impaired and, if so, the correct causes for the impairment and pollutant(s), and update the information accordingly.

# Is the receiving water listed as impaired on the 303(d) list? $\underline{Yes}$

Cause of Impairment Group	Pollutant	Units	Monitoring Required?	TMDL Completed?
POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS (PCBS)	Polychlorinated biphenyls [PCBs]	Milligrams per Liter	Yes	No
MERCURY	Mercury, total [as Hg]	Milligrams per Liter	No	No
METALS (OTHER THAN MERCURY)	Copper, dissolved [as Cu]	Micrograms per Liter	Yes	No
RADIATION	Alpha, gross adjusted	Picocuries per Liter	Yes	No

#### Discharge Point 029: TA-60 Metals Recycling Facility

#### Applicable Sectors

Select the Sectors/Subsector(s) that apply to this discharge point.

	Sector	Subsector	SIC/Activity Code
	<b>D</b> - ASPHALT PAVING AND ROOFING MATERIALS AND LUBRICANTS	D1 - Asphalt Paving and Roofing Materials	2951
☑	N - SCRAP RECYCLING FACILITIES	N2 - Source-separated Recycling Facility	5093
	P - LAND TRANSPORTATION AND WAREHOUSING	<b>P1</b> - Railroad Transportation; Local and Highway Passenger Transportation; Motor Freight Transportation and Warehousing; United States Postal Service; Petroleum Bulk Stations and Terminals	4212
	<b>AA</b> - FABRICATED METAL PRODUCTS	<b>AA1</b> - Fabricated Metal Products, Except Machinery and Transportation Equipment, and Coating, Engraving, and Allied Services; Jewelry, Silverware, and Plated Ware	3499
	A - TIMBER PRODUCTS	A3 - Log Storage and Handling	2411

Federal Effluent Limitation Guidelines:

# Identify the Effluent Limitation Guideline(s) that apply to your stormwater discharges.

There are no guidelines associated with the sector(s) selected in this discharge point.

Are you requesting permit coverage for any stormwater discharges subject to effluent limitation guidelines? No

Latitude/Longitude: 35.873969°N, 106.313281°W

□ This discharge point is *Substantially Identical* to an existing discharge point.

Receiving Water

GNIS Name:

 Waterbody Name:
 Listed Water ID:

 SANDIA CANYON (SIGMA CANYON TO
 NM-9000.A\_047

 NPDES OUTFALL 001)
 NM-9000.A\_047

Is this receiving water saltwater or freshwater? Freshwater

Is this receiving water designated by the state or tribal authority under its antidegradation policy as a Tier 2 (or Tier 2.5) water (water quality exceeds levels necessary to support propagation of fish, shellfish, and wildlife and recreation in and on the water)?

No

Will you have stormwater discharges from paved surfaces that will be initially sealed or re-sealed with coal-tar sealcoat where industrial activities are located during coverage under this permit?

No

# **Benchmark Monitoring**

Are you subject to benchmark monitoring requirements for a hardness-dependent metal? No

# Impaired Waters Monitoring

NOTE: The information automatically populated in this section may be outdated and inaccurate (i.e. determining if the receiving water is listed as impaired on the 303(d) list, the cause(s) of the impairment if impaired, the pollutant(s)). It is recommended that you consult with your state's guidance for discharges into impaired waters to determine whether the receiving water is listed as impaired and, if so, the correct causes for the impairment and pollutant(s), and update the information accordingly.

# Is the receiving water listed as impaired on the 303(d) list? Yes

Cause of Impairment Group	Pollutant	Units	Monitoring Required?	TMDL Completed?
POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS (PCBS)	Polychlorinated biphenyls [PCBs]	Milligrams per Liter	Yes	No
METALS (OTHER THAN MERCURY)	Aluminum, total recoverable	Micrograms per Liter	Yes	No
METALS (OTHER THAN MERCURY)	Copper, dissolved [as Cu]	Micrograms per Liter	No	No

#### Discharge Point 075: TA-60-2 Warehouse

#### Applicable Sectors

Select the Sectors/Subsector(s) that apply to this discharge point.

Sector	Subsector	SIC/Activity Code
D - ASPHALT PAVING AND ROOFING MATERIALS AND LUBRICANTS	D1 - Asphalt Paving and Roofing Materials	2951
N - SCRAP RECYCLING FACILITIES	N2 - Source-separated Recycling Facility	5093

	Sector	Subsector	SIC/Activity Code
•	P - LAND TRANSPORTATION AND WAREHOUSING	<b>P1</b> - Railroad Transportation; Local and Highway Passenger Transportation; Motor Freight Transportation and Warehousing; United States Postal Service; Petroleum Bulk Stations and Terminals	4212
	AA - FABRICATED METAL PRODUCTS	<b>AA1</b> - Fabricated Metal Products, Except Machinery and Transportation Equipment, and Coating, Engraving, and Allied Services; Jewelry, Silverware, and Plated Ware	3499
	A - TIMBER PRODUCTS	A3 - Log Storage and Handling	2411

Federal Effluent Limitation Guidelines:

Identify the Effluent Limitation Guideline(s) that apply to your stormwater discharges.

There are no guidelines associated with the sector(s) selected in this discharge point.

Are you requesting permit coverage for any stormwater discharges subject to effluent limitation guidelines? No

Latitude/Longitude: 35.871154°N, 106.31294°W

□ This discharge point is *Substantially Identical* to an existing discharge point.

Receiving Water

GNIS Name:

Waterbody Name:Listed Water ID:SANDIA CANYON (SIGMA CANYON TONM-9000.A\_047NPDES OUTFALL 001)NM-9000.A\_047

Is this receiving water saltwater or freshwater? Freshwater

Is this receiving water designated by the state or tribal authority under its antidegradation policy as a Tier 2 (or Tier 2.5) water (water quality exceeds levels necessary to support propagation of fish, shellfish, and wildlife and recreation in and on the water)?

No

Will you have stormwater discharges from paved surfaces that will be initially sealed or re-sealed with coal-tar sealcoat where industrial activities are located during coverage under this permit? No

Benchmark Monitoring

Are you subject to benchmark monitoring requirements for a hardness-dependent metal? No

# Impaired Waters Monitoring

NOTE: The information automatically populated in this section may be outdated and inaccurate (i.e. determining if the receiving water is listed as impaired on the 303(d) list, the cause(s) of the impairment if impaired, the pollutant(s)). It is recommended that you consult with your state's guidance for discharges into impaired waters to determine whether the receiving water is listed as impaired and, if so, the correct causes for the impairment and pollutant(s), and update the information accordingly.

Is the receiving water listed as impaired on the 303(d) list? Yes

Cause of Impairment Group	Pollutant	Units	Monitoring Required?	TMDL Completed?
POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS (PCBS)	Polychlorinated biphenyls [PCBs]	Milligrams per Liter	Yes	No
METALS (OTHER THAN MERCURY)	Aluminum, total recoverable	Micrograms per Liter	Yes	No
METALS (OTHER THAN MERCURY)	Copper, dissolved [as Cu]	Micrograms per Liter	No	No

Discharge Point 021: TA-60-1 Heavy Equipment Yard SIO to 022

# Applicable Sectors

Select the Sectors/Subsector(s) that apply to this discharge point.

	Sector	Subsector	SIC/Activity Code
0	D - ASPHALT PAVING AND ROOFING MATERIALS AND LUBRICANTS	D1 - Asphalt Paving and Roofing Materials	2951
	N - SCRAP RECYCLING FACILITIES	N2 - Source-separated Recycling Facility	5093
2	P - LAND TRANSPORTATION AND WAREHOUSING	<b>P1</b> - Railroad Transportation; Local and Highway Passenger Transportation; Motor Freight Transportation and Warehousing; United States Postal Service; Petroleum Bulk Stations and Terminals	4212
¥	AA - FABRICATED METAL PRODUCTS	<b>AA1</b> - Fabricated Metal Products, Except Machinery and Transportation Equipment, and Coating, Engraving, and Allied Services; Jewelry, Silverware, and Plated Ware	3499
	A - TIMBER PRODUCTS	A3 - Log Storage and Handling	2411

Federal Effluent Limitation Guidelines:

## Identify the Effluent Limitation Guideline(s) that apply to your stormwater discharges.

There are no guidelines associated with the sector(s) selected in this discharge point.

Are you requesting permit coverage for any stormwater discharges subject to effluent limitation guidelines? No

Latitude/Longitude: 35.872514°N, 106.313562°W

It is discharge point is Substantially Identical to an existing discharge point.

Substantially Identical to Discharge Point ID: 022

Receiving Water

GNIS Name:

 Waterbody Name:
 Listed Water ID:

 SANDIA CANYON (SIGMA CANYON TO
 NM-9000.A\_047

 NPDES OUTFALL 001)
 NM-9000.A\_047

Is this receiving water saltwater or freshwater? Freshwater

Is this receiving water designated by the state or tribal authority under its antidegradation policy as a Tier 2 (or Tier 2.5) water (water quality exceeds levels necessary to support propagation of fish, shellfish, and wildlife and recreation in and on the water)?

No

Will you have stormwater discharges from paved surfaces that will be initially sealed or re-sealed with coal-tar sealcoat where industrial activities are located during coverage under this permit?

No

# **Benchmark Monitoring**

Are you subject to benchmark monitoring requirements for a hardness-dependent metal? Yes

→ What is the hardness of your receiving water(s)? 61

(mg/L)

# Impaired Waters Monitoring

NOTE: The information automatically populated in this section may be outdated and inaccurate (i.e. determining if the receiving water is listed as impaired on the 303(d) list, the cause(s) of the impairment if impaired, the pollutant(s)). It is recommended that you consult with your state's guidance for discharges into impaired waters to determine whether the receiving water is listed as impaired and, if so, the correct causes for the impairment and pollutant(s), and update the information accordingly.

Is the receiving water listed as impaired on the 303(d) list? Yes

Cause of Impairment Group	Pollutant	Units	Monitoring Required?	TMDL Completed?
POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS (PCBS)	Polychlorinated biphenyls [PCBs]	Milligrams per Liter	Yes	No
METALS (OTHER THAN MERCURY)	Aluminum, total recoverable	Micrograms per Liter	Yes	No
METALS (OTHER THAN MERCURY)	Copper, dissolved [as Cu]	Micrograms per Liter	No	No

# Discharge Point 042: TA-60 Roads and Grounds

# Applicable Sectors

Select the Sectors/Subsector(s) that apply to this discharge point.

Sector	Subsector	SIC/Activity Code
<b>D</b> - ASPHALT PAVING AND ROOFING MATERIALS AND LUBRICANTS	D1 - Asphalt Paving and Roofing Materials	2951
N - SCRAP RECYCLING FACILITIES	N2 - Source-separated Recycling Facility	5093
P - LAND TRANSPORTATION AND WAREHOUSING	<b>P1</b> - Railroad Transportation; Local and Highway Passenger Transportation; Motor Freight Transportation and Warehousing; United States Postal Service; Petroleum Bulk Stations and Terminals	4212
<b>AA</b> - FABRICATED METAL PRODUCTS	<b>AA1</b> - Fabricated Metal Products, Except Machinery and Transportation Equipment, and Coating, Engraving, and Allied Services; Jewelry, Silverware, and Plated Ware	3499
A - TIMBER PRODUCTS	A3 - Log Storage and Handling	2411

Federal Effluent Limitation Guidelines:

Identify the Effluent Limitation Guideline(s) that apply to your stormwater discharges.

There are no guidelines associated with the sector(s) selected in this discharge point.

Are you requesting permit coverage for any stormwater discharges subject to effluent limitation guidelines? No

Latitude/Longitude: 35.867047°N, 106.289163°W

□ This discharge point is Substantially Identical to an existing discharge point.

Receiving Water

**GNIS Name:** 

Waterbody Name: SANDIA CANYON (SIGMA CANYON TO NPDES OUTFALL 001)

Listed Water ID: NM-9000.A\_047

Is this receiving water saltwater or freshwater? Freshwater

Is this receiving water designated by the state or tribal authority under its antidegradation policy as a Tier 2 (or Tier 2.5) water (water quality exceeds levels necessary to support propagation of fish, shellfish, and wildlife and recreation in and on the water)?

No

Will you have stormwater discharges from paved surfaces that will be initially sealed or re-sealed with coal-tar sealcoat where industrial activities are located during coverage under this permit?

No

**Benchmark Monitoring** 

Are you subject to benchmark monitoring requirements for a hardness-dependent metal? No

# Impaired Waters Monitoring

NOTE: The information automatically populated in this section may be outdated and inaccurate (i.e. determining if the receiving water is listed as impaired on the 303(d) list, the cause(s) of the impairment if impaired, the pollutant(s)). It is recommended that you consult with your state's guidance for discharges into impaired waters to determine whether the receiving water is listed as impaired and, if so, the correct causes for the impairment and pollutant(s), and update the information accordingly.

# Is the receiving water listed as impaired on the 303(d) list? $\underline{\text{Yes}}$

Cause of Impairment Group	Pollutant	Units	Monitoring Required?	TMDL Completed?
METALS (OTHER THAN MERCURY)	Aluminum, total recoverable	Micrograms per Liter	Yes	No
METALS (OTHER THAN MERCURY)	Copper, dissolved [as Cu]	Micrograms per Liter	No	No
POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS (PCBS)	Polychlorinated biphenyls [PCBs]	Milligrams per Liter	Yes	No

### Discharge Point 022: TA-60-1 Heavy Equipment Yard

# **Applicable Sectors**

Select the Sectors/Subsector(s) that apply to this discharge point.

	Sector	Subsector	SIC/Activity Code
	<b>D</b> - ASPHALT PAVING AND ROOFING MATERIALS AND LUBRICANTS	<b>D1</b> - Asphalt Paving and Roofing Materials	2951
	N - SCRAP RECYCLING FACILITIES	N2 - Source-separated Recycling Facility	5093
V	P - LAND TRANSPORTATION AND WAREHOUSING	<b>P1</b> - Railroad Transportation; Local and Highway Passenger Transportation; Motor Freight Transportation and Warehousing; United States Postal Service; Petroleum Bulk Stations and Terminals	4212
	AA - FABRICATED METAL PRODUCTS	<b>AA1</b> - Fabricated Metal Products, Except Machinery and Transportation Equipment, and Coating, Engraving, and Allied Services; Jewelry, Silverware, and Plated Ware	3499
	A - TIMBER PRODUCTS	A3 - Log Storage and Handling	2411

Federal Effluent Limitation Guidelines:

Identify the Effluent Limitation Guideline(s) that apply to your stormwater discharges.

There are no guidelines associated with the sector(s) selected in this discharge point.

Are you requesting permit coverage for any stormwater discharges subject to effluent limitation guidelines? No

Latitude/Longitude: 35.872661°N, 106.313691°W

□ This discharge point is *Substantially Identical* to an existing discharge point.

Receiving Water

GNIS Name:

Waterbody Name: L SANDIA CANYON (SIGMA CANYON TO NPDES OUTFALL 001)

Listed Water ID: NM-9000.A 047

Is this receiving water saltwater or freshwater? Freshwater

Is this receiving water designated by the state or tribal authority under its antidegradation policy as a Tier 2 (or Tier 2.5) water (water quality exceeds levels necessary to support propagation of fish, shellfish, and wildlife and recreation in and on the water)?

No

Will you have stormwater discharges from paved surfaces that will be initially sealed or re-sealed with coal-tar sealcoat where industrial activities are located during coverage under this permit?

No

# **Benchmark Monitoring**

Are you subject to benchmark monitoring requirements for a hardness-dependent metal? Yes

➡What is the hardness of your receiving water(s)? 61

(mg/L)

# Impaired Waters Monitoring

NOTE: The information automatically populated in this section may be outdated and inaccurate (i.e. determining if the receiving water is listed as impaired on the 303(d) list, the cause(s) of the impairment if impaired, the pollutant(s)). It is recommended that you consult with your state's guidance for discharges into impaired waters to determine whether the receiving water is listed as impaired and, if so, the correct causes for the impairment and pollutant(s), and update the information accordingly.

# Is the receiving water listed as impaired on the 303(d) list? $\underline{\text{Yes}}$

Cause of Impairment Group	Pollutant	Units	Monitoring Required?	TMDL Completed?
METALS (OTHER THAN MERCURY)	Aluminum, total recoverable	Micrograms per Liter	Yes	No
POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS (PCBS)	Polychlorinated biphenyls [PCBs]	Milligrams per Liter	Yes	No
METALS (OTHER THAN MERCURY)	Copper, dissolved [as Cu]	Micrograms per Liter	No	No

Discharge Point 025: TA-60-1 Heavy Equipment Yard SIO to 022

### Applicable Sectors

Select the Sectors/Subsector(s) that apply to this discharge point.

	Sector	Subsector	SIC/Activity Code
	<b>D</b> - ASPHALT PAVING AND ROOFING MATERIALS AND LUBRICANTS	D1 - Asphalt Paving and Roofing Materials	2951
	N - SCRAP RECYCLING FACILITIES	N2 - Source-separated Recycling Facility	5093
2	P - LAND TRANSPORTATION AND WAREHOUSING	<b>P1</b> - Railroad Transportation; Local and Highway Passenger Transportation; Motor Freight Transportation and Warehousing; United States Postal Service; Petroleum Bulk Stations and Terminals	4212
۲	<b>AA</b> - FABRICATED METAL PRODUCTS	<b>AA1</b> - Fabricated Metal Products, Except Machinery and Transportation Equipment, and Coating, Engraving, and Allied Services; Jewelry, Silverware, and Plated Ware	3499
	A - TIMBER PRODUCTS	A3 - Log Storage and Handling	2411

Federal Effluent Limitation Guidelines:

Identify the Effluent Limitation Guideline(s) that apply to your stormwater discharges.

There are no guidelines associated with the sector(s) selected in this discharge point.

Are you requesting permit coverage for any stormwater discharges subject to effluent limitation guidelines? No

Latitude/Longitude: 35.872928°N, 106.3154°W

This discharge point is Substantially Identical to an existing discharge point.

Substantially Identical to Discharge Point ID: 022

#### Receiving Water

GNIS Name:

# Waterbody Name: SANDIA CANYON (SIGMA CANYON TO NPDES OUTFALL 001)

Listed Water ID: NM-9000.A\_047

Is this receiving water saltwater or freshwater? Freshwater

Is this receiving water designated by the state or tribal authority under its antidegradation policy as a Tier 2 (or Tier 2.5) water (water quality exceeds levels necessary to support propagation of fish, shellfish, and wildlife and recreation in and on the water)?

No

Will you have stormwater discharges from paved surfaces that will be initially sealed or re-sealed with coal-tar sealcoat where industrial activities are located during coverage under this permit?

No

# **Benchmark Monitoring**

Are you subject to benchmark monitoring requirements for a hardness-dependent metal? Yes

# ➡What is the hardness of your receiving water(s)? 61

(mg/L)

# Impaired Waters Monitoring

NOTE: The information automatically populated in this section may be outdated and inaccurate (i.e. determining if the receiving water is listed as impaired on the 303(d) list, the cause(s) of the impairment if impaired, the pollutant(s)). It is recommended that you consult with your state's guidance for discharges into impaired waters to determine whether the receiving water is listed as impaired and, if so, the correct causes for the impairment and pollutant(s), and update the information accordingly.

# Is the receiving water listed as impaired on the 303(d) list? Yes

Cause of Impairment Group	Pollutant	Units	Monitoring Required?	TMDL Completed?
METALS (OTHER THAN MERCURY)	Aluminum, total recoverable	Micrograms per Liter	Yes	No
POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS (PCBS)	Polychlorinated biphenyls [PCBs]	Milligrams per Liter	Yes	No
METALS (OTHER THAN MERCURY)	Copper, dissolved [as Cu]	Micrograms per Liter	No	No

### Discharge Point 026: TA-60-2 Warehouse

Applicable Sectors

Select the Sectors/Subsector(s) that apply to this discharge point.

	Sector	Subsector	SIC/Activity Code
	D - ASPHALT PAVING AND ROOFING MATERIALS AND LUBRICANTS	D1 - Asphalt Paving and Roofing Materials	2951
	N - SCRAP RECYCLING FACILITIES	N2 - Source-separated Recycling Facility	5093
2	P - LAND TRANSPORTATION AND WAREHOUSING	<b>P1</b> - Railroad Transportation; Local and Highway Passenger Transportation; Motor Freight Transportation and Warehousing; United States Postal Service; Petroleum Bulk Stations and Terminals	4212

	Sector	Subsector			SIC/Activity Code
כ	AA - FABRICATED METAL PRODUCTS	AA1 - Fabricated Metal P Transportation Equipmen Services; Jewelry, Silverw	t, and Coating, Eng	raving, and Allied	3499
	A - TIMBER PRODUCTS	A3 - Log Storage and Ha	ndling		2411
de	eral Effluent Limitation Guidelines: ntify the Effluent Limitation Guid re are no guidelines associated wi	deline(s) that apply to your st		ges.	
re	you requesting permit coverag	e for any stormwater dischar	ges subject to effl	uent limitation guidel	ines? No
.at	itude/Longitude: <u>35.872114°N, 10</u>	06.313105°W			
) <b>T</b>	his discharge point is Substant	<i>ially Identical</i> to an existing o	lischarge point.		
Rece	viving Water				
GN	IS Name:	Waterbody Name: SANDIA CANYON (SI NPDES OUTFALL 001		Listed Water ID: NM-9000.A_047	
s t	nis receiving water saltwater or	freshwater? Freshwater			
on '	the water)?	necessary to support propa	gation of fish, she	lifish, and wildlife and	recreation in and
on No Wil who		es from paved surfaces that	will be initially sea		
on No Wil whe	the water)? you have stormwater discharge	es from paved surfaces that	will be initially sea		
on No Wil Who No	the water)? you have stormwater discharge ere industrial activities are locat	es from paved surfaces that ed during coverage under th	will be initially sea is permit?	led or re-sealed with o	
n No Wil No Ber Are NO wat	the water)? I you have stormwater discharge ere industrial activities are locat	es from paved surfaces that a ed during coverage under th itoring requirements for a ha populated in this section may b (d) list, the cause(s) of the impa discharges into impaired water	will be initially sea is permit? ardness-dependent be outdated and ina- airment if impaired, s to determine whe	led or re-sealed with o t metal? No ccurate (i.e. determining the pollutant(s)). It is re ther the receiving water	coal-tar sealcoat
No Vil Vho No Ber Are NO vat	the water)? you have stormwater dischargere industrial activities are locat inchmark Monitoring you subject to benchmark mon aired Waters Monitoring TE: The information automatically er is listed as impaired on the 3036 sult with your state's guidance for	es from paved surfaces that the ed during coverage under the itoring requirements for a har populated in this section may be (d) list, the cause(s) of the impaidischarges into impaired water mpairment and pollutant(s), and	will be initially sea is permit? ardness-dependent be outdated and ina- airment if impaired, s to determine whe	led or re-sealed with o t metal? No ccurate (i.e. determining the pollutant(s)). It is re ther the receiving water	g if the receiving
No Vil Vho Ser Are NO vat son	the water)? you have stormwater discharge are industrial activities are locat achmark Monitoring you subject to benchmark mon aired Waters Monitoring TE: The information automatically er is listed as impaired on the 3030 sult with your state's guidance for , if so, the correct causes for the ir	es from paved surfaces that the ed during coverage under the itoring requirements for a har populated in this section may be (d) list, the cause(s) of the impaidischarges into impaired water mpairment and pollutant(s), and	will be initially sea is permit? ardness-dependent be outdated and ina- airment if impaired, s to determine whe	led or re-sealed with o t metal? No ccurate (i.e. determining the pollutant(s)). It is re ther the receiving water	coal-tar sealcoat
No No No No Ber Are NO No Ser Are NO Ser Are NO Ser Are	the water)? I you have stormwater discharge are industrial activities are locat inchmark Monitoring you subject to benchmark mon aired Waters Monitoring TE: The information automatically er is listed as impaired on the 3030 sult with your state's guidance for , if so, the correct causes for the ir the receiving water listed as impaired	es from paved surfaces that ed during coverage under the itoring requirements for a har populated in this section may be (d) list, the cause(s) of the impa discharges into impaired water mpairment and pollutant(s), and aired on the 303(d) list? Yes	will be initially sea is permit? ardness-dependent be outdated and inac airment if impaired, s to determine whe d update the informa	led or re-sealed with of t metal? No ccurate (i.e. determining the pollutant(s)). It is re ther the receiving water ation accordingly.	g if the receiving commended that y is listed as impair
No No No No Ber Are NO wat con and s t PC (PC (PC	the water)? I you have stormwater discharge ere industrial activities are locat achmark Monitoring you subject to benchmark mon aired Waters Monitoring TE: The information automatically er is listed as impaired on the 303 sult with your state's guidance for , if so, the correct causes for the ir he receiving water listed as impaired use of Impairment Group	es from paved surfaces that red during coverage under the during coverage under the itoring requirements for a har populated in this section may be (d) list, the cause(s) of the impairment and pollutant(s), and aired on the 303(d) list? Yes Pollutant Polychlorinated biphenyls	will be initially sea is permit? ardness-dependent be outdated and ina- airment if impaired, s to determine when d update the information d update the information d update the information d update the information d update	led or re-sealed with of t metal? No t metal? No ccurate (i.e. determining the pollutant(s)). It is re ther the receiving water ation accordingly.	g if the receiving commended that is listed as impair TMDL Completed?

Discharge Point 037: TA-60 Roads and Grounds

Applicable Sectors

Select the Sectors/Subsector(s) that apply to this discharge point.

	Sector	Subsector	SIC/Activity Code
	D - ASPHALT PAVING AND ROOFING MATERIALS AND LUBRICANTS	D1 - Asphalt Paving and Roofing Materials	2951
	N - SCRAP RECYCLING FACILITIES	N2 - Source-separated Recycling Facility	5093
•	P - LAND TRANSPORTATION AND WAREHOUSING	<b>P1</b> - Railroad Transportation; Local and Highway Passenger Transportation; Motor Freight Transportation and Warehousing; United States Postal Service; Petroleum Bulk Stations and Terminals	4212
	AA - FABRICATED METAL PRODUCTS	<b>AA1</b> - Fabricated Metal Products, Except Machinery and Transportation Equipment, and Coating, Engraving, and Allied Services; Jewelry, Silverware, and Plated Ware	3499
	A - TIMBER PRODUCTS	A3 - Log Storage and Handling	2411
der	-	e(s) that apply to your stormwater discharges. ne sector(s) selected in this discharge point.	
Are	you requesting permit coverage fo	r any stormwater discharges subject to effluent limitation guidelines	s? No
Lati	itude/Longitude: <u>35.867859°N, 106.2</u>	92992°W	

□ This discharge point is *Substantially Identical* to an existing discharge point.

Receiving Water

GNIS Name:

Waterbody Name:	Listed Water ID:
SANDIA CANYON (SIGMA CANYON TO	NM-9000.A_047
NPDES OUTFALL 001)	

Is this receiving water saltwater or freshwater? Freshwater

Is this receiving water designated by the state or tribal authority under its antidegradation policy as a Tier 2 (or Tier 2.5) water (water quality exceeds levels necessary to support propagation of fish, shellfish, and wildlife and recreation in and on the water)?

No

Will you have stormwater discharges from paved surfaces that will be initially sealed or re-sealed with coal-tar sealcoat where industrial activities are located during coverage under this permit? No

Benchmark Monitoring

Are you subject to benchmark monitoring requirements for a hardness-dependent metal? No

# Impaired Waters Monitoring

NOTE: The information automatically populated in this section may be outdated and inaccurate (i.e. determining if the receiving water is listed as impaired on the 303(d) list, the cause(s) of the impairment if impaired, the pollutant(s)). It is recommended that you consult with your state's guidance for discharges into impaired waters to determine whether the receiving water is listed as impaired and, if so, the correct causes for the impairment and pollutant(s), and update the information accordingly.

# Is the receiving water listed as impaired on the 303(d) list? $\underline{\rm Yes}$

Cause of Impairment Group	Pollutant	Units	Monitoring Required?	TMDL Completed?
METALS (OTHER THAN MERCURY)	Aluminum, total recoverable	Micrograms per Liter	Yes	No
POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS (PCBS)	Polychlorinated biphenyls [PCBs]	Milligrams per Liter	Yes	No

Cause of Impairment Group	Pollutant	Units	Monitoring Required?	TMDL Completed?
METALS (OTHER THAN MERCURY)	Copper, dissolved [as Cu]	Micrograms per Liter	No	No

Discharge Point 076: TA-3-38 Metals Fab Shop

Applicable Sectors

Select the Sectors/Subsector(s) that apply to this discharge point.

	Sector	Subsector	SIC/Activity Code
	<b>D</b> - ASPHALT PAVING AND ROOFING MATERIALS AND LUBRICANTS	D1 - Asphalt Paving and Roofing Materials	2951
	N - SCRAP RECYCLING FACILITIES	N2 - Source-separated Recycling Facility	5093
<b>S</b>	<b>AA</b> - FABRICATED METAL PRODUCTS	<b>AA1</b> - Fabricated Metal Products, Except Machinery and Transportation Equipment, and Coating, Engraving, and Allied Services; Jewelry, Silverware, and Plated Ware	3499
	P - LAND TRANSPORTATION AND WAREHOUSING	<b>P1</b> - Railroad Transportation; Local and Highway Passenger Transportation; Motor Freight Transportation and Warehousing; United States Postal Service; Petroleum Bulk Stations and Terminals	4212
	A - TIMBER PRODUCTS	A3 - Log Storage and Handling	2411

Federal Effluent Limitation Guidelines:

### Identify the Effluent Limitation Guideline(s) that apply to your stormwater discharges.

There are no guidelines associated with the sector(s) selected in this discharge point.

Are you requesting permit coverage for any stormwater discharges subject to effluent limitation guidelines? No

Latitude/Longitude: 35.875851°N, 106.327924°W

□ This discharge point is *Substantially Identical* to an existing discharge point.

Receiving Water

GNIS Name:

 Waterbody Name:
 Li

 SANDIA CANYON (SIGMA CANYON TO
 N

 NPDES OUTFALL 001)
 N

Listed Water ID: NM-9000.A 047

Is this receiving water saltwater or freshwater? Freshwater

Is this receiving water designated by the state or tribal authority under its antidegradation policy as a Tier 2 (or Tier 2.5) water (water quality exceeds levels necessary to support propagation of fish, shellfish, and wildlife and recreation in and on the water)?

No

Will you have stormwater discharges from paved surfaces that will be initially sealed or re-sealed with coal-tar sealcoat where industrial activities are located during coverage under this permit?

No

Benchmark Monitoring

Are you subject to benchmark monitoring requirements for a hardness-dependent metal? Yes

→What is the hardness of your receiving water(s)? 61

(mg/L)

Impaired Waters Monitoring

NOTE: The information automatically populated in this section may be outdated and inaccurate (i.e. determining if the receiving water is listed as impaired on the 303(d) list, the cause(s) of the impairment if impaired, the pollutant(s)). It is recommended that you consult with your state's guidance for discharges into impaired waters to determine whether the receiving water is listed as impaired and, if so, the correct causes for the impairment and pollutant(s), and update the information accordingly.

### Is the receiving water listed as impaired on the 303(d) list? $\underline{\text{Yes}}$

Cause of Impairment Group	Pollutant	Units	Monitoring Required?	TMDL Completed?
POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS (PCBS)	Polychlorinated biphenyls [PCBs]	Milligrams per Liter	Yes	No
METALS (OTHER THAN MERCURY)	Aluminum, total recoverable	Micrograms per Liter	Yes	No
METALS (OTHER THAN MERCURY)	Copper, dissolved [as Cu]	Micrograms per Liter	No	No

#### Discharge Point 077: TA-3-38 Metals Fab Shop

### Applicable Sectors

Select the Sectors/Subsector(s) that apply to this discharge point.

	Sector	Subsector	SIC/Activity Code
	<b>D</b> - ASPHALT PAVING AND ROOFING MATERIALS AND LUBRICANTS	D1 - Asphalt Paving and Roofing Materials	2951
	N - SCRAP RECYCLING FACILITIES	N2 - Source-separated Recycling Facility	5093
¥	<b>AA</b> - FABRICATED METAL PRODUCTS	<b>AA1</b> - Fabricated Metal Products, Except Machinery and Transportation Equipment, and Coating, Engraving, and Allied Services; Jewelry, Silverware, and Plated Ware	3499
	P - LAND TRANSPORTATION AND WAREHOUSING	<b>P1</b> - Railroad Transportation; Local and Highway Passenger Transportation; Motor Freight Transportation and Warehousing; United States Postal Service; Petroleum Bulk Stations and Terminals	4212
	A - TIMBER PRODUCTS	A3 - Log Storage and Handling	2411

Federal Effluent Limitation Guidelines:

### Identify the Effluent Limitation Guideline(s) that apply to your stormwater discharges.

There are no guidelines associated with the sector(s) selected in this discharge point.

### Are you requesting permit coverage for any stormwater discharges subject to effluent limitation guidelines? No

Latitude/Longitude: 35.869722°N, 106.300833°W

□ This discharge point is *Substantially Identical* to an existing discharge point.

Receiving Water

**GNIS Name:** 

 Waterbody Name:
 L

 SANDIA CANYON (SIGMA CANYON TO
 N

 NPDES OUTFALL 001)
 N

Listed Water ID: NM-9000.A 047

Is this receiving water saltwater or freshwater? Freshwater

Is this receiving water designated by the state or tribal authority under its antidegradation policy as a Tier 2 (or Tier 2.5) water (water quality exceeds levels necessary to support propagation of fish, shellfish, and wildlife and recreation in and on the water)?

No

Will you have stormwater discharges from paved surfaces that will be initially sealed or re-sealed with coal-tar sealcoat where industrial activities are located during coverage under this permit?

No

### **Benchmark Monitoring**

Are you subject to benchmark monitoring requirements for a hardness-dependent metal? Yes

➡What is the hardness of your receiving water(s)? 61

(mg/L)

### Impaired Waters Monitoring

NOTE: The information automatically populated in this section may be outdated and inaccurate (i.e. determining if the receiving water is listed as impaired on the 303(d) list, the cause(s) of the impairment if impaired, the pollutant(s)). It is recommended that you consult with your state's guidance for discharges into impaired waters to determine whether the receiving water is listed as impaired and, if so, the correct causes for the impairment and pollutant(s), and update the information accordingly.

### Is the receiving water listed as impaired on the 303(d) list? $\underline{\text{Yes}}$

Cause of Impairment Group	Pollutant	Units	Monitoring Required?	TMDL Completed?
POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS (PCBS)	Polychlorinated biphenyls [PCBs]	Milligrams per Liter	Yes	No
METALS (OTHER THAN MERCURY)	Aluminum, total recoverable	Micrograms per Liter	Yes	No
METALS (OTHER THAN MERCURY)	Copper, dissolved [as Cu]	Micrograms per Liter	No	No

#### Discharge Point 078: TA-16 Stockpile Yard

#### Applicable Sectors

Select the Sectors/Subsector(s) that apply to this discharge point.

	Sector	Subsector	SIC/Activity Code
0	D - ASPHALT PAVING AND ROOFING MATERIALS AND LUBRICANTS	D1 - Asphalt Paving and Roofing Materials	2951
	N - SCRAP RECYCLING FACILITIES	N2 - Source-separated Recycling Facility	5093
	<b>AA</b> - FABRICATED METAL PRODUCTS	<b>AA1</b> - Fabricated Metal Products, Except Machinery and Transportation Equipment, and Coating, Engraving, and Allied Services; Jewelry, Silverware, and Plated Ware	3499
۲	P - LAND TRANSPORTATION AND WAREHOUSING	<b>P1</b> - Railroad Transportation; Local and Highway Passenger Transportation; Motor Freight Transportation and Warehousing; United States Postal Service; Petroleum Bulk Stations and Terminals	4212
	A - TIMBER PRODUCTS	A3 - Log Storage and Handling	2411

Federal Effluent Limitation Guidelines:

Identify the Effluent Limitation Guideline(s) that apply to your stormwater discharges.

There are no guidelines associated with the sector(s) selected in this discharge point.

Are you requesting permit coverage for any stormwater discharges subject to effluent limitation guidelines? No

Latitude/Longitude: 35.846944°N, 106.344722°W

□ This discharge point is Substantially Identical to an existing discharge point.

Receiving Water

GNIS Name:

Waterbody Name: CA�ON DE VALLE (BELOW LANL GAGE E256) Listed Water ID: NM-128.A\_01

Is this receiving water saltwater or freshwater? Freshwater

Is this receiving water designated by the state or tribal authority under its antidegradation policy as a Tier 2 (or Tier 2.5) water (water quality exceeds levels necessary to support propagation of fish, shellfish, and wildlife and recreation in and on the water)?

No

Will you have stormwater discharges from paved surfaces that will be initially sealed or re-sealed with coal-tar sealcoat where industrial activities are located during coverage under this permit?

No

**Benchmark Monitoring** 

Are you subject to benchmark monitoring requirements for a hardness-dependent metal? No

### Impaired Waters Monitoring

NOTE: The information automatically populated in this section may be outdated and inaccurate (i.e. determining if the receiving water is listed as impaired on the 303(d) list, the cause(s) of the impairment if impaired, the pollutant(s)). It is recommended that you consult with your state's guidance for discharges into impaired waters to determine whether the receiving water is listed as impaired and, if so, the correct causes for the impairment and pollutant(s), and update the information accordingly.

### Is the receiving water listed as impaired on the 303(d) list? $\underline{\rm Yes}$

Cause of Impairment Group	Pollutant	Units	Monitoring Required?	TMDL Completed?
RADIATION	Alpha, gross adjusted	Picocuries per Liter	Yes	No

Discharge Point 079: TA-9-214 Metals Fabrication Shop

**Applicable Sectors** 

Select the Sectors/Subsector(s) that apply to this discharge point.

	Sector	Subsector	SIC/Activity Code
	<b>D</b> - ASPHALT PAVING AND ROOFING MATERIALS AND LUBRICANTS	D1 - Asphalt Paving and Roofing Materials	2951
	N - SCRAP RECYCLING FACILITIES	N2 - Source-separated Recycling Facility	5093
¥	<b>AA</b> - FABRICATED METAL PRODUCTS	<b>AA1</b> - Fabricated Metal Products, Except Machinery and Transportation Equipment, and Coating, Engraving, and Allied Services; Jewelry, Silverware, and Plated Ware	3499
	P - LAND TRANSPORTATION AND WAREHOUSING	<b>P1</b> - Railroad Transportation; Local and Highway Passenger Transportation; Motor Freight Transportation and Warehousing; United States Postal Service; Petroleum Bulk Stations and Terminals	4212
	A - TIMBER PRODUCTS	A3 - Log Storage and Handling	2411

Federal Effluent Limitation Guidelines:

Identify the Effluent Limitation Guideline(s) that apply to your stormwater discharges.

There are no guidelines associated with the sector(s) selected in this discharge point.

Are you requesting permit coverage for any stormwater discharges subject to effluent limitation guidelines? No

Latitude/Longitude: 35.85678°N, 106.345631°W

□ This discharge point is *Substantially Identical* to an existing discharge point.

#### Receiving Water

**GNIS Name:** 

Waterbody Name: ARROYO DE LA DELFE (ABOVE KIELING SPRING TO HEADW) Listed Water ID: NM-128.A\_16

Is this receiving water saltwater or freshwater? Freshwater

Is this receiving water designated by the state or tribal authority under its antidegradation policy as a Tier 2 (or Tier 2.5) water (water quality exceeds levels necessary to support propagation of fish, shellfish, and wildlife and recreation in and on the water)?

No

Will you have stormwater discharges from paved surfaces that will be initially sealed or re-sealed with coal-tar sealcoat where industrial activities are located during coverage under this permit?

No

### **Benchmark Monitoring**

Are you subject to benchmark monitoring requirements for a hardness-dependent metal? Yes

### → What is the hardness of your receiving water(s)? 28

(mg/L)

Impaired Waters Monitoring

NOTE: The information automatically populated in this section may be outdated and inaccurate (i.e. determining if the receiving water is listed as impaired on the 303(d) list, the cause(s) of the impairment if impaired, the pollutant(s)). It is recommended that you consult with your state's guidance for discharges into impaired waters to determine whether the receiving water is listed as impaired and, if so, the correct causes for the impairment and pollutant(s), and update the information accordingly.

### Is the receiving water listed as impaired on the 303(d) list? Yes

Cause of Impairment Group	Pollutant	Units	Monitoring Required?	TMDL Completed?
POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS (PCBS)	Polychlorinated biphenyls [PCBs]	Milligrams per Liter	Yes	No
METALS (OTHER THAN MERCURY)	Aluminum, total recoverable	Micrograms per Liter	Yes	No
METALS (OTHER THAN MERCURY)	Copper, dissolved [as Cu]	Micrograms per Liter	Yes	No
RADIATION	Alpha, gross adjusted	Picocuries per Liter	Yes	No

#### Discharge Point 084: TA-60 Roads and Grounds

### Applicable Sectors

Select the Sectors/Subsector(s) that apply to this discharge point.

Sector	Subsector	SIC/Activity Code
<b>D</b> - ASPHALT PAVING AND ROOFING MATERIALS AND LUBRICANTS	D1 - Asphalt Paving and Roofing Materials	2951
N - SCRAP RECYCLING FACILITIES	N2 - Source-separated Recycling Facility	5093
<b>AA</b> - FABRICATED METAL PRODUCTS	<b>AA1</b> - Fabricated Metal Products, Except Machinery and Transportation Equipment, and Coating, Engraving, and Allied Services; Jewelry, Silverware, and Plated Ware	3499

	Sector	Subsector			SIC/Activity Code
۷	P - LAND TRANSPORTATION AN WAREHOUSING	Transportation; Motor Fre	1 - Railroad Transportation; Local and Highway Passenger ransportation; Motor Freight Transportation and Warehousing; Unite tates Postal Service; Petroleum Bulk Stations and Terminals		
	A - TIMBER PRODUCTS	A3 - Log Storage and Ha	ndling		2411
Ide	eral Effluent Limitation Guidelines: ntify the Effluent Limitation Guide re are no guidelines associated with			jes.	
Are	you requesting permit coverage	for any stormwater discha	ges subject to effl	uent limitation guidelin	es? No
Lat	itude/Longitude: <u>35.867771°N, 10</u> 6	6.291467°W			
Π	his discharge point is <i>Substantia</i>	<i>Ily Identical</i> to an existing o	lischarge point.		
Rece	siving Water				
GN	IS Name:	Waterbody Name: SANDIA CANYON (SI NPDES OUTFALL 007		Listed Water ID: NM-9000.A_047	
ls ti	his receiving water saltwater or fr	eshwater? Freshwater			
wat on No Wil	his receiving water designated by er (water quality exceeds levels r the water)? I you have stormwater discharge ere industrial activities are locate	ecessary to support propa	gation of fish, shel will be initially sea	lfish, and wildlife and r	ecreation in and
Ber	chmark Monitoring				
Are	you subject to benchmark monit	oring requirements for a ha	ardness-dependent	metal? No	
NO wat con and	aired Waters Monitoring TE: The information automatically p er is listed as impaired on the 303(c sult with your state's guidance for d , if so, the correct causes for the im <b>he receiving water listed as impa</b> i	) list, the cause(s) of the impli- ischarges into impaired water pairment and pollutant(s), and	airment if impaired, t s to determine whet	he pollutant(s)). It is reco her the receiving water is	ommended that you
_	C				
Ca	use of Impairment Group	Pollutant	Units	•	TMDL Completed?
	TALS (OTHER THAN ERCURY)	Aluminum, total recoverable	Micrograms per Liter	Yes	No
	TALS (OTHER THAN ERCURY)	Copper, dissolved [as Cu]	Micrograms per Liter	No	No
	OLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS CBS)	Polychlorinated biphenyls [PCBs]	Milligrams per Liter	Yes	No

Discharge Point 085: TA-69 Wood Yard

Applicable Sectors

Select the Sectors/Subsector(s) that apply to this discharge point.

	Sector	Subsector	SIC/Activity Code
	D - ASPHALT PAVING AND ROOFING MATERIALS AND LUBRICANTS	D1 - Asphalt Paving and Roofing Materials	2951
	N - SCRAP RECYCLING FACILITIES	N2 - Source-separated Recycling Facility	5093
	<b>AA</b> - FABRICATED METAL PRODUCTS	<b>AA1</b> - Fabricated Metal Products, Except Machinery and Transportation Equipment, and Coating, Engraving, and Allied Services; Jewelry, Silverware, and Plated Ware	3499
V	A - TIMBER PRODUCTS	A3 - Log Storage and Handling	2411
0	P - LAND TRANSPORTATION AND WAREHOUSING	<b>P1</b> - Railroad Transportation; Local and Highway Passenger Transportation; Motor Freight Transportation and Warehousing; United States Postal Service; Petroleum Bulk Stations and Terminals	4212

Federal Effluent Limitation Guidelines:

Identify the Effluent Limitation Guideline(s) that apply to your stormwater discharges.

40 CFR Part/Subpart	Eligible Discharges	Affected MSGP Sector	New Source Date	Applicability
Part 429, Subpart I	Discharges resulting from spray down or intentional wetting of logs at wet deck storage areas	A	01/26/1981	Does your discharge point have any discharges subject to this effluent limitation guideline? No

Are you requesting permit coverage for any stormwater discharges subject to effluent limitation guidelines? No

Latitude/Longitude: 35.867612°N, 106.338173°W

□ This discharge point is *Substantially Identical* to an existing discharge point.

Receiving Water

GNIS Name:	Waterbody Name:	Listed Water ID:
n/a	NM-128.A_15	n/a

Is this receiving water saltwater or freshwater? Freshwater

Is this receiving water designated by the state or tribal authority under its antidegradation policy as a Tier 2 (or Tier 2.5) water (water quality exceeds levels necessary to support propagation of fish, shellfish, and wildlife and recreation in and on the water)?

No

Will you have stormwater discharges from paved surfaces that will be initially sealed or re-sealed with coal-tar sealcoat where industrial activities are located during coverage under this permit?

No

**Benchmark Monitoring** 

Are you subject to benchmark monitoring requirements for a hardness-dependent metal? No

### Impaired Waters Monitoring

NOTE: The information automatically populated in this section may be outdated and inaccurate (i.e. determining if the receiving water is listed as impaired on the 303(d) list, the cause(s) of the impairment if impaired, the pollutant(s)). It is recommended that you consult with your state's guidance for discharges into impaired waters to determine whether the receiving water is listed as impaired and, if so, the correct causes for the impairment and pollutant(s), and update the information accordingly.

Is the receiving water listed as impaired on the 303(d) list?  $\underline{\text{Yes}}$ 

Cause of Impairment Group	Pollutant	Units	Monitoring Required?	TMDL Completed?
RADIATION	Alpha, gross adjusted	Picocuries per Liter	Yes	No
POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS (PCBS)	Polychlorinated biphenyls [PCBs]	Milligrams per Liter	Yes	No
METALS (OTHER THAN MERCURY)	Aluminum, total recoverable	Micrograms per Liter	Yes	No
METALS (OTHER THAN MERCURY)	Copper, dissolved [as Cu]	Micrograms per Liter	Yes	No

#### SWPPP Information

Has the SWPPP been prepared in advance of filing this NOI, as required? <u>Yes</u>

**SWPPP Contact Information:** 

First Name Middle Initial Last Name: Jacob L Knight

Phone: 505-665-5880 Ext.:

Email: jknight@lanl.gov

### SWPPP Availability:

Your current SWPPP or certain information from your SWPPP must be made available through one of the following three options. Select one of the options and provide the required information.

Note: you are not required to post any confidential business information (CBI) or restricted information (as defined in Appendix A (https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2021-01/documents/2021\_msgp\_-\_appendix\_a\_-\_definitions.pdf)) (such information may be redacted), but you must clearly identify those portions of the SWPPP that are being withheld from public access.

□ Option 1: Attach a current copy of your SWPPP to this NOI.

Coption 2: Maintain a Current Copy of your SWPPP on an Internet page (Universal Resource Locator or URL).

Provide the web address URL (e.g. http://www.example.com): https://eprr.lanl.gov

□ Option 3: Provide the following information from your SWPPP:

Endangered Species Protection Worksheet: Criterion D

The following questions will help you determine your eligibility under Part 1.1.4 of the permit with respect to protection of Endangered Species Act (ESA) species and critical habitat(s). Please refer to Appendix E

(https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2021-01/documents/2021\_msgp\_-\_appendix\_e\_-

\_procedures\_relating\_to\_endangered\_species\_protection.pdf) of the 2021 MSGP for important information regarding your obligations under this permit concerning ESA-protected species and critical habitat(s).

## **Determine ESA Eligibility Criterion**

Are your industrial activities already addressed in another operator's valid certification of eligibility for your "action area" under eligibility criteria A, C, D, or E of the 2021 MSGP?

No

# Has consultation between you, a Federal Agency, and the USFWS and/or the NMFS under section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA) concluded?

Consultations can be either formal or informal, and would have occurred only as a result of a separate federal action (e.g., during application for an individual wastewater discharge permit or the issuance of a wetlands dredge and fill permit), and the consultation must have addressed the effects of your industrial activity's discharges and discharge-related activities on ESA-listed species and/or critical habitat under the jurisdiction of USFWS and/or NMFS in your action area.

Yes

4

### The result of the consultation was either:

- i. A biological opinion and/or conference opinion that concludes that the action in question (taking into account the effects of your facility's discharges and discharge-related activities) is not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of ESA-listed species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat. The biological opinion and/or conference opinion must have included the effects of your facility's discharges and discharge-related species and critical habitat in your action area. To be eligible under (i), any reasonable and prudent measures specified in the incidental take statement must be implemented;
- ii. Written concurrence (e.g., letter of concurrence) from the applicable Service(s) with a finding that your facility's discharges and discharge-related activities are not likely to adversely affect ESA-listed species or critical habitat. The concurrence letter must have included the effects of your facility's discharges and discharge-related activities on all the ESA-listed species and/or critical habitat on your species list(s) acquired from the USFWS and/or the NMFS as part of this worksheet.

True

The consultation does not warrant reinitiation under 50 CFR §402.16; or, if reinitiation of consultation is required (e.g., due to a new species listing or critical habitat designation; new information), you have reinitiated the consultation and the result of the consultation is consistent with the statements above. True

You are eligible under Criterion D

### Identify the federal action agency(ies) involved:

☑ U.S. Fish and Wildlife Services

O National Marine Fisheries Service

Provide the field office/regional office(s) providing that consultation and any tracking numbers of identifiers associated with that consultation (e.g., IPaC number, ECO number):

New Mexico Ecological Services Field Office, Cons. # 2-22-98-I-336, Cons. # 2-22-95-I-108, Cons. # 02ENNM00-2014-I-0014, Cons. # 02ENNM00-2015-I-0 538, Cons. # 02ENNM00-2019-I-0985, Cons. #2-22-05-I-392, Cons. # 02ENNM00-2012-0089

Provide the date the consultation was completed: 08/06/2015

You must attach copies of any letters or other communications with the USFWS or NMFS:

Name	Uploaded Date	Size
LUSFWS concurrence for Clean Fill BA.pdf (attachment/877170)	05/02/2024	463.41 KB
Asphalt Batch Plant and Rock Crusher at Sigma Mesa USFWS Letter.pdf (attachment/877169)	05/02/2024	841.95 KB
20190418_concurrence_LANL asphalt plant replacement.pdf (attachment/877168)	05/02/2024	176.27 KB
1999 HMP Concurrence Letter USFWS to DOE.pdf (attachment/877164)	05/19/2021	276.65 KB
2015-0538_USFWS Concurrence Letter_8-2015.pdf (attachment/877163)	05/19/2021	94.97 KE
Concurrence_8DEC2013_Biological Assessment of Jemez Mtn Salamander site Plan (2).pdf (attachment/877162)	05/19/2021	239.87 KB

Historic Preservation: Criterion B

The following questions will help you determine your eligibility under Part 1.1.5 of the permit with respect to preservation of historic properties. You may still use the paper instructions in Appendix F

(https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2021-01/documents/2021\_msgp\_-\_appendix\_f\_-

\_procedures\_relating\_to\_historic\_properties\_preservation.pdf) of the MSGP in advance or in conjunction with answering the questions in this section of the form. For more information about your State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) or Tribal Historic Preservation Office (THPO), please visit the National Park Service (NPS) websites at:

- State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) (https://www.nps.gov/subjects/nationalregister/state-historic-preservationoffices.htm)
- Tribal Historic Preservation Office (THPO) (https://www.nps.gov/subjects/historicpreservationfund/tribal-historicpreservation-office-program.htm)

Are you an existing facility that is resubmitting for certification under the 2021 MSGP? Yes

➡ If you are an existing facility you should have already addressed National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) issues. To gain coverage under the 2015 MSGP, you were required to certify that you were either not affecting historic properties or had obtained written agreement from the relevant SHPO or THPO regarding methods of mitigating potential impacts.

Will you be constructing or installing any <u>new</u> stormwater control measures? <u>Yes</u>

- ➤Will the stormwater control measures you are constructing or installing disturb subsurface less than one (1) acre?
  - Yes

Have prior earth disturbances determined that historic properties do not exist, or have prior disturbances precluded the existence of historic properties?

Yes

You are eligible under Criterion B.

#### Additional Supporting Information

Use this section to provide additional information you feel is pertinent to your coverage or to provide information in a Change NOI for a numeric effluent limitation exceedence as required in part 4.2.3.3. of the permit. Do you have supporting information you would like to add? Yes

## **Enter Supporting Information**

Date	Additional Information Details
05/05/2024	In the Operator Information section of this Change NOI, the NOI Preparer is revised to Jacob Knight.
05/05/2024	In the Facility Information section of this Change NOI, the total estimated area of industrial activity is revised from 38,75 to 41 acres, and Co-located Sector A, Co-located Subsector A3 was added.
05/05/2024	In the Discharge Information section of this Change NOI, Discharge Point 085 was added.
05/05/2024	In the SWPPP Information section of this Change NOI, the SWPPP Contact is revised to Jacob Knight.
05/02/2024	The 2024-2026 State of New Mexico CWA 303(d)/305(b) Integrated Report was approved by the NM WQCC on March 14, 2024. In that report, Copper (dissolved) was delisted from NM-9000.A_047, Sandia Canyon (Sigma Canyon to NPDES Outfall 001). In the Discharge Information section of this Change NOI, Copper (dissolved) was changed to "Monitoring Not Required" for discharge points 022, 026, 029, 032, 037, 042, 075, 076, 077, and 084 and SIDPs 021, 023, 024, 025, 027, 028, 033, 034, 035. https://www.env.nm.gov/surface-water-quality/303d-305b/
09/20/2022	In the Facility Information section of this Change NOI, the total estimated area of industrial activity is revised from 39.75 acres to 38.75 acres.
09/20/2022	The 2022-2024 State of New Mexico CWA 303(d)/305(b) Integrated Report was approved by EPA on April 26, 2022. In that report, the Assessment Unit description for NM-128.A_16 was changed from Arroyo de la Delfe (Pajarito Canyon to headwaters) to Arroyo de la Delfe (Above Kieling Spring to headwaters). In the Discharge Information section of this Change NOI, the Assessment Unit description was updated to reflect this change for discharge point 079. https://cloud.env.nm.gov/water/pages/view.php? ref=8234&k=c19431341b
09/20/2022	The 2022-2024 State of New Mexico CWA 303(d)/305(b) Integrated Report was approved by EPA on April 26, 2022. In that report, Mercury (total) was delisted from NM- 9000.A_042 - Mortandad Canyon (within LANL). In the Discharge Information section of this Change NOI, Mercury (total) was changed to "Monitoring Not Required" for discharge points 031 and 043, and SIDP 030. https://cloud.env.nm.gov/water/pages/view.php?ref=8234&k=c19431341b
09/19/2022	At Outfall 043, a single TSS result of 70.3 mg/L exceeded the ELG Daily Max limit of 23.0 mg/L and Monthly Avg limit of 15 mg/L. This exceedance is being reported on this Change NOI as required by Part 4.2.3.3.

### **Certification Information**

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I have no personal knowledge that

the information submitted is other than true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations. Signing an electronic document on behalf of another person is subject to criminal, civil, administrative, or other lawful action.

Certified By: Jennifer payne

Certifier Title: Division Leader

Certifier Email: jpayne@lanl.gov

Certified On: 05/10/2024 12:40 PM ET

## ATTACHMENT 2: SWPPP AMENDMENTS

Date	Plan Section	Reason for Amendment	Amendment
Jan 2019	All	New MSGP Plan for new Laboratory Contract.	New MSGP Plan for Triad, LLC (replacing LANS, LLC.
Jan 2020	All	Implementation of the new SWPPP template as required by EPC-CP- QP-2110, <i>MSGP Stormwater</i> <i>Pollution Prevention Plan</i> <i>Preparation and Maintenance.</i> Also included all inspections, assessments and reports required for the yearly update.	Inserted new template language to standardize all MSGP SWPPPs and inserted all required documentation for the yearly revision.
Jan 2021	All	Included all inspections, assessments and reports required for the yearly update.	Inserted all required documentation for the yearly revision. Included new stormwater controls installed in 2020.
May 2021	All	The 2021 MSGP was published on January 15, 2021, and became effective on March 1, 2021. The new permit requires a SWPPP update.	Plan was revised to reflect new permit requirements.
January 2022	attachments	Included all inspections, assessments and reports required for the yearly update.	New procedures were added, new training briefing, all required reports added.
November 2022	All pertaining to MFSSSA	MFSSSA area was condensed with new stormwater controls added	New site map was created along with new descriptions for stormwater controls and stabilization.
January 2023	attachments	Included all inspections, assessments and reports required for the yearly update.	New procedures were added, new training briefing, all required reports added.
January 2024	Attachments	Included all inspections, assessments and reports required for the yearly update.	New procedures were added, new training briefing, all required reports added.
January 2025	Attachments and SCMs	Included all inspections, assessments and reports required for the yearly update and the addition of new SCMs	New procedures were added, new training briefing, all required reports added.

ATTACHMENT 3: CERTIFICATION OF NO UNAUTHORIZED STORMWATER DISCHARGES

### Unauthorized Non-Storm Water Discharge Assessment and Certification

Facility: TA-03-0038	Metal Fab Shop & Metals Fabrication Shop	Satellite Storage Area	(Sigma Mesa)	ma Mesa)				
Outfalls (including SIOs*) or Other Onsite Drainage Points Observed During the Assessment	Identified Potential Sources of Unauthorized Non-Storm Water Discharge (if applicable)	Description of Assessment Criterion Used		ed Actions to Control or the Discharge				
Monitored Outfall 076	None	Visual evaluation	None					
Monitored Outfall 077	None	Visual evaluation	None					
Assessor:								
Print Name: Jacob Knight	Signature:	Title: DEP		Date Assessed: C/(17/2 > 2)				
that qualified personnel properly g responsible for gathering the infor	fy under penalty of law that this document and all attachments athered and evaluated the information contained therein. Base mation, the information contained is, to the best of my knowled ding the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violati	ed on my inquiry of the person o ge and belief, true, accurate, ar	r persons who manage the syste	m. or those persons directly				
Print Name:	Signature:	Title:		Date Certified:				

\*SIO = Substantially Identical Outfall

## ATTACHMENT 4: DULY AUTHORIZED SIGNATORY MEMORANDUM



Los Alamos National Laboratory PO Box 1663, M969 Los Alamos, NM 87545 505-667-5466

Environmental Protection & Compliance Division Compliance Programs Group

 Symbol:
 EPC-DO: 22-139

 LAUR:
 22-24721

 Locates:
 N/A

 Date:
 08/11/2022

Dr. Earthea Nance, Regional Administrator U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6 1201 Elm Street, Suite 500 Dallas, Texas, 75270

## Subject: Notification of Triad National Security, LLC (Triad), Signatory Officials and Authorized Representatives for National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permits

Dear Dr. Nance:

The purpose of this letter is to provide an update to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region 6 for the Triad National Security, LLC (Triad) delegation of authority for signature on documents associated with the various Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permits, pursuant to Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (40 CFR) §122.22(c). This letter supersedes and replaces the signatory authority letter dated December 11, 2018 (EPC-DO: 18-453).

The positions of Associate Laboratory Director of Environment, Safety, Health, Quality, Safeguards, and Security (ESHQSS), and Division Leader of the Environmental Protection and Compliance Division (EPC-DO) are identified as Triad's primary signatory officials under 40 CFR §122.22(a) for certifying and signing permit applications [including Notices of Intent (NOIs)] required under the LANL NPDES Industrial Point Source Outfall Permit (Permit No. NM0028355), the NPDES Construction General Permit (CGP) for Stormwater Discharges from Construction Activities, the NPDES Multi-Sector General Permit (MSGP) (Permit No. NMR050013) for Stormwater Discharges Associated with Industrial Activity and the NPDES Pesticide General Permit (Permit No. NMG870002) for Discharges from the Application of Pesticides.

The following positions are hereby designated as authorized representatives under 40 CFR §122.22(b) to sign reports, Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plans, Discharge Monitoring Reports, Pesticide Discharge Management Plans, and any other compliance documentation required by the permits:

## NPDES Industrial Point Source Outfall Permit (No. NM0028355)

- Positions listed as primary signatory officials above.
- Group Leader or Team Leaders within the Compliance Programs Group.
- Responsible Facility Operations Director (FOD).

### NPDES CGP:

- Positions listed as primary signatory officials above.
- Group Leader or Team Leaders within the Compliance Programs Group.
- Cognizant Project Manager, Construction Manager, or Subcontractor Technical Representative for the regulated construction activity.



### NPDES MSGP (No. NMR050013)

- Positions listed as primary signatory officials above.
- Group Leader or Team Leaders within the Compliance Programs Group.
- Division Leader, Deputy Division Leader, or Group Leader of the Triad division responsible for the overall operation of the regulated facility or activity.
- Responsible FOD, Deputy FOD, or Operations Manager responsible for the overall operation of the regulated facility or activity.

### NPDES Pesticide General Permit (No. NMG870002)

- Positions listed as primary signatory officials above.
- Group Leader or Team Leaders within the Compliance Programs Group.

If you have questions, please contact me at (505) 667-7912, (505) 500-2273 or at jpayne@lanl.gov.

Sincerely,

JENNIFER PAYNE (Affiliate) PAYNE (Affiliate) Description 2000 Digitally signed by JENNIFER PAYNE (Affiliate) Description 2000 Digitally signed by JENNIFER PAYNE (Affiliate) Description 2000 Digitally signed by JENNIFER

Jennifer E. Payne Division Leader Environmental Protection and Compliance

Attachment(s): None

Copy: Nasim Jahan, USEPA, Region 6, jahan.nasim@epa.gov Suzanna Perea, USEPA, Region 6, perea.suzanna@epa.gov Susan Lucas Kamat, NMED, susan.lucaskamat@state.nm.us Karen E. Armijo, NA-LA, karen.armijo@nnsa.doe.gov Marcus Pinzel, NA-LA, marcus.pinzel@nnsa.doe.gov William R. Mairson, Triad, ALDESHQSS, wrmairson@lanl.gov Jeannette T. Hyatt, Triad, EWP, jhyatt@lanl.gov Jennifer E. Payne, Triad, EPC-DO, jpayne@lanl.gov Kristen Honig, Triad, EPC-DO, khonig@lanl.gov Steven L. Story, Triad, EPC-CP, story@lanl.gov Sarah S. Holcomb, Triad, EPC-CP, sholcomb@lanl.gov Terrill W. Lemke, Triad, EPC-CP, tlemke@lanl.gov Maxine M. McReynolds, Triad, GC-ESH, mcreynolds@lanl.gov Cristina A. Mulcahy, Triad, GC-ESH, mulcahy@lanl.gov emla.docs@em.doe.gov epc-correspondence@lanl.gov eshqss-dcrm@lanl.gov gc-esh@lanl.gov



ATTACHMENT 5: DISCHARGE MONITORING REPORTS

Permit																					
Permit #:		NMR050013		Permittee:		Triad	Nation	al Security	/ LLC				Fa	cility:		LOS AL	AMOS NA	ATION	AL LABORATOF	RY	
Major:		No		Permittee Ad	dress:		ox 166 Jamos	3 , NM 8754	5				Fa	cility L	ocation:		( 1663 AMOS, N	M 875	45		
Permitted		076 External Out	fall	Discharge:		<b>076-1</b> Fabrio		Metal Prod	ucts, exc	cept C	pating										
Report Da	ates & Status								,		5										
Monitorin		From 07/01/2	21 to 09/30/21	DMR Due Da	te:	11/30	/21						St	atus:		NetDMF	R Validate	∋d			
	ations for Form C	Completion											I								
Principal	Executive Office	r																			
First Nam				Title:									Те	elephor	ne:						
Last Nam	ie:																				
No Data I	ndicator (NODI)																				
Form NO	DI:																				
	Parameter		Monitoring Locatio	n Season # Param. NOD				tity or Loadi							Concentrati			# of Ex	. Frequency of Ana	lysis Sa	ample Type
Code	Name	9			Sample	Qualifier 1	1 Value	1 Qualifier 2	Value 2 U	Units Q	ualifier 1	Value 1	Qualifier 2	2 Value 2		Value 3	Units 28 - ug/L		01/90 - Quarterly	GF	R - GRAB
01104	Aluminum, total	recoverable	1 - Effluent Gross	0	Permit Req.											1100.0 MAXIMUM		0	01/90 - Quarterly		R - GRAB
00.	,			C C	Value NODI													Ũ			
					Sample											0.935	19 - mg/L		01/90 - Quarterly	GF	R - GRAB
<b>X</b> 51450	Nitrite Plus Nitrat	te Total	1 - Effluent Gross	0	Permit Req.										<=	0.68 MAXIMUM	19 - mg/L	1	01/90 - Quarterly	GF	R - GRAB
					Value NODI																
Submissi																					
		contain any v	values for the Sam	ple nor Effluent Trad	ing, then nor	ne of the	followi	ing fields w	/ill be su	Ibmitte	d for tha	at row: l	Jnits, Nu	mber o	f Excursio	ons, Frequency	of Analysi	is, and	Sample Type.		
Edit Chec	ck Errors																				
	Parameter	Maria		<b>F</b> 1-1			<b>T</b>							Deer						A	and a data
Code	Name		oring Location	Fiel			Туре								ription					ACKNO	owledge
51450 N	litrite Plus Nitrate To	otal 1 - Efflu	ient Gross	Quality or Concentration	Sample Valu	ue 3	Soft	The provid	led samp	ole valu	e is outsi	ide the p	permit limi	t. Pleas	e verify tha	at the value you h	ave provid	led is co	orrect.	Y	Yes
Comment	ts																				
LA-UR-21	-31445																				
Attachme																					
No attachme																					
-	ast Saved By	<u> </u>																			
User:	ional Security LL		loslia	)lanl.gov																	
Name:			Leslie																		
E-Mail:				)lanl.gov																	
Date/Time	2.			11-18 10:30 (Time Z	'one: -06:00)	)															
	ast Signed By		2021-1	11.10 10.00 (Time z		/															
User:			TERRI	ILLLEMKE																	
Name:				Lemke																	
E-Mail:				@lanl.gov																	
					(ano: 06:00)	)															
Date/Time	9:		2021-1	11-18 11:18 (Time Z	.one00.001	/															

Permit																					
Permit #	ŧ:	NMR	050013		Permi	ttee:		Triad	National	Securit	y LLO	С		Fac	cility:		LOS AL	AMOS N	ATION	AL LABORATORY	
Major:		No			Permi	ttee Addres	ss:		ox 1663 Jamos, N	M 8754	15			Fac	cility Lo	cation:	PO BO LOS AL	K 1663 AMOS, N	IM 875	45	
Permitte	ed Feature:	076 Exter	nal Outfall		Discha	arge:		<b>076-Z</b> Zinc:		rdness	50-7	74.99 mg/l									
Report	Dates & Status				·																
Monitor	ing Period:	From	n 07/01/21 to 09/30	/21	DMR	Due Date:		11/30	/21					Sta	tus:		NetDM	R Validat	ed		
Conside	erations for Form	Comp	oletion		·									-							
Principa	al Executive Office	er																			
First Na	me:				Title:									Tel	ephone	):					
Last Na	me:													•							
No Data	Indicator (NODI)				•																
Form N	DDI:																				
	Parameter		Monitoring Location	Season # I	Param. NODI				ty or Loadii	-					-	ncentratio			# of Ex	Frequency of Analysis	Sample Type
Code	Name						Qualifier 1	Value 1	Qualifier 2	Value 2	Units	s Qualifier 1	Value 1	Qualifier 2	Value 2		Value 3 40.5	Units 28 - ug/L		01/90 - Quarterly	GR - GRAB
	Zinc, dissolved [as	7.01	1 - Effluent Gross	0		Sample Permit Reg.											40.5 104.0 MAXIMUI				GR - GRAB GR - GRAB
01000		s znj	I - EIIIUEIILGIUSS	0		· ·												0	0		
01090		-				Value NODI															
	-	-				Value NODI															
Submis	sion Note			ne Sample	e nor Efflue		then non	e of the	e following	fields	will b	be submitte	ed for th	nat row: L	Jnits, Nu	umber of l	Excursions, F	requency	of Ana	lysis, and Sample Ty	pe.
Submis	sion Note meter row does no			ne Sample	e nor Efflue		then non	e of the	e following	fields	will b	pe submitte	ed for th	nat row: L	Jnits, Nu	umber of I	Excursions, F	requency	of Ana	llysis, and Sample Ty	pe.
Submis If a para Edit Ch	sion Note meter row does no eck Errors			ne Sample	e nor Efflue		then non	e of the	e following	fields	will b	pe submitte	ed for th	nat row: L	Inits, Nu	umber of I	Excursions, F	requency	of Ana	llysis, and Sample Ty	pe.
Submis If a para Edit Cho No error	sion Note meter row does no eck Errors s.			ne Sample	e nor Efflue		then non	e of the	e following	fields	will b	pe submitte	ed for th	nat row: L	Jnits, Nu	umber of I	Excursions, F	requency	of Ana	llysis, and Sample Ty	pe.
Submis If a para Edit Ch No error Comme	sion Note meter row does no eck Errors s. nts			ne Sample	e nor Efflue		then non	e of the	o following	fields	will b	pe submitte	ed for th	nat row: L	Jnits, Nu	umber of I	Excursions, F	requency	of Ana	llysis, and Sample Ty	pe.
Submis If a para Edit Cha No error Comme LA-UR-2	sion Note meter row does no eck Errors s. nts 1-31445			ne Sample	e nor Efflue		then non	e of the	ollowing	fields	will b	be submitte	ed for th	nat row: L	Jnits, Nu	umber of I	Excursions, F	requency	of Ana	llysis, and Sample Ty	pe.
Submis If a para Edit Ch No error Comme	sion Note meter row does no eck Errors s. nts 1-31445 ments			ne Sample	e nor Efflue		then non	e of the	e following	fields	will b	pe submitte	ed for th	nat row: L	Jnits, Nu	umber of I	Excursions, F	requency	of Ana	llysis, and Sample Ty	pe.
Submis If a para Edit Cha No error Comme LA-UR-2 Attachn No attachn	sion Note meter row does no eck Errors s. nts 1-31445 ments			ne Sample	e nor Efflue		then non	e of the	o following	fields	will b	be submitte	ed for th	nat row: L	Jnits, Nu	umber of l	Excursions, F	requency	of Ana	llysis, and Sample Ty	pe.
Submis If a para Edit Che No error Comme LA-UR-2 Attachn No attachn Report	sion Note meter row does no eck Errors s. nts 1-31445 nents eents.	ot conta		ne Sample	e nor Efflue		then non	e of the	e following	fields	will b	be submitte	ed for th	nat row: L	Jnits, Nu	umber of I	Excursions, F	requency	of Ana	llysis, and Sample Ty	pe.
Submis If a para Edit Che No error Comme LA-UR-2 Attachn No attachn Report	sion Note meter row does no eck Errors s. nts 1-31445 hents hents Last Saved By	ot conta	ain any values for ti	ne Sample slie@lanl			then non	e of the	e following	fields	will b	be submitte	ed for th	nat row: L	Jnits, Nu	umber of I	Excursions, F	requency	of Ana	llysis, and Sample Ty	pe.
Submis If a para Edit Che No error Comme LA-UR-2 Attachn No attachn Report Triad Na	sion Note meter row does no eck Errors s. nts 1-31445 hents hents Last Saved By	ot conta	ain any values for ti		.gov		then non	e of the	ofollowing	fields	will b	be submitte	ed for th	nat row: L	Jnits, Nu	umber of I	Excursions, F	requency	of Ana	llysis, and Sample Ty	pe.
Submis If a para Edit Chu No error Comme LA-UR-2 Attachn No attachn Report Triad Na User:	sion Note meter row does no eck Errors s. nts 1-31445 hents hents Last Saved By	ot conta	ain any values for ti le Le	slie@lanl	.gov le		then non	e of the	e following	fields	will b	pe submitte	ed for th	nat row: L	Jnits, Nu	umber of I	Excursions, F	requency	of Ana	llysis, and Sample Ty	pe.
Submis If a para Edit Che No error Comme LA-UR-2 Attachn No attachn Report Triad Na User: Name:	sion Note meter row does no eck Errors s. nts 1-31445 nents nents Last Saved By ational Security La	ot conta	ain any values for th le Le	slie@lanl eslie Da slie@lanl	.gov Ile .gov			e of the	e following	fields	will b	be submitte	ed for th	nat row: L	Jnits, Nu	umber of I	Excursions, F	requency	of Ana	llysis, and Sample Ty	pe.
Submis If a para Edit Chu No error Comme LA-UR-2 Attachn No attachn Report Triad Na User: Name: E-Mail: Date/Tin	sion Note meter row does no eck Errors s. nts 1-31445 nents nents Last Saved By ational Security La	ot conta	ain any values for th le Le	slie@lanl eslie Da slie@lanl	.gov Ile .gov	ent Trading,		e of the	€ following	fields	will b	pe submitte	ed for th	nat row: L	Jnits, Nu	umber of I	Excursions, F	requency	of Ana	llysis, and Sample Ty	pe.
Submis If a para Edit Chu No error Comme LA-UR-2 Attachn No attachn Report Triad Na User: Name: E-Mail: Date/Tin	sion Note meter row does no eck Errors s. nts 1-31445 nents hents Last Saved By ational Security La	ot conta	ain any values for th le Le 20	slie@lanl eslie Da slie@lanl	.gov ile .gov 3 10:30 (T	ent Trading,		e of the	e following	fields	will b	be submitte	ed for th	nat row: L	Jnits, Nu	umber of I	Excursions, F	requency	of Ana	llysis, and Sample Ty	pe.
Submis If a para Edit Che No error Comme LA-UR-2 Attachn No attachn No attachn Report Triad Na User: Name: E-Mail: Date/Tin Report	sion Note meter row does no eck Errors s. nts 1-31445 nents hents Last Saved By ational Security La	ot conta	ain any values for the le Le 20 T	slie@lanl eslie Da slie@lanl 021-11-18	.gov ile .gov 3 10:30 (T EMKE	ent Trading,		e of the	• following	fields	will b	be submitte	ed for th	nat row: L	Jnits, Nu	umber of I	Excursions, F	requency	of Ana	llysis, and Sample Ty	pe.
Submis If a para Edit Cha No error Comme LA-UR-2 Attachn No attachn No attachn Report User: Date/Tin Report User:	sion Note meter row does no eck Errors s. nts 1-31445 nents hents Last Saved By ational Security La	ot conta	ain any values for the le	slie@lanl. eslie Da slie@lanl. 021-11-18 ERRILLLE	.gov ile .gov 3 10:30 (T EMKE mke	ent Trading,		e of the	e following	fields	will b	pe submitte	ed for th	hat row: L	Jnits, Nu	umber of I	Excursions, F	requency	of Ana	llysis, and Sample Ty	pe.

Permit																				
Permit	#•	NMR0500	13		Permittee:		Tria	d Nati	onal Secu	rity LLC				Facilit	h			ΔΤΙΟΝ	AL LABORATORY	
	π.	No	15		Permittee A	\ddrose:		Box 10			·				y. y Locatio		X 1663	AHON		
Major:		NO			Fernittee A	Auuress.			oos os, NM 87	545				Facili	LUCATIO		LAMOS, N	NM 875	45	
Permitt	ed Feature:	076 External C	Dutfall		Discharge:		<b>076</b> Fab		d Metal Pr	oducts,	except Coa	ting								
Report	Dates & Status				1															
Monito	ring Period:	From 10/0	)1/21 to 12/31/21		DMR Due D	Date:	02/2	28/22						Status	s:	NetDN	IR Validat	ed		
	erations for Form	Completio	n		l									1						
Princip	al Executive Offic	er																		
First Na	ame:				Title:									Telep	hone:					
Last Na	ime:													1						
No Data	a Indicator (NODI)				1															
Form N	ODI:																			
	Parameter		Monitoring Location	Season	n # Param. NODI				ty or Loadi	-					Concentra			# of Ex	. Frequency of Analysis	Sample Type
Code	Name					Sample	Qualifier 1	Value 1	Qualifier 2	Value 2	Units Qualifie	r 1 Value	1 Qualifier	2 Value 2	2 Qualifier 3	Value 3	Units			
01104	Aluminum, total re	coverable	1 - Effluent Gross	0		Permit Req.									<=	1100.0 MAXIMUM	28 - ug/L		01/90 - Quarterly	GR - GRAB
01101	,			•		Value NODI										C - No Discharge	e			
						Sample														
51450	Nitrite Plus Nitrate	Total	1 - Effluent Gross	0		Permit Req.									<=	0.68 MAXIMUM	19 - mg/L		01/90 - Quarterly	GR - GRAB
						Value NODI										C - No Discharge	e			
Submis	ssion Note																			
If a para	ameter row does no	t contain an	y values for the Sa	mple n	or Effluent Tra	ading, then I	none of th	ne follo	wing field	s will be	submitted f	or that r	ow: Units,	Numbe	er of Excur	sions, Frequency	/ of Analys	sis, and	l Sample Type.	
Edit Ch	eck Errors																			
No erro	rs.																			
Comme	ents																			
LA-UR-	22-20563																			
Attachi																				
No attach																				
-	Last Saved By																			
	ational Security L	LC																		
User:				@lanl.																
Name:				e Dal																
E-Mail:				@lanl.	-		00)													
Date/Ti			2022	-01-24	16:40 (Time	e zone00.	00)													
	Last Signed By		TEDI																	
User:				RILLLE I Lem																
Name: E-Mail:																				
E-Mail: Date/Tii	me.			e@lan -01-26	13:16 (Time	Zone: 06	00)													
Date/11	ПС.		2022	-01-20	13.10 (11116	- Zone00.	00)													

Permit																						
Permit	#:	NMR	050013		Per	mittee:		Tria	d Nationa	I Securi	ity LL	LC		F	acility	/:		LOS ALA	MOS NA	TIONA	L LABORATORY	
Major:		No			Per	mittee Addre	ess:		Box 1663 Alamos, I		545			F	acility	/ Locat	ion:	PO BOX LOS ALA		M 8754	5	
Permit	ted Feature:	076 Exter	nal Outfall		Dis	charge:		<b>076</b> Zinc		lardnes	s 50-	-74.99 mg	g/l									
Report	Dates & Status				·																	
Monito	ring Period:	From	n 10/01/21 to 12/3	1/21	DM	R Due Date:		02/2	28/22					5	Status:	:		NetDMR	Validate	d		
Consid	lerations for Form	Сотр	letion		·									·								
Princip	al Executive Office	er																				
First N	ame:				Title	e:								1	eleph	one:						
Last Na	ame:													•								
No Dat	a Indicator (NODI)				·																	
Form N	IODI:																					
	Parameter		Monitoring Location	Season #	# Param. NO				y or Loadii							r Concer				# of Ex.	Frequency of Analysis	Sample Type
Code	Name						Qualifier 1	Value 1	Qualifier 2	Value 2	Units	Qualifier 1	Value 1	Qualifier 2	Value 2	2 Qualifi	er 3	Value 3	Units			
01090	Zinc, dissolved [as	701	1 - Effluent Gross	0		Sample Permit Req.										<=	10-	4.0 MAXIMUM	28 - ug/L		01/90 - Quarterly	GR - GRAB
01090	Zliic, uissoiveu las	211	I - EIIIUeni Gioss	U		Value NODI												C - No Discharge				
Submi	ssion Note																					
		conta	in any values for t	he Samp	ole nor Effl	uent Trading,	then non	e of the	following	ı fields v	will b	e submitte	ed for t	hat row: L	Jnits, N	lumber	of Ex	cursions, Frequ	ency of A	Analysis	s, and Sample Type.	
	neck Errors								-													
No erro																						
Comm																						
	22-20563																					
Attach																						
No attach																						
Report	Last Saved By																					
Triad N	lational Security Ll	C																				
User:			I	eslie@la	anl.gov																	
Name:			l	_eslie [	Dale																	
E-Mail:			I	eslie@la	anl.gov																	
Date/Ti	me:		2	2022-01-	24 16:40	(Time Zone:	-06:00)															
Report	Last Signed By																					
User:			-	TERRILL	LEMKE																	
Name:			-	Terrill L	emke																	
E-Mail:			t	lemke@	lanl.gov																	
Date/Ti	me:			2022-01-	26 13:16	(Time Zone:	-06:00)															

Permi	it																					
Permit	t #:	NMR050	0013		Permit	tee:		Triad	d National	Securit	y LLC	)				Facilit	ty:	LOS ALAMO	OS NATIO	ONAL I	ABORATORY	
Major:	:	No			Permit	tee Addres	s:		Box 1663 Alamos, N	IM 8754	45					Facilit		PO BOX 160 LOS ALAMO		37545		
Permi	tted Feature:	076 External	l Outfall		Discha	rge:		<b>076-</b> Fabr	<b>11</b> icated Me	tal Proc	ducts,	except (	Coating	1								
Repor	rt Dates & Status				1						,		- 0	,								
	oring Period:	From 01	1/01/22 to 03/31/22		DMR D	ue Date:		05/3	1/22							Status	s:	NetDMR Va	lidated			
	derations for Form (	Completio	n													l						
Princi	pal Executive Office	r																				
First N	Name:				Title:											Telepl	hone:					
Last N	lame:															•						
No Da	ta Indicator (NODI)																					
Form I	NODI:																					
0.1	Parameter		Monitoring Location	Season #	# Param. NOD	I	0		y or Loadin				N.I 4	0			oncentration			# of Ex.	Frequency of Analysis	Sample Type
Code	Name					Sample	Qualifier 1	Value 1	Qualifier 2	Value 2 l	Units C	Jualifier 1	Value 1	Qualifier	2 Value 2	2 Qualifier 3	3 Value 3		Units			
01104	Aluminum, total rec	overable	1 - Effluent Gross	0		Permit Req.										<=	1100.0 MAXIMUM		28 - ug/L		01/90 - Quarterly	GR - GRAB
						Value NODI											F - Insufficient Flow for	or Sampling				
						Sample	_															
51450	Nitrite Plus Nitrate 1	otal	1 - Effluent Gross	0		Permit Req.										<=	0.68 MAXIMUM		19 - mg/L		01/90 - Quarterly	GR - GRAB
						Value NODI											F - Insufficient Flow for	or Sampling				
	ission Note																					
		contain ar	ny values for the Sa	mple no	or Effluent Tra	ading, then	none of t	he follo	wing fields	s will be	subm	nitted for	that ro	w: Units,	Numbe	er of Excu	irsions, Frequency of	Analysis, and	d Sample	е Туре.		
	check Errors																					
No erro																						
Comm																						
	8-22-23400																					
Attach No attacl	hments																					
	rt Last Saved By																					
-	National Security LL	с																				
User:			le	slie@lan	nl.gov																	
Name:	:			eslie Da	-																	
E-Mail:				slie@lan																		
Date/T					21 12:20 (T	Time Zone:	-05:00)															
Repor	rt Last Signed By				,		,															
User:	0 ,		TI	ERRILLL	EMKE																	
Name:	:			errill Le																		
E-Mail:				emke@la																		
Dete/T	ime:				21 13:41 (T	Time Zone:	-05:00)															

Permit																					
Permit		NMR050013			Permittee:			Triad I	Nationa	I Secu	urity LLC				Facility	:	LOS ALAM	OS NATIO	ONAL	LABORATORY	
Major:	I	No			Permittee /			PO Bo	ox 1663 amos, I	i						Location		663			
Permit		)76 External Outfall			Discharge:	:		<b>076-Z</b> Zinc: \		lardne	ess 50-74	.99 mg	/I								
Report	Dates & Status																				
Monito	ring Period:	From 01/01/22 to 03	/31/22		DMR Due D	Date:		05/31/	22						Status:		NetDMR V	alidated			
Consid	lerations for Form Col	mpletion																			
Princip	al Executive Officer																				
First N	ame:				Title:										Telepho	one:					
Last Na	ame:																				
No Dat	a Indicator (NODI)																				
Form N	IODI:	-																			
	Parameter	Monitoring Location	Season #	# Param. NO	DI			ntity or Load							Quality or Co				# of E	Ex. Frequency of Analys	is Sample Type
Code	Name				Comula	Qualifier 1	I Value	1 Qualifier	2 Value 2	2 Units	Qualifier 1	Value 1	Qualifier	2 Value	2 Qualifier 3	3	Value 3	Units			
01000	Zinc, dissolved [as Zn]	1 - Effluent Gross	0		Sample Permit Req										<=	104.0 MAX	IMUM	28 - ug/L		01/90 - Quarterly	GR - GRAB
01090	Zinc, dissolved [as Zi]	I - Enluent Gross	0		Value NOD												icient Flow for Sampling				
Submi	ssion Note																				
If a para	ameter row does not co	ntain any values for	the Sam	ple nor Effl	uent Trading	g, then nor	ne of t	he followir	ng fields	s will b	e submitt	ed for t	hat row:	Units,	Number of	Excursior	is, Frequency of Ana	ysis, and \$	Samp	le Type.	
	heck Errors																				
No erro	ors.																				
Comm	ents																				
LA-UR-	22-23400																				
Attach	ments																				
No attach	ments.																				
Report	Last Saved By																				
Triad N	lational Security LLC																				
User:			leslie(	@lanl.gov																	
Name:			Leslie	e Dale																	
E-Mail:			leslie(	@lanl.gov																	
Date/Ti	me:		2022-	-04-21 12:	20 (Time Z	one: -05:0	)0)														
Report	Last Signed By																				
User:			TERF	RILLLEMKE	Ξ																
Name:			Terrill	Lemke																	
E-Mail:			tlemk	e@lanl.gov	/																
Date/Ti	me:		2022-	-04-21 13:	41 (Time Z	one: -05:0	)0)														

Permit																		
Permit #:	T	NMR050013		Permittee	e:	Tri	ad Nati	ional Secu	urity LLC			Facility	y:	LOS A	LAMOS N	ATION/	AL LABORATORY	
Major:	1	No		Permitter	e Address:		O Box 16 os Alamo	l663 los, NM 87	7545			Facility	y Location:	PO BO LOS AL	)X 1663 LAMOS, N	JM 875₄	45	
Permitted		076 External Outfall		Discharg	je:		<b>76-ZC</b> inc: Wate	er Hardne	ess 50-74.	.99 mg/l								
Report Da	ates & Status																	
Monitoring	g Period:	From 04/01/22 to 06/30/22	.2	DMR Due	e Date:	08/	8/31/22					Status	:	NetDM	IR Validate	ed		
Considera	ations for Form Co	ompletion																
Principal I	Executive Officer																	
First Name	e:			Title:								Teleph	ione:					
Last Name	ə:																	
No Data In	ndicator (NODI)																	
Form NOD	)I: -																	
	Parameter	Monitoring Location	. Season #	Param. NODI		Q	uantity o	or Loading				Quality	or Concentration	n		# of Ex	. Frequency of Analysis	Sample Type
Code	Name					Qualifier 1 Va	ulue 1 Qu <sup>.</sup>	alifier 2 Va	lue 2 Units	Qualifier 1	Value 1 C	Qualifier 2 Va	alue 2 Qualifier 3	Value 3	Units			
					Sample									118.0	28 - ug/L			GR - GRAB
<b>X</b> 01090	Zinc, dissolved [as	as Zn] 1 - Effluent Gross	0		Permit Req.								<=	104.0 MAXIMU	JM 28 - ug/L	- 1	01/90 - Quarterly	GR - GRAB
					Value NODI													
Output																		

### Submission Note

If a parameter row does not contain any values for the Sample nor Effluent Trading, then none of the following fields will be submitted for that row: Units, Number of Excursions, Frequency of Analysis, and Sample Type.

### Edit Check Errors

	Parameter	Monitoring Location	Field	Typo	Description	Acknowledge
Code	Name		Field	Туре	Description	Acknowledge
01090	Zinc, dissolved [as Zn]	1 - Effluent Gross	Quality or Concentration Sample Value 3	Soft	The provided sample value is outside the permit limit. Please verify that the value you have provided is correct.	Yes
Comme	ents					
LA-UR-	22-28974					
Attachi	ments					
No attachi	ments.					
Report	Last Saved By					
Triad N	ational Security LLC					
User:		lesli	e@lanl.gov			
Name:		Lesl	ie Dale			
E-Mail:		lesli	e@lanl.gov			
Date/Tir	ne:	202	2-08-30 06:00 (Time Zone: -05:00)			
Report	Last Signed By					
User:		TER	RILLLEMKE			
Name:		Terr	ill Lemke			
E-Mail:		tlem	ke@lanl.gov			
Date/Tir	ne:	2022	2-08-31 13:42 (Time Zone: -05:00)			

Permit																			
Permit #	:	NMR05007	13		Permittee:		Triad Nation	nal Secur	ity LLC			F	acility:	1	LOS AL	AMOS N	INTIO	NAL LABORATORY	
Major:		No		1	Permittee A	ddress:	PO Box 166 Los Alamos		545			F	acility	Location		X 1663 _AMOS, N	NM 87	545	
Permitte	ed Feature:	076 External O	utfall		Discharge:		<b>076-11</b> Fabricated	Metal Pro	oducts, exce <sub>l</sub>	pt Coatin	g								
Report D	Dates & Status																		
	ing Period:	From 04/0	1/22 to 06/30/22		DMR Due Da	Jate:	08/31/22					S	Status:		NetDM	R Validat	ted		
Conside	erations for Form	Completio	n																
Principa	I Executive Offic	er																	
First Nar	me:			/	Title:							Т	Felepho	one:					
Last Nan	ne:																		
No Data	Indicator (NODI)	)																	
Form NC	DDI:																		
	Parameter		Monitoring Location	Season #	# Param. NOD			tity or Loadii	-				-	Concentratio			# of Ex	x. Frequency of Analysis	Sample Type
Code	Name					Sample	Qualifier 1 Value 1	Qualifier 2	Value 2 Units	Qualifier 1	Value 1	Qualifier 2	. Value 2	Qualifier 3		Units 28 - ug/L		01/90 - Quarterly	GR - GRAB
01104	Aluminum, total re	coverable	1 - Effluent Gross	0		Permit Req.								<=	484.0 1100.0 MAXIMUM				GR - GRAB GR - GRAB
	Aluminum, total ro	Coverable	I - Lindent Gross	0		Value NODI											U		
						Sample							<u> </u>			19 - mg/L		01/90 - Quarterly	GR - GRAB
51450	Nitrite Plus Nitrate	Total	1 - Effluent Gross	0		Permit Req.								<=	0.68 MAXIMUM	19 - mg/L			GR - GRAB
						Value NODI													
Submiss	sion Note																		
If a paran	neter row does no	ot contain an	y values for the Sa	mple no	or Effluent Tra	ading, then	none of the follo	wing field	s will be sul	mitted for	r that ro	w: Units,	Numbe	r of Excur	rsions, Frequenc	y of Ana	lysis, a	and Sample Type.	
Edit Che	eck Errors																		
No errors	S.																		
Commer	nts																		
LA-UR-22	2-28974																		
Attachm	ents																		
No attachm																			
-	ast Saved By																		
Triad Na	ational Security L	LC																	
User:			leslie(	@lanl.go	V														
Name:				e Dale															
E-Mail:				@lanl.go															
Date/Tim			2022-	08-30 0	06:00 (Time	Zone: -05:	00)												
Report L	ast Signed By																		
User:				RILLLEM															
Name:				l Lemke															
E-Mail:				e@lanl.g															
Date/Tim	le:		2022-	08-31 1	13:42 (Time	Zone: -05:	00)												
																			<b>/</b>

Permit																			
Permit #:	NMR	50013		Permittee	:		Triad Na	ational Secu	urity LLC		Fac	ility:		L	OS ALAMOS I	NATION	AL LAB	ORATORY	
Major:	No			Permittee	Address:		PO Box Los Alar	1663 nos, NM 87	7545		Fac	ility Loca	ition:		PO BOX 1663 LOS ALAMOS,	NM 875	45		
Permitted		nal Outfall		Discharge	9:		<b>076-IW</b> Impaired	d Water											
Report Da	ates & Status																		
Monitorin	g Period: From	07/01/21 to 06/30/22		DMR Due	Date:		08/31/22	2			Sta	tus:		1	NetDMR Valida	ited			
Consider	ations for Form Completi	on																	
Principal	Executive Officer																		
First Nam	e:			Title:							Tele	ephone:							
Last Nam																			
	ndicator (NODI)			I															
Form NOI																			
	Parameter	Monitoring Loc	ation Seaso	n # Param NO	וח		Quantity	or Loading				Quali	ity or Concei	ntration			# of Ex	. Frequency of Analysi	is Sample Type
Code	Name	Monitoring Loc				Qualifier		Qualifier 2 Val	lue 2 Units	Qualifier 1	Value 1 Q				Value 3	Units	# OI LA	. Trequency of Analysi	oumpie Type
					Sample									11		28 - ug/L		01/YR - Annual	GR - GRAB
01040	Copper, dissolved [as Cu]	1 - Effluent Gr	oss 0		Permit Rec	4.								Re	eq Mon MAXIMUM	28 - ug/L	0	01/YR - Annual	GR - GRAB
					Value NOD	ы													
					Sample										2.0	28 - ug/L		01/YR - Annual	GR - GRAB
01104	Aluminum, total recoverat	le 1 - Effluent Gr	oss 0		Permit Rec	4.								Re	eq Mon MAXIMUM	28 - ug/L	0	01/YR - Annual	GR - GRAB
					Value NOD	ы													
					Sample								<			28 - ug/L		01/YR - Annual	GR - GRAB
<b>X</b> 39516	Polychlorinated biphenyls	[PCBs] 1 - Effluent Gr	oss 0		Permit Rec	ą.								Re	eq Mon MAXIMUM	19 - mg/L	0	01/YR - Annual	GR - GRAB
					Value NOD	ы													

## Submission Note

If a parameter row does not contain any values for the Sample nor Effluent Trading, then none of the following fields will be submitted for that row: Units, Number of Excursions, Frequency of Analysis, and Sample Type.

## Edit Check Errors

	Parameter	Monitoring	Field	Turne	Description	Aaknowladaa
Code	Name	Location	Field	Туре	Description	Acknowledge
	Polychlorinated biphenyls [PCBs]	1 - Effluent Gross	Units	Soft	You have selected units that are different from the units established by your Regulatory Authority. Please contact your Regulatory Authority to discuss the selection of any alternative units.	Yes
Comm	ents					
LA-UR-	22-28977. Total aroclors were	e not detected there	fore mo	nitoring	y will be discontinued until permit year 4 (Part 4.2.5.1.a).	
Attach	ments					
No attach						
Report	Last Saved By					
Triad N	lational Security LLC					
User:		les	slie@lar	nl.gov		
Name:		Le	slie D	ale		
E-Mail:		les	slie@lar	nl.gov		
Date/Ti	me:	20	22-08-3	80 06:0	0 (Time Zone: -05:00)	
Report	Last Signed By					
User:		TE	RRILLI	EMKE		
Name:		Те	rrill Le	emke		
E-Mail:		tle	mke@la	anl.gov		
Date/Ti	me:	20	22-08-3	31 13:4	2 (Time Zone: -05:00)	

Permit																				
Permit	#:	NMR05001	13		Permittee:		Triad	d Natio	nal Securi	ty LLC				Facility:		LOS AL	AMOS N	IOITA	AL LABORATORY	
Major:		No			Permittee A	ddress:		Box 166 Alamos	63 5, NM 875	45				Facility	Location	: PO BOX LOS AL		NM 87	545	
Permitt	ed Feature:	076 External O	utfall		Discharge:		<b>076-</b> Fabr		Metal Pro	ducts, ex	cept Co	ating								
Report	Dates & Status			I																
	ring Period:	From 07/0	1/22 to 09/30/22		DMR Due Da	ate:	11/3	0/22						Status:		NetDMF	R Valida	ted		
Consid	lerations for Form	Completion	n																	
Princip	al Executive Office	er																		
First Na	ame:			ŀ	Title:									Telepho	ne:					
Last Na	ame:																			
No Dat	a Indicator (NODI)																			
Form N																				
Code	Parameter Name		Monitoring Location	Season #	# Param. NODI		Qualifier 1		ty or Loadii Qualifier 2		nits Quali	fier 1 Value			oncentrati		Units	# of Ex	. Frequency of Analysis	Sample Type
						Sample										525.0	28 - ug/L		01/90 - Quarterly	GR - GRAB
01104	Aluminum, total re	coverable	1 - Effluent Gross	0		Permit Req.									<=	1100.0 MAXIMUM	28 - ug/L	0	01/90 - Quarterly	GR - GRAB
						Value NODI														
						Sample Permit Req.									<=	0.296 0.68 MAXIMUM	19 - mg/L			GR - GRAB GR - GRAB
51450	Nitrite Plus Nitrate	Total	1 - Effluent Gross	0		Value NODI											10 - mg/E	0	o 1/00 - Quarterry	
Submis	ssion Note																			
	ameter row does no	t contain an	v values for the Sa	mple no	r Effluent Tra	dina. then	none of th	ne follov	wina fields	s will be s	submitte	d for that re	ow: Units	. Numbe	r of Excu	rsions. Frequenc	v of Ana	alvsis, a	and Sample Type.	
	eck Errors		,			3,			5					,		, 1	,	<b>,</b>	1 21	
No erro																				
Comme	ents																			
LA-UR-	22-32029																			
Attach	ments																			
No attach																				
	Last Saved By																			
	lational Security L	LC		<u></u>																
User:				@lanl.go	V															
Name: E-Mail:				e Dale @lanl.go	N/															
Date/Ti	me.				6:10 (Time	Zone: -06:(	າດາ													
	Last Signed By		LULL		0.10 (11110	20110. 00.0	50)													
User:			TERF	RILLLEM	KE															
Name:				Lemk																
E-Mail:				e@lanl.g																
Date/Ti	me:				5:36 (Time	Zone: -06:0	00)													

Permit																						
Permit	#:	NMR	R050013		Permi	ttee:		Triad	National	Securit	ty LL	C		Fac	cility:			LOS ALA	AMOS N	ATION	AL LABORATORY	
Major:		No			Permi	ittee Addres	is:		ox 1663 Jamos, NI	M 8754	45			Fac	cility Lo	ocation:		PO BOX LOS ALA		IM 875	45	
Permitt	ed Feature:	076 Exter	rnal Outfall		Disch	arge:		<b>076-Z</b> Zinc:		rdness	s 50-7	74.99 mg/	I									
Report	Dates & Status																					
Monito	ing Period:	From	n 07/01/22 to 09/30	/22	DMR	Due Date:		11/30	/22					Sta	itus:			NetDMR	Validat	ed		
Consid	erations for Form	Comp	oletion											•								
Princip	al Executive Offic	er																				
First Na	ime:				Title:									Tel	ephone	e:						
Last Na	me:													•								
No Dat	a Indicator (NODI)																					
Form N	ODI:																					
	Parameter		Monitoring Location	Season #	Param. NOD				ty or Loadir						-	oncentratio				# of Ex.	Frequency of Analysis	Sample Type
Code	Name					Sample	Qualifier 1	Value 1	Qualifier 2	Value 2	2 Units	s Qualifier '	I Value 1	Qualifier 2	2 Value 2		8 V 44.3	alue 3	Units 28 - ug/L		01/90 - Quarterly	GR - GRAB
01090	Zinc, dissolved [as	701	1 - Effluent Gross	0		Permit Req.					-	_						MAXIMUM			-	GR - GRAB GR - GRAB
01090	Zilic, dissolved [as	5 211]	I - Elliueni Gioss	0		Value NODI					_									0		
						Value NODI																
Submis	sion Note					Value NODI																
		ot conta	ain any values for tl	he Sample	e nor Efflue		then none	e of the	e following	fields	will b	be submitt	ed for t	hat row: L	Jnits, N	umber of	Excurs	sions, Fre	equency	of Ana	lysis, and Sample Ty	pe.
If a para		ot conta	ain any values for tl	he Sampl	e nor Efflue		then none	e of the	e following	l fields	will k	pe submit	ed for t	hat row: L	Jnits, N	umber of	Excurs	sions, Fre	equency	of Ana	lysis, and Sample Ty	pe.
If a para	meter row does no eck Errors	ot conta	ain any values for t	he Sampl	e nor Efflue		then non	e of the	following	l fields	will k	be submit	ed for t	hat row: L	Jnits, N	umber of	Excure	sions, Fre	equency	of Ana	lysis, and Sample Ty	pe.
lf a para Edit Ch	meter row does no eck Errors rs.	ot conta	ain any values for t	he Sampl	le nor Efflue		then non	e of the	e following	l fields	will k	be submit	ed for t	hat row: L	Jnits, N	umber of	Excurs	sions, Fre	equency	of Ana	lysis, and Sample Ty	pe.
If a para Edit Ch No erro Commo	meter row does no eck Errors rs.	ot conta	ain any values for t	he Sampl	le nor Efflue		then non	e of the	e following	i fields '	will t	be submit	ed for ti	hat row: L	Jnits, N	umber of	Excur	sions, Fre	equency	of Ana	lysis, and Sample Ty	pe.
If a para Edit Ch No erro Commo	meter row does no eck Errors rs. ents 22-32029	ot conta	ain any values for t	he Sampl	le nor Efflu∉		then non	e of the	e following	i fields '	will t	be submit	ed for ti	hat row: L	Jnits, N	umber of	Excurs	sions, Fre	equency	of Ana	lysis, and Sample Ty	pe.
If a para Edit Ch No erro Comme LA-UR-	meter row does no eck Errors rs. ents 22-32029 ments	ot conta	ain any values for t	he Sampl	le nor Efflue		then non	e of the	e following	fields	will t	pe submitt	ed for th	hat row: L	Jnits, N	umber of	Excurs	sions, Fre	equency	of Ana	lysis, and Sample Ty	pe.
If a para Edit Ch No erro Comme LA-UR- Attach No attach	meter row does no eck Errors rs. ents 22-32029 ments	ot conta	ain any values for t	he Sampl	le nor Efflue		then non	e of the	e following	i fields '	will t	be submit	ed for th	hat row: L	Jnits, N	umber of	Excurs	sions, Fre	equency	of Ana	lysis, and Sample Ty	pe.
If a para Edit Ch No erro Commo LA-UR- Attach No attach Report	meter row does no eck Errors rs. ents 22-32029 ments ments.		ain any values for t	he Sampl	le nor Efflue		then non	e of the	e following	ı fields '	will t	pe submit	ed for th	hat row: L	Jnits, N	umber of	Excurs	sions, Fre	equency	of Ana	lysis, and Sample Ty	pe.
If a para Edit Ch No erro Commo LA-UR- Attach No attach Report	meter row does no eck Errors rs. ents 22-32029 nents nents. Last Saved By			he Sampl			then non	e of the	e following	i fields v	will t	be submitt	ed for th	hat row: L	Jnits, N	umber of	Excurs	sions, Fre	equency	of Ana	lysis, and Sample Ty	pe.
If a para Edit Ch No erro Comme LA-UR- Attach No attach Report Triad N	meter row does no eck Errors rs. ents 22-32029 nents nents. Last Saved By		le		l.gov		then non	e of the	• following	i fields i	will t	be submit	ed for th	hat row: L	Jnits, N	umber of	Excurs	sions, Fre	equency	of Ana	lysis, and Sample Ty	pe.
If a para Edit Ch No erro LA-UR- Attachi No attachi Report Triad N User:	meter row does no eck Errors rs. ents 22-32029 nents nents. Last Saved By		le	eslie@lanl	l.gov ale		then non	e of the	e following	i fields v	will t	pe submit	ed for th	hat row: L	Jnits, N	umber of	Excurs	sions, Fre	equency	of Ana	lysis, and Sample Ty	pe.
If a para Edit Ch No erro LA-UR- Attach No attach Report Triad N User: Name:	meter row does no eck Errors rs. ents 22-32029 ments ments. Last Saved By ational Security L		le Le le	eslie@lanl eslie Da eslie@lanl	l.gov ale I.gov			e of the	e following	l fields v	will t	be submitt	ed for th	hat row: L	Jnits, N	umber of	Excur	sions, Fre	equency	of Ana	lysis, and Sample Ty	pe.
If a para Edit Ch No erro LA-UR- Attach No attach Report Triad N User: Name: E-Mail: Date/Tin	meter row does no eck Errors rs. ents 22-32029 ments ments. Last Saved By ational Security L		le Le le	eslie@lanl eslie Da eslie@lanl	l.gov ale I.gov	ent Trading,		e of the	• following	fields i	will t	be submit	ed for th	hat row: L	Jnits, N	umber of	Excurs	sions, Fre	equency	of Ana	lysis, and Sample Ty	pe.
If a para Edit Ch No erro LA-UR- Attach No attach Report Triad N User: Name: E-Mail: Date/Tin	meter row does no eck Errors ents 22-32029 ments Last Saved By ational Security L		le Le le 20	eslie@lanl eslie Da eslie@lanl	I.gov ale I.gov 5 16:10 (T	ent Trading,		e of the	e following	i fields i	will t	be submit	ed for th	hat row: L	Jnits, N	umber of	Excurs	sions, Fre	equency	of Ana	lysis, and Sample Ty	pe.
If a para Edit CH No erro Comme LA-UR- Attach No attach No attach No attach No attach No attach Report Triad N User: Name: E-Mail: Date/Tin Report	meter row does no eck Errors ents 22-32029 ments Last Saved By ational Security L		le Le le 20 TI	eslie@lanl eslie Da eslie@lanl 022-11-15	I.gov ale I.gov 5 16:10 (T EMKE	ent Trading,		e of the	e following	i fields i	will t	be submit	ed for th	nat row: L	Jnits, N	umber of	Excurs	sions, Fre	equency	of Ana	lysis, and Sample Ty	pe.
If a para Edit Ch No erro Comme LA-UR- Attache No attache No attache Triad N User: Name: E-Mail: Date/Tie Report User:	meter row does no eck Errors ents 22-32029 ments Last Saved By ational Security L		le Le 20 Ti Te	eslie@lanl eslie Da eslie@lanl 022-11-15 ERRILLLE	I.gov ale I.gov 5 16:10 (T EMKE mke	ent Trading,		e of the	e following	i fields i	will t	be submit	ed for th	hat row: L	Jnits, N	umber of	Excurs	sions, Fre	equency	of Ana	lysis, and Sample Ty	pe.

	-																					
Permit																						
Permit	#:	NMR050	0013		Permit	tee:		Triac	l National	Securi	ty LL(	С				Facility	/:	LOS ALAMO	OS NAT	ONAL	LABORATORY	
Major:		No			Permit	tee Addres	S:		3ox 1663 Alamos, N		45					Facility	Location:	PO BOX 160 LOS ALAMO		87545		
Permit	ted Feature:	076 External	Outfall		Discha	irge:		<b>076-</b> Fabr		etal Proc	ducts	, except (	Coating									
Report	Dates & Status																					
Monito	ring Period:	From 10	/01/22 to 12/31/22		DMR D	ue Date:		02/28	B/ <b>23</b>							Status	:	NetDMR Va	lidated			
Consid	lerations for Form C	Completio	n																			
Princip	oal Executive Office	r																				
First N					Title:											Teleph	one:					
Last N																						
	ta Indicator (NODI)																					
Form N	Parameter		Monitoring Location	Season #	Param NOD	1		Quantit	y or Loadi	na					0	uality or Co	ncentration			# of F	c. Frequency of Analysis	Sample Typ
Code	Name		Monitoring Location	0003011 #			Qualifier 1				Units	Qualifier 1	Value 1	Qualifier 2			Value	3	Units	# OIL	. Trequency of Analysis	oampie ryp
						Sample														_		00.0040
01104	Aluminum, total reco	overable	1 - Effluent Gross	0		Permit Req.										<=	1100.0 MAXIMUM		28 - ug/L	-	01/90 - Quarterly	GR - GRAB
						Value NODI					_						F - Insufficient Flow	for Sampling				
54450	Nituite Dive Nituate T	- 4 - 1		0		Sample Permit Req.										<=	0.68 MAXIMUM		19 - mg/l		01/90 - Quarterly	GR - GRAB
51450	Nitrite Plus Nitrate T	otai	1 - Effluent Gross	0		Value NODI											F - Insufficient Flow		- J.		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Submi	ssion Note																					
	ameter row does not	contain ar	v values for the Sa	mple nor	Effluent Tr	ading then	none of th	ne follov	wina field	s will be	e subi	mitted for	that ro	w <sup>.</sup> Units	Numbe	r of Excur	sions Frequency (	of Analysis an	d Samp	e Type	3	
	heck Errors	oontain ai			Endonem	danig, tion			ang noid		000		that io	v. ornto,	- Turno c		olonio, i roquonoy (	, any old, any	a oump	o rypt		
No erro																						
Comm																						
	-23-21357																					
Attach																						
No attach																						
Report	Last Saved By																					
Triad I	lational Security LL	С																				
User:			le	slie@lanl	l.gov																	
Name:			Le	eslie Da	ale																	
E-Mail:			le	slie@lanl	l.gov																	
Date/T	me:		20	023-02-10	0 08:40 (1	Time Zone:	-06:00)															
Report	Last Signed By																					
User:			TE	ERRILLLI	EMKE																	
Name:				errill Le																		
Name: E-Mail:			Te		mke																	

Permit																						
Permit	¥:	NMRO	050013		Permit	ttee:		Triad	National S	Security	/ LLC			Fac	cility:		LOS	5 ALA	MOS N	ATION.	AL LABORATORY	
Major:		No			Permit	ttee Addres	38:		ox 1663 Iamos, NI	M 8754	5			Fac	cility Lo	cation:		BOX S ALA	1663 MOS, N	IM 875	45	
Permitt	ed Feature:	076 Extern	nal Outfall		Discha	arge:		<b>076-Z</b> Zinc: \	<b>:C</b> Water Ha	Irdness	50-74	4.99 mg/l										
Report	Dates & Status				·																	
Monitor	ring Period:	From	10/01/22 to 12/31	/22	DMR [	Due Date:		02/28/	/23					Sta	atus:		Net	DMR	Validat	ed		
Consid	erations for Form	Compl	etion																			
Princip	al Executive Offic	er																				
First Na	me:				Title:									Tel	lephone	et						
Last Na	me:																					
No Data	a Indicator (NODI)	)																				
Form N	ODI:																					
Orada	Parameter	P	Monitoring Location	Season #	Param. NODI				ty or Loadin	-	turite (	Our l'Gan d	Mahun 4			ncentratio		2		# of Ex.	Frequency of Analysi	s Sample Type
Code	Name					Sample	Qualifier 1	Value 1	Qualitier 2	Value 2	Units	Qualitier 1	Value	Qualitier 2	2 Value 2		Value 71.7		Units 28 - ug/L		01/90 - Quarterly	GR - GRAB
01090	Zinc, dissolved [as	s Zn]	1 - Effluent Gross	0		Permit Req.											104.0 MAX				01/90 - Quarterly	GR - GRAB
C		5 mar. 1																				
						Value NODI																
Submis	sion Note					Value NODI																
		ot contai	n any values for tl	he Sampl	le nor Efflue			∋ of the	following	ı fields v	will be	e submitte	ed for th	nat row: L	Jnits, Nu	Imber of	Excursion	s, Fre	quency	of Ana	lysis, and Sample 1	ype.
lf a para		ot contai	n any values for t	he Sampi	le nor Efflue			∋ of the	following	ı fields v	will be	e submitte	ed for th	nat row: L	Jnits, Nu	Imber of	Excursion	s, Fre	quency	of Ana	lysis, and Sample 1	ype.
lf a para	imeter row does no eck Errors	ot contai	in any values for ti	he Sampl	le nor Efflue			∍ of the	following	ı fields v	will be	e submitte	ed for th	at row: נ	Jnits, Nu	Imber of	Excursion	s, Fre	quency	of Ana	lysis, and Sample T	ype.
lf a para <b>Edit Ch</b>	imeter row does no eck Errors rs.	ot contai	in any values for t	he Samp	le nor Efflue			∍ of the	following	ı fields v	will be	e submitte	ed for th	nat row: L	Jnits, Nu	imber of	Excursion	s, Fre	quency	of Ana	lysis, and Sample T	ype.
If a para Edit Ch No error Comme	imeter row does no eck Errors rs.					ent Trading,	then none												quency	of Ana	lysis, and Sample T	ype.
If a para Edit Ch No error Comme	imeter row does no eck Errors rs. ents 23-21358. The ave					ent Trading,	then none												quency	of Ana	lysis, and Sample T	ype.
If a para Edit Ch No error Comme LA-UR-2 Attachr No attachr	eck Errors eck Errors rs. ents 23-21358. The aver nents ments.					ent Trading,	then none												quency	of Ana	lysis, and Sample T	ype.
If a para Edit Ch No error Comme LA-UR-2 Attachr No attachr Report	eck Errors eck Errors rs. ents 23-21358. The ave nents nents. Last Saved By	erage of				ent Trading,	then none												quency	of Ana	lysis, and Sample T	ype.
If a para Edit Ch No error Comme LA-UR-2 Attachr No attachr Report	eck Errors eck Errors rs. ents 23-21358. The ave nents ments.	erage of	4 quarterly results	s for Zn is	s below the b	ent Trading,	then none												quency	of Ana	lysis, and Sample T	ype.
If a para Edit Ch No error Comme LA-UR-2 Attachr No attachr Report	eck Errors eck Errors rs. ents 23-21358. The ave nents nents. Last Saved By	erage of	4 quarterly results		s below the b	ent Trading,	then none												quency	of Ana	lysis, and Sample T	ype.
If a para Edit Ch No error Comme LA-UR-2 Attachr No attachr Report Triad N	eck Errors eck Errors rs. ents 23-21358. The ave nents nents. Last Saved By	erage of	4 quarterly results le	s for Zn is eslie@lanl eslie Da	s below the b nl.gov ale	ent Trading,	then none												quency	of Ana	lysis, and Sample T	ype.
If a para Edit Ch No error Comme LA-UR-2 Attachr No attachr No attachr Triad N User: Name: E-Mail:	ameter row does no eck Errors rs. ents 23-21358. The aver nents ments. Last Saved By ational Security L	erage of	4 quarterly results le	s for Zn is eslie@lanl	s below the b nl.gov ale	ent Trading,	then none												quency	of Ana	lysis, and Sample T	ype.
If a para Edit Ch No error Comme LA-UR-2 Attachr No attachr No attachr Triad N User: Name:	ameter row does no eck Errors rs. ents 23-21358. The aver nents ments. Last Saved By ational Security L	erage of	4 quarterly results le Le	s for Zn is eslie@lanl eslie Da eslie@lanl	s below the b nl.gov ale	ent Trading, benchmark t	then none												quency	of Ana	lysis, and Sample T	ype.
If a para Edit Ch No error Comme LA-UR-2 Attachr No attachr Report Triad N User: Name: E-Mail: Date/Tir	ameter row does no eck Errors rs. ents 23-21358. The aver nents ments. Last Saved By ational Security L	erage of	4 quarterly results le Le	s for Zn is eslie@lanl eslie Da eslie@lanl	s below the b nl.gov ale nl.gov	ent Trading, benchmark t	then none												quency	of Ana	lysis, and Sample T	ype.
If a para Edit Ch No error Comme LA-UR-2 Attachr No attachr Report Triad N User: Name: E-Mail: Date/Tir	meter row does no eck Errors rs. ents 23-21358. The ave ments nents. Last Saved By ational Security L	erage of	4 quarterly results le Le 20	s for Zn is eslie@lanl eslie Da eslie@lanl	s below the b nl.gov ale nl.gov 0 08:40 (T	ent Trading, benchmark t	then none												quency	of Ana	lysis, and Sample T	ype.
If a para Edit Ch No error Comme LA-UR-2 Attachr No attachr No attachr Triad N User: Name: E-Mail: Date/Tir Report	meter row does no eck Errors rs. ents 23-21358. The ave ments nents. Last Saved By ational Security L	erage of	4 quarterly results le Le 20 Ti	s for Zn is eslie@lani eslie Da eslie@lani 023-02-10	s below the b nl.gov ale nl.gov 0 08:40 (T	ent Trading, benchmark t	then none												quency	of Ana	lysis, and Sample T	ype.
If a para Edit Ch No error Comme LA-UR-2 Attachr No attachr Report User: Name: E-Mail: Date/Tir Report User:	meter row does no eck Errors rs. ents 23-21358. The ave ments nents. Last Saved By ational Security L	erage of	4 quarterly results le Le 20 Ti	s for Zn is eslie@lanl eslie Da eslie@lanl 023-02-1( ERRILLL	s below the k nl.gov ale nl.gov 0 08:40 (T LEMKE emke	ent Trading, benchmark t	then none												quency	of Ana	lysis, and Sample T	ype.

Permit							T.												TON		
Permit #	<i>‡</i> :	NMR0500	13		Permittee:				onal Securi	ty LLC					acility				ATIONA	AL LABORATORY	
Major:		No			Permittee A	Address:		Box 16 Alamo	663 os, NM 875	45				F	acility	/ Locatio	n: PO BOX LOS AL	X 1663 .AMOS, N	IM 8754	45	
Permitte	ed Feature:	076 External O	outfall		Discharge:		<b>076</b> Fab		d Metal Pro	oducts,	exce	∋pt Coatin	g								
Report	Dates & Status																				
	ring Period:	From 01/0	)1/23 to 03/31/23		DMR Due D	Date:	05/:	31/23						5	Status		NetDMI	R Validate	ed		
	lerations for Form	Completio	n																		
Principa	al Executive Office	er																			
First Na	ame:				Title:									1	Feleph	one:					
Last Na																					
	a Indicator (NODI)	)																			
Form N																					
	Parameter		Monitoring Location	Season #	# Param. NODI			Quanti	ity or Loading	g				Qua	ality or	Concentrat	tion		# of Ex.	Frequency of Analysis	Sample Type
Code	Name					1	Qualifier 1	Value 1	Qualifier 2	/alue 2 U	Jnits	Qualifier 1	Value 1				Value 3	Units			
	· · · · · · · ·					Sample Permit Req.										<=	1100.0 MAXIMUM	28 - ug/L		01/90 - Quarterly	GR - GRAB
01104	Aluminum, total re	coverable	1 - Effluent Gross	0		Value NODI											C - No Discharge	_		01/50 - Quarterry	GR- GRAD
											$\rightarrow$						C - NO Discharge				
51450	Nitrite Plus Nitrate	Total	1 - Effluent Gross	0		Sample Permit Req.										<=	0.68 MAXIMUM	19 - mg/L		01/90 - Quarterly	GR - GRAB
51450	NITILE FIUS MILIALE	Total	I - Elliueni Gioss	0		Value NODI											C - No Discharge				
Submis	ssion Note																				
		ot contain an	y values for the Sa	mple nc	r Effluent Tra	ading, then	none of th	he follo	wing fields	will be	sub	mitted for	that rov	w <sup>.</sup> Units, N	lumber	of Excur	sions Frequency	of Analys	is and	Sample Type.	
	eck Errors	A comain an	y valace for the first	inpre	Endern	lung,		0 10	Wing hold	Will 22	0421	made re.	that is a	W. O,	Contra e :	0. 2	0000, 11040000, 9	017 (1.0.1)	10, 0.112	oumpio Type.	
No error																					
Comme																					
	23-24654																				
Attachn																					
No attachn																					
	Last Saved By																				
	lational Security L	LC																			
User:			leslie	e@lanl.go	ov																
Name:				e Dale																	
E-Mail:				e@lanl.go																	
Date/Tin	ne:				, 11:00 (Time	e Zone: -05	:00)														
	Last Signed By																				
User:			TERF	RILLLEM	MKE																
Name:				ll Lemk																	
E-Mail:				ke@lanl.g																	
Date/Tin	ne:				13:42 (Time	e Zone: -05 <sup>.</sup>	:00)														
1							/														

	-																						
<form>  Mem Mem<td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></form>						1-										1.							
<form></form>				0013							urity LLC						-			NAL L	ABORATORY		
	Major:		No			Perm	ittee Addro	ess:			7545					Fac	ility Location:			7545			
<form><th colu<="" td=""><td>Permit</td><td></td><td></td><td>l Outfall</td><td></td><td>Disch</td><td>narge:</td><td></td><td></td><td>Metal P</td><td>roducts, ex</td><td>cept Coa</td><td>iting</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th></form>	<td>Permit</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>l Outfall</td> <td></td> <td>Disch</td> <td>narge:</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Metal P</td> <td>roducts, ex</td> <td>cept Coa</td> <td>iting</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Permit			l Outfall		Disch	narge:			Metal P	roducts, ex	cept Coa	iting									
<form></form>	Report	Dates & Status										·											
Prince         Prince	Monito	ring Period:	From 04	4/01/23 to 06/30/2:	3	DMR	Due Date:		08/31/23							Sta	tus:	NetDMR Vali	dated				
<form>  Int interview in the second of the</form>	Consid	lerations for Form Co	mpletio	n																			
<form>  in the interview of the interview</form>																							
<form>  Use of the control (NOD)</form>	Princip	al Executive Officer																					
<form>  No divide control in the co</form>	First N	ame:				Title:										Tel	ephone:						
<form>Participante de la colspan="1"Verteur de la colspan="1"<th <="" colspan="1" t<="" td=""><td>Last Na</td><td>ame:</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th></form>	<td>Last Na</td> <td>ame:</td> <td></td>	Last Na	ame:																				
Parameter       Manine       Parameter       Quantity or Lossing or Constrained       Port Parameter       Quantity or Constrained       Port Parameter	No Dat	a Indicator (NODI)																					
Image: Proving the stand of	Form N	IODI:																					
Answing the properties of the properise of the properties of the properties of the prope	Queda			Monitoring Location	Season #	# Param. NOD	1		-	-			10	:6: 0 \/-I		-			Unite	# of Ex	Frequency of Analysis	Sample Type	
1 1	Code	Name					Sample	Qualifier 1	value 1 Qualifier	2 value 2	Units Qualif	er 1 value	1 Quali	ifier 2 vai	ue 2 Qu	Jaimer 3	Value 3	j	Units				
intrace <td>01104</td> <td>Aluminum, total recov</td> <td>erable</td> <td>1 - Effluent Gross</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>&lt;=</td> <td></td> <td>1100.0 MAXIMUM</td> <td></td> <td>28 - ug/L</td> <td></td> <td>01/90 - Quarterly</td> <td>GR - GRAB</td>	01104	Aluminum, total recov	erable	1 - Effluent Gross	0		-								<=		1100.0 MAXIMUM		28 - ug/L		01/90 - Quarterly	GR - GRAB	
9 9		·					Value NOD										B - Below Detection Lim	nit/No Detection					
Since         Name         B - Below Detection Limit/No Detection           Submission Note         B - Below Detection Limit/No Detection         Aute NOD           Submission Note         Submission Submissi Submission Submission Submission Submission Submission							-																
Submission Note         If a parameter row does not contain any values for the Sample nor Effluent Trading, then none of the following fields will be submitted for that row: Units, Number of Excursions, Frequency of Analysis, and Sample Type.         Edit Check Errors         No errors.         Comments         LA-UR-23-29227. The average of 4 quarterly results for AI and NO2+NO3-N is below the benchmark therefore monitoring may be discontinued until year 4 of permit coverage per Part 4.2.3.a.i.         Attachments         Ne attachments         Report Last Saved By         Triad National Security LLC         User:       lesile@lanl.gov         Name:       lesile@lanl.gov         Date/Time:       2023-09-11 10:01 (Time Zone: -05:00)         Report Last Signed By       TERRILLEMKE         Ware:       TERRILLEMKE         Name:       TERRILLEMKE         Name:       TERRILLEMKE         Last       Termil Lemike         E-Mait:       termike	51450	Nitrite Plus Nitrate Tot	al	1 - Effluent Gross	0										<=				19 - mg/L		01/90 - Quarterly	GR - GRAB	
If a parameter row does not contain any values for the file on the following fields will be submitted for that row. Units, Number of Excursions, Frequency of Analysis, and Sample Type. <i>Edit Check Errors. Roments Coments La</i> -Lyl-2s-2s227. The sort of 4 quarterly is when Note Notes N							Value NOD										B - Below Detection Lim	nit/No Detection					
Edit Check Errors         No errors.         Comments         LA-UR-23-29227. The average of 4 quarterly results or Land NO2+NO3-N is below the benchmark therefore monitoring may be discontinue dutil year 4 of permit coverage per Part 4.2.2.3.a.i.         Attachments.         Notateshments.         Report Last Saved By         Triad Notario Security LLC         User:       leslie@lanl.gov         Name:       Leslie@lanl.gov         Part Last Signed By         ErMail:       leslie@lanl.gov         Report Last Signed By         Comments       Exeliments.         Final:       Leslie@lanl.gov         Name:       Leslie@lanl.gov         Date/Time:       023-09-11 10:01 (Time Zone: -05:00)         Report Last Signed By       Exellie Date         Lose:       TerNILLEMKE         Name:       Leslie@lanl.gov         Lose:       TerNILLEMKE																							
No errors.           Comments           LA-UR-23-29227. The average of 4 quarterly results and NO2+NO3-N is below the benchmark therefore monitoring may be discontinued until year 4 of permit coverage per Part 4.2.3.a.i.           Attachments           No arrors.           Report Last Saved Surgers.           Facily Cast Saved Surgers.           Name:           Lasie Quant.gov           Name:           Lasie Quant.gov           Date Date           Date Date           Date Date Date           Concent Cast Cast Cast Cast Cast Cast Cast Cas			ontain an	y values for the Sa	ample no	or Effluent Tr	ading, ther	none of th	e following field	ds will be	e submitted	for that I	ow: U	nits, Nur	mber o	of Excur	sions, Frequency of Ar	nalysis, and Sam	nple Typ	e.			
Comments         LA-UR-23-29227. The average of 4 quarterly results /r A and NO2+NO3-N is below the benchmark therefore monitoring may be discontinued until year 4 of permit coverage per Part 4.2.3.a.i.         Attachments         No attachments.         Report Last Saved By         Triad National Security LLC         User:       lesli@anl.gov         Attachments.         E-Mail:       lesli@anl.gov         Date/Inne:       lesli@anl.gov         Comments       lesli@anl.gov         Status By       lesli@anl.gov         Date/Inne:       lesli@anl.gov         Batter Last Status By       lesli@anl.gov         Comments       lesli@anl.gov         Batter Last Status By       lesli@anl.gov         Date:       lesli@anl.gov         Batter Last Status By       lesli@anl.gov																							
LA-UR-23-29227. The average of 4 quarterly results in NO2+NO3-N is below the benchmark therefore monitoring may be discontinued until year 4 of permit coverage per Part 4.2.3.a.i.         Attachments         No attachments         Report Last Saved By         Trid National Security LLC         User:       lesi@ala.gov         Bail@ala.gov         Date/Time:       desi@ala.gov         Carbon time:       acsi@ala.gov         Date/Time:       desi@ala.gov         Carbon time:       desi@ala.gov         Carbon time:       desi@ala.gov         Date/Time:       desi@ala.gov         Date/Time:       desi@ala.gov         Date/Time:       desi@ala.gov         <	No erro	ors.																					
Attachments         Report Last Saved By         Trid National Security LLC         User:       lesi@aln.gov         Isei@aln.gov         Security S																							
No attachments.         Report Last Saved By         Triad National Security LLC         User:       lesie@ala.gov         Name:       lesie@ala.gov         F-Mail:       lesie@ala.gov         Date/Time:       023-09-11 10:01 (Time Zone: -05:00)         Report Last Signed By       IERRILLEMEKE         Name:       Terril Lemke         Name:       terril Lemke			e of 4 qu	arterly results for A	AI and NO	02+NO3-N i	is below the	e benchmai	rk therefore mo	nitoring	may be dis	continue	d until	year 4 o	of perm	nit cove	rage per Part 4.2.2.3.a	.i.					
Report Last Saved By           Triad National Security LLC           User:         lesi@aln.gov           Name:         Lesi@ Dale           E-Mail:         lesi@aln.gov           Date/Time:         023 0.91 1.021 (Time Zone: -05:00)           Report Last Signed By         User:           User:         TERRILLEMEKE           Name:         Terril Lemke           Ise:         Terril Lemke																							
Name         Ieslie@lanl.gov           Yame:         Leslie Dale           F-Mail:         Ieslie@lanl.gov           Date/Time:         020-09-11 filme Zone: -05:00)           Report Last Signed Bu         IERILLEMKE           Vise:         Terril Lemke           Pail:         1erii Lemke           Fund:         terril Lemke																							
User:lesi@lanl.govName:Lesi@ DaleE-Mail:lesi@lanl.govDate/Time:2023-09.1 10:01 (Time Zone: -05:00) <b>Report Last Signed By</b> User:TERRLLEMKEName:Terril LemkeE-Mail:terril LemkeE-Mail:terril Lemke	-	-																					
Name:Leslie DaleE-Mail:leslie@lanl.govDate/Time:203-09-11 10:01 (Time Zone: -05:00)Report Last Signed ByUser:TERRILLEMKEName:TERRILLEMKEFamil:temke@lanl.gov					leslie@la	anl dov																	
E-Mail:lesie@lanl.govDate/Time:023-09-11 10:01 (Time Zone: -05:00)Report Last Signed By																							
Date/Time:2023-09-11 10:01 (Time Zone: -05:00)Report Last Signed ByTERRILLEMKEUser:TERRILLEMKEName:Terril LemkeF-Mail:temke@lanl.gov																							
Report Last Signed By       User:     TERRILLLEMKE       Name:     Terrill Lemke       E-Mail:     tlemke@lanl.gov		me.					(Time Zone	· -05·00)															
User:     TERRILLEMKE       Name:     Terrill Lemke       E-Mail:     tlemke@lanl.gov																							
Name:     Terrill     Lemke       E-Mail:     tlemke@lanl.gov				-	TERRILI	LLEMKE																	
E-Mail: tlemke@lanl.gov																							
		me:					(Time Zone	e: -05:00)															

Permit																				
Permit #: NMR050013					P	Permittee:			Triad National Security LLC					Facility: LOS ALAMOS			NATIONAL LABORATORY			
Major: No P					P				PO Box 1663 Los Alamos, NM 87545							on: PO BOX 1663 LOS ALAMOS, NM				
Permitted Feature: 076 External Outfall									<b>076-IW</b> Impaired Water											
Report	Dates & Status																			
Monitoring Period: From 07/01/22 to 06/30/23 DMR Due I							te:	08/3	31/23					Status	:	NetDMR Validate	ł			
Consid	erations for Form Comp	letion																		
Princip	al Executive Officer																			
First Na	ame:				Ti	itle:								Teleph	none:					
Last Na	ime:																			
No Data	a Indicator (NODI)																			
Form N	ODI:																			
	Parameter		Monitoring Location	Season #	# Param. NO	DI		ntity or Loa	-							Concentration		of Ex.	Frequency of Analy	sis Sample Type
Code	Name						Qualifier 1 Valu	e 1 Qualifier	<sup>•</sup> 2 Value 2	Units Q	ualifier 1	Value 1 C	ualifier 2	Value 2 Qu		Value 3	Units			
	01040 Copper, dissolved [as Cu]		1 - Effluent Gross			Sample Permit Req			_							0.3 Req Mon MAXIMUM	28 - ug/L 28 - ug/L 0		01/YR - Annual 01/YR - Annual	GR - GRAB GR - GRAB
01040				0		Value NOD			_								0			
						Sample									5	25.0	28 - ug/L	_	01/YR - Annual	GR - GRAB
01104	Aluminum, total recovera	ble	1 - Effluent Gross	0		Permit Req										Req Mon MAXIMUM	28 - ug/L 0		01/YR - Annual	GR - GRAB
01101				Ū		Value NOD	1													
						Sample														
39516	Polychlorinated biphenyls [PCBs]		1 - Effluent Gross	0		Permit Req									R	Req Mon MAXIMUM	28 - ug/L		01/YR - Annual	GR - GRAB
						Value NOD	1								1	B - Below Detection Limit/No Detection	1			
Submis	sion Note																			
If a para	ameter row does not conta	ain any valu	ies for the Sample	nor Efflu	uent Tradin	ng, then none	e of the followir	ng fields wi	ll be sub	mitted f	for that i	row: Unit	s, Numb	er of Exc	ursions,	Frequency of Analysis, and Sampl	е Туре.			
Edit Ch	eck Errors																			
No erro	rs.																			
Comme	ents																			
LA-UR-	23-29225																			
Attach	ments																			
No attachi																				
	Last Saved By																			
Triad N	ational Security LLC																			
User:				@lanl.go																
Name:			Leslie	e Dale																
E-Mail:	E-Mail: leslie@lanl.gov																			
Date/Tir	me:		2023	3-08-10 <sup>-</sup>	16:50 (Tir	me Zone: -0	5:00)													
Report	Last Signed By																			
User:			TERI	RILLLEN	/KE															
Name:			Terril	ll Lemk	ke															
E-Mail:			tlemk	ke@lanl.	gov															
Date/Tir	ne:		2023	8-08-15	18:24 (Tir	me Zone: -0	5:00)													

Permit			
Permit ID:	NMR050013	Major:	
Permittee:	Triad National Security LLC	Permittee Address:	PO Box 1663 Los Alamos , NM87545
Facility:	LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY	Facility Location:	PO BOX 1663 LOS ALAMOS , NM87545
Permitted Feature:	076 - External Outfall	Discharge:	076-IW - Impaired Water
Report Dates & Status			
Monitoring Period:	From 07/01/23 to 06/30/24	DMR Due Date:	08/31/24
Status:	NetDMR Validated		
Considerations for Form Comple	tion		
Principal Executive Officer			
First Name:		Last Name:	
Title:		Telephone:	
No Data Indicator (NODI)			
Form NODI:			

Parameter		NODI	Quanti	ty or Loading			Quality or Conce	# of Ex.	Freq. of Analysis	Smpl. Type		
Code	Name		Value 1	Value 2	Units	Value 1	Value 2	Value 3	Units			
01040	Copper, dissolved [as Cu]	Smpl.						145	20		01/VR - Annual	GR - GRAB
1 - Effluent Gross		Smpt.						14.5	28 - ug/L	0	01/YK - Annual	GR - GRAD
Season: 0		Req.						Reg Mon MAXIMUM	28 - ug/L		01/VR - Annual	GR - GRAB
NODI: -		NODI										
01104 Aluminum, total recoverable		Smol.						504.0	28 - ug/L		01/YR - Annual	GR - GRAB
1 - Efflue	ent Gross	Sinpi.						504.0	28 - Ug/L	0	01/YK - Annuar	GK - GKAB
Season:	0	Req.						Req Mon MAXIMUM	28 - ug/L		01/YR - Annual	GR - GRAB
NODI: -		NODI										

Submission Note

If a parameter row does not	t contain any values for the Sample nor Effluent Trading, then none of the following fields will be submitted for that row: Units, Number of Excursions, Frequency of Analysis, and Sample Type.
Edit Check Errors	
No errors.	
Comments	
LA-UR-24-27945	
Attachments	
No attachments.	
Report Last Saved By	
Triad National Security LLC	
User:	leslie@lanl.gov
Name:	Leslie Dale
E-Mail:	leslie@lanl.gov
Date/Time:	2024-07-28 12:10 (Time Zone:-05:00)
Report Last Signed By	
User:	TERRILLLEMKE
Name:	Terrill Lemke
E-Mail:	tlemke@lanl.gov
Date/Time:	2024-07-30 16:52 (Time Zone:-05:00)

Permit			
Permit ID:	NMR050013	Major:	
Permittee:	Triad National Security LLC	Permittee Address:	PO Box 1663 Los Alamos , NM87545
Facility:	LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY	Facility Location:	PO BOX 1663 LOS ALAMOS , NM87545
Permitted Feature:	076 - External Outfall	Discharge:	076-11 - Fabricated Metal Products, except Coating
Report Dates & Status			
Monitoring Period:	From 07/01/24 to 09/30/24	DMR Due Date:	11/30/24
Status:	NetDMR Validated		
Considerations for Form Co	mpletion		
Principal Executive Office	r		
First Name:		Last Name:	
Title:		Telephone:	
No Data Indicator (NODI)			
Form NODI:			

	Parameter		Quanti	ity or Loading			Quality or Conc	# of Ex.	. Freq. of Analysis	Smpl. Type		
Code	Name		Value 1	Value 2	Units	Value 1	Value 2	Value 3	Units			
01104	Aluminum, total recoverable	Smpl.						171.0	28 - ug/L	0	01/90 - Quarterly	
1 - Efflue	ent Gross	Smph						171.0	20 - ug/L	U	01/50 - Quarterly	GR - GRAD
Season: O		Req.						<=1100.0 MAXIMUM	28 - ug/L		01/90 - Quarterly	GR - GRAE
NODI: -		NODI										
51450 Nitrite Plus Nitrate Total		Smpl.			_			0.267	19 - mg/L		01/90 - Quarterly	
1 - Efflue	ent Gross	Sinhi						0.267	19 - mg/L	U	01/50 - Quarterly	GK - GKAC
Season:	0	Req.						<=0.68 MAXIMUM	19 - mg/L		01/90 - Quarterly	GR - GRAE
NODI: -		NODI										

Submission Note

If a parameter row does not contain any values for the Sample nor Effluent Trading, then none of the following fields will be submitted for that row: Units, Number of Excursions, Frequency of Analysis, and Sample Type. Edit Check Errors No errors. Comments LA-UR-24-31472 Attachments No attachments. Report Last Saved By Triad National Security LLC User: leslie@lanl.gov Leslie Dale Name: E-Mail: leslie@lanl.gov Date/Time: 2024-10-25 09:00 (Time Zone:-05:00) Report Last Signed By User: TERRILLLEMKE Terrill Lemke Name: E-Mail: tlemke@lanl.gov Date/Time: 2024-10-30 13:40 (Time Zone:-05:00)

Permit												
Permit	ID:	NMR050	013			Major:		-				
Permitt	ee:	Triad Nat	tional Security LLC			Permittee Address		PO Box 1663 Los Alamos , NM87545	é			
Facility:	1	LOS ALA	MOS NATIONAL LAB	ORATORY		Facility Location:		PO BOX 1663 LOS ALAMOS , NM8754	5			
Permitt	ed Feature:	076 - E	cternal Outfall			Discharge:		076-ZC - Zinc: Water	Hardness 50-74.	99 mg/l		
Report L	Dates & Status											
Monitor	ing Period:	From 07	/01/24 to 09/30/24	E Contraction of the second		DMR Due Date:		11/30/24				
Status:		NetDMR	Validated									
Conside	rations for Form Comple	etion										
Principa	al Executive Officer											
First Na	me:					Last Name:						
Title:						Telephone:						
No Data	Indicator (NODI)											
Form No	DDI:	-										
	Parameter	NODI	Quant	ity or Loading			Quality or Con	centration		# of Ex.	Freq. of Analysis	Smpl. Type
Code	Name		Value 1	Value 2	Units	Value 1	Value 2	Value 3	Units			
01090	Zinc, dissolved [as Zn]	Court						54.8	28			GR - GRAB
1 - Efflu	ent Gross	Smpl.						34.0	28 - ug/L	0	01/90 - Quarterly	GR - GRAD

If a parameter row does not contain any values for the Sample nor Effluent Trading, then none of the following fields will be submitted for that row: Units, Number of Excursions, Frequency of Analysis, and Sample Type. Edit Check Errors

28 - ug/L

01/90 - Quarterly GR - GRAB

No errors.		
Comments		
LA-UR-24-31472		
Attachments		
No attachments.		
Report Last Saved By		
Triad National Security LLC		
	to the set of the set	

User:	leslie@lanl.gov	
Name:	Leslie Dale	
E-Mail:	leslie@lanl.gov	
Date/Time:	2024-10-25 09:00 (Time Zone:-05:00)	
Report Last Signed By		
User:	TERRILLLEMKE	
Name:	Terrill Lemke	
E-Mail:	tlemke@lanl.gov	
Date/Time:	2024-10-30 13:40 (Time Zone:-05:00)	

Permit			
Permit ID:	NMR050013	Major:	
Permittee:	Triad National Security LLC	Permittee Address:	PO Box 1663 Los Alamos , NM87545
Facility:	LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY	Facility Location:	PO BOX 1663 LOS ALAMOS , NM87545
Permitted Feature:	076 - External Outfall	Discharge:	076-11 - Fabricated Metal Products, except Coating
Report Dates & Status			
Monitoring Period:	From 10/01/24 to 12/31/24	DMR Due Date:	02/28/25
Status:	NetDMR Validated		
Considerations for Form Co	mpletion		
Principal Executive Office	-		
First Name:		Last Name:	
Title:		Telephone:	
No Data Indicator (NODI)			
Form NODI:	-		

	Parameter	NODI	Quanti	ity or Loading			Quality or Conc	entration		# of Ex.	Freq. of Analysis	Smpl. Typ
Code	Name		Value 1	Value 2	Units	Value 1	Value 2	Value 3	Units			
01104 A	Aluminum, total recoverable	Smpl.										
l - Effluer	nt Gross	Sinple										
Season: (	0	Req.						<=1100.0 MAXIMUM	28 - ug/L		01/90 - Quarterly	GR - Grab
NODI: -		NODI						F - Insufficient Flow for Sampling				
51450 N	Nitrite Plus Nitrate Total	Smpl.										
1 - Effluer	nt Gross	Smpr.										
Season: (	0	Req.						<=0.68 MAXIMUM	19 - mg/L		01/90 - Quarterly	GR - Grab
NODI: -		NODI						F - Insufficient Flow for Sampling				

alues for the Sample nor Effluent Trading, then none of the following fields will be submitted for that row: Units, Number of Excursions, Frequency of Analysis, and Sample Type.
leslie@lanl.gov
Leslie Dale
leslie@lanl.gov
2025-01-27 14:00 (Time Zone:-06:00)
TERRILLLEMKE
Terrill Lemke
tlemke@lanl.gov
2025-01-27 14:43 (Time Zone:-06:00)

Permit																					
Permit	#:	NMR	050013		Permi	ttee:			National S	Security	y LLC	2			ility:				ATION	AL LABORATORY	
Major:		No			Permi	ttee Address	5:		ox 1663 Iamos, NI	M 8754	5			Fac	ility Lo	cation:	PO BOX LOS ALA		IM 875	45	
Permit	ted Feature:	077 Extei	nal Outfall		Disch	arge:		<b>077-Z</b> Zinc: 1	: <b>C</b> Water Hai	rdness	50-74	4.99 mg/l									
Report	Dates & Status				•																
Monito	ring Period:	From	n 07/01/21 to 09/30	)/21	DMR	Due Date:		11/30	/21					Stat	tus:		NetDMR	Validat	ed		
Consid	lerations for Form	Сотр	oletion		•									•							
Princip	al Executive Offic	er																			
First N	ame:				Title:									Tele	ephone	:					
Last Na	ame:													•							
No Dat	a Indicator (NODI)	)																			
Form N	IODI:																				
	Parameter		Monitoring Location	Season # F	Param. NOD			Quantit	y or Loadin	g				Qual	ity or Co	ncentratio	n		# of Ex	Frequency of Analysis	Sample Type
Code	Name						ualifier 1	Value 1	Qualifier 2	Value 2	Units	Qualifier 1	Value 1	Qualifier 2	Value 2			Units			
						Sample Permit Req.											3.57 104.0 MAXIMUM	28 - ug/L 28 - ug/L			GR - GRAB GR - GRAB
01090	Zinc, dissolved [as	s Zn]	1 - Effluent Gross	0		Value NODI										~-		20 - uy/L	0	01/90 - Quarteny	GR - GRAD
Submi	ssion Note																				
		at cont	ain any values for t	ha Samal	o por Efflue	nt Tradina th		o of the	following	fieldes	will be	o cubmitte	nd for th	ot row: L	nito Nu	mbor of [		auopov	of Apr	lysis, and Sample Ty	20
	heck Errors		an any values for t	ne Sampie		fit fraung, ti		e or the	TOHOWING	neius (		e submitte		lat low. O	11115, 190			quency			pe.
No erro																					
Comm																					
	21-31445																				
Attach No attach																					
Report	Last Saved By	LC																			
Report Triad N		.LC	le	slie@lanl	qoy																
Report Triad N User:	Last Saved By	.LC		slie@lanl.																	
Report Triad N User: Name:	Last Saved By Iational Security L	.LC	L	eslie Da	le																
Report Triad N User: Name: E-Mail:	Last Saved By lational Security L	LC.	L	eslie Da slie@lanl.	le .gov	- ime Zone: -0	6:00)														
Report Triad N User: Name: E-Mail: Date/Ti	Last Saved By Iational Security L	LC	L	eslie Da slie@lanl.	le .gov	-ime Zone: -00	6:00)														
Report Triad N User: Name: E-Mail: Date/Ti Report	Last Saved By lational Security L	LC.	Li le 21	eslie Da slie@lanl. 021-11-18	le .gov 3 10:30 (1	-ime Zone: -00	6:00)														
Report Triad N User: Name: E-Mail: Date/Ti Report User:	Last Saved By Iational Security L	LC	Li le 21 T	eslie Da slie@lanl. 021-11-18 ERRILLLE	le .gov 3 10:30 (1 EMKE	ime Zone: -0	6:00)														
Report Triad N User: Name: E-Mail: Date/Ti Report User: Name:	Last Saved By lational Security L me: Last Signed By	LC	Li le 21 T	eslie Da slie@lanl. 021-11-18 ERRILLLE errill Ler	le .gov 3 10:30 (1 EMKE nke	ime Zone: -0	6:00)														
Report Triad N User: Name: E-Mail: Date/Ti Report User:	Last Saved By Iational Security L me: Last Signed By	LC	Li le 20 T T T	eslie Da slie@lanl. 021-11-18 ERRILLLE errill Ler emke@lar	le .gov 3 10:30 (1 EMKE nke nl.gov	ime Zone: -00															

Date/Time:

Permit																						
Permit #	#: <b>NM</b>	R050013		Per	mittee:		Triad N	Vational	Security LL	_C				Facility	:	LC	DS ALA	MOS N/	ATION	AL LABORA	TORY	
Major:	No			Per	mittee Add	dress:		ox 1663 amos, N	IM 87545					Facility	Locatio		D BOX DS ALA	1663 MOS, N	M 875	45		
Permitte	ed Feature: 077 Exte	ernal Outf	fall	Dis	charge:		<b>077-1</b> 1 Fabric		etal Products	s, exce	pt Coat	ting										
Report L	Dates & Status									-,												
-		m 07/01/2	21 to 09/30/21	DM	R Due Dat	e:	11/30/:	21						Status:		N	tDMR	Validate	ed			
	erations for Form Com			<b>_</b>									I									
Principa	al Executive Officer																					
First Na				Title	e:									Teleph	one:							
Last Nar																						
	Indicator (NODI)																					
Form NC																				-		
Code	Parameter Name		Monitoring Location	Season # F	Param. NODI		Qualifier 1		/ or Loading Qualifier 2 Va	lue 2 Un	its Quali	ifier 1 Valu			Concenti		- 3	Units	# of Ex	. Frequency of	Analysis	s Sample Type
0000	Nume					Sample	Guunner 1	value i v			no quun		, i Quuini	or 2 varae	- 2 Guunn	2110.0		28 - ug/L		01/90 - Quarte	rly	GR - GRAB
X 01104	Aluminum, total reco	verable	1 - Effluent Gross	0		Permit Req.									<=	1100.0 MA	XIMUM	28 - ug/L	1	01/90 - Quarte	rly	GR - GRAB
	,					Value NODI																
						Sample										1.31		19 - mg/L		01/90 - Quarte	rly	GR - GRAB
						Downit Dow									<=	0.68 MAXI	MUM	19 - mg/L	1	01/00 Quarta	rly	GR - GRAB
<b>X</b> 51450	Nitrite Plus Nitrate Te	otal	1 - Effluent Gross	0		Permit Req.										0.00 110 0 1		- <b>J</b>	1	01/90 - Quarte		GR - GRAD
<b>X</b> 51450	) Nitrite Plus Nitrate T	otal	1 - Effluent Gross	0		Value NODI												- 3	1	01/90 - Quarte	·	GIT - GITAD
	Nitrite Plus Nitrate To sion Note	otal	1 - Effluent Gross	0	-													- 3	1	01/90 - Quarte		GR-GRAD
Submiss					 luent Tradi	Value NODI	ne of the f	ollowing	g fields will b	be subr	nitted fo	or that rov	v: Units,	Number	of Excur							GR - GRAD
Submiss If a para	sion Note				 iluent Tradii	Value NODI	ne of the f	ollowing	g fields will b	be subn	nitted fo	or that rov	v: Units,	Number	of Excur							GR- GRAB
Submiss If a para	<i>sion Note</i> meter row does not con				 luent Tradii	Value NODI	ne of the f	ollowing	g fields will b	be subn	nitted fo	or that rov	v: Units,	Number	of Excur							
Submiss If a para	<i>sion Note</i> meter row does not con eck Errors	tain any v				Value NODI	ne of the f	ollowing Type	g fields will b	be subn	nitted fo	or that rov	v: Units,		of Excur	sions, Frequ					e.	knowledge
Submiss If a parat Edit Che Code	sion Note meter row does not con eck Errors Parameter	tain any v	values for the Samp	ble nor Effl	Fi	Value NODI								De	escription	sions, Frequ	ency o	f Analys	is, and	Sample Typ	e.	
Submiss If a parat Edit Che Code 51450	sion Note meter row does not con eck Errors Parameter Name	tain any v Mo 1 - E	values for the Samp onitoring Location	ole nor Effl Quality or	Fi r Concentrat	Value NODI ng, then nor ield	Value 3	Туре	The provide	ed samp	ole value	e is outside	the perm	De it limit. P	escription	sions, Frequ	iency o	of Analys have pro	is, and vided is	Sample Typ	e.	knowledge
Submiss If a parat Edit Che Code 51450	sion Note meter row does not con eck Errors Parameter Name Nitrite Plus Nitrate Total Aluminum, total recoverat	tain any v Mo 1 - E	values for the Samp onitoring Location Effluent Gross	ole nor Effl Quality or	Fi r Concentrat	Value NODI ng, then nor ield tion Sample \	Value 3	Type Soft	The provide	ed samp	ole value	e is outside	the perm	De it limit. P	escription	sions, Frequ n	iency o	of Analys have pro	is, and vided is	Sample Typ	e.	sknowledge Yes
Submiss If a parate Edit Che Code 51450 01104	sion Note meter row does not con eck Errors Parameter Name Nitrite Plus Nitrate Total Aluminum, total recoverat	tain any v Mo 1 - E	values for the Samp onitoring Location Effluent Gross	ole nor Effl Quality or	Fi r Concentrat	Value NODI ng, then nor ield tion Sample \	Value 3	Type Soft	The provide	ed samp	ole value	e is outside	the perm	De it limit. P	escription	sions, Frequ n	iency o	of Analys have pro	is, and vided is	Sample Typ	e.	sknowledge Yes
Submiss If a parat Edit Che Code 51450 01104 Comme LA-UR-2	sion Note meter row does not con eck Errors Parameter Name Nitrite Plus Nitrate Total Aluminum, total recoverat nts 21-31445	tain any v Mo 1 - E	values for the Samp onitoring Location Effluent Gross	ole nor Effl Quality or	Fi r Concentrat	Value NODI ng, then nor ield tion Sample \	Value 3	Type Soft	The provide	ed samp	ole value	e is outside	the perm	De it limit. P	escription	sions, Frequ n	iency o	of Analys have pro	is, and vided is	Sample Typ	e.	sknowledge Yes
Submiss If a parate Edit Che Code 51450 01104 Comme	sion Note meter row does not con eck Errors Parameter Name Nitrite Plus Nitrate Total Aluminum, total recoverat nts 21-31445 nents	tain any v Mo 1 - E	values for the Samp onitoring Location Effluent Gross	ole nor Effl Quality or	Fi r Concentrat	Value NODI ng, then nor ield tion Sample \	Value 3	Type Soft	The provide	ed samp	ole value	e is outside	the perm	De it limit. P	escription	sions, Frequ n	iency o	of Analys have pro	is, and vided is	Sample Typ	e.	sknowledge Yes
Submiss If a parate Edit Che 51450 01104 Commen LA-UR-2 Attachm No attachm	sion Note meter row does not con eck Errors Parameter Name Nitrite Plus Nitrate Total Aluminum, total recoverat nts 21-31445 nents	tain any v Mo 1 - E	values for the Samp onitoring Location Effluent Gross	ole nor Effl Quality or	Fi r Concentrat	Value NODI ng, then nor ield tion Sample \	Value 3	Type Soft	The provide	ed samp	ole value	e is outside	the perm	De it limit. P	escription	sions, Frequ n	iency o	of Analys have pro	is, and vided is	Sample Typ	e.	sknowledge Yes
Submiss If a parate Edit Che Statso 01104 Commen LA-UR-2 Attachm No attachm Report I	sion Note meter row does not con eck Errors Parameter Name Nitrite Plus Nitrate Total Aluminum, total recoverat nts 21-31445 nents nents.	tain any v Mo 1 - E	values for the Samp onitoring Location Effluent Gross	ole nor Effl Quality or	Fi r Concentrat	Value NODI ng, then nor ield tion Sample \	Value 3	Type Soft	The provide	ed samp	ole value	e is outside	the perm	De it limit. P	escription	sions, Frequ n	iency o	of Analys have pro	is, and vided is	Sample Typ	e.	sknowledge Yes
Submiss If a parate Edit Che Statso 01104 Commen LA-UR-2 Attachm No attachm Report I	sion Note meter row does not con eck Errors Parameter Name Nitrite Plus Nitrate Total Aluminum, total recoverat nts 21-31445 nents nents.	tain any v Mo 1 - E	values for the Samp onitoring Location Effluent Gross	Quality or Quality or	Fi r Concentrat	Value NODI ng, then nor ield tion Sample \	Value 3	Type Soft	The provide	ed samp	ole value	e is outside	the perm	De it limit. P	escription	sions, Frequ n	iency o	of Analys have pro	is, and vided is	Sample Typ	e.	sknowledge Yes
Submiss If a parate Edit Che Code 51450 01104 Commen LA-UR-2 Attachm No attachm No attachm Triad Na	sion Note meter row does not con eck Errors Parameter Name Nitrite Plus Nitrate Total Aluminum, total recoverat nts 21-31445 nents nents.	tain any v Mo 1 - E	values for the Samp onitoring Location Effluent Gross Effluent Gross	ole nor Effl Quality or Quality or	Fi r Concentrat	Value NODI ng, then nor ield tion Sample \	Value 3	Type Soft	The provide	ed samp	ole value	e is outside	the perm	De it limit. P	escription	sions, Frequ n	iency o	of Analys have pro	is, and vided is	Sample Typ	e.	sknowledge Yes
Submiss If a parate Edit Che 51450 01104 Comment LA-UR-2 Attachm No attachm Report L Triad Na User:	sion Note meter row does not con eck Errors Parameter Name Nitrite Plus Nitrate Total Aluminum, total recoverat nts 21-31445 nents nents.	tain any v Mo 1 - E	values for the Samp onitoring Location Effluent Gross Effluent Gross	anl.gov Dale	Fi r Concentrat	Value NODI ng, then nor ield tion Sample \	Value 3	Type Soft	The provide	ed samp	ole value	e is outside	the perm	De it limit. P	escription	sions, Frequ n	iency o	of Analys have pro	is, and vided is	Sample Typ	e.	sknowledge Yes
Submiss If a parat Edit Che 51450 01104 Commen LA-UR-2 Attachm No attachm Report I Triad Na User: Name:	sion Note meter row does not con eck Errors Parameter Name Nitrite Plus Nitrate Total Aluminum, total recoveral nts 21-31445 ments nents hents tast Saved By ational Security LLC	tain any v Mo 1 - E	values for the Samp onitoring Location Effluent Gross Effluent Gross leslie@la Leslie leslie@la	Quality or Quality or Quality or anl.gov Dale anl.gov	Fi r Concentrat r Concentrat	Value NODI ng, then nor ield tion Sample \	Value 3 Value 3	Type Soft	The provide	ed samp	ole value	e is outside	the perm	De it limit. P	escription	sions, Frequ n	iency o	of Analys have pro	is, and vided is	Sample Typ	e.	sknowledge Yes
Submiss If a parat Edit Che 51450 01104 Commen LA-UR-2 Attachm No attachm No attachm No attachm No attachm No attachm E-Mail: Date/Tim	sion Note meter row does not con eck Errors Parameter Name Nitrite Plus Nitrate Total Aluminum, total recoveral nts 21-31445 ments nents hents tast Saved By ational Security LLC	tain any v Mo 1 - E	values for the Samp onitoring Location Effluent Gross Effluent Gross leslie@la Leslie leslie@la	Quality or Quality or Quality or anl.gov Dale anl.gov	Fi r Concentrat r Concentrat	Value NODI ng, then nor ield tion Sample N	Value 3 Value 3	Type Soft	The provide	ed samp	ole value	e is outside	the perm	De it limit. P	escription	sions, Frequ n	iency o	of Analys have pro	is, and vided is	Sample Typ	e.	sknowledge Yes
Submiss If a parat Edit Che 51450 01104 Commen LA-UR-2 Attachm No attachm No attachm No attachm No attachm No attachm E-Mail: Date/Tim	sion Note meter row does not con eck Errors Parameter Name Nitrite Plus Nitrate Total Aluminum, total recoveral nts 21-31445 nents nents. Last Saved By ational Security LLC	tain any v Mo 1 - E	values for the Samp onitoring Location Effluent Gross Effluent Gross leslie@la Leslie leslie@la 2021-11	Quality or Quality or Quality or anl.gov Dale anl.gov	Fi r Concentrat r Concentrat	Value NODI ng, then nor ield tion Sample N	Value 3 Value 3	Type Soft	The provide	ed samp	ole value	e is outside	the perm	De it limit. P	escription	sions, Frequ n	iency o	of Analys have pro	is, and vided is	Sample Typ	e.	sknowledge Yes
Submiss If a parat Edit Che 51450 01104 Commen LA-UR-2 Attachm No attachm No attachm No attachm No attachm No attachm No attachm Report I Triad Na User: Name: E-Mail: Date/Tim Report I	sion Note meter row does not con eck Errors Parameter Name Nitrite Plus Nitrate Total Aluminum, total recoveral nts 21-31445 nents nents. Last Saved By ational Security LLC	tain any v Mo 1 - E	values for the Samp onitoring Location Effluent Gross Effluent Gross leslie@la Leslie leslie@la 2021-11	anl.gov Dale anl.gov -18 10:30	Fi r Concentrat r Concentrat	Value NODI ng, then nor ield tion Sample N	Value 3 Value 3	Type Soft	The provide	ed samp	ole value	e is outside	the perm	De it limit. P	escription	sions, Frequ n	iency o	of Analys have pro	is, and vided is	Sample Typ	e.	sknowledge Yes
Submiss If a parat Edit Che 51450 01104 Commen LA-UR-2 Attachm No attachm No attachm No attachm No attachm E-Mail: Date/Tim Report I User:	sion Note meter row does not con eck Errors Parameter Name Nitrite Plus Nitrate Total Aluminum, total recoveral nts 21-31445 nents nents. Last Saved By ational Security LLC	tain any v Mo 1 - E	values for the Samp onitoring Location Effluent Gross Effluent Gross leslie@la Leslie leslie@la 2021-11 TERRILI	anl.gov Dale anl.gov LLEMKE Lemke	Fi r Concentrat r Concentrat	Value NODI ng, then nor ield tion Sample N	Value 3 Value 3	Type Soft	The provide	ed samp	ole value	e is outside	the perm	De it limit. P	escription	sions, Frequ n	iency o	of Analys have pro	is, and vided is	Sample Typ	e.	sknowledge Yes

2021-11-18 11:18 (Time Zone: -06:00)

Permit																				
Permit	#:	NMR0500	13		Permittee:		Tria	ad Natio	onal Secu	rity LLC				Facilit	y:	LOS AL	AMOS N	ATION	AL LABORATORY	
Major:		No			Permittee A	Address:		Box 16 Alamo	63 s, NM 87	545				Facilit	y Locatio	n: PO BOX LOS AL	K 1663 AMOS, N	IM 875	45	
Permitte	ed Feature:	077 External C	Dutfall		Discharge:		<b>077</b> Fat		Metal Pr	oducts,	exce	pt Coating								
Report	Dates & Status			1																
	ing Period:	From 10/0	1/21 to 12/31/21		DMR Due D	)ate:	02/	28/22						Status	:	NetDM	R Validat	ed		
Conside	erations for Form	Completio	n										'							
Principa	al Executive Offic																			
First Na	ime:											Telepł	none:							
Last Na	me:																			
No Data	a Indicator (NODI)			1																
Form N	ODI:																			
	Parameter		Monitoring Location	Season #	# Param. NODI				y or Loadii	-				-	Concentra			# of Ex	Frequency of Analysis	Sample Type
Code	Name					Sample	Qualifier 1	Value 1	Qualifier 2	Value 2 l	Units	Qualifier 1 Value 1	Qualifier 2	2 Value 2	Qualifier 3	Value 3	Units			
01104	Aluminum, total re	coverable	1 - Effluent Gross	0		Permit Req.									<=	1100.0 MAXIMUM	28 - ug/L		01/90 - Quarterly	GR - GRAB
01104	Aluminum, total re	coverable		0		Value NODI										C - No Discharge				
						Sample														
51450	Nitrite Plus Nitrate	Total	1 - Effluent Gross	0		Permit Req.									<=	0.68 MAXIMUM	19 - mg/L		01/90 - Quarterly	GR - GRAB
						Value NODI										C - No Discharge				
Submis	sion Note																			
If a para	meter row does no	ot contain an	y values for the Sa	imple no	r Effluent Tra	ading, then	none of th	ne follov	wing fields	s will be	subr	mitted for that row	w: Units,	Numbe	r of Excur	sions, Frequency	of Analys	is, and	Sample Type.	
Edit Ch	eck Errors																			
No error	rs.																			
Comme	ents																			
LA-UR-2	22-20563																			
Attachn	nents																			
No attachr																				
	Last Saved By																			
	ational Security L	LC																		
User:				@lanl.go																
Name:				e Dale																
E-Mail:			ov																	
Date/Tir			2022	-01-24	16:40 (Time	e Zone: -06	:00)													
	Last Signed By																			
User:			TERI																	
Name:				II Lemk																
E-Mail:				ke@lanl.																
Date/Tir	ne:		2022	-01-26	13:16 (Time	e Zone: -06	:00)													

Permit	t																								
Permit	t #:	NMR	050013		Pe	ermittee:			Tria	ad Nationa	I Secur	ity LL	_C			Faci	ility:			LOS A	LAMO	S NA	TIONA	L LABORATORY	
Major:		No			Pe	ermittee /	Addre	ess:		Box 1663 Alamos,		545				Faci	ility L	ocatio	n:		DX 166 LAMO		1 8754	5	
Permit	tted Feature:	077 Exte	rnal Outfall		Di	scharge:				<b>′-ZC</b> c: Water ⊦	lardnes	s 50-	-74.99 m	g/l											
Repor	t Dates & Status				•																				
Monito	oring Period:	Fron	n 10/01/21 to 12/3 <sup>.</sup>	1/21	DI	MR Due D	Date:		02/2	28/22						Stat	tus:			NetD	/IR Val	idated	ł		
Consid	derations for Form	Сотр	oletion		•																				
Princi	pal Executive Office	ər																							
First N	lame:				Ti	tle:										Tele	ephor	e:							
Last N	ame:														•										
No Da	ta Indicator (NODI)																								
Form I	NODI:																								
	Parameter		Monitoring Location	Season #	<sup>#</sup> Param. N	ODI				ty or Loadi	-							oncentra				\$	# of Ex.	Frequency of Analysis	Sample Type
Code	Name					Com	_	Qualifier 1	Value 1	Qualifier 2	Value 2	Units	Qualifier 1	Value 1	Qualifier	2 Val	lue 2 C	ualifier	3	Value 3	U	nits			
01090	Zinc, dissolved [as	7n1	1 - Effluent Gross	0		Sam Permit										-	<	=	104.	0 MAXIMUM	28	- ug/L		01/90 - Quarterly	GR - GRAB
01090	Zinc, dissolved [as	Znj	I - Ellident Gloss	0		Value														No Dischar					
Submi	ission Note																		-						
	rameter row does not	t conta	ain any values for t	he Samp	ole nor Ef	fluent Tra	ding,	then non	e of the	e following	q fields ۱	will be	e submitt	ed for t	hat row:	Unite	s, Nu	nber of	f Excl	ursions, Fre	equenc	y of A	nalysi	s, and Sample Type.	
	heck Errors			·			0.															-	, in the second s		
No erro																									
Comm																									
	-22-20563																								
Attach																									
No attach																									
Repor	t Last Saved By																								
Triad I	National Security Ll	LC																							
User:			le	eslie@la	inl.gov																				
Name:			L	eslie D	Dale																				
E-Mail:	:		le	eslie@la	inl.gov																				
Date/T	ime:		2	2022-01-2	24 16:40	) (Time 2	Zone:	-06:00)																	
Repor	t Last Signed By																								
User:			٦	FERRILL	LEMKE																				
Name:			I	Ferrill L	emke																				
E-Mail:	:		t	lemke@l	lanl.gov																				
Date/T	ime:		2	2022-01-2	26 13:16	(Time 2	Zone:	-06:00)																	

Permit																						
Permit	#:	NMR05	0013		Permit	tee:		Triac	National	Securit	y LLC					Facilit	y:	LOS ALAM	OS NATIO	ONAL I	ABORATORY	
Major:		No			Permit	tee Addres	is:		3ox 1663 Alamos, N	IM 8754	15					Facilit	y Location:	PO BOX 16 LOS ALAM		37545		
Permitte	ed Feature:	077 Externa	l Outfall		Discha	rge:		<b>077-</b> Fabr	11 icated Me	tal Proc	lucts,	except C	Coating									
Report	Dates & Status				•																	
Monitor	ring Period:	From 0	1/01/22 to 03/31/22		DMR D	ue Date:		05/3	1/22							Status	:	NetDMR Va	alidated			
Consid	erations for Form (	Completic	on		•											•						
Princip	al Executive Office	r																				
First Na	ame:				Title:											Teleph	ione:					
Last Na	me:															•						
No Data	a Indicator (NODI)				•																	
Form N	ODI:																					
	Parameter		Monitoring Location	Season a	# Param. NOD				y or Loadin	-							oncentration			# of Ex.	Frequency of Analysis	Sample Type
Code	Name					Sample	Qualifier 1	Value 1	Qualifier 2	Value 2 I	Units Q	ualifier 1	Value 1	Qualifier 2	Value 2	Qualifier 3	V	alue 3	Units			
01104	Aluminum, total rec	overable	1 - Effluent Gross	0		Permit Req.										<=	1100.0 MAXIMUN	l	28 - ug/L		01/90 - Quarterly	GR - GRAB
0.101	,,,					Value NODI	I										F - Insufficient	Flow for Sampling				
						Sample																
51450	Nitrite Plus Nitrate	otal	1 - Effluent Gross	0		Permit Req.										<=	0.68 MAXIMUM		19 - mg/L		01/90 - Quarterly	GR - GRAB
						Value NODI											F - Insufficient	Flow for Sampling				
Submis	sion Note																					
If a para	meter row does not	contain a	ny values for the Sa	ample no	or Effluent Tr	ading, then	none of t	he follo	wing fields	s will be	subm	nitted for	that ro	w: Units,	Numbe	r of Excu	rsions, Frequer	cy of Analysis, an	d Sample	е Туре.		
Edit Ch	eck Errors																					
No error	rs.																					
Comme	ents																					
LA-UR-2	22-23400																					
Attachn	nents																					
No attachr																						
-	Last Saved By	~																				
	ational Security LL	C	la la																			
User:				slie@lar eslie D																		
Name:																						
E-Mail:	<b>m</b> ai			slie@lar		Time Zener	05.00)															
Date/Tir			20	JZZ-04-2	21 12:20 (T	ime zone:	-05:00)															
	Last Signed By		т																			
User:																						
Name:				errill Lo																		
E-Mail:				emke@la	ani.gov																	
Date/Tir					21 13:41 (T		05.00)															

Permit																					
Permit #:	NMR050013			Permittee:			Triad N	Vational S	Secu	rity LLC				Facility	:	LOS A	LAMOS I	NATION	IAL LA	ABORATORY	
Major:	No			Permittee A	Address:		PO Bo Los Ala	x 1663 amos, NI	M 87	545				Facility	Location	PO BO LOS A	OX 1663 ALAMOS,	NM 875	545		
Permitted Feature:	077 External Outfall			Discharge:			<b>077-ZO</b> Zinc: V	<b>c</b> Vater Ha	rdne	ss 50-74	.99 mg/	(1									
Report Dates & Status																					
Monitoring Period:	From 01/01/22 to 03/	31/22		DMR Due D	)ate:		05/31/2	22						Status:		NetD	/IR Valida	ated			
Considerations for Form C	Completion																				
Principal Executive Office	r																				
First Name:				Title:										Telepho	one:						
Last Name:																					
No Data Indicator (NODI)																					
Form NODI:																					
Parameter	Monitoring Location	Season #	Param. NOE	DI			ity or Loadi							Quality or Co					of Ex.	Frequency of Analysi	s Sample Type
Code Name				Sample	Qualifier 1	Value 1	1 Qualifier 2	2 Value 2 L	Jnits (	Qualifier 1	Value 1	Qualifie	r 2 Value	2 Qualifier 3	3	Value 3		Units			
01090 Zinc, dissolved [as ]	<b>Zn]</b> 1 - Effluent Gross	0		Permit Req.					-					<=	104.0 MAX	KIMUM	28	3 - ug/L		01/90 - Quarterly	GR - GRAB
		0		Value NODI	1										F - Insuf	ficient Flow for Sar					
Submission Note																					
If a parameter row does not	contain any values for t	he Samp	ole nor Efflu	ent Trading	, then nor	ne of th	ne following	g fields v	vill be	e submitt	ted for t	hat row:	: Units,	Number of	Excursio	ns, Frequency of	Analysis,	and Sa	mple	Туре.	
Edit Check Errors																					
No errors.																					
Comments																					
LA-UR-22-23400																					
Attachments																					
No attachments.																					
Report Last Saved By																					
Triad National Security LL	С																				
User:			@lanl.gov																		
Name:		Leslie	Dale																		
E-Mail:		leslie(	@lanl.gov																		
Date/Time:		2022-	04-21 12:2	0 (Time Zo	one: -05:0	0)															
Report Last Signed By																					
User:		TERR	ILLLEMKE																		
Name:		Terrill	Lemke																		
E-Mail:		tlemke	e@lanl.gov																		
Date/Time:		2022-	04-21 13:4	1 (Time Zo	one: -05:0	0)															

Permit																				
Permit	#: /	NMR050013		Permitt	tee:		Triad	National Se	ecurity L	LC			Faci	lity:		LOS AL	AMOS N	IATION	AL LABORATORY	
Major:	ľ	No		Permit	ttee Addres	is:		ox 1663 Jamos, NM	1 87545				Faci	lity Lo	cation:	PO BOX LOS AL		NM 875	45	
Permitt		077 External Outfall		Discha	irge:		<b>077-Z</b> Zinc: V	<b>2C</b> Water Harc	dness 50	0-74.{	99 mg/l									
Report	Dates & Status																			
Monito	ring Period:	From 04/01/22 to 06/30	)/22	DMR D	Due Date:		08/31/	/22					Stat	us:		NetDMF	R Validat	ted		
Consid	lerations for Form C	ompletion																		
Princip	al Executive Officer	r																		
First Na	ame:			Title:									Tele	phone	:					
Last Na	ime:												•							
No Data	a Indicator (NODI)																			
Form N	ODI:																			
	Parameter	Monitoring Location	Season # Pa	aram. NODI			Quantit	ty or Loading	9				Quali	ty or Co	ncentratio	n		# of Ex.	Frequency of Analysis	Sample Type
Code	Name					Qualifier 1	Value 1	Qualifier 2 V	/alue 2 Un	nits Qı	ualifier 1 \	Value 1 C	Qualifier 2	Value 2	Qualifier 3	Value 3	Units			
					Sample											4.65	28 - ug/L		,	GR - GRAB
01090	Zinc, dissolved [as Z	Zn] 1 - Effluent Gross	0	- /	Permit Req.										<=	104.0 MAXIMUN	l 28 - ug/L	0	01/90 - Quarterly	GR - GRAB
					Value NODI															
_																				

### Submission Note

If a parameter row does not contain any values for the Sample nor Effluent Trading, then none of the following fields will be submitted for that row: Units, Number of Excursions, Frequency of Analysis, and Sample Type.

Edit Check Errors	
No errors.	
Comments	
LA-UR-22-28974.	
Attachments	
No attachments.	
Report Last Saved By	
Triad National Security LLC	
User:	leslie@lanl.gov
Name:	Leslie Dale
E-Mail:	leslie@lanl.gov
Date/Time:	2022-08-30 06:00 (Time Zone: -05:00)
Report Last Signed By	
User:	TERRILLLEMKE
Name:	Terrill Lemke
E-Mail:	tlemke@lanl.gov
Date/Time:	2022-08-31 13:42 (Time Zone: -05:00)

Permit																				
Permit #:	NM	R050013		Pe	ermittee:		Triad N	lational	Security LLC				Facility:		LOS AI	AMOS N	ATIONA	AL LABORATOR	٦Y	
Major:	No			Pe	ermittee Add	dress:	PO Box Los Ala		IM 87545				Facility L	_ocation:		X 1663 _AMOS, N	IM 8754	15		
Permitted		, ernal Outf	fall	Di	scharge:		<b>077-11</b> Fabrica		tal Products, e	xcept C	Coating									
Report Da	tes & Status																			
Monitoring	g Period: Fro	m 04/01/2	22 to 06/30/22	D	MR Due Dat	e:	08/31/2	22					Status:		NetDM	R Validate	ed			
Considera	tions for Form Com	pletion																		
Principal E	Executive Officer																			
First Name	ə:			Tit	tle:								Telephor	ne:						
Last Name	):																			
No Data In	dicator (NODI)			I																
Form NOD	)l:																			
	Parameter		Monitoring Location	Season #	Param. NODI			Quantity	or Loading				Quality or C	Concentrat			# of Ex.	Frequency of Ana	alysis Sample	Гуре
Code	Name						Qualifier 1	Value 1 C	Qualifier 2 Value	2 Units 0	Qualifier 1	Value 1 Quali	fier 2 Value 2	2 Qualifier		Units				
						Sample									9730.0	28 - ug/L		01/90 - Quarterly	GR - GR	
<b>X</b> 01104	Aluminum, total reco	overable	1 - Effluent Gross	0		Permit Req.								<=	1100.0 MAXIMUI	VI 28 - ug/L	1	01/90 - Quarterly	GR - GR	VB
						Value NODI														
						Sample									0.55	19 - mg/L		01/90 - Quarterly	GR - GR	
51450	Nitrite Plus Nitrate Te	otal	1 - Effluent Gross	0		Permit Req.								<=	0.68 MAXIMUM	19 - mg/L	0	01/90 - Quarterly	GR - GR	٨B
						Value NODI														
Submissio	on Note				-															
If a parame	eter row does not con	ntain any v	alues for the Samp	le nor E	ffluent Tradii	ng, then noi	ne of the fo	ollowing	fields will be s	submitte	ed for tha	t row: Units	, Number o	f Excursi	ons, Frequency	of Analys	is, and	Sample Type.		
Edit Check	k Errors																			
	Parameter																			
Code	Name	Mo	onitoring Location		Fi	eld		Туре					Des	cription					Acknowled	je
01104 Alu	uminum, total recoveral	ble 1-E	Effluent Gross	Quality	or Concentrat	ion Sample \	/alue 3	Soft	The provided s	ample v	alue is ou	tside the per	mit limit. <mark>Ple</mark>	ase verify	that the value yo	u have pro	vided is	correct.	Yes	
Comments	S																			
LA-UR-22-	28974. The average	concentra	ation of total recover	rable Al	is mathemat	ically certai	n to excee	d the be	enchmark valu	e trigge	ering AIM	Level 1.								
Attachmer										00										
No attachmen	ts.																			

Report Last Saved By

Triad National Security LLC

Triad National Security LLC	
User:	leslie@lanl.gov
Name:	Leslie Dale
E-Mail:	leslie@lanl.gov
Date/Time:	2022-08-30 06:00 (Time Zone: -05:00)
Report Last Signed By	
User:	TERRILLLEMKE
Name:	Terrill Lemke
E-Mail:	tlemke@lanl.gov
Date/Time:	2022-08-31 13:42 (Time Zone: -05:00)

Permit																		
Permit #:	NMR0	0013		Permittee:		Tri	ad National	Security L	LC		Fa	cility:		LOS ALAMOS	NATION	AL LAE	BORATORY	
Major:	No			Permittee A	Address:		) Box 1663 s Alamos, N	M 87545			Fa	cility Loc	ation:	PO BOX 1663 LOS ALAMOS,	NM 875	45		
Permitted		al Outfall		Discharge:			<b>7-IW</b> paired Wate	r										
Report Da	ates & Status																	
Monitorin	g Period: From	7/01/21 to 06/30/22		DMR Due D	Date:	08/	/31/22				Sta	atus:		NetDMR Valida	ated			
Consider	ations for Form Completio	1																
Principal	Executive Officer																	
First Nam	ie:			Title:							Те	lephone:						
Last Nam	e:																	
No Data I	ndicator (NODI)																	
Form NO	DI:																	
	Parameter	Monitoring Location	n Season	# Param. NOD			antity or Load	-					ality or Co			# of Ex	. Frequency of Analy	ysis Sample Type
Code	Name					Qualifier 1 Val	ue 1 Qualifier	2 Value 2 L	Jnits Q	ualifier 1 \	Value 1 C	Qualifier 2	Value 2 Q		Units			00.0040
					Sample Permit Reg.									3.08 Req Mon MAXIMUM	28 - ug/L		01/YR - Annual 01/YR - Annual	GR - GRAB GR - GRAB
01040	Copper, dissolved [as Cu]	1 - Effluent Gross	0		Value NODI										1 20 - ug/L	0	01/TR - Annuai	GIV- GIVAD
					Sample				_					2110.0	28 - ug/L		01/YR - Annual	GR - GRAB
					Permit Req.									Req Mon MAXIMUM			01/YR - Annual	GR - GRAB
01104	Aluminum, total recoverabl	1 - Effluent Gross	0		Value NODI									rteq mon mi vimon	1 20 - ug/L	0		
									_				<	0.0334	28 - ug/L		01/YR - Annual	GR - GRAB
					Sample Permit Reg.								<	0.0334 Req Mon MAXIMUM			01/YR - Annual	GR - GRAB GR - GRAB
X 39516	Polychlorinated biphenyls	PCBs]   1 - Effluent Gross	0		Value NODI										10 - mg/L	0	on n v - 7 unidar	
					value NODI													

### Submission Note

If a parameter row does not contain any values for the Sample nor Effluent Trading, then none of the following fields will be submitted for that row: Units, Number of Excursions, Frequency of Analysis, and Sample Type.

### Edit Check Errors

	Parameter	Monitoring	Field	Trues	Description	A also assila das
Code	Name	Location	Field	Туре	Description	Acknowledge
	Polychlorinated biphenyls [PCBs]	1 - Effluent Gross	Units	Soft	You have selected units that are different from the units established by your Regulatory Authority. Please contact your Regulatory Authority to discuss the selection of any alternative units.	Yes
Comme	ents					
LA-UR-	22-28977. Total aroclors wer	e not detected there	fore mo	onitoring	g will be discontinued until permit year 4 (Part 4.2.5.1.a).	
Attach	ments					
No attach						
Report	Last Saved By					
Triad N	lational Security LLC					
User:		les	slie@lar	nl.gov		
Name:		Le	slie D	ale		
E-Mail:		les	lie@lar	nl.gov		
Date/Ti	me:	20	22-08-3	30 06:0	0 (Time Zone: -05:00)	
Report	Last Signed By					
User:		TE	RRILLI	EMKE		
Name:		Те	rrill Le	emke		
E-Mail:		tlei	mke@la	anl.gov		
Date/Ti	me:	20	22-08-3	31 13:4	2 (Time Zone: -05:00)	

Permit																				
Permit #:	N	NMR050013		Pe	ermittee:		Triad I	Vational	Security	LLC			Fac	cility:		LOS ALA	AMOS N/	ATION/	AL LABORATORY	
Major:	No	ю		Pe	ermittee Add	ress:		ox 1663 lamos, Ni	IM 87545	;			Fac	cility Loca	ation:	PO BOX LOS ALA		M 8754	45	
Permitted		)77 External Outfa	all	Dis	ischarge:		<b>077-1</b> 1 Fabric		tal Produ	ıcts, except	t Coating									
Report Da	ates & Status																			
Monitoring	g Period: F	rom 07/01/2	22 to 09/30/22	DN	MR Due Date	ə:	11/30/	22					Sta	itus:		NetDMR	Validate	əd		
Considera	ations for Form Co	ompletion																		
Principal	Executive Officer																			
First Nam	e:			Tit	tle:								Tel	ephone:						
Last Name	e:																			
No Data II	ndicator (NODI)																			
Form NO	DI:	-																		
	Parameter		Monitoring Location S	Season #	Param. NODI			Quantity	or Loadin	g			Qual	lity or Conc	entratio	n		# of Ex.	Frequency of Analysis	Sample Type
Code	Name				//		Qualifier 1	Value 1 Q	ualifier 2	Value 2 Units	s Qualifier 1	Value 1	Qualifier 2	Value 2 Qua		Value 3	Units			
					/	Sample											28 - ug/L			GR - GRAB
<b>X</b> 01104	Aluminum, total rec	coverable	1 - Effluent Gross	0	/	Permit Req.								<=	1	100.0 MAXIMUM	28 - ug/L	1	01/90 - Quarterly	GR - GRAB
						Value NODI														
						Sample									1	1.66	19 - mg/L		01/90 - Quarterly	GR - GRAB
<b>X</b> 51450	Nitrite Plus Nitrate	Total	1 - Effluent Gross	0		Permit Req.								<=	C	0.68 MAXIMUM	19 - mg/L	1	01/90 - Quarterly	GR - GRAB
						Value NODI														
1																				

#### Submission Note

If a parameter row does not contain any values for the Sample nor Effluent Trading, then none of the following fields will be submitted for that row: Units, Number of Excursions, Frequency of Analysis, and Sample Type.

#### Edit Check Errors

	Parameter	Monitoring Location	Field	Type	Description	Acknowledge
Code	Name	Monitoring Education	i leiu	Туре	Description	Acknowledge
01104	Aluminum, total recoverable	1 - Effluent Gross	Quality or Concentration Sample Value 3	Soft	The provided sample value is outside the permit limit. Please verify that the value you have provided is correct.	Yes
51450	Nitrite Plus Nitrate Total	1 - Effluent Gross	Quality or Concentration Sample Value 3	Soft	The provided sample value is outside the permit limit. Please verify that the value you have provided is correct.	Yes

#### Comments

LA-UR-22-32029. The avg concentration of Nitrate plus Nitrite Nitrogen is mathematically certain to exceed the benchmark value triggering AIM Level 1. The avg concentration of total recoverable AI is mathematically certain to exceed the benchmark value triggering AIM Level 1. The avg concentration of total recoverable AI is mathematically certain to exceed the benchmark value triggering AIM Level 1. The avg concentration of total recoverable AI is mathematically certain to exceed the benchmark value triggering AIM Level 1. The avg concentration of total recoverable AI is mathematically certain to exceed the benchmark value triggering AIM Level 1. The avg concentration of total recoverable AI is mathematically certain to exceed the benchmark value triggering AIM Level 1.

Attachments	
Vo attachments.	
Report Last Saved By	
Triad National Security LLC	
User:	leslie@lanl.gov
Name:	Leslie Dale
E-Mail:	leslie@lanl.gov
Date/Time:	2022-11-15 16:10 (Time Zone: -06:00)
Report Last Signed By	
User:	TERRILLLEMKE
Name:	Terrill Lemke
E-Mail:	tlemke@lanl.gov
Date/Time:	2022-11-17 15:36 (Time Zone: -06:00)

Permit																				
Permit #	#:	NMR050013		Permit	ittee:		Triad	National S	Security	/ LLC	2		Fac	cility:		LOS A	LAMOS N	ATION	AL LABORATORY	
Major:		No		Permi	ittee Addre	SS:		ox 1663 Iamos, NI	M 8754	5			Fac	cility Lo	ocation:		0X 1663 LAMOS, N	NM 875	45	
Permitte		077 External Outfall		Discha	arge:		<b>077-Z</b> Zinc: '		rdness	50-7	74.99 mg/l									
Report	Dates & Status			·																
Monitor	ing Period:	From 07/01/22 to 09/3	0/22	DMR	Due Date:		11/30	/22					Sta	itus:		NetDN	IR Validat	ed		
Consid	erations for Form (	Completion																		
Princip	al Executive Office	r																		
First Na	ime:			Title:									Tel	ephone	e:					
Last Na	me:																			
No Data	a Indicator (NODI)																			
Form N	ODI:																			
Code	Parameter	Monitoring Location	n Season #	Param. NODI		Our liften d		y or Loadin	_	. In lite	Quellifier 4	Mahun d			oncentratio			# of Ex	Frequency of Analysis	Sample Type
Code	Name				Sample	Qualifier 1	Value 1	Qualifier 2	Value 2	Units	Qualifier 1	Value 1	Qualifier 2	2 Value 2	Qualifier 3	Value 3	Units 28 - ug/L		01/90 - Quarterly	GR - GRAB
01090	Zinc, dissolved [as	Zn] 1 - Effluent Gross	0		Permit Req.										<=	104.0 MAXIMU				GR - GRAB
0.000	Lino, diocerrea Las				Value NODI													Ĵ		
Submis	sion Note						· · · · ·													
lf a para	meter row does not	contain any values for	the Samp	ple nor Efflue	ent Trading	, then non	e of the	following	fields v	vill b	e submitte	ed for th	nat row: L	Jnits, N	umber of	Excursions, F	requency	of Ana	lysis, and Sample Ty	vpe.
Edit Ch	eck Errors																			
No erroi	ſS.																			
Comme	ents																			
LA-UR-2	22-32029																			
Attachr	nents																			
No attachr																				
	Last Saved By																			
	ational Security LL																			
User:			leslie@lan																	
Name:			Leslie D																	
E-Mail:		I	leslie@lan	nl.gov																
Б ( <del>Т</del>				-		00.00														
Date/Tir	ne:	:	2022-11-1	15 16:10 (T	Time Zone:	-06:00)														

Report Last Signed ByUser:TERRILLLEMKEName:Terrill LemkeE-Mail:tlemke@lanl.govDate/Time:2022-11-17 15:36 (Time Zone: -06:00)

Permit																						
Permit	¥:	NMR	050013		Permi	ittee:		Triad	National S	Security	LLC	;		Fac	cility:		LOS A	LAMO	S NATI	ON/	AL LABORATORY	
Major:		No			Permi	ittee Addre	SS:		ox 1663 Iamos, NI	M 8754	5			Fac	cility Lo	cation:	PO BO LOS A		3 S, NM 8	8754	45	
Permitte	ed Feature:	077 Exterr	nal Outfall		Disch	arge:		<b>077-Z</b> Zinc:	2 <b>C</b> Water Ha	rdness	50-74	4.99 mg/l										
Report	Dates & Status				•																	
Monitor	ing Period:	From	10/01/22 to 12/31	1/22	DMR	Due Date:		02/28	/23					Sta	atus:		NetDN	IR Vali	dated			
Consid	erations for Form	Comp	letion		·									•								
Principa	al Executive Office	er																				
First Na	me:				Title:									Tel	ephone	):						
Last Na	me:													•								
No Data	Indicator (NODI)																					
Form N																						
	Parameter	1	Monitoring Location	Season #	Param. NOD	1			y or Loadir	-					-	ncentratio			# of	f Ex.	Frequency of Analysis	Sample Type
Code	Name						Qualifier 1	Value 1	Qualifier 2	Value 2	Units	Qualifier 1	Value 1	Qualifier 2	2 Value 2			Un				00.0010
						Sample Permit Req.											6.73 104.0 MAXIMU	28 - IM 28 -				GR - GRAB GR - GRAB
	Zinc, dissolved [as	Zn]	1 - Effluent Gross	0		r crime recq.	•								_		104.0 100 00000	20 -			01/00 - Quarterry	
01090	.,	-		-		Value NODI	1												0			
						Value NODI	1												0			
Submis	sion Note				ole nor Efflue			e of the	following	fields v	vill be	e submitte	ed for th	at row: L	Jnits, Nu	umber of	Excursions,	reque		Anal	lysis, and Sample Ty	pe.
Submis If a para	sion Note				le nor Efflue			e of the	following	fields v	vill be	e submitte	ed for th	at row: L	Jnits, Nu	umber of	Excursions,	Freque		Anal	lysis, and Sample Ty	pe.
Submis If a para	sion Note meter row does no eck Errors				ole nor Efflue			e of the	following	fields v	vill be	e submitte	ed for th	at row: L	Jnits, Nı	umber of	Excursions,	reque		Anal	lysis, and Sample Ty	pe.
Submis If a para Edit Ch	sion Note meter row does no eck Errors s.				ole nor Efflue			e of the	following	fields v	vill be	e submitte	ed for th	at row: L	Jnits, Nu	umber of	Excursions,	reque		Anal	lysis, and Sample Ty	pe.
Submis If a para Edit Ch No error Comme	sion Note meter row does no eck Errors s.	t conta	iin any values for t	he Samp		ent Trading.	, then non											Freque		Anal	lysis, and Sample Ty	pe.
Submis If a para Edit Ch No error Comme	sion Note meter row does no eck Errors s. nts 23-21358. The aver	t conta	iin any values for t	he Samp		ent Trading.	, then non											Freque		Anal	lysis, and Sample Ty	pe.
Submiss If a para Edit Ch No error Comme LA-UR-2	sion Note meter row does no eck Errors s. nts 23-21358. The aver nents	t conta	iin any values for t	he Samp		ent Trading.	, then non											Freque		Anal	lysis, and Sample Ty	pe.
Submis If a para Edit Ch No error Comme LA-UR-2 Attachn No attachn	sion Note meter row does no eck Errors s. nts 23-21358. The aver nents	t conta	iin any values for t	he Samp		ent Trading.	, then non											Freque		Anal	lysis, and Sample Ty	pe.
Submiss If a para Edit Ch No error Comme LA-UR-2 Attachr No attachr Report	sion Note meter row does no eck Errors s. onts 23-21358. The aver ments nents.	t conta	iin any values for t	he Samp		ent Trading.	, then non											Freque		Anal	lysis, and Sample Ty	pe.
Submiss If a para Edit Ch No error Comme LA-UR-2 Attachr No attachr Report	sion Note meter row does no eck Errors 's. Ints 23-21358. The aver ments ments Last Saved By	t conta	in any values for t 4 quarterly results	he Samp	s below the	ent Trading.	, then non											Freque		Anal	lysis, and Sample Ty	pe.
Submiss If a para Edit Ch No error Comme LA-UR-2 Attachn No attachn Report Triad N	sion Note meter row does no eck Errors 's. Ints 23-21358. The aver ments ments Last Saved By	t conta	in any values for t 4 quarterly results	he Samp	s below the Il.gov	ent Trading.	, then non											Freque		Anal	lysis, and Sample Ty	pe.
Submis If a para Edit Ch No error Comme LA-UR-2 Attachr No attachr Report Triad N User:	sion Note meter row does no eck Errors 's. Ints 23-21358. The aver ments ments Last Saved By	t conta	in any values for t 4 quarterly results le	he Samp s for Zn is eslie@lan	s below the il.gov ale	ent Trading.	, then non											Freque		Anal	lysis, and Sample Ty	pe.
Submis If a para Edit Ch No error Comme LA-UR-2 Attachn No attachn Report Triad N User: Name:	sion Note meter row does no eck Errors rs. onts 23-21358. The aver ments nents. Last Saved By ational Security L	t conta	iin any values for t 4 quarterly results le Li le	he Samp s for Zn is eslie@lan eslie D	s below the Il.gov ale Il.gov	ent Trading.	, then non											Freque		Anal	lysis, and Sample Ty	pe.
Submis If a para Edit Ch No error Comme LA-UR-2 Attachr No attachr Report Triad N User: Name: E-Mail: Date/Tir	sion Note meter row does no eck Errors rs. onts 23-21358. The aver ments nents. Last Saved By ational Security L	t conta	iin any values for t 4 quarterly results le Li le	he Samp s for Zn is eslie@lan eslie D	s below the Il.gov ale Il.gov	ent Trading, benchmark	, then non											Freque		Anal	lysis, and Sample Ty	pe.
Submis If a para Edit Ch No error Comme LA-UR-2 Attachr No attachr Report Triad N User: Name: E-Mail: Date/Tir	sion Note meter row does no eck Errors rs. ants 23-21358. The aver ments hents Last Saved By ational Security L	t conta	in any values for t 4 quarterly results le Li 2	he Samp s for Zn is eslie@lan eslie D	s below the nl.gov ale nl.gov 0 08:40 (1	ent Trading, benchmark	, then non											Freque		Anal	lysis, and Sample Ty	pe.
Submis If a para Edit Ch No error Comme LA-UR-2 Attachr No attachr No attachr Triad N User: Name: E-Mail: Date/Tir Report	sion Note meter row does no eck Errors rs. ants 23-21358. The aver ments hents Last Saved By ational Security L	t conta	in any values for t 4 quarterly results le Li le 2	he Samp s for Zn is eslie@lan eslie@lan 023-02-1	s below the Il.gov ale Il.gov 0 08:40 (1 .EMKE	ent Trading, benchmark	, then non											Freque		Anal	lysis, and Sample Ty	pe.
Submis If a para Edit Ch No error Comme LA-UR-2 Attachr No attachr Report Triad N User: Name: E-Mail: Date/Tir Report User:	sion Note meter row does no eck Errors rs. ants 23-21358. The aver ments hents Last Saved By ational Security L	t conta	in any values for t 4 quarterly results Lu le 2 T T	he Samp s for Zn is eslie@lan eslie D eslie@lan 023-02-1 ERRILLL	s below the al.gov ale al.gov 0 08:40 (* EMKE emke	ent Trading, benchmark	, then non											Freque		Anal	lysis, and Sample Ty	pe.

Permit																					
Permit	#:	NMR0	50013		Pe	ermittee:			Triad N	Vationa	l Sec	urity LLC					Facility:	LOS ALAMOS N	ATIONA	L LABORATORY	
Major:		No			Pe	ermittee A	ddress:		PO Bo Los Al			7545					Facility Location:	PO BOX 1663 LOS ALAMOS, N	NM 8754	5	
Permitt	ed Feature:	077 Extern	al Outfall		Di	ischarge:			<b>077-1</b> 1 Fabric		etal P	Products,	except	Coating							
Report	Dates & Status				· ·																
Monito	ring Period:	From	10/01/22 to 12/31/2	22	D	MR Due D	ate:		02/28/	23							Status:	NetDMR Validat	ed		
Consid	erations for Form Con	mpletio	n		·																
Princip	al Executive Officer																				
First Na	ame:				Ti	itle:											Telephone:				
Last Na	ime:																				
No Dat	a Indicator (NODI)																				
Form N																					
Code	Parameter Name		Monitoring Location	Season #	# Param. NOD	1	Qualifier		ity or Loadii Qualifier 2	_	Unite	Qualifier 1	Valuo 1	Qualifier 2	Value 2	Qualifi	Quality or Concentration ier 3 Value 3		Units	# of Ex. Frequency of Analysis	Sample Type
Code	Indifie				1	Sample	Quaimer	i value i	Qualifier 2	value 2	Units	Quaimer i	value i	Quaimer 2	value z	Quanne	value 3		Units		
01104	Aluminum, total recove	erable	1 - Effluent Gross	0		Permit Rec	q.									<=	1100.0 MAXIMUM		28 - ug/L	01/90 - Quarterly	GR - GRAB
						Value NOE	ы										9 - Conditional Monitoring - Not Rec	quired This Period			
						Sample Permit Rec										<=	0.68 MAXIMUM		19 - mg/L	01/90 - Quarterly	GR - GRAB
51450	Nitrite Plus Nitrate Tota	al	1 - Effluent Gross	0		Value NOE	-									~-	9 - Conditional Monitoring - Not Rec		19 - 119/L	01/30 - Quarterly	
Submis	sion Note																e container in the second seco	14.104 11.001 01.04			
		ntain an	w values for the Sa	ample no	r Effluent Tr	rading the	n none of	the follo	wing field	s will h	e sub	mitted for	r that ro	w: Units	Numbe	er of Ex	excursions, Frequency of Analysis, and	d Sample Type			
	eck Errors	intern en		imple nel		idding, the			wing noid	0 1111 0	0 000		runarre	w. onito,	- turnot			a campio rype.			
No erro																					
Comme																					
	23-21357																				
Attach																					
No attach	ments.																				
-	Last Saved By																				
Triad N	ational Security LLC																				
User:				-	@lanl.gov																
Name:					e Dale																
E-Mail:					@lanl.gov	o ( <del>T</del> ) -															
Date/Ti				2023-0	-02-10 08:40	0 (Time Z	cone: -06:0	00)													
Report	Last Signed By																				
				TERE																	
User:					RILLLEMKE																
Name:				Terrill	Lemke																
	<b>70</b>			Terrill tlemke			1000 OG1	00)													

Permit	1																				
Permit	.#:	NMR0500	/13		Permittee:		Tria	id Natio	ional Securi	ity LLC					Facility	y:	LOS AL	_AMOS N/	ATION/	AL LABORATORY	
Major:		No			Permittee A	Address:		Box 16 Alamo	663 os, NM 875	545					Facility	y Locatior	n: PO BO LOS AL	X 1663 LAMOS, N	IM 8754	15	
Permitt	tted Feature:	077 External C	Jutfall		Discharge:		<b>077-</b> Fabr		d Metal Pro	oducts, e	exce	ept Coatin	a								
Report	t Dates & Status																				
	oring Period:	From 01//	01/23 to 03/31/23		DMR Due D	Date:	05/3	31/23							Status		NetDM	R Validate	ed		
	derations for Form																				
Princip	pal Executive Office	er																			
First Na					Title:										Teleph	ione:					
Last Na	ame:																				
No Dat	ta Indicator (NODI)																				
Form N																					
	Parameter		Monitoring Location	Season #	# Param. NOD				ity or Loading	-						Concentrati			# of Ex.	Frequency of Analysis	Sample Type
Code	Name					Sample	Qualifier 1	Value 1	1 Qualifier 2 \	Value 2 U	nits (	Qualifier 1	Value 1	Qualifier 2	Value 2	Qualifier 3	Value 3	Units			
01104	Aluminum, total red	ocoverable	1 - Effluent Gross	0		Permit Req.										<= 1	1100.0 MAXIMUM	28 - ug/L		01/90 - Quarterly	GR - GRAB
	Alumnum, total ro.	COverable	I - Enident Gross	0		Value NODI											C - No Discharge				
				<u> </u>		Sample					—		$\rightarrow$								
51450	Nitrite Plus Nitrate	Total	1 - Effluent Gross	0		Permit Req.										<= (	0.68 MAXIMUM	19 - mg/L		01/90 - Quarterly	GR - GRAB
						Value NODI											C - No Discharge				
Submis	ission Note																				
If a para	rameter row does no	ot contain ar	ny values for the Sa	imple no	r Effluent Tra	ading, then	none of th	e follo	wing fields	will be	subr	mitted for	that rov	w: Units, I	Numbe	r of Excurs	sions, Frequency	of Analys	is, and	Sample Type.	
Edit Ch	heck Errors																				
No erro	ors.																				
Comme	ents																				
LA-UR-	-23-24654																				
Attach	ments																				
No attach																					
-	t Last Saved By																				
	National Security Ll	LC																			
User:				e@lanl.go																	
Name:				ie Dale																	
E-Mail:				e@lanl.go																	
Date/Ti			2023-	-05-02 1	11:00 (Time		.00)														
-	t Last Signed By		TED																		
User:				RILLLEM																	
Name:				ill Lemk																	
E-Mail:				ke@lanl.g	-	7 05	201														
Date/Ti	me:		2023	-05-03 1	13:42 (Time		.00)														

Permit																					
Permit	#:	NMR0500	13		Permittee:		Tria	ad Natic	onal Secur	rity LLC	;			Facilit	y:	LOS AL	AMOS N	ATION	IAL LABOI	RATORY	
Major:		No			Permittee A	Address:		) Box 16 s Alamo	663 os, NM 875	545				Facilit	ty Locatio	DN: PO BOX LOS ALA		M 875	45		
Permitt	ted Feature:	077 External C	Dutfall		Discharge:			<b>7-11</b> bricated	d Metal Pro	oducts,	excep	t Coatin	g								
Report	Dates & Status																				
	ring Period:	From 04/0	01/23 to 06/30/23		DMR Due D	Date:	08/	/31/23						Status	3:	NetDMR	l Validat	ed			
	lerations for Form	Completio	n																		
Princip	al Executive Office	:er																			
First Na	ame:				Title:									Teleph	none:						
Last Na	ame:																				
No Data	a Indicator (NODI)	)																			
Form N																					
	Parameter		Monitoring Location	Season #	Param. NODI				ty or Loadin						r Concentrat			# of Ex	. Frequenc	y of Analysis	Sample Type
Code	Name						Qualifier 1	Value 1	Qualifier 2	Value 2 I	Units Q	ualifier 1	Value 1 Qualifier	2 Value 2	Qualifier 3	3 Value 3	Units				
01104			5 Eff. ant Once	~	1	Sample Permit Req.	<b> </b>								<=	1100.0 MAXIMUM	28 - ug/L		01/90 - Qua	arterly	GR - GRAB
01104	Aluminum, total re	coverable	1 - Effluent Gross	0		Value NODI										C - No Discharge	20		01,000 2	anony	
						Sample	<u> </u>			<u> </u>	—	$\rightarrow$				0					——————————————————————————————————————
51450	Nitrite Plus Nitrate	Total	1 - Effluent Gross	0		Permit Req.									<=	0.68 MAXIMUM	19 - mg/L		01/90 - Qua	larterly	GR - GRAB
51450	NILLILE FILLS MILLILLE	Totai	I - Lindent Gross	0		Value NODI										C - No Discharge					
Submis	ssion Note																				
		ot contain ar	ny values for the Sar	mple no	r Effluent Tr	ading, then	none of t	he follo	wina field:	s will be	a subm	itted for	that row: Units	Numbe	er of Excur	sions Frequency	of Analys	sis and	Sample	Type.	
	heck Errors		,	11.0.11		la		ie ie					,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	1.00.0		5101.5, 1.2 1		ie, en	Gampin	Jp.s.	
No erro																					
Comme																					
	-23-29228																				
Attachi																					
No attachi																					
	Last Saved By																				
	, lational Security L	LC																			
User:			leslie	@lanl.go	ov																
Name:				e Dale																	
E-Mail:				@lanl.go																	
Date/Tir					17:10 (Time	e Zone: -05	5.00)														
	Last Signed By						,														
User:			TERF	RILLLEM	IKE																
Name:				ll Lemk																	
E-Mail:				ke@lanl.g																	
Date/Tir					18:24 (Time	e Zone: -05	5.00)														
Date,	10.			00 10	0.21 (1.1.1	Lone: 01.	,														

Permit																					
Permit	#:	NMR0500 <sup>2</sup>	13		Pe	rmittee:			Triad I	Vational	l Secur	ity LLC			Facilit	t <b>y</b> :	LOS ALAMOS N	IATIONAL LA	BOF	RATORY	
Major:		No			Pe	rmittee Ad	dress:			ox 1663 amos, N		545			Facilit	ty Loca	tion: PO BOX 1663 LOS ALAMOS, N	NM 87545			
Permitt		077 External O	utfall		Dis	scharge:			<b>077-IV</b> Impair	<b>v</b> ed Wate	er										
Report	Dates & Status				•																
Monito	ring Period:	From 07/0	1/22 to 06/30/23		DN	IR Due Dat	e:		08/31/	23					Status	s:	NetDMR Validat	ed			
Consid	erations for Form Comp	letion																			
Princip	al Executive Officer																				
First Na	ame:				Tit	le:									Teleph	hone:					
Last Na	ime:														•						
No Data	a Indicator (NODI)																				
Form N																					
	Parameter		Monitoring Location	Season #	Param. NOD	1		Quantity	/ or Loadin	g					C	Quality or	r Concentration		# of E	Ex. Frequency of Analys	sis Sample Type
Code	Name						Qualifier 1	/alue 1 C	Qualifier 2	Value 2 L	Jnits Qu	alifier 1	Value 1 C	Qualifier 2	Value 2 Q			Units			
						Sample											5.55	28 - ug/L		01/YR - Annual	GR - GRAB
01040	Copper, dissolved [as Cu	]	1 - Effluent Gross	0		Permit Req											Req Mon MAXIMUM	28 - ug/L	0	01/YR - Annual	GR - GRAB
						Value NOD															
						Sample											6190.0	28 - ug/L		01/YR - Annual	GR - GRAB
01104	Aluminum, total recovera	ble	1 - Effluent Gross	0		Permit Req											Req Mon MAXIMUM	28 - ug/L	0	01/YR - Annual	GR - GRAB
						Value NOD															
						Sample Permit Req											Req Mon MAXIMUM	28 - ug/L		01/YR - Annual	GR - GRAB
39516	Polychlorinated biphenyl	s [PCBs]	1 - Effluent Gross	0		Value NOD											B - Below Detection Limit/No Detection			01/TR - Annual	GR - GRAD
Curkensis	alan Nata																		_		
	ssion Note				• • <b>T</b> • • 11										( -						
		ain any valu	es for the Sample	nor Ettiu	ient Trading	, then none	e of the folic	wing ti	eids will b	be subm	nitted fo	or that r	ow: Uni	is, Numb	per of Exc	cursions	s, Frequency of Analysis, and Sam	pie Type.			
	eck Errors																				
No erro	rs.																				
Comme	ents																				
LA-UR-	23-29225																				
Attachr	ments																				
No attachi																					
	Last Saved By																				
Triad N	ational Security LLC																				
User:				@lanl.go																	
Name:			Leslie	e Dale																	
E-Mail:			leslie	@lanl.go	OV																
Date/Tir	me:		2023	-08-10 1	16:50 (Tim	e Zone: -05	5:00)														
Report	Last Signed By																				
User:			TERF	RILLLEN	/KE																
Name:			Terril	ll Lemk	ke																
E-Mail:				ke@lanl.																	
Date/Tir	me:				5 18:24 (Tim	e Zone: -05	5:00)														
							,														

1																		
Permit																		
Permit #	#:	NMR0500	/13		Permittee:		Tria	ad Nation	nal Securi	ity LLC			Facilit	ty:	LOS AL	AMOS NATION	NAL LABORATORY	
Major:		No			Permittee A	Address:		Box 166 s Alamos,	63 s, NM 875	545			Facilit	ity Locatio		K 1663 AMOS, NM 875	545	
Permitt	ed Feature:	077 External O	Dutfall		Discharge:		<b>077</b> Fab		Metal Pr	oducts, ex	cept Coating	na						
Report	Dates & Status											5						
	ring Period:	From 07/0	01/23 to 09/30/23	J	DMR Due D	Date:	11/	30/23					Status	s:	NetDMF	R Validated		
	lerations for Form																	
Princip	al Executive Office	er																
First Na	ame:				Title:								Telepi	hone:				
Last Na	ime:																	
No Data	a Indicator (NODI)																	
Form N																		
	Parameter		Monitoring Location	Season #	# Param. NOD				y or Loading					or Concentrat		# of E	x. Frequency of Analysis	Sample Type
Code	Name					-	Qualifier 1	Value 1 Q	Jualifier 2	Value 2 Uni	ts Qualifier 1	1 Value 1 Qualifier 2	2 Value 2	2 Qualifier 3	3 Value 3	Units		
01104					P	Sample Permit Req.	'							<=	1100.0 MAXIMUM	28 - ug/L	01/90 - Quarterly	GR - GRAB
01104	Aluminum, total rec	coverable	1 - Effluent Gross	0		Value NODI									C - No Discharge	20		
					'	Sample									•			
51450	Nitrite Plus Nitrate	Total	1 - Effluent Gross	0		Permit Req.								<=	0.68 MAXIMUM	19 - mg/L	01/90 - Quarterly	GR - GRAB
01100	Hitrito File File	Total		Ũ		Value NODI									C - No Discharge			
Submis	ssion Note																	
If a para	ameter row does no	ot contain ar	ny values for the Sa	ample no	or Effluent Tr	ading, then	none of t	ne follow	/ing fields	will be s	ubmitted for	r that row: Units	, Numbe	er of Excur	sions, Frequency	of Analysis, and	d Sample Type.	
	eck Errors																	
No error																		
Comme																		
	23-31714																	
Attachn																		
No attachn																		
Report	Last Saved By																	
Triad N	lational Security Ll	LC																
User:			leslie	e@lanl.go	ov													
Name:			Leslie	ie Dale														
E-Mail:			leslie	e@lanl.go	ov													
Date/Tin	ne:		2023	-10-16 1	11:50 (Time	e Zone: -05	<i>:</i> 00)											
Report	Last Signed By																	
User:			TERF	RILLLEM	ЛКЕ													
Name:			Terril	ill Lemk	ке													
E-Mail:			tlemk	ke@lanl.g	.gov													
Date/Tin	ne:		2023	-10-23 1	14:42 (Time	e Zone: -05	<i>:</i> 00)											

Permit			
Permit ID:	NMR050013	Major:	
Permittee:	Triad National Security LLC	Permittee Address:	PO Box 1663 Los Alamos , NM87545
Facility:	LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY	Facility Location:	PO BOX 1663 LOS ALAMOS , NM87545
Permitted Feature:	077 - External Outfall	Discharge:	077-11 - Fabricated Metal Products, except Coating
Report Dates & Status			
Monitoring Period:	From 10/01/23 to 12/31/23	DMR Due Date:	02/29/24
Status:	NetDMR Validated		
Considerations for Form Co	ompletion		
Principal Executive Office	27		
First Name:		Last Name:	
Title:		Telephone:	
No Data Indicator (NODI)			
Form NODI:			

	Parameter	NODI	Quanti	ity or Loading			Quality or Conc	entration		# of Ex.	Freq. of Analysis	Smpl. Type
Code	Name		Value 1	Value 2	Units	Value 1	Value 2	Value 3	Units			
01104	Aluminum, total recoverable	Smol.										
1 - Efflu	ent Gross	Smpi.										
Season	: 0	Req.						<=1100.0 MAXIMUM	28 - ug/L		01/90 - Quarterly	GR - GRAB
NODI	the second second	NODI						C - No Discharge				
51450	Nitrite Plus Nitrate Total	Smpl.										
1 - Efflu	ent Gross	Smpi.										
Season	0	Req.						<=0.68 MAXIMUM	19 - mg/L		01/90 - Quarterly	GR - GRAB
NODI:		NODI						C - No Discharge				

If a parameter row does not con	tain any values for the Sample nor Effluent Trading, then none of the following fields will be submitted for that row: Units, Number of Excursions, Frequency of Analysis, and Sample Type.
Edit Check Errors	
No errors.	
Comments	
LA-UR-24-20679	
Attachments	
No attachments.	
Report Last Saved By	
Triad National Security LLC	
User:	leslie@lanl.gov
Name:	Leslie Dale
E-Mail:	leslie@lanl.gov
Date/Time:	2024-01-25 11:20 (Time Zone:-06:00)
Report Last Signed By	
User:	TERRILLLEMKE
Name:	Terrill Lemke
E-Mail:	tlemke@lanl.gov
Date/Time:	2024-01-31 15:52 (Time Zone:-06:00)

Permit			
Permit ID:	NMR050013	Major:	
Permittee:	Triad National Security LLC	Permittee Address:	PO Box 1663 Los Alamos , NM87545
Facility:	LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY	Facility Location:	PO BOX 1663 LOS ALAMOS , NM87545
Permitted Feature:	077 - External Outfall	Discharge:	077-11 - Fabricated Metal Products, except Coating
Report Dates & Status			
Monitoring Period:	From 01/01/24 to 03/31/24	DMR Due Date:	05/31/24
Status:	NetDMR Validated		
Considerations for Form Co	ompletion		
Principal Executive Office	21'		
First Name:		Last Name:	
Title:		Telephone:	
No Data Indicator (NODI)			
Form NODI:	+		

	Parameter	NODI	Quanti	ty or Loading			Quality or Conc	entration		# of Ex.	Freq. of Analysis	Smpl. Type
Code	Name		Value 1	Value 2	Units	Value 1	Value 2	Value 3	Units			
01104	Aluminum, total recoverable	Smpl.										
1 - Efflue	ant Gross	Smpi.										
Season:	0	Req.						<=1100.0 MAXIMUM	28 - ug/L		01/90 - Quarterly	GR - GRAB
NODI: -		NODI						C - No Discharge				
51450	Nitrite Plus Nitrate Total	Smpl.										
1 - Efflue	ant Gross	Smpi.										
Season:	0	Req.						<=0.68 MAXIMUM	19 - mg/L		01/90 - Quarterly	GR - GRAB
NODI: -		NODI						C - No Discharge				

If a parameter row does not cor	ntain any values for the Sample nor Effluent Trading, then none of the following fields will be submitted for that row: Units, Number of Excursions, Frequency of Analysis, and Sample Type
Edit Check Errors	
No errors.	
Comments	
LA-UR-24-23828	
Attachments	
No attachments.	
Report Last Saved By	
Triad National Security LLC	
User:	leslie@lanl.gov
Name:	Leslie Dale
E-Mail:	leslie@lanl.gov
Date/Time:	2024-04-23 15:40 (Time Zone:-05:00)
Report Last Signed By	
User:	TERRILLLEMKE
Name:	Terrill Lemke
E-Mail:	tlemke@lanl.gov
Date/Time:	2024-05-01 19:11 (Time Zone:-05:00)

Permit			
Permit ID:	NMR050013	Major:	
Permittee:	Triad National Security LLC	Permittee Address:	PO Box 1663 Los Alamos , NM87545
Facility:	LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY	Facility Location:	PO BOX 1663 LOS ALAMOS , NM87545
Permitted Feature:	077 - External Outfall	Discharge:	077-11 - Fabricated Metal Products, except Coating
Report Dates & Status			
Monitoring Period:	From 04/01/24 to 06/30/24	DMR Due Date:	08/31/24
Status:	NetDMR Validated		
Considerations for Form Co	ompletion		
Principal Executive Office	r		
First Name:		Last Name:	
itle:		Telephone:	
lo Data Indicator (NODI)			
Form NODI:			

Parameter		NODI	Quanti	ity or Loading			Quality or Conc	entration		# of Ex.	Freq. of Analysis	Smpl. Type
Code	Name		Value 1	Value 2	Units	Value 1	Value 2	Value 3	Units			
01104	Aluminum, total recoverable	Smpl.										
1 - Efflue	ent Gross	Smpt										
Season: O		Req.						<=1100.0 MAXIMUM	28 - ug/L		01/90 - Quarterly	GR - GRAE
NODI: -		NODI						C - No Discharge				
51450	Nitrite Plus Nitrate Total	Smpl.										
1 - Efflue	ent Gross	Sinbi										
Season:	0	Req.						<=0.68 MAXIMUM	19 - mg/L		01/90 - Quarterly	GR - GRAE
NODI: -		NODI						C - No Discharge				

If a parameter row does not o	contain any values for the Sample nor Effluent Trading, then none of the following fields will be submitted for that row: Units, Number of Excursions, Frequency of Analysis, and Sample Type
Edit Check Errors	
No errors.	
Comments	
LA-UR-24-27945	
Attachments	
No attachments.	
Report Last Saved By	
Triad National Security LLC	
User:	leslie@lanl.gov
Name:	Leslie Dale
E-Mail:	leslie@lanl.gov
Date/Time:	2024-07-28 12:20 (Time Zone:-05:00)
Report Last Signed By	
User:	TERRILLLEMKE
Name:	Terrill Lemke
E-Mail:	tlemke@lanl.gov
Date/Time:	2024-07-30 16:52 (Time Zone:-05:00)

Permit			
Permit ID:	NMR050013	Major:	
Permittee:	Triad National Security LLC	Permittee Address:	PO Box 1663 Los Alamos , NM87545
Facility:	LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY	Facility Location:	PO BOX 1663 LOS ALAMOS , NM87545
Permitted Feature:	077 - External Outfall	Discharge:	077-IW - Impaired Water
Report Dates & Status			
Monitoring Period:	From 07/01/23 to 06/30/24	DMR Due Date:	08/31/24
Status:	NetDMR Validated		
Considerations for Form Comp	pletion		
Principal Executive Officer			
First Name:		Last Name:	
Title:		Telephone:	
No Data Indicator (NODI)			
Form NODI:			

Parameter		NODI	Quanti	ty or Loading			Quality or Conc	entration		# of Ex.	Freq. of Analysis	Smpl. Type
Code	Name		Value 1	Value 2	Units	Value 1	Value 2	Value 3	Units			
01040	Copper, dissolved [as Cu]	Smpl.										
1 - Effluer	nt Gross	Smpt.										
Season: 0		Req.						Req Mon MAXIMUM	28 - ug/L		01/YR - Annual	GR - GRAB
NODI: -		NODI						C - No Discharge				
01104	Aluminum, total recoverable	Smpl.										
1 - Effluer	nt Gross	Smpr.										
Season:	0	Req.						Req Mon MAXIMUM	28 - ug/L		01/YR - Annual	GR - GRAB
NODI: -		NODI						C - No Discharge				

If a parameter row does not contain any values for the Sample nor Effluent Trading, then none of the following fields will be submitted for that row: Units, Number of Excursions, Frequency of Analysis, and Sample Type. Edit Check Errors No errors. Comments LA-UR-24-27945 Attachments No attachments. Report Last Saved By Triad National Security LLC User: leslie@lanl.gov Name: Leslie Dale E-Mail: leslie@lanl.gov Date/Time: 2024-07-28 12:20 (Time Zone:-05:00) Report Last Signed By User: TERRILLLEMKE Terrill Lemke Name: E-Mail: tlemke@lanl.gov Date/Time: 2024-07-30 16:52 (Time Zone:-05:00)

NMR050013	Major:	
Triad National Security LLC	Permittee Address:	PO Box 1663 Los Alamos , NM87545
LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY	Facility Location:	PO BOX 1663 LOS ALAMOS , NM87545
077 - External Outfall	Discharge:	077-11 - Fabricated Metal Products, except Coating
From 07/01/24 to 09/30/24	DMR Due Date:	11/30/24
NetDMR Validated		
pletion		
	Last Name:	
	Telephone:	
*		
	Triad National Security LLC LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY 077 - External Outfall From 07/01/24 to 09/30/24 NetDMR Validated	Triad National Security LLC     Permittee Address:       LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY     Facility Location:       077 - External Outfall     Discharge:       From 07/01/24 to 09/30/24     DMR Due Date:       NetDMR Validated     DMR Due Date:

	Parameter	NODI	Quantit	y or Loading			Quality or Conc	entration		# of Ex.	Freq. of Analysis	Smpl. Type
Code	Name		Value 1	Value 2	Units	Value 1	Value 2	Value 3	Units			
01104 A	luminum, total recoverable	Smpl.										
1 - Effluent	Gross	Smpi.										
Season: O		Req.						<=1100.0 MAXIMUM	28 - ug/L		01/90 - Quarterly	GR - GRAE
NODI: -		NODI						C - No Discharge				
51450 Ni	trite Plus Nitrate Total	Smpl.										
1 - Effluent	Gross	Smpi.										
Season: O		Req.						<=0.68 MAXIMUM	19 - mg/L		01/90 - Quarterly	GR - GRAE
NODI: -		NODI						C - No Discharge				

If a parameter row does not co	ontain any values for the Sample nor Effluent Trading, then none of the following fields will be submitted for that row: Units, Number of Excursions, Frequency of Analysis, and Sample Type.
Edit Check Errors	
No errors.	
Comments	
LA-UR-24-31472	
Attachments	
No attachments.	
Report Last Saved By	
Triad National Security LLC	
User:	leslie@lanl.gov
Name:	Leslie Dale
E-Mail:	leslie@lanl.gov
Date/Time:	2024-10-25 09:00 (Time Zone:-05:00)
Report Last Signed By	
User:	TERRILLLEMKE
Name:	Terrill Lemke
E-Mail:	tlemke@lanl.gov
Date/Time:	2024-10-30 13:40 (Time Zone:-05:00)

Permit ID:	NMRO	50013			Major:								
Permittee:	Triad I	National Security LLC			Permittee Address:		O Box 1663 os Alamos , NM87545						
Facility:	LOS A	LAMOS NATIONAL LABO	DRATORY		Facility Location:	P	O BOX 1663 OS ALAMOS , NM87545						
Permitted Feature:	077 -	External Outfall			Discharge:	0	77-ZC - Zinc: Water Hard	7-ZC - Zinc: Water Hardness 50-74.99 mg/l					
Report Dates & Status													
Monitoring Period:	From	07/01/24 to 09/30/24			DMR Due Date: 11/30/24								
Status:	NetDI	IR Validated											
Considerations for Form Com	pletion												
Principal Executive Officer													
First Name:					Last Name:								
Title:					Telephone:								
No Data Indicator (NODI)													
Form NODI:	-												
Parameter	NODI	Quanti	ty or Loading			Quality or Conc	entration		# of Ex.	Freq. of Analysis	Smpl. Type		
Code Name		Value 1	Value 2	Units	Value 1	Value 2	Value 3	Units					
01090 Zinc, dissolved [as Zn]											-		
1 - Effluent Gross	Smpl.												
Season: 0	Req.						<=104.0 MAXIMUM	28 - ug/L		01/90 - Quarterly	GR - GRAE		
	aread.									orise Security			
	NODT						C - No Dischasse	1					
NODI: -	NODI			1 1			C - No Discharge	1.000					
		values for the Sample	nor Effluent Trading,	then none o	f the following fields will be :	submitted for		Excursions,	Frequency	of Analysis, and Si	ample Type		
NODI: - Submission Note If a parameter row does not Edit Check Errors No errors.		values for the Sample	nor Effluent Trading,	then none o	f the following fields will be :	submitted for		Excursions,	Frequency	of Analysis, and Si	ample Type		
NODI: - Submission Note If a parameter row does not Edit Check Errors No errors. Comments		values for the Sample	nor Effluent Trading,	then none o	f the following fields will be :	submitted for		Excursions,	Frequency	of Analysis, and Si	ample Type		
NODI: - Submission Note If a parameter row does not Edit Check Errors No errors. Comments LA-UR-24-31472		values for the Sample	nor Effluent Trading,	then none o	f the following fields will be :	submitted for		Excursions,	Frequency	of Analysis, and Si	smple Type		
NODI: - Submission Note If a parameter row does not Edit Check Errors No errors. Comments LA-UR-24-31472 Attachments		values for the Sample	nor Effluent Trading,	then none o	f the following fields will be :	submitted for		Excursions,	Frequency	of Analysis, and Si	ample Type		
NODI: - Submission Note If a parameter row does not Edit Check Errors No errors. Comments LA-UR-24-31472 Attachments No attachments.		values for the Sample	nor Effluent Trading,	then none o	f the following fields will be :	submitted for		Excursions,	Frequency	of Analysis, and Si	ample Type		
NODI: - Submission Note If a parameter row does not Edit Check Errors No errors. Comments LA-UR-24-31472 Attachments No attachments. Report Last Saved By		values for the Sample	nor Effluent Trading,	then none o	f the following fields will be :	submitted for		Excursions,	Frequency	of Analysis, and Si	ample Type		
NODI: - Submission Note If a parameter row does not Edit Check Errors No errors. Comments LA-UR-24-31472 Attachments No attachments. Report Last Saved By Triad National Security LLC		values for the Sample	nor Effluent Trading,	then none o	f the following fields will be :	submitted for		Excursions,	Frequency	of Analysis, and Si	ample Type		
NODI: - Submission Note If a parameter row does not Edit Check Errors No errors. Comments LA-UR-24-31472 Attachments No attachments. Report Last Saved By Triad National Security LLC User:			nor Effluent Trading,	then none o	f the following fields will be a	submitted for		Excursions,	Frequency	of Analysis, and Si	ample Type		
NODI: - Submission Note If a parameter row does not Edit Check Errors No errors. Comments LA-UR-24-31472 Attachments No attachments. Report Last Saved By Triad National Security LLC User: Name:		leslie@lanl.gov	nor Effluent Trading,	then none o	f the following fields will be a	submitted for		Excursions,	Frequency	of Analysis, and Si	ample Type		
NODI: - Submission Note If a parameter row does not Edit Check Errors No errors. Comments LA-UR-24-31472 Attachments No attachments. Report Last Saved By Triad National Security LLC User: Name: E-Mail:		leslie@lanl.gov Leslie Dale leslie@lanl.gov	nor Effluent Trading, (Time Zone:-05:00)	then none o	f the following fields will be :	submitted for		Excursions,	Frequency	of Analysis, and Si	ample Type		
NODI: - Submission Note If a parameter row does not Edit Check Errors No errors. Comments LA-UR-24-31472 Attachments No attachments. Report Last Saved By Triad National Security LLC User: Name: E-Mail: Date/Time:		leslie@lanl.gov Leslie Dale leslie@lanl.gov		then none o	f the following fields will be :	submitted for		Excursions,	Frequency	of Analysis, and Si	ample Type		
NODI: - Submission Note If a parameter row does not Edit Check Errors No errors. Comments LA-UR-24-31472 Attachments No attachments. Report Last Saved By Triad National Security LLC User: Name: E-Mail: Date/Time: Report Last Signed By		leslie@lanl.gov Leslie Dale leslie@lanl.gov		then none o	f the following fields will be	submitted for		Excursions,	Frequency	of Analysis, and Sa	ample Type		
NODI: - Submission Note If a parameter row does not Edit Check Errors No errors. Comments LA-UR-24-31472 Attachments No attachments. Report Last Saved By Triad National Security LLC USer: Name: E-Mail: Date/Time: Report Last Signed By User:		leslie@lanl.gov Leslie Dale leslie@lanl.gov 2024-10-25 09:00 (		then none o	f the following fields will be :	submitted for		Excursions,	Frequency	of Analysis, and Si	ample Type		
NODI: - Submission Note If a parameter row does not Edit Check Errors		leslie@lanl.gov Leslie Dale leslie@lanl.gov 2024-10-25 09:00 ( TERRILLLEMKE		then none o	f the following fields will be :	submitted for		Excursions,	Frequency	of Analysis, and Sa	ample Type		

Permit			
Permit ID:	NMR050013	Major:	
Permittee:	Triad National Security LLC	Permittee Address:	PO Box 1663 Los Alamos , NM87545
Facility:	LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY	Facility Location:	PO BOX 1663 LOS ALAMOS , NM87545
Permitted Feature:	077 - External Outfall	Discharge:	077-11 - Fabricated Metal Products, except Coating
Report Dates & Status			
Monitoring Period:	From 10/01/24 to 12/31/24	DMR Due Date:	02/28/25
Status:	NetDMR Validated		
Considerations for Form Co	ompletion		
Principal Executive Office			
And the second second second second second	r	a sub antida da	
First Name:		Last Name:	
Title:		Telephone:	
No Data Indicator (NODI)			
-			

Form NODI:

	Parameter	NODI	Quanti	ty or Loading		Quality or Concentration					Freq. of Analysis	Smpl. Type
Code	Name		Value 1	Value 2	Units	Value 1	Value 2	Value 3	Units			
01104	Aluminum, total recoverable	Smol										
1 - Efflue	ent Gross	Smpt.										
Season: 0		Req.						<=1100.0 MAXIMUM	28 - ug/L		01/90 - Quarterly	GR - Grab
NODI: -		NODI						C - No Discharge				
51450	Nitrite Plus Nitrate Total	Smpl.										
1 - Efflue	ent Gross	Smpt.										
Season:	0	Req.						<=0.68 MAXIMUM	19 - mg/L		01/90 - Quarterly	GR - Grab
NODI: -		NODI						C - No Discharge				

Submission Note

If a parameter row does not contain any values for the Sample nor Effluent Trading, then none of the following fields will be submitted for that row: Units, Number of Excursions, Frequency of Analysis, and Sample Type. Edit Check Errors No errors. Comments LA-UR-25-20316 Attachments No attachments. Report Last Saved By Triad National Security LLC User: leslie@lanl.gov Leslie Dale Name: E-Mail: leslie@lanl.gov Date/Time: 2025-01-27 14:00 (Time Zone:-06:00) Report Last Signed By TERRILLLEMKE Useri Terrill Lemke Name: E-Mail: tlemke@lanl.gov Date/Time: 2025-01-27 14:43 (Time Zone:-06:00)

ATTACHMENT 6: ANNUAL REPORTS

NeT Document

NPDES FORM 6100-28	\$¢EPA	UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, DC 20460 ANNUAL REPORT FOR STORMWATER DISCHARGES ASSOCIATED WITH INDUSTRIAL ACTIVITY UNDER THE NPDES MULTI-SECTOR GENERAL PERMIT	FORM Approved OMB No. 2040-0300							
Permit Information										
Report Year: 2021										
Reporting Period: 1/1/2021 to 12/31/2021										
NPDES ID: NMR050013										
Facility Information										
Facility Name: LOS ALAMOS NA	TIONAL LABORATORY									
Facility Point of C										
First Name Middle Initial Last Phone: 505-665-2397	Name: Terrill Lemke	Ext.:								
Email: tlemke@lanl.gov										
Facility Mailing Ac	dress									
Address Line 1: PO BOX 1663										
Address Line 2: MS K490		City: LOS ALAMOS								
ZIP/Postal Code: 87545		State: NM								
County or Similar Division: Los /	Alamos									

#### **General Findings**

Provide a summary of your past year's routine facility inspection documentation, including dates (see Part 3.1.6 of the permit). In addition, if you are an operator of an airport facility (Sector S) that is subject to the airport effluent limitations guidelines, and are complying with the MSGP Part 8.S.9 effluent limitation through the use of non-urea-containing deicers, provide a statement certifying that you do not use pavement deicers containing urea (e.g., "Urea was not used at [name of airport] for pavement deicing in the past year and will also not be used in 2021." (Note: Operators of airport facilities that are complying with Part 8.S.9 by meeting the numeric effluent limitation for ammonia do not need to include this statement.)

Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL), operated by Triad National Security, LLC (Triad), consists of 8 active industrial sites that operate under 4 different Sectors (D, N, P, and AA). Permit coverage became effective on June 25, 2021. All 8 active sites were inspected according the schedules identified in the site-specific Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plans (SWPPP s). The 40 sites that qualify for a conditional exclusion for no exposure were inspected between November 1 through 22, 2021. A summary of inspections and associated corrective actions are included in Table 1 (attached).

Provide a summary of your past year's quarterly visual assessment documentation, including dates (see Part 3.2.3 of the permit).

Please see Table 2 (attached) for a summary of visual assessment documentation.

Provide a summary of your past year's corrective action and/or additional implementation measures (AIM) documentation (See Part 5.3 of the permit). (Note: If corrective action is not yet completed at the time of submission of this annual report, you must describe the status of any outstanding corrective action(s).) Note that you must modify your SWPPP based on the corrective actions and deadlines required under Part 5. Also describe any incidents of noncompliance in the past year or currently ongoing, or if none, provide a statement that you are in compliance with the permit.

Please see Table 1 (attached) for a summary of corrective action documentation, which specifies the frequency of each of the following by site: (1) unauthorized release or discharge, (2) control measures needing maintenance, repair or replacement, (3) control measures that were inadequate to meet the non-numeric effluent limitations, (4) effluent limitation guidelines e xceedances, and (5) benchmark exceedances (AIM triggering events). One AIM Level 1 triggering event occurred, which was identified on January 18, 2022. Triad is investigating possible sources and appropriate corrective action for the parameter exceedance (Nitrate plus Nitrite Nitrogen) at outfall 022. All other corrective actions were completed per the

schedule provided in Part 5.1.3. LANL is in compliance with the permit.								
Attached files:								
Name	Uploaded Date	Size						
2021 Annual Report Tables 1 and 2.docx (arptAttachment/762698)	01/24/2022	31.86 KB						
Certification Information I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system design information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the imbelief, true, accurate, and complete. I have no personal knowledge that the information submitted is other than true, accurate, and complete. I am aware including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations. Certified By: Jennifer payne Certifier Title: Division Leader	formation, the information submitted is, to the be	est of my knowledge and						

Certifier Email: jpayne@lanl.gov

Certified On: 01/24/2022 5:43 PM ET

Table 1. Summary of Routine Facility Inspections and Associated Corrective Actions

Facility	Status	Inspection Frequency	Inspections Conducted Between 6/25/2021 and 12/31/2021	Inspection Dates	Unauthorized Release or Discharge	Control Measures Needing Maintenance, Repairs, or Replacement or Installed Incorrectly	Control Measures Inadequate to Meet Non- Numeric Effluent Limitations	Incidents of Noncompliance (Effluent Limitation Guidelines Exceedances)	Average Exceeds or is Mathematically Certain to Exceed Benchmark Value (AIM Triggering Event)	AIM Level at end of Reporting Period
TA-3-29 Indoor TSD	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/8/2021	_	—	—	—	—	_
TA-3-29 Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/8/2021	—	—	_	—	—	_
TA-3-30 Warehouse	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/17/2021	1	—	_	—	—	_
TA-3-32 Metal Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/17/2021	—	—	2	—	—	_
TA-3-34 Metal Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/17/2021	1	—	1	—	—	_
TA-3-38 Metals Fabrication Shop	Active	Monthly	6	7/27/2021, 8/30/2021, 9/21/2021, 10/20/2021, 11/28/2021, 12/20/2021	_	_	4	-	_	Baseline
TA-3-39 and 102 Metal Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/15/2021	3	1	4	—	_	_
TA-3-40, Room 131S Machine	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/17/2021	—	_	—	—	_	_
TA-3-66 Sigma Facility	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/17/2021	1	6	2	—	—	—

Facility	Status	Inspection Frequency	Inspections Conducted Between 6/25/2021 and 12/31/2021	Inspection Dates	Unauthorized Release or Discharge	Control Measures Needing Maintenance, Repairs, or Replacement or Installed Incorrectly	Control Measures Inadequate to Meet Non- Numeric Effluent Limitations	Incidents of Noncompliance (Effluent Limitation Guidelines Exceedances)	Average Exceeds or is Mathematically Certain to Exceed Benchmark Value (AIM Triggering Event)	AIM Level at end of Reporting Period
TA-3-2206 Warehouse	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/8/2021	_	_	_	_	_	_
TA-9-28 Heavy Equipment	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/10/2021	_	_	_	_	_	_
TA-9-0214 Metal Fabrication Shop	Active	Monthly	6	7/29/2021, 8/27/2021, 9/30/2021, 10/28/2021, 11/8/2021, 12/22/2021	2	_	1	_	_	Baseline
TA-14-23 Burn Cage	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/10/2021	-	_	—	—	_	—
TA-15-185 Phermex	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/10/2021	_	_	_	—	_	_
TA-15-313 Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/10/2021	_	_	_	_	-	_
TA-16 Stockpile Area	Active	Quarterly	2	9/23/2021, 11/8/2021	_	_	_	_	-	N/A
TA-22-52 Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/10/2021	_	_	_	_	_	_
TA-33-39 Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/10/2021	-	_	1	—	_	—
TA-33-113 Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/10/2021	—	—	—	—		—
TA-35-2 Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/17/2021	_	_	—	—		_
TA-35-125 Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/17/2021	_	_	1	—		_
TA-35-213 Target Fabrication	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/17/2021	_	1	1	—		_
TA-46-31 Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/10/2021	-	_	—	—	_	—
TA-46-77 Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/10/2021	—	—	1	—		—
TA-46-0624 Warehouse	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/8/2021	_	—	1	—		_
TA-48-8 Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/17/2021	_	—	1	—		_
TA-50-54 Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/17/2021	1	—	—	—		_
TA-50-69 WCRRF	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/8/2021	_	1	—	—		—
TA-53-2 Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/11/2021	_	—	_	—	-	—
TA-53-16/0726 Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/11/2021	_	—	1	—	—	—
TA-53-26 Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/11/2021	_	—	_	—	—	—
TA-54-38 Indoor TSD	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/8/2021	_	—	—	—		_
TA-54-38 Outdoor TSD	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/8/2021	_	1	—	—		—
TA-55-3 Metal Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/22/2021	—	—	—	—	—	—
TA-55-PF-4 Indoor TSD	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/22/2021	_	—	—	—	-	—
TA-55-0005 Warehouse	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/22/2021	_	—	—	—	-	—
TA-55-0268 Warehouse	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/8/2021	_	_	1	_	-	_
TA-55-314 Warehouse	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/22/2021	_	—	—	—	-	—
TA-55-355 TSD	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/22/2021	_	_	—	_	-	—
TA-55-0430 Metal Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/22/2021	_	_	_	_	-	_
TA-55-432 Warehouse	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/8/2021	_	—	—	—	-	—

Facility	Status	Inspection Frequency	Inspections Conducted Between 6/25/2021 and 12/31/2021	Inspection Dates	Unauthorized Release or Discharge	Control Measures Needing Maintenance, Repairs, or Replacement or Installed Incorrectly	Control Measures Inadequate to Meet Non- Numeric Effluent Limitations	Incidents of Noncompliance (Effluent Limitation Guidelines Exceedances)	Average Exceeds or is Mathematically Certain to Exceed Benchmark Value (AIM Triggering Event)	AIM Level at end of Reporting Period
TA-55 Outdoor TSD	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/22/2022	_	—	_	—	—	—
TA-60 Asphalt Batch Plant	Active	Monthly	6	7/12/2021, 8/2/2021, 9/1/2021, 10/3/2021, 11/9/2021, 12/20/2021	_	_	_	_	_	Baseline
TA-60 MRF	Active	Monthly	6	7/21/2021, 8/9/2021, 9/8/2021, 10/14/2021, 11/18/2021, 12/16/2021	_	_	_	_	_	N/A
TA-60 Roads and Grounds	Active	Monthly	6	7/22/2021, 8/18/2021, 9/27/2021, 10/19/2021, 11/29/2021, 12/20/2021	7	2	9	_	_	N/A
TA-60-1 Heavy Equipment Yard	Active	Monthly	6	7/23/2021, 8/23/2021, 9/17/2021, 10/15/2021, 11/15/2021, 12/9/2021	6	1	4	-	1	Level 1 – NO3+NO2- N
TA-60-2 Warehouse	Active	Monthly	6	7/21/2021, 8/24/2021, 9/22/2021, 10/28/2021, 11/16/2021, 12/14/2021	1	_	2	_	_	N/A
TA-63 Transuranic Waste Facility	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/8/2021	_	—	-	—	—	_

TA = Technical Area

TSD = Treatment, storage and disposal

WCRRF = Waste Characterization, Reduction, and Repackaging Facility

PF = Plutonium Facility

MRF = Material Recycling Facility

AIM = Additional Implementation Measures

N/A = Not applicable. Sector-specific requirements do not include benchmark monitoring.

Facility	Outfall	Outfall Type	Visual Assessments Performed between	Visual Assessment Dates	Evidence of Pollutants Observed
			7/1/2021 and 12/31/2021 (Q1 and Q2)		
TA 2.28 Motols Ephrication Chan	076	Monitored	1	7/19/2021	None
TA-3-38 Metals Fabrication Shop	077	Monitored	1	7/29/2021	None
TA-9-214 Metals Fabrication Shop	078	Monitored	0	-	-
TA-16 Stockpile Area	079	Monitored	0	-	-
TA-60 Asphalt Batch Plant	043	Monitored	0	-	-
TA-60 MRF	029	Monitored	1	7/6/2021	None
	031	Monitored	1	8/3/2021	None
	030	SIDP to 031	1	7/21/2021	None
	032	Monitored	1	7/29/2021	None
	033	SIDP to 032	1	7/21/2021	None
TA-60 Roads and Grounds	034	SIDP to 032	1	7/21/2021	None
	035	SIDP to 032	1	7/21/2021	None
	037	Monitored	0	-	-
	039	Monitored	0	-	-
	042	Monitored	1	8/5/2021	None
	022	Monitored	1	7/14/2021	None
	021	SIDP to 022	2	7/7/2021, 10/1/2021	None
TA-60-1 Heavy Equipment Yard	023	SIDP to 022	2	7/21/2021, 10/1/2021	None
	024	SIDP to 022	2	7/7/2021, 10/1/2021	None
	025	SIDP to 022	2	7/7/2021, 10/1/2021	None
	026	Monitored	1	7/6/2021	None
TA-60-2 Warehouse	027	SIDP to 026	1	10/1/2021	None
TA-00-2 Warenouse	028	SIDP to 026	2	7/7/2021, 10/1/2021	None
	075	Monitored	1	7/29/2021	None

#### Table 2. Summary of Quarterly Visual Assessments

TA = Technical Area

MRF = Material Recycling Facility SIDP = Substantially Identical Discharge Point

Q = Monitoring Quarter

NPDES FORM 6100-28	WEIT T			Approved OMB No. 2040-0300
Permit Information				
Report Year: 2022				
Reporting Period: 01/01/2022 to 12/31/2022				
NPDES ID: NMR050013				
Facility Information				
Facility Name: LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABOR	NTORY			
Facility Point of Contact				
First Name Middle Inilial Last Name: Terril	Lamlar			
Phone: 505-665-2397		Ext		
Email: tiemke@lani.gov				
Facility Mailing Address				
Address Line 1: PO BOX 1663				
Address Line 2: MS K490			City: LOS ALAMOS	
ZIP/Postal Code: 87545			State: NM	
County or Similar Division: Los Alamos				
General Findings				
semeral Finangs				
ar complying with the MSGP Part 8.5 9 effluent decing in the pastyper and will also not be used loss Alamos National Laborn Under 4 different Sectors g to the schedules identi.	New York of the set of	of non-urea-containing de of airport facilities that a perated by Tri- AA). Permit co- s-specific Sto	ee Part 3.1.6 of the permit). In addition, if you are an operator of an airport facility (Sector S) that is subjuicients, provide a statement certifying that you do not use pavement delers containing urea (e.g., 'Urea are complying with Part 8.3.9 by meeting the numeric effluent limitation for animonia do not need to inc and National Security, LLC (Triad), consists of 8 active 1 overage became effective on June 25, 2021. All 8 active s immediater Pollution Prevention Plans (SWPPPs). The 39 sites	a was not used at [name of airport] for pavement stude this statement.) Industrial mites that operat ites were inspected accords: that quality for a conditi
are complying with the MSGP Part 8.5 s effluent decing in the past year and will also not be user Los Alamös National Laborn Under 4 different Sectors g to the schedules identi.	Numitation through the use In 2021." (Note: Operators atory (LANL), op (D, N, F, and ) fied in the sic sure were inspe-	of non-urea-containing de of airport facilities that a perated by Tri- AA). Permit so =-specific Sto cted between No	Jejeen, provide a statement certifying that you do not use pavement delors containing uses (a.g. "Uses are complying with Part 8.5.3 by meeting the numeric effluent limitation for annonia do not need to inc and National Security, LLC (Triad), consists of 9 active 4 verage became effective on June 25, 2021. All 8 active s icmnwater Pollution Prevention Plans (SMPPPs). The 39 sites November 17 and December 13, 2022, A summary of routine fa	a was not used at [hame of airport] for pavement stude bits stalement.) Industrial mites that operat ites were inspected accordi- that quality for a conditi
are complying with the MSGP Part 8.5 a effluent deling in the past year and will also not be user los Alamos National Laborn under 4 different Sectors g to the schedules identi, nal exclusion for no exposi- lkdowns, and associated co	Winkidsh through the use In 2021." (Note: Operators atory (LANL), of (D, N, F, and ) fied in the sic sure were inspe- orrective action	of non-urea-containing de (o diport facilities that a perated by Tri: AA). Permit cor =-Specific Sto) cted between N- ns are included	Belers, provide a statement certifying that you do not use payement delors containing uses (e.g., "Less are complying with Part 8.5.9 by meeting the numeric effluent limitation for announce and need to be and National Security, LLC (Triad), consists of 8 active 4 verage became effective on June 25, 2021. All 8 active s immater Pollution Prevention Plans (SMPPPs). The 39 sites bovember 17 and December 13, 2022. A summary of routine failed in Table-1 (attached).	a was not used at [name of airport] for pavement stude this statement.) Industrial mites that operat ites were inspected accords: that quality for a conditi
are complying with the MSGP Part 8.5 a effluent delong in the past year and will also not be user Los Alamos National Labor; under 4 different Sectors g to the schedules identi. mal exclusion for no expo lkdowns, and associated co	Winitiation through the uses I in 2021." (Note: Operation attory (LANL), o) (D, N, F, and i filed in the sic sure were inspec- soriedtive action visual assessment docum head) for a summa	of non-urea-containing de of alignet facilities that a operated by Trii. AAA). Permit cor- =-specific Stoi- cted between N- ms are includes entation, including dates ary of visual -	Belers, provide a statement certifying that you do not use payement delors containing uses (e.g., "Less are complying with Part 8.5.9 by meeting the numeric effluent limitation for announce and need to be and National Security, LLC (Triad), consists of 8 active 4 verage became effective on June 25, 2021. All 8 active s immater Pollution Prevention Plans (SMPPPs). The 39 sites bovember 17 and December 13, 2022. A summary of routine failed in Table-1 (attached).	a was not used at [hame of airport] for pavement stude this statement] hdustrial mites that operat lites where inspected accordi that qualify for a conditi chilty inspections, other w
are complying with the MSGP Part 8.5.9 effluent deling in the past year and will also not be user los Alamos National Labor: under 4 different Sectors g to the schedules identi. mail exclusion for no exposi- likdowns, and associated or Provide a summary of your past year's quarterly Fleise see Tablé 2 (attack no discharge occurred dur Provide a summary of your gast year's correctly describe the status of any outsanding correctly of Hows, provide a statement that you are in co- Please see Table 1 (attack itee: (1) unauthorized rela-	Winkidon through the uses In 2021." (Note: Operators atory (IANL), o) (D, N, F, and I field in the sic sure were inspec- priedtive action visual assessment docum and) for a summe ing one or more a action and/or additional is action(s)) Note that you r megline with the pormit.	of non-urea-containing de i of alignet facilities that a operated by Trii. AA). Permit con- -specific Stoi- cted between N- ms are included entation, including dates ary of visual - quarters. mplomentation measures must modify your SWPPP ary of correct: ie, (2) control	<pre>leters.provide a statement certifying that you do not use pavement deters containing uses (e.g. "Uses are complying with Part 8.5.9 by meeting the numeric effluent limitation for annmonia do not need to include and National Security, LLC (Triad), consists of 8 active 1 verage became effective on June 25, 2021. All 8 active s intwater Pollution Prevention Plans (SWPPPs). The 39 sites loventher 17 and December 13, 2022. A summary of routine fa id in Table 1 (art.ached).</pre>	<pre>vws.notused at (hame of airport) for pavement hude this statement) ndustrial sites that operat ites were inspected accord that qualify for a conditi cility inspections, other w dur vigual assessments mean time of submission of this annual report, you mu oncompliance in the past year or currently engol if each of the following by ) control measures that were</pre>
are complying with the MSGP Part 8.5 effluent defing in the past year and will also not be user Los Alamos National Labor: Under 4 different Sectors g to the schedules identi. nail exclusion for no expos- lkdowns, and associated co- Provide a summary of your past year's quarterly Flease see Table 2 (attack no discharge occurred dur Provide a summary of your past year's correctly describe the status of any outstanding correctly Please see Table 1 (attack Provide a summary of your past year's correctly describe the status of any outstanding correctly Please see Table 1 (attack line: (1) unauthorized reh inadequate to meet the not	Winkidon through the uses In 2021." (Note: Operators atory (LANL), o) (D, N, F, and 1 (Id, In the sio sure were inspec- sure the sio sure were inspec- sure the sion sure extra the sion sure of the sion sure that a summing and one of more estion and/or additional is a scient(s). Note that you implance with the pormit. The d) for a summing the summing of the summing the side of the summing the side of the summing of the summing of the summing the side of the summing of the summer of the summer of the summing of the summer of t	of non-urea-containing de of alignet facilities that a perated by Tri. AA). Permit covi- superitic Stoi cted between N- ms are included entation, including dates ary of visual - guarters. mplementation measures must modify your SWPPP ary of corpect: ge, 12) control	<pre>leters, provide a statement certifying this you do not use pawment deters containing uses (s.g. 'Uses are complying with Part 8.3 by meeting the numeric effluent limitation for announce do not need to be and National Security, LLC (Triad), consists of 6 active 4 immater Pollution Prevention Plans (SMPPPs). The 39 sites hovember 17 and December 13, 2022, A summary of routine failed in Table 1 (attached). (see Part 3.2.3 of the permit). assessment documentation, Outfalls reporting fewer than f based on the corrective actions and deadlines required using a vision of the completed at the P based on the corrective actions, which specifies the frequency of measures needing maintenance, repair or replacement, (3)</pre>	<pre>vws.notused a(pame of airport) for pavement hude this statement) ndustrial sites that operat ites were inspected accordin that qualify for a condifit cliity inspections, other w dur visual assessments mean time of submission of this annual report, you muo nocompliance in the past year or currently engol f each of the following by : ) control measures that wer ) benchmark exceedances (AI</pre>
are complying with the MSGP Part 8.5.9 effluent deling in the past year and will also not be used loss Alamos National Labor; under 4 different Sectors g to the schedules identi, mail exclusion for no exposi- likdowns, and associated of Provide a summary of your past year's quarterly Please see Tablé 2 (attack ne discharge occurred dur Provide a summary of your past year's correctly describe the status of any outsanding correctly of Home, provide a statement that you are in co- pleases see Table 1 (aftack ince; (1) unauthorized rela- inadequate to meet the noi tringgering, events). All on	Winkidon through the uses In 2021." (Note: Operators atory (LANL), o) (D, N, F, and 1 (Id, In the sio sure were inspec- sure the sio sure were inspec- sure the sion sure extra the sion sure of the sion sure that a summing and one of more estion and/or additional is a scient(s). Note that you implance with the pormit. The d) for a summing the summing of the summing the side of the summing the side of the summing of the summing of the summing the side of the summing of the summer of the summer of the summing of the summer of t	of non-urea-containing de of alignet facilities that a perated by Tri. AA). Permit covi- superitic Stoi cted between N- ms are included entation, including dates ary of visual - guarters. mplementation measures must modify your SWPPP ary of corpect: ge, 12) control	<pre>leters.provide a statement certifying this you do not use pawment deters containing uses (a.g. "Uses are complying with Part 8.5.9 by meeting the numeric effluent limitation for announce do not need to inc and National Security, LLC (Triad), consists of 9 active 4 verage became effective on June 25, 2021. All 8 active s innyater Pollution Prevention Plans (SMPPPS). The 39 sites lovember 17 and December 13, 2022, A summary of routine fa ed in Table 1 (artached). see Part 3.2.3 of the permit). assessment documentation, Outfalls reporting fewer than f s(ANM) documentation (See Part 5.3 of the permit). (Note: If corrective action is not yet completed at the P based on the corrective actions and deadlines required under Part 5. Also deactbe any incidents of no five action documentation, which specifies the frequency o al measures needing maintenance, repair or replacement, (3 as, (4) effluent limitation guidelines exceedances, and 15</pre>	<pre>vws.notused a(pame of airport) for pavement hude this statement) ndustrial sites that operat ites were inspected accordin that qualify for a condifi- cility inspections, other w dur visual assessments mean time of submission of this annual report, you mu oncompliance in the past year or currently engol f each of the following by : ) control measures that were ) benchmark exceedances (AI)</pre>
are complying with the MSGP Part 8.5.9 effluent deling in the past year and will also not be user los Alamos National Labor; under 4 different Sectors g to the schedules identi, nal exclusion for no exposi- lkdowns, and associated on Provide a summary of your past year's quarterly Please see Tablé 2 (attack no discharge occurred dur Provide a summary of your past year's correctly describe the status of any outstanding correctly please see Tablé 1 (attack Please see Tablé 1 (attack Please see Tablé 1 (attack ite: (1) unauthorized reh inadequate to meet the not	Winkidon through the uses In 2021." (Note: Operators atory (LANL), o) (D, N, F, and 1 (Id, In the sio sure were inspec- sure the sio sure were inspec- sure the sion sure extra the sion sure of the sion sure that a summing and one of more estion and/or additional is a action(s). Note that you implance with the pormit. The d) for a summing asse or dischar n-numeric efflue	of non-urea-containing de of alignet facilities that a perated by Tri. AA). Permit covi- superitic Stoi cted between N- ms are included entation, including dates ary of visual - guarters. mplementation measures must modify your SWPPP ary of corpect: ge, 12) control	<pre>leters.provide a statement certifying this you do not use pawment deters containing uses (a.g. "Uses are complying with Part 8.5.9 by meeting the numeric effluent limitation for announce do not need to include and National Security, LLC (Triad), consists of 9 active 4 verage became effective on June 25, 2021. All 8 active s innyater Pollution Prevention Plans (SMPPPS). The 39 sites lovember 17 and December 13, 2022. A summary of routine fa ed in Table 1 (artached). see Part 3.2.3 of the permit). assessment documentation, Outfalls reporting fewer than f s(ANM) documentation (See Part 5.3 of the permit). (Note: If corrective action is not yet completed at the P based on the corrective actions and deadlines required under Part 5. Also deactbe any incidents of no five action documentation, which specifies the frequency o al measures needing maintenance, repair or replacement, (3 us, (4)) effluent limitation guidelines exceedances, and 15</pre>	<pre>vws.notused a(pame of airport) for pavement hude this statement) ndustrial sites that operat ites were inspected accordin that qualify for a condifi- cility inspections, other w dur visual assessments mean time of submission of this annual report, you mu oncompliance in the past year or currently engol f each of the following by : ) control measures that were ) benchmark exceedances (AI)</pre>
are complying with the MSGP Part 8.5 effluent deling in the past year and will also not be used loss Alamos Mational Labor; bunder 4 different Sectors g to the schedules identi, mail exclusion for no exposi- likdowns, and associated or Provide a summary of your past year's quarterly Fleise see Tablé 2 (attack no discharge occurred dur Provide a summary of your past year's correctly describe the status of any outsating correctly describe the status of any outsating correctly fleises see Tablé 1 (attack ince (1) unauthorized rel- inadequate to meer the not tringgering events). All of Attached Nes:	Winkidon through the uses In 2021." (Note: Operators atory (LANL), o) (D, N, F, and I field in the sio sure were inspe- scriedtive action visual assessment docum hed) for a summ- ing one of more e action and/or additional is a action(s). Note that you the action(s). Note that you hed) for a summ- mean of the summ- me	of non-urea-containing de of alignet facilities that a perated by Tri. AA). Permit covi- superitic Stoi cted between N- ms are included entation, including dates ary of visual - guarters. mplementation measures must modify your SWPPP ary of corpect: ge, 12) control	<pre>leters provide a statement certifying that you do not use payment deters containing uses (a.g. "Uses are complying with Part 8.5.9 by meeting the numeric effluent limitation for announce do not need to be and National Security, LLC (Triad), consists of 6 active 4 verage became effective on June 25, 2021. All 8 actives a innwater Pollution Prevention Plans (SMPPPS). The 39 sites hovember 17 and December 13, 2022. A summary of routine fa- ed in Table 1 (attached). sees Part 3.2.3 of the permit). asseessment documentation, Outfails reporting fewer than f a(AM) documentation (See Part 5.3 of the permit). (Note: If corrective action is not yet completed at the P based on the corrective actions and deadlines required under Part 5. Also deactibe ary incidents of no tive action documentation, which specifies the frequency o all measures, needing maintenance, repair or replacement, (3 use, (4) effluent limitation guidelines exceedances, and (5) thed per the schedule provided in Fart 5.1.1, LANL is in con- ticed per the schedule provided in Fart 5.1.1, LANL is in con- ting and the schedule provided in Fart 5.1.1, LANL is in con- ting and the schedule provided in Fart 5.1.1, LANL is in con- ting the schedule provided in Fart 5.1.1, LANL is in con- ting and the schedule provided in Fart 5.1.1, LANL is in con- ting the schedule provided in Fart 5.1.1, LANL is in con- ting the schedule provided in Fart 5.1.1, LANL is in con- ting the schedule provided in Fart 5.1.1, LANL is in con- ting the schedule provided in Fart 5.1.1, LANL is in con- ting the schedule provided in Fart 5.1.1, LANL is in con- ting the schedule provided in Fart 5.1.1, LANL is in con- ting the schedule provided in Fart 5.1.1, LANL is in con- ting the schedule provided in Fart 5.1.1, LANL is in con- ting the schedule provided in Fart 5.1.1, LANL is in con- ting the schedule provided in Fart 5.1.1, LANL is in con- ting the schedule provided in Fart 5.1.1, LANL is in con- ting the schedule provided in Fart 5.1.1, LANL is in co- ting the schedule provid</pre>	a was not used at (hame of airport) for pavement hude this statement) industrial sites that operat ites were inspected accordin that qualify for a condition cliity inspections, other w dur vigual assessments mean time of submission of this annual report, you muo oncompliance in the past year or currently engold f each of the following by : ) control measures that wer ) benchmark exceedances (AI ompliance with the permit.
are complying with the MSGP Part 8.5.9 effluent deciding in the past year and will also not be used loss Alamos National Labor: under 4 different Sectors g to the schedules identil mail exclusion for no exposi- likdowns, and associated or Provide a summary of your past year's guarterly Pleise see Tablé 2 (attack no discharge occurred dur Provide a summary of your past year's correctly describe the status of any outsanding correctly of Hous, provide a statement that you are in co- please see Tablé 1 (attack ite: (1) unauthorized rela- inadequate to meet the noi tringgering events). All of Attached Rise: Name 2.0022 Annual Report Tables 1 and 2 pdf (arplAth	Winkidon through the uses In 2021." (Note: Operators atory (LANL), o) (D, N, F, and I field in the sio sure were inspe- scriedtive action visual assessment docum hed) for a summ- ing one of more e action and/or additional is a action(s). Note that you the action(s). Note that you hed) for a summ- mean of the summ- me	of non-urea-containing de of alignet facilities that a perated by Tri. AA). Permit covi- superitic Stoi cted between N- ms are included entation, including dates ary of visual - guarters. mplementation measures must modify your SWPPP ary of corpect: ge, 12) control	<pre>leters provide a statement certifying this you do not use payment deters containing uses (s.g. "Uses are complying with Part 8.5.9 by meeting the numeric effluent limitation for announce do not need to be and National Security, LLC (Triad), consists of 6 active i verage became effective on June 25, 2021. All 8 actives a innwater Pollution Prevention Plans (SMPPPS). The 39 sites hovember 17 and December 13, 2022, A summary of routine fa- ed in Table 1 (attached). sees Part 3.2.3 of the permit). asseessment documentation, Outfalls reporting fewer than f add/d documentation (See Part 5.3 of the permit). (Note: If corrective action is not yet completed at the P based on the corrective actions and deadlines required under Part 5. Also describe any incidents of no size per the schedule provided in Fart 5.1.5. LANL is in con- ting per the schedule provided in Fart 5.1.5. LANL is in con- ting the schedule provided in Fart 5.1.5. LANL is in con- ting per the schedule provided in Fart 5.1.5. LANL is in con- puted per the schedule provided in Fart 5.1.5. LANL is in con- ting per the schedule provided in Fart 5.1.5. LANL is in con- ting per the schedule provided in Fart 5.1.5. LANL is in con- ting per the schedule provided in Fart 5.1.5. LANL is in con- ting per the schedule provided in Fart 5.1.5. LANL is in con- ting per the schedule provided in Fart 5.1.5. LANL is in con- ting per the schedule provided in Fart 5.1.5. LANL is in con- ting per the schedule provided in Fart 5.1.5. LANL is in con- ting per the schedule provided in Fart 5.1.5. LANL is in con- ting per the schedule provided in Fart 5.1.5. LANL is in con- ting per the schedule provided in Fart 5.1.5. LANL is in con- ting per the schedule pervised per the pervised pervised pervised per the schedule pervised pervised pervise</pre>	aves not used at (hame of airport) for pavement hude this statement) industrial sites that operat ites were inspected accordin that qualify for a condition cliity inspections, other w dur vigual assessments mean time of submission of this annual report, you mu oncompliance in the past year or currently engol of each of the following by : ) control measures that wer ) benchmark exceedances (AI ompliance with the permit.
are complying with the MSGP Part 8.5.9 effluent deling in the past year and will also not be used loss Alamos National Labor; under 4 different Sectors g to the schedules identi, nal exclusion for no exposi- likdowns, and associated of Provide a summary of your past year's quarterly Please see Tablé 2 (attack no discharge occurred dur Provide a summary of your past year's correctly describe the status of any outstanding correctly or if none, provide a statement that you are inco- line; (1) unauthorized rel- inadequate to meet the not triggering events). All or Attached files: Name 2.022 Annual Report Tables 1 and 2 pdf (orplant Certification information)	Winkidon through the uses In 2021." (Note: Operators atory (LANL), o) (D, N, P, and ) (D, N, P, and ) (Id, In, P, and ) (Id, In, P, and ) (Id, In, P, and ) (Id, Id, P, and ) (Id, Id, P, and ) (Id, Id, Id, Id, Id, Id, Id, Id, Id, Id,	of non-urea-containing de i of alignet facilities that a perated by Tri. AA). Permit covi- superities tool cted between N- ms are included entation, including dates ary of visual - guarters. mplementation measures must modify your SWPPP ary of correct. Te, i2) control ent limitation, as were completed the superior of the superior of the superior of were completed the superior of the superior of the superior of were completed the superior of the superior of the superior of were completed the superior of the superior	<pre>leters provide a statement certifying this you do not use payment deters containing use (a.g. "Uses are complying with Pat 8.5.3 by meeting the numeric effluent limitation for annonia do not need to be and National Security, LLC (Triad), consists of § active i verage became effective on June 25, 2021. All § active s innyater Pollution Prevention Plans (SNPPPS). The 35 sites hovember 17 and December 13, 2022, A summary of routine fa- ed in Table 1 (artached).</pre>	a was not used at (hame of since) for pavement hude this statement) industrial sites that operat ites were inspected accordin char qualify for a condifi- cility inspections, other w dur visual assessments mean time of submission of this annual report, you mu oncompliance in the past year or currently engol f each of the following by : ) control measures that were ) benchmark exceedances (All ompliance with the permit. Size 138.04 KB
are complying with the MSGP Part 8.5.9 effluent deling in the past year and will also not be user loss Alamos National Labor; under 4 different Sectors g to the schedules identi, nal exclusion for no exposi- likdowns, and associated or Provide a summary of your past year's quarterly Please see Tablé 2 (attack no discharge occurred dur Provide a summary of your past year's correctly describe the status of any outstanding correctly of flows, provide a statement that you are inco- flease see Tablé 1 (attack inc) (1) unauthorized rel- inadequate to meet the noi tringgering events). All or Attached files: Name 2.022 Annual Report Tables 1 and 2 pdf (orplati Certification (information)	Whitedion through the uses In 2021." (Note: Operators atory (LANL), o) (D, N, P, and ) (D, N, P, and ) (I, N, And ) (I,	of non-urea-containing de i of airport facilities that a perated by Tri. AA). Permit covi- s-specific Stoi cted between N- ms are includer entation, including dates ary of visual - guarters. mplementation measures must modify your SWPPP ary of corpect int, icit completion of were completion before the organization of su- bill for pathema the infor-	<pre>leters provide a statement certifying this you do not use payment deters containing uses (s.g. "Uses are complying with Part 8.5.9 by meeting the numeric effluent limitation for announce do not need to be and National Security, LLC (Triad), consists of 6 active i verage became effective on June 25, 2021. All 8 actives a innwater Pollution Prevention Plans (SMPPPS). The 39 sites hovember 17 and December 13, 2022, A summary of routine fa- ed in Table 1 (attached). sees Part 3.2.3 of the permit). asseessment documentation, Outfalls reporting fewer than f add/d documentation (See Part 5.3 of the permit). (Note: If corrective action is not yet completed at the P based on the corrective actions and deadlines required under Part 5. Also describe any incidents of no size per the schedule provided in Fart 5.1.5. LANL is in con- ting per the schedule provided in Fart 5.1.5. LANL is in con- ting the schedule provided in Fart 5.1.5. LANL is in con- ting per the schedule provided in Fart 5.1.5. LANL is in con- puted per the schedule provided in Fart 5.1.5. LANL is in con- ting per the schedule provided in Fart 5.1.5. LANL is in con- ting per the schedule provided in Fart 5.1.5. LANL is in con- ting per the schedule provided in Fart 5.1.5. LANL is in con- ting per the schedule provided in Fart 5.1.5. LANL is in con- ting per the schedule provided in Fart 5.1.5. LANL is in con- ting per the schedule provided in Fart 5.1.5. LANL is in con- ting per the schedule provided in Fart 5.1.5. LANL is in con- ting per the schedule provided in Fart 5.1.5. LANL is in con- ting per the schedule provided in Fart 5.1.5. LANL is in con- ting per the schedule provided in Fart 5.1.5. LANL is in con- ting per the schedule pervised per the pervised pervised pervised per the schedule pervised pervised pervise</pre>	aves not used at (harms of airport) for pavement hude this statement) industrial mites that operat lites were inspected accordin that qualify for a condifi cility inspections, other w dur vigual assessments mean time of submission of this annual report, you us oncompliance in the past year or currently engols of each of the following by ) control measures that wer ) benchmark exceedances (AI compliance with the permit. Size 138.04 KB
are complying with the MSGP Part 8.5.9 effluent deling in the past year and will also not be user loss Alamos National Labor; under 4 different Sectors g to the schedules identi, nal exclusion for no exposi- likdowns, and associated or Provide a summary of your past year's quarterly Please see Tablé 2 (attack no discharge occurred dur Provide a summary of your past year's correctly describe the status of any outstanding correctly of flows, provide a statement that you are inco- flease see Tablé 1 (attack inc) (1) unauthorized rel- inadequate to meet the noi tringgering events). All or Attached files: Name 2.022 Annual Report Tables 1 and 2 pdf (orplati Certification (information)	Whitedion through the uses In 2021." (Note: Operators atory (LANL), o) (D, N, P, and ) (D, N, P, and ) (I, N, And ) (I,	of non-urea-containing de i of airport facilities that a perated by Tri. AA). Permit covi- s-specific Stoi cted between N- ms are includer entation, including dates ary of visual - guarters. mplementation measures must modify your SWPPP ary of corpect int, icit completion of were completion before the organization of su- bill for pathema the infor-	<pre>leters.provide a statement certifying this you do not use payment deters containing use (a.g. "Uses are complying with Part 8.5.9 by meeting the numeric effluent limitation for annonia do not need to be and National Security, LLC (Triad), consists of § active i verage became effective on June 25, 2021. All § active s innyater Pollution Prevention Plans (SNPPPS). The 39 sites hovember 17 and December 13, 2022. A summary of routine fa- ed in Table 1 (artached).</pre>	aves not used at (harms of airport) for pavement hude this statement) industrial mites that operat lites were inspected accordin that qualify for a condifi cility inspections, other w dur vigual assessments mean time of submission of this annual report, you us oncompliance in the past year or currently engols of each of the following by ) control measures that wer ) benchmark exceedances (AI compliance with the permit. Size 138.04 KB
are complying with the MSGP Part 8.5.9 effluent deling in the past year and will also not be user loss Alamos National Labor; under 4 different Sectors g to the schedules identi, nal exclusion for no exposi- likdowns, and associated or Provide a summary of your past year's quarterly Please see Tablé 2 (attack no discharge occurred dur Provide a summary of your past year's correctly describe the status of any outstanding correctly or if none, provide a statement that you are in co- please see Tablé 1 (attack incide quate to meet the noi tringgering events). All or Attached files: Name 2.022 Annual Report Tables 1 and 2 pdf (orplati Certification information)	Whitedion through the uses In 2021." (Note: Operators atory (LANL), o) (D, N, P, and ) (D, N, P, and ) (I, N, And ) (I,	of non-urea-containing de i of airport facilities that a perated by Tri. AA). Permit covi- s-specific Stoi cted between N- ms are includer entation, including dates ary of visual - guarters. mplementation measures must modify your SWPPP ary of corpect int, i courter ent limitation, of were complet shift or stream of the inter- shift or stream of the inter-	<pre>leters.provide a statement certifying this you do not use payment deters containing use (a.g. "Uses are complying with Part 8.5.9 by meeting the numeric effluent limitation for annonia do not need to be and National Security, LLC (Triad), consists of § active i verage became effective on June 25, 2021. All § active s innyater Pollution Prevention Plans (SNPPPS). The 39 sites hovember 17 and December 13, 2022. A summary of routine fa- ed in Table 1 (artached).</pre>	aves not used at (hame of sinper) for pavement hude this statement) industrial mites that operat lites where inspected accordin that qualify for a condifi- cility inspections, other w dur vigual assessments mean time of submission of this annual report, you un oncompliance in the past year or currently engol of each of the following by ) control measures that wer ) benchmark exceedances (AI compliance with the permit. Size 138.04 KB
are complying with the MSGP Part 8.5 effluent deling in the past year and will also not be used in the past year and will also not be used in a set of the schedules in the schedules of to the schedules international tabors and exclusion for no exposi- likdowns, and associated of Provide a summary of your past year's guartery Please see Table 2 (attack ne discharge occurred dur: Provide a summary of your past year's correctly describe the status of any outstanding correctly of the one provide a statement that you are in co- Provide a summary of your past year's correctly describe the status of any outstanding correctly or if none, provide a statement that you are in co- Please see Table 1 (attack inadequate to meet the noi tringgering events). All out Attached files: Name a 2002 Annual Report Tables 1 and 2 pdf (arplAth Cettification information) Cettification information is during the score and the scourdent and the person or persons who menage the spacement of the schem the transmitter payme Cettified By: Jenviller payme	Whitedion through the uses In 2021." (Note: Operators atory (LANL), o) (D, N, P, and ) (D, N, P, and ) (I, N, And ) (I,	of non-urea-containing de i of airport facilities that a perated by Tri. AA). Permit covi- s-specific Stoi cted between N- ms are includer entation, including dates ary of visual - guarters. mplementation measures must modify your SWPPP ary of corpect int, i courter ent limitation, of were complet shift or stream of the inter- shift or stream of the inter-	<pre>leters.provide a statement certifying this you do not use payment deters containing use (a.g. "Uses are complying with Part 8.5.9 by meeting the numeric effluent limitation for annonia do not need to be and National Security, LLC (Triad), consists of § active i verage became effective on June 25, 2021. All § active s innyater Pollution Prevention Plans (SNPPPS). The 39 sites hovember 17 and December 13, 2022. A summary of routine fa- ed in Table 1 (artached).</pre>	aves not used at (harms of airport) for pavement hude this statement) industrial mites that operat lites were inspected accordin that qualify for a condifi cility inspections, other w dur vigual assessments mean time of submission of this annual report, you us oncompliance in the past year or currently engols of each of the following by ) control measures that wer ) benchmark exceedances (AI compliance with the permit. Size 138.04 KB
are complying with the MSGP Part 8.5 effluent deciding in the past year and will also not be user in the past year and will also not be user in the softwall estimation of the user in the softwall estimation of the softwall of the softwall estimation of the softwall had exclusion for no exposi- likdowns, and associated of Provide a summary of your past year's quarterly Please see Tablé 2 (attach no discharge occurred dur Provide a summary of your past year's correctly describe the status of any substantialing correctly of Home, provide a substantial the your entor of Home, provide a substantial the your entor Please bee Tablé 1 (aftach iter; (1) unauthorized rela- inadequate to meet the noi tringgering events). All of Attached files: Name 2.2022 Annual Report Tables 1 and 2 pdf (arplAth Centification information) reactify under penalty of law that this document and the person or penoing who memore the system, of is rather then true, accurate, and complete. I am avec Centified By: Jeavier payne	Whitedion through the uses In 2021." (Note: Operators atory (LANL), o) (D, N, P, and ) (D, N, P, and ) (I, N, And ) (I,	of non-urea-containing de i of airport facilities that a perated by Tri. AA). Permit covi- s-specific Stoi cted between N- ms are includer entation, including dates ary of visual - guarters. mplementation measures must modify your SWPPP ary of corpect int, i courter ent limitation, of were complet shift or stream of the inter- shift or stream of the inter-	<pre>leters.provide a statement certifying this you do not use payment deters containing use (a.g. "Uses are complying with Part 8.5.9 by meeting the numeric effluent limitation for annonia do not need to be and National Security, LLC (Triad), consists of § active i verage became effective on June 25, 2021. All § active s innyater Pollution Prevention Plans (SNPPPS). The 39 sites hovember 17 and December 13, 2022. A summary of routine fa- ed in Table 1 (artached).</pre>	a was not used at [name of since] for pavement hude this statement) industrial sites that operati- ites were inspected accordin that qualify for a condifi- cility inspections, other wo dur vigual assessments means for vigual assessments means time of submission of this annual report, you mu oncompliance in the past year or currently engold if each of the following by : ) control measures that were ) benchmark exceedances (All compliance with the permit. Size 138.04 KB

### 2022 MSGP Annual Report

Table 1. Summary of Routine Facility, Other Walkdowns and Associated Correc	tive Actions
---	--------------

Facility	Status	Required/ Recommended Inspection Frequency	Routine Facility Inspections and Other Walkdowns Conducted Between 1/1/2022 and 12/31/2022	Inspection Dates	Unauthorized Release or Discharge	Control Measures Needing Maintenance, Repairs, or Replacement or Installed Incorrectly	Control Measures Inadequate to Meet Non- Numeric Effluent Limitations	Incidents of Noncompliance (Effluent Limitation Guidelines Exceedances)	Average Exceeds or is Mathematically Certain to Exceed Benchmark Value (AIM Triggering Event)	AIM Level at end of Reporting Period
TA-03-0029 Indoor TSD	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/28/22	—		—	—	—	—
TA-03-0029 Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/28/22	—	—	—	—	—	—
TA-03-0030 Warehouse	No Exposure	Annually	6	4/20/22, 6/7/22, 7/12/22, 9/21/22, 12/1/22, 12/6/22	5	-	_	_	—	_
TA-03-0032 Metal Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/28/22	—	_	—	—	—	—
TA-03-0034 Metal Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/28/22	—	—	—	—	—	—
TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shops	Active	Monthly	13	1/31/22, 2/14/22, 3/29/22, 4/21/22, 5/19/22, 6/13/22, 7/14/22, 8/25/22, 9/20/22, 10/19/22, 11/17/22, 12/13/22, 12/14/22	_	1	8	_	3	Zn - Baseline until Year 4, NO3+NO2-N - AIM Level 1, Al - AIM Level 2
TA-03-0039 & 0102 Metal Shop	No Exposure	Annually	5	4/18/22, 6/27/22, 7/19/22, 11/22/22, 11/28/22	2	_	5	_	_	_
TA-03-0040, Room 131S Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	2	3/28/22, 11/28/22	_	_	1	_	_	_
TA-03-0066 Sigma Complex	No Exposure	Annually	4	6/21/22, 9/30/22, 11/28/22, 12/5/22	1	2	9	_	—	_
TA-03-2206 Warehouse	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/28/22	—	—	—	—	—	—
TA-09-0028 Heavy Equipment Maintenance	No Exposure	Annually	2	4/20/22, 11/17/22	1	-	1	_	—	_
TA-09-0214 Metal Fabrication Shop	Active	Monthly	12	1/4/22, 2/28/22, 3/24/22, 4/21/22, 5/9/22, 6/7/22, 7/28/22, 8/25/22, 9/28/22, 10/25/22, 11/30/22, 12/20/22	1	_	1	_	_	Baseline
TA-14-0023 OBOD TSD (Burn Cage)	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/17/22	-	_	_	_	_	_
TA-15-0185 (PHERMEX)	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/17/22	_	—	_	_	_	-

Facility	Status	Required/ Recommended Inspection Frequency	Routine Facility Inspections and Other Walkdowns Conducted Between 1/1/2022 and 12/31/2022	Inspection Dates	Unauthorized Release or Discharge	Control Measures Needing Maintenance, Repairs, or Replacement or Installed Incorrectly	Control Measures Inadequate to Meet Non- Numeric Effluent Limitations	Incidents of Noncompliance (Effluent Limitation Guidelines Exceedances)	Average Exceeds or is Mathematically Certain to Exceed Benchmark Value (AIM Triggering Event)	AIM Level at end of Reporting Period
TA-15-0313 Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/17/22	1	_	1	_	_	_
TA-16 Stockpile Area	Active	Quarterly	4	2/28/22, 4/21/22, 9/6/22, 12/20/22	_	_	1	_	_	N/A
TA-22-0052 Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/17/22	—	1	—	—	_	_
TA-33-0039 Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/29/22	—	—	—	—	—	—
TA-33-0113 Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/29/22	—	_	1	—	_	_
TA-35-0002 Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/29/22	—	_	—	—	_	_
TA-35-0125 Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/29/22	_	_	_	_	_	_
TA-35-0213 Target Fabrication Facility	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/29/22	_	_	4	_	_	_
TA-46-0031 Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/28/22	—	—	—	—	—	—
TA-46-0077 Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	4	6/28/22, 8/9/22, 9/12/22, 11/28/22	_	_	3	_	_	_
TA-46-0624 Warehouse	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/28/22	—	—	—	—	—	_
TA-48-0008 Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/29/22	—	—	1	—	—	—
TA-50-0054 Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/29/22	—	—	—	—	—	—
TA-50-0069 WCRRF	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/28/22	—	—	—	—	—	—
TA-53-0002 Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	2	12/8/22, 12/14/22	—	1	—	—	—	—
TA-53-0016/0726 Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	12/13/22	_	_	_	_	_	_
TA-53-0026 Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	12/13/22	—	—	1	—	—	—
TA-54-0038 Indoor TSD	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/28/22	—	—	—	—	—	—
TA-54-0038 Outdoor TSD	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/28/22	—	—	—	—	—	—
TA-55 PF-0004 Indoor TSD	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/30/22	—	—	—	—	—	—
TA-55-0005 Warehouse	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/30/22	—	—	—	—	—	—
TA-55-0268 Warehouse	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/30/22	—	—	—	—	—	—

Facility	Status	Required/ Recommended Inspection Frequency	Routine Facility Inspections and Other Walkdowns Conducted Between 1/1/2022 and 12/31/2022	Inspection Dates	Unauthorized Release or Discharge	Control Measures Needing Maintenance, Repairs, or Replacement or Installed Incorrectly	Control Measures Inadequate to Meet Non- Numeric Effluent Limitations	Incidents of Noncompliance (Effluent Limitation Guidelines Exceedances)	Average Exceeds or is Mathematically Certain to Exceed Benchmark Value (AIM Triggering Event)	AIM Level at end of Reporting Period
TA-55-0314 Warehouse	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/30/22	_	_	_	_	_	—
TA-55-0355 TSD	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/30/22	—	—	1	—	-	—
TA-55-0430 Metal Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/30/22	—	_	—	—	_	—
TA-55-0432 Warehouse	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/30/22	—	_	—	—	_	—
TA-55 Outdoor TSD	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/30/22	_	1	_	_	_	_
TA-60 Asphalt Batch Plant	Active	Monthly	14	1/4/22, 1/25/22, 2/8/22, 3/1/22, 4/6/22, 5/3/22, 6/1/22, 6/27/22, 7/5/22, 8/1/22, 9/6/22, 10/3/22, 11/2/22, 12/20/22	1	1	4	2	_	Baseline
TA-60 Material Recycling Facility	Active	Monthly	13	1/25/22, 2/14/22, 3/2/22, 4/21/22, 5/18/22, 6/14/22, 7/18/22, 8/15/22, 9/13/22, 10/13/22, 11/8/22, 12/19/22, 12/20/22	2	2	3	_	_	N/A
TA-60 Roads and Grounds and TA-61 Asphalt Staging Area	Active	Monthly	21	1/31/22, 2/15/22, 3/16/22, 4/26/22, 5/31/22, 6/27/22, 6/28/22, 7/5/22, 7/25/22, 8/11/22, 8/29/22, 9/13/22, 9/22/22, 9/28/22, 10/20/22, 11/2/22, 11/22/22, 11/29/22, 12/10/22, 12/16/22, 12/19/22	9	6	23	_	_	N/A
TA-60-0001 Heavy Equipment Yard	Active	Monthly	22	1/20/22, 2/22/22, 3/22/22, 3/24/22, 4/22/22, 4/26/22, 5/24/22, 6/9/22, 6/16/22, 6/24/22, 7/18/22, 7/20/22, 8/2/22, 8/9/22, 8/19/22, 9/6/22, 9/29/22, 10/28/22 11/08/22, 11/21/22, 12/14/22, 12/21/22	17	3	8	_	2	Zn and NO3+NO2-N – Baseline until Year 4, Al – AIM Level 1

## 2022 MSGP Annual Report

Facility	Status	Required/ Recommended Inspection Frequency	Routine Facility Inspections and Other Walkdowns Conducted Between 1/1/2022 and 12/31/2022	Inspection Dates	Unauthorized Release or Discharge	Control Measures Needing Maintenance, Repairs, or Replacement or Installed Incorrectly	Control Measures Inadequate to Meet Non- Numeric Effluent Limitations	Incidents of Noncompliance (Effluent Limitation Guidelines Exceedances)	Average Exceeds or is Mathematically Certain to Exceed Benchmark Value (AIM Triggering Event)	AIM Level at end of Reporting Period
TA-60-0002 Warehouse	Active	Monthly	12	1/20/22, 2/24/22, 3/22/22, 4/19/22, 5/17/22, 6/15/22, 7/12/22, 8/18/22, 9/20/22, 10/18/22, 11/16/22, 12/19/22	_	_	4	_	_	N/A
TA-63 Transuranic Waste Facility TSDs	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/28/22	—	—	-	—	—	_

TA = Technical Area

TSD = Treatment, storage and disposal

WCRRF = Waste Characterization, Reduction, and Repackaging Facility

PF = Plutonium Facility

AIM = Additional Implementation Measures

N/A = Not applicable. Sector-specific requirements do not include benchmark monitoring.

## 2022 MSGP Annual Report

### Table 2. Summary of Quarterly Visual Assessments

Facility	Outfall	Outfall Type	Visual Assessments Performed between 1/1/2022 and 12/31/2022	Visual Assessment Dates	Evidence of Pollutants Observed
TA 02 0020 Matel Febrication Change	076	Monitored	2	6/23/22, 7/21/22	None
TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shops	077	Monitored	3	6/27/22, 8/1/22, 10/3/22	None
TA-09-0214 Metal Fabrication Shop	079	Monitored	1	7/5/22	None
TA-16 Stockpile Area	078	Monitored	1	8/2/22	None
TA-60 Asphalt Batch Plant	043	Monitored	3	6/27/22, 7/5/22, 8/1/22	None
TA-60 Material Recycling Facility	029	Monitored	4	3/18/22, 6/23/22, 7/21/22, 10/3/22	None
	031	Monitored	3	6/27/22, 7/5/22, 10/17/22	None
	030	SIDP to 031	4	1/6/22, 6/20/22, 7/5/22, 10/3/22	None
	032	Monitored	3	6/23/22, 7/5/22, 10/6/22	None
	033	SIDP to 032	4	3/1/22, 6/20/22, 7/5/22, 10/3/22	None
TA-60 Roads and Grounds and TA-61	034	SIDP to 032	4	3/1/22, 6/20/22, 7/5/22, 10/3/22	None
Asphalt Staging Area	035	SIDP to 032	4	3/1/22, 6/23/22, 7/5/22, 10/3/22	None
	037	Monitored	2	6/28/22, 8/1/22	None
	039	Monitored	1	6/27/22	None
	042	Monitored	3	6/23/22, 7/5/22, 10/17/22	None
	084	Monitored	0	-	None
	022	Monitored	5	1/3/22, 3/18/22, 6/27/22, 7/5/22, 10/6/22	None
	021	SIDP to 022	4	1/6/22, 6/20/22, 7/5/22, 10/3/22	None
TA-60-0001 Heavy Equipment Yard	023	SIDP to 022	4	1/10/22, 6/20/22, 7/5/22, 10/3/22	None
	024	SIDP to 022	4	1/3/22, 6/20/22, 7/18/22, 10/3/22	None
	025	SIDP to 022	4	1/10/22, 6/20/22, 7/5/22, 10/3/22	None
	026	Monitored	4	1/6/22, 6/23/22, 7/5/22, 10/3/22	None
	027	SIDP to 026	4	2/10/22, 6/20/22, 7/5/22, 10/3/22	None
TA-60-0002 Warehouse	028	SIDP to 026	4	1/6/22, 6/20/22, 7/18/22, 10/3/22	None
	075	Monitored	3	6/23/22, 7/5/22, 10/3/22	None

TA = Technical Area SIDP = Substantially Identical Discharge Point



This collection of information is approved by OMB under the Paperwork Reduction Act, 44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq. (OMB Control No. 2040-0004). Responses to this collection of information are mandatory in accordance with this permit and EPA NPDES regulations (40 CFR 122.41(h)). An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response. Send comments on the Agency's need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates and any suggested methods for minimizing respondent burden to the Regulatory Support Division Director, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2821T), 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20460. Include the OMB control number in any correspondence. Do not send the completed form to this address.

Permit Information Report Year: 2023 Reporting Period: 01/01/2023 to 12/31/2023 NPDES ID: NMR050013 **Facility Information** Facility Name: LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY Facility Point of Contact First Name Middle Initial Last Name: Terrill Lemke Phone: 505-665-2397 Ext.: Email: tlemke@lanl.gov Facility Mailing Address Address Line 1: PO BOX 1663 Address Line 2: MS K490 City: LOS ALAMOS ZIP/Postal Code: 87545 State: NM County or Similar Division: Los Alamos **General Findings** 

Provide a summary of your past year's routine facility inspection documentation, including dates (see Part 3.1.6 of the permit). In addition, if you are an operator of an airport facility (Sector S) that is subject to the airport effluent limitations guidelines, and are complying with the MSGP Part 8.S.9 effluent limitation through the use of non-urea-containing deicers, provide a statement certifying that you do not use pavement deicers containing urea (e.g., "Urea was not used at [name of airport] for pavement deicing in the past year and will also not be used in 2021." (Note: Operators of airport facilities that are complying with Part 8.S.9 by meeting the numeric effluent limitation for ammonia do not need to include this statement.)

Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL), operated by Triad National Security, LLC (Triad), consists of 8 active industrial sites that operate under 4 different Sectors (D, N, P, and AA). Permit coverage became effective on June 25, 2021. All 8 active sites were inspected according to the sche dules identified in the site-specific Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plans (SWPPPs). The 38 sites that qualify for a conditional exclusion for no exposure were inspected between November 01 and December 13, 2023. A summary of routine facility inspections, other walkdowns, and associated corr ective actions are included in Table 1 (attached).

Provide a summary of your past year's quarterly visual assessment documentation, including dates (see Part 3.2.3 of the permit).

Please see Table 2 (attached) for a summary of visual assessment documentation. Outfalls reporting fewer than four visual assessments means no dis charge occurred during one or more quarters.

Provide a summary of your past year's corrective action and/or additional implementation measures (AIM) documentation (See Part 5.3 of the permit). (Note: If corrective action is not yet completed at the time of submission of this annual report, you must describe the status of any outstanding corrective action(s).) Note that you must modify your SWPPP based on the corrective actions and deadlines required under Part 5. Also describe any incidents of noncompliance in the past year or currently ongoing, or if none, provide a statement that you are in compliance with the permit.

Please see Table 1 (attached) for a summary of corrective action documentation, which specifies the frequency of each of the following by site: (1) unauthorized release or discharge, (2) control measures needing maintenance, repair or replacement, (3) control measures that were inadequate to meet the non-numeric effluent limitations, (4) effluent limitation guidelines exceedances, (5) benchmark exceedances (AIM triggering events), a nd AIM Level at the end of the reporting period. All corrective actions were completed per the schedule provided in Part 5.1.3. LANL is in complia nce with the permit.

#### Attached files:

Name	Uploaded Date	Size
2023 Annual Report Tables 1 and 2 FINAL 1-22-2024.docx (arptAttachment/864431)	01/22/2024	35.53 KB

#### **Certification Information**

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I have no personal knowledge that the information submitted is other than true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Certified By: Jennifer payne

Certifier Title: Division Leader

Certifier Email: jpayne@lanl.gov

Certified On: 01/30/2024 10:58 AM ET

## 2023 MSGP Annual Report

Facility	Status	Required/ Recommended Inspection Frequency	Routine Facility Inspections and Other Walkdowns Conducted Between 1/1/2023 and 12/31/2023	Inspection Dates	Unauthorized Release or Discharge	Control Measures Needing Maintenance, Repairs, or Replacement or Installed Incorrectly	Control Measures Inadequate to Meet Non- Numeric Effluent Limitations	Incidents of Noncompliance (Effluent Limitation Guidelines Exceedances)	Average Exceeds or is Mathematically Certain to Exceed Benchmark Value (AIM Triggering Event)	AIM Level at end of Reporting Period
TA-03-0029 Indoor TSD	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/16/23	—		—	—	_	—
TA-03-0029 Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/16/23	—	1	—	—	—	—
TA-03-0030 Warehouse	No Exposure	Annually	6	1/23/23, 3/27/23, 8/14/23, 8/15/23, 9/8/23, 11/7/23	5	_	_	_	_	_
TA-03-0032 Metal Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/22/23	1	_	1	_	_	_
TA-03-0034 Metal Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/22/23	—	_	1	—	_	—
TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shops	Active	Monthly	13	1/24/23, 2/22/23, 3/21/23, 4/10/23, 4/11/23, 5/18/23, 6/14/23, 7/18/23, 8/24/23, 9/20/23, 10/25/23, 11/16/23, 12/11/23	1	1	2	_	0	Zn - Baseline until Year 4, NO3+NO2-N - AIM Level 1, Al - AIM Level 2
TA-03-0039 & 0102 Metal Shop	No Exposure	Annually	3	4/13/23, 5/15/23, 11/22/23	3	1	2	_	_	_
TA-03-0040, Room 131S Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	2	8/1/23, 11/22/23	_	_	1	_	_	_
TA-03-0066 Sigma Complex	No Exposure	Annually	7	6/1/23, 6/29/23, 8/7/23, 9/19/23, 11/6/23, 11/8/23, 11/22/23	3	_	8	-	_	_
TA-03-2206 Warehouse	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/16/23	—		1	_	_	—
TA-09-0028 Heavy Equipment Maintenance	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/8/23	_	_	_	_	_	_
TA-09-0214 Metal Fabrication Shop	Active	Monthly	14	1/31/23, 2/27/23, 3/30/23, 4/20/23, 5/22/23, 7/3/23, 7/25/23, 8/15/23, 8/17/23, 9/20/23, 9/26/23, 10/30/23, 11/22/23, 12/6/23	1	1	3	_	0	Baseline
TA-14-0023 OBOD TSD (Burn Cage)	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/8/23	—	_	—	—	—	_
TA-15-0185 (PHERMEX)	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/8/23	—	_	—	—	—	—

## Table 1. Summary of Routine Facility Inspections, Other Walkdowns and Associated Corrective Actions

Facility	Status	Required/ Recommended Inspection Frequency	Routine Facility Inspections and Other Walkdowns Conducted Between 1/1/2023 and 12/31/2023	Inspection Dates	Unauthorized Release or Discharge	Control Measures Needing Maintenance, Repairs, or Replacement or Installed Incorrectly	Control Measures Inadequate to Meet Non- Numeric Effluent Limitations	Incidents of Noncompliance (Effluent Limitation Guidelines Exceedances)	Average Exceeds or is Mathematically Certain to Exceed Benchmark Value (AIM Triggering Event)	AIM Level at end of Reporting Period
TA-15-0313 Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/8/23	_	_	2	_	_	_
TA-16 Stockpile Area	Active	Quarterly	4	3/30/23, 7/18/23, 9/19/23, 12/5/23	_	-	1	—	—	N/A
TA-22-0052 Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/8/23	_	1	—	—	—	—
TA-33-0039 Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	03/27/23	_	_	1	—	_	_
TA-33-0113 Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	12/13/23	_	_	_	_	_	_
TA-35-0002 Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/21/23	_	—	—	—	—	—
TA-35-0125 Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	3	3/28/23, 6/12/23, 11/21/23	_	_	2	—	_	_
TA-35-0213 Target Fabrication Facility	No Exposure	Annually	2	11/16/23, 11/21/23	_	-	1	_	_	_
TA-46-0031 Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	2	8/1/23, 11/21/23	_	_	3	—	—	_
TA-46-0077 Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/21/23	_	_	—	—	—	_
TA-46-0624 Warehouse	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/16/23	_	-	5	—	—	—
TA-48-0008 Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/21/23	_	—	—	—	—	—
TA-50-0054 Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	2	11/15/23, 11/21/23	—	-	1	—	—	—
TA-50-0069 WCRRF	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/16/23	—		1	—	—	—
TA-53-0002 Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/1/23	_	-	—	—	—	—
TA-53-0016/0726 Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/1/23	_	Ι	1	_	_	_
TA-53-0026 Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/1/23	_	1	1	—	—	_
TA-54-0038 Indoor TSD	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/16/23	—	-	—	—	—	—
TA-54-0038 Outdoor TSD	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/16/23	—	1	2	—	—	—
TA-55 PF-0004 Indoor TSD	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/29/23	—	_	4	—	—	—
TA-55-0005 Warehouse	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/29/23	—	_	—	_	_	—
TA-55-0268 Warehouse	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/29/23	—	_	—	—	—	—
TA-55-0314 Warehouse	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/29/23	—		—	—	—	—

Facility	Status	Required/ Recommended Inspection Frequency	Routine Facility Inspections and Other Walkdowns Conducted Between 1/1/2023 and 12/31/2023	Inspection Dates	Unauthorized Release or Discharge	Control Measures Needing Maintenance, Repairs, or Replacement or Installed Incorrectly	Control Measures Inadequate to Meet Non- Numeric Effluent Limitations	Incidents of Noncompliance (Effluent Limitation Guidelines Exceedances)	Average Exceeds or is Mathematically Certain to Exceed Benchmark Value (AIM Triggering Event)	AIM Level at end of Reporting Period
TA-55-0355 TSD	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/29/23	_	_	1	_	_	_
TA-55-0430 Metal Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/29/23	—	—	_	—	_	—
TA-55-0432 Warehouse	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/29/23	—	—	_	—	_	—
TA-55 Outdoor TSD	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/29/23	_	_	_	_	_	_
TA-60 Asphalt Batch Plant	Active	Monthly	12	1/9/23, 2/2/23, 3/1/23, 4/5/23, 5/8/23, 6/12/23, 7/10/23, 8/8/23, 9/8/23, 10/5/23, 11/6/23, 12/19/23	1	_	_	_	0	Baseline
TA-60 Material Recycling Facility	Active	Monthly	15	1/10/23, 2/6/23, 3/7/23, 4/10/23, 5/16/23, 6/13/23, 7/13/23, 8/14/23, 8/25/23, 9/19/23, 10/16/23, 10/18/23, 11/6/23, 12/20/23, 12/22/23	5	1	1	_	_	N/A
TA-60 Roads and Grounds and TA-61 Asphalt Staging Area	Active	Monthly	15	1/13/23, 2/9/23, 3/27/23, 4/25/23, 5/10/23, 5/30/23, 6/28/23, 7/28/23, 8/9/23, 8/28/23, 9/20/23, 10/20/23, 11/7/23, 11/17/23, 12/21/23	5	3	19	_	_	N/A
TA-60-0001 Heavy Equipment Yard	Active	Monthly	18	1/25/23, 2/21/23, 2/23/23, 3/27/23, 4/5/23, 4/24/23, 4/28/23, 5/18/23, 6/9/23, 6/27/23, 7/20/23, 8/29/23, 9/14/23, 10/27/23, 11/9/23, 12/11/23, 12/12/23, 12/18/23	11	4	14	_	1	Zn and NO3+NO2-N – Baseline until Year 4, Al – AIM Level 2
TA-60-0002 Warehouse	Active	Monthly	15	1/19/23, 2/14/23, 3/23/23, 4/12/23, 5/4/23, 5/16/23, 5/24/23, 6/21/23, 7/18/23, 8/21/23, 9/19/23, 10/11/23, 11/15/23, 12/19/23	3	2	2	_	_	N/A
TA-63 Transuranic Waste Facility TSDs	No Exposure	Annually	2	9/21/23, 11/16/23	1	_	1	_	_	_

TA = Technical Area TSD = Treatment, storage and disposal WCRRF = Waste Characterization, Reduction, and Repackaging Facility PF = Plutonium Facility AIM = Additional Implementation Measures N/A = Not applicable. Sector-specific requirements do not include benchmark monitoring.

## 2023 MSGP Annual Report

### Table 2. Summary of Quarterly Visual Assessments

Facility	Outfall	Outfall Type	Visual Assessments Performed	Visual Assessment Dates	Evidence of Pollutants
			between 1/1/2023 and 12/31/2023		Observed
TA 02 0028 Motel Echrication Chang	076	Monitored	2	5/31/23, 7/27/2023	None
TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shops	077	Monitored	0	-	None
TA-09-0214 Metal Fabrication Shop	079	Monitored	0	-	None
TA-16 Stockpile Area	078	Monitored	0	-	None
TA-60 Asphalt Batch Plant	043	Monitored	0	-	None
TA-60 Material Recycling Facility	029	Monitored	3	1/4/23, 5/16/23, 7/27/23	None
	031	Monitored	1	8/29/23	None
	030	SIDP to 031	4	2/23/23, 5/17/23, 8/9/23, 10/3/23	None
	032	Monitored	3	3/3/23, 5/31/23, 8/8/23	None
	033	SIDP to 032	4	2/8/23, 5/20/23, 8/9/23, 10/3/23	None
TA-60 Roads and Grounds and TA-61	034	SIDP to 032	4	2/8/23, 5/17/23, 8/9/23, 10/3/23	None
Asphalt Staging Area	035	SIDP to 032	4	2/8/23, 5/20/23, 8/9/23, 10/3/23	None
	037	Monitored	0	-	None
	039	Monitored	0	-	None
	042	Monitored	3	3/9/23, 9/12/23, 12/22/23	None
	084	Monitored	0	-	None
	022	Monitored	3	3/3/23, 5/16/23, 8/8/23	None
	021	SIDP to 022	4	1/5/23, 5/16/23, 7/24/23, 10/3/23	None
TA-60-0001 Heavy Equipment Yard	023	SIDP to 022	4	1/5/23, 5/16/23, 7/28/23, 10/3/23	None
	024	SIDP to 022	4	1/5/23, 5/16/23, 7/24/23, 10/3/23	None
	025	SIDP to 022	4	1/5/23, 5/16/23, 7/24/23, 10/3/23	None
	026	Monitored	4	1/4/23, 5/15/23, 7/25/23, 10/3/23	None
	027	SIDP to 026	4	1/5/23, 5/16/23, 7/28/23, 10/3/23	None
TA-60-0002 Warehouse	028	SIDP to 026	4	1/5/23, 5/16/23, 7/24/23, 10/3/23	None
F	075	Monitored	3	2/9/23, 5/22/23, 8/8/23	None

TA = Technical Area SIDP = Substantially Identical Discharge Point

### 2024 MSGP Annual Report

1. Provide a summary of your past year's routine facility inspection documentation, including dates (see Part 3.1.6 of the permit). In addition, if you are an operator of an airport facility (Sector S) that is subject to the airport effluent limitations guidelines, and are complying with the MSGP Part 8.5.9 effluent limitation through the use of non-urea-containing deicers, provide a statement certifying that you do not use pavement deicers containing urea (e.g., "Urea was not used at [name of airport] for pavement deicing in the past year and will also not be used in 2021." (Note: Operators of airport facilities that are complying with Part 8.5.9 by meeting the numeric effluent limitation for ammonia do not need to include this statement.)

Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL), operated by Triad National Security, LLC (Triad), consists of 9 active industrial sites that operate under 5 different Sectors (A, D, N, P, and AA). Permit coverage became effective on June 25, 2021. All 9 active sites were inspected according to the schedules identified in the site-specific Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plans (SWPPPs). The 38 sites that qualify for a conditional exclusion for no exposure were inspected between September 09 and November 21, 2024. A summary of routine facility inspections, other walkdowns, and associated corrective actions are included in Table 1 (attached).

3. Provide a summary of your past year's corrective action and/or additional implementation measures (AIM) documentation (See Part 5.3 of the permit). (Note: If corrective action is not yet completed at the time of submission of this annual report, you must describe the status of any outstanding corrective action(s).) Note that you must modify your SWPPP based on the corrective actions and deadlines required under Part 5. Also describe any incidents of noncompliance in the past year or currently ongoing, or if none, provide a statement that you are in compliance with the permit.

Please see Table 1 (attached) for a summary of corrective action documentation, which specifies the frequency of each of the following by site: (1) unauthorized release or discharge, (2) control measures needing maintenance, repair or replacement, (3) control measures that were inadequate to meet the non-numeric effluent limitations, (4) effluent limitation guidelines exceedances, (5) benchmark exceedances (AIM triggering events), and AIM Level at the end of the reporting period. All corrective actions were completed per the schedule provided in Part 5.1.3. LANL is in compliance with the permit.

Facility	Status	Required/ Recommended Inspection Frequency	Routine Facility Inspections and Other Walkdowns Conducted Between 1/1/2024 and 12/31/2024	Inspection Dates	Unauthorized Release or Discharge	Control Measures Needing Maintenance, Repairs, or Replacement or Installed Incorrectly	Control Measures Inadequate to Meet Non- Numeric Effluent Limitations	Incidents of Noncompliance (Effluent Limitation Guidelines Exceedances)	Average Exceeds or is Mathematically Certain to Exceed Benchmark Value (AIM Triggering Event)	AIM Level at end of Reporting Period
TA-03-0029 Indoor TSD	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/21/24	-	—	—	—	—	—
TA-03-0029 Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/21/24	_	_	_	_	_	_
TA-03-0030 Warehouse	No Exposure	Annually	4	1/2/24, 4/10/24, 10/1/24, 10/30/24	3	_	—	_	—	_
TA-03-0032 Metal Shop	No Exposure	Annually	3	2/22/24, 9/4/24, 9/9/24	_	—	2	—	—	—
TA-03-0034 Metal Shop	No Exposure	Annually	3	4/11/24, 9/4/24, 9/9/24	1	—	1	—	—	—
TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shops	Active	Monthly	12	1/30/24, 2/20/24, 3/14/24, 4/11/24, 5/16/24, 6/25/24, 7/23/24, 8/26/24, 9/25/24, 10/29/24, 11/25/24, 12/17/24	_	2	_	_	_	Zn - Baseline, NO3+NO2-N - AIM Level 1, Al - AIM Level 2

#### Table 1. Summary of Routine Facility Inspections, Other Walkdowns and Associated Corrective Actions

Facility	Status	Required/ Recommended Inspection Frequency	Routine Facility Inspections and Other Walkdowns Conducted Between 1/1/2024 and 12/31/2024	Inspection Dates	Unauthorized Release or Discharge	Control Measures Needing Maintenance, Repairs, or Replacement or Installed Incorrectly	Control Measures Inadequate to Meet Non- Numeric Effluent Limitations	Incidents of Noncompliance (Effluent Limitation Guidelines Exceedances)	Average Exceeds or is Mathematically Certain to Exceed Benchmark Value (AIM Triggering Event)	AIM Level at end of Reporting Period
TA-03-0039 & 0102 Metal Shop	No Exposure	Annually	4	1/24/24, 3/11/24, 6/19/24, 9/24/24	1	_	3	_	_	_
TA-03-0040, Room 131S Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	2	2/22/24, 9/9/24	_	_	1	_	_	_
TA-03-0066 Sigma Complex	No Exposure	Annually	10	1/8/24, 1/10/24, 2/21/24, 3/7/24, 3/19/24, 4/25/24, 5/20/24, 7/12/24, 9/3/24, 9/19/24	5	_	9	_	_	_
TA-03-2206 Warehouse	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/21/24	_	_	_	_	_	_
TA-09-0028 Heavy Equipment Maintenance	No Exposure	Annually	1	9/24/24	_	_	_	_	_	_
TA-09-0214 Metal Fabrication Shop	Active	Monthly	13	1/30/24, 2/28/24, 3/26/24, 4/30/24, 5/28/24, 6/13/24 6/28/24, 7/31/24, 8/29/24, 9/30/24, 10/31/24, 11/21/24, 12/16/24	2	_	_	_	_	Baseline
TA-14-0023 OBOD TSD (Burn Cage)	No Exposure	Annually	1	9/24/24	—	—	_	_	_	_
TA-15-0185 (PHERMEX)	No Exposure	Annually	1	9/24/24	—	—	—	—	—	—
TA-15-0313 Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	9/24/24	_	—	_	—	-	—
TA-16 Stockpile Area	Active	Quarterly	4	3/26/24, 6/28/24, 9/30/24, 12/16/24	_	_	_	_	_	N/A
TA-22-0052 Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	9/24/24	_	—	_	—	—	—
TA-33-0113 Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	9/18/24	_	—	—	—	—	—
TA-35-0002 Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	2	5/1/24, 9/10/24	_	_	1	_	—	—
TA-35-0125 Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	9/10/24	_	_	_	_	—	_
TA-35-0213 Target Fabrication Facility	No Exposure	Annually	2	9/3/24, 9/10/24	_	_	2	_	_	_
TA-46-0031 Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	2	4/25/24, 9/10/24	—	—	3	—	—	—
TA-46-0077 Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	9/10/24	—	—	—	—	—	—

Facility	Status	Required/ Recommended Inspection Frequency	Routine Facility Inspections and Other Walkdowns Conducted Between 1/1/2024 and 12/31/2024	Inspection Dates	Unauthorized Release or Discharge	Control Measures Needing Maintenance, Repairs, or Replacement or Installed Incorrectly	Control Measures Inadequate to Meet Non- Numeric Effluent Limitations	Incidents of Noncompliance (Effluent Limitation Guidelines Exceedances)	Average Exceeds or is Mathematically Certain to Exceed Benchmark Value (AIM Triggering Event)	AIM Level at end of Reporting Period
TA-46-0624 Warehouse	No Exposure	Annually	1	10/30/24	_	_	_	_	_	_
TA-48-0008 Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	3	1/2/24, 1/17/24, 9/10/24	2	—	_	—	_	_
TA-50-0054 Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	9/10/24	_	—	_	—	—	—
TA-50-0069 WCRRF	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/21/24	—	—	—	—	—	—
TA-53-0002 Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	10/28/24	—	—	—	—	—	—
TA-53-0016/0726 Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	10/28/24	_	—	1	—	_	_
TA-53-0026 Machine Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	10/28/24	—	—	—	—	—	—
TA-54-0038 Indoor TSD	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/21/24	—	—	—	—	—	—
TA-54-0038 Outdoor TSD	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/21/24	—	—	—	—	—	—
TA-55 Outdoor TSD	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/21/24	—	—	—	—	—	—
TA-55-0004 Indoor TSD	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/21/24	—	—	—	—	—	—
TA-55-0005 Warehouse	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/21/24	—	—	—	—	—	—
TA-55-0268 Warehouse	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/21/24	—	—	1	—	—	—
TA-55-0314 Warehouse	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/21/24	—	—	—	—	—	—
TA-55-0355 TSD	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/21/24	—	—	—	—	—	—
TA-55-0430 Metal Shop	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/21/24	—	—	—	—	—	—
TA-55-0432 Warehouse	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/21/24	—	—	—	—	—	—
TA-60 Asphalt Batch Plant	Active	Monthly	14	1/9/24, 2/8/24, 3/4/24, 3/11/24, 4/1/24, 4/30/24, 5/7/24, 6/10/24, 7/15/24, 8/12/24, 9/4/24, 10/14/24, 11/04/24, 12/11/24	2	_	1	_	_	Baseline
TA-60 Material Recycling Facility	Active	Monthly	13	1/8/24, 2/12/24, 3/19/24, 4/11/24, 4/16/24, 5/20/24, 6/18/24, 7/16/24, 8/19/24, 9/9/24, 10/22/24, 11/12/24, 12/17/24	1	1	_	_	_	N/A

Facility	Status	Required/ Recommended Inspection Frequency	Routine Facility Inspections and Other Walkdowns Conducted Between 1/1/2024 and 12/31/2024	Inspection Dates	Unauthorized Release or Discharge	Control Measures Needing Maintenance, Repairs, or Replacement or Installed Incorrectly	Control Measures Inadequate to Meet Non- Numeric Effluent Limitations	Incidents of Noncompliance (Effluent Limitation Guidelines Exceedances)	Average Exceeds or is Mathematically Certain to Exceed Benchmark Value (AIM Triggering Event)	AIM Level at end of Reporting Period
TA-60 Roads and Grounds and TA-61 Asphalt Staging Area	Active	Monthly	17	1/10/24, 2/23/24, 3/17/24, 3/22/24, 4/16/24, 4/19/24, 5/9/24, 5/28/24, 6/27/24, 7/29/24, 8/12/24, 8/26/24, 9/24/24, 10/28/24, 11/13/24, 11/21/24, 12/19/24	6	2	19	_	_	N/A
TA-60-0001 Heavy Equipment Yard	Active	Monthly	18	1/24/24, 2/21/24, 2/22/24, 3/18/24, 4/1/24, 4/16/24, 5/21/24, 5/28/24, 6/17/24, 6/25/24, 7/24/24, 8/21/24, 9/26/24, 10/9/24, 10/22/24, 11/21/24, 12/17/24, 12/19/24	9	5	12	_	1	Zn and NO3+NO2-N – Baseline, AI – AIM Level 3
TA-60-0002 Warehouse	Active	Monthly	14	1/22/24, 1/29/24, 2/26/24, 3/20/24, 4/15/24, 5/21/24, 6/20/24, 7/24/24, 8/21/24, 8/26/24, 9/18/24, 10/29/24, 11/21/24, 12/18/24	2	2	_	_	_	N/A
TA-63 Transuranic Waste Facility TSDs	No Exposure	Annually	1	11/21/24	_	—	_	_	—	—
TA-69 Wood Yard <sup>1</sup>	Active	Quarterly	2	8/1/24, 11/12/24	_	—	—	—	—	Baseline

<sup>1</sup>New facility opened in July 2024.

TA = Technical Area

TSD = Treatment, storage and disposal

WCRRF = Waste Characterization, Reduction, and Repackaging Facility

AIM = Additional Implementation Measures

N/A = Not applicable. Sector-specific requirements do not include benchmark monitoring.

### 2024 MSGP Annual Report

### 2. Provide a summary of your past year's quarterly visual assessment documentation, including dates (see Part 3.2.3 of the permit).

Please see Table 2 (attached) for a summary of visual assessment documentation. Outfalls reporting fewer than four visual assessments means no discharge occurred during one or more quarters.

Facility	Outfall	Outfall Type	Visual Assessments Performed between 1/1/2024 and 12/31/2024	Visual Assessment Dates	Evidence of Pollutants Observed
	076	Monitored	2	6/11/24, 7/18/24	None
TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shops	077	Monitored	0	_	None
TA-09-0214 Metal Fabrication Shop	079	Monitored	0		None
TA-16 Stockpile Area	078	Monitored	1	9/13/24	None
TA-60 Asphalt Batch Plant	043	Monitored	0	_	None
TA-60 Material Recycling Facility	029	Monitored	4	1/26/24, 4/2/24, 7/16/24, 11/6/24	None
	031	Monitored	3	7/1/24, 7/2/24, 10/23/24	None
Γ	030	SIDP to 031	4	3/11/24, 4/2/24, 7/16/24, 10/23/24	None
	032	Monitored	4	2/2/24, 5/16/24, 7/16/24, 10/23/24	None
Γ	033	SIDP to 032	4	3/11/24, 4/2/24, 7/16/24, 10/23/24	None
TA-60 Roads and Grounds and TA-61	034	SIDP to 032	4	3/11/24, 4/2/24, 7/16/24, 10/23/24	None
Asphalt Staging Area	035	SIDP to 032	4	3/11/24, 4/2/24, 7/16/24, 10/23/24	None
Γ	037	Monitored	2	7/18/24, 10/23/24	None
Γ	039	Monitored	0	-	None
	042	Monitored	4	2/2/24, 5/16/24, 7/2/24, 10/23/24	None
	084	Monitored	2	3/18/24, 7/2/24	None
	022	Monitored	4	2/2/24, 5/14/24, 7/18/24, 11/6/24	None
	021	SIDP to 022	4	1/8/24, 4/1/24, 7/2/24, 10/21/24	None
TA-60-0001 Heavy Equipment Yard	023	SIDP to 022	4	1/16/24, 4/1/24, 7/2/24, 10/21/24	None
	024	SIDP to 022	4	1/8/24, 4/1/24, 7/2/24, 10/21/24	Oil Sheen 4/1/24
	025	SIDP to 022	4	1/8/24, 4/1/24, 7/2/24, 10/21/24	None
	026	Monitored	4	1/26/24, 4/2/24, 7/2/24, 11/6/24	None
	027	SIDP to 026	4	1/8/24, 5/13/24. 7/2/24, 10/21/24	None
TA-60-0002 Warehouse	028	SIDP to 026	4	1/8/24, 4/1/24, 7/2/24, 10/21/24	None
	075	Monitored	3	2/15/24, 6/11/24, 7/2/24	None
TA-69 Wood Yard	085	Monitored	2	7/19/24, 10/23/24	None

#### Table 2. Summary of Quarterly Visual Assessments

TA = Technical Area SIDP = Substantially Identical Discharge Point ATTACHMENT 7: ROUTINE FACILITY INSPECTIONS

Maintenance Details

# Work Order MSGP-RI-65010

MSGP Routine Inspection Printed 7/29/2021 - 1:25 PM

1.	ested: 7/1/2021 5:37:00 PM dure: MSGP Routine Facility Inspection (EPC-CP-QP- 2108 R0 Form 1)		7/31/2021 Normal / Inspection Utilities and Infrastructure	🔁 MSGP 🚠 RG121 🍰 TA-3-3	.9		тор	
Last P								
Projec	t: Routine Facility Inspections July 2021 (P-MSGP-RI-5500)			Contact: Phone:				
Reaso	on: 2021 July Inspections							
<b>r</b> asks								
#	Description				Meas.	No	N/A	Yes
Weath	ner Information							
20	Describe the weather at time of in Comments: 61 degrees F. Raining		cument the temperature (F°).			Ē	Г	14
Within	n the Facility Boundary							
40	Is the facility free of previously uni occurred since the last inspection	dentified discha	rges from and/or pollutants th	nat have		-	-	121
50	If "No" has a CAR been previous	the second s				-	1	
60	Is the facility free of discharge of p			scribe.	-	F	Г	14
70	Is the facility free of evidence of, o system. If "No" describe.	r the potential fo	or, pollutants entering the dra	inage			-	IV
Outfal	Il Inspection (identify needed main	tenance and re	nairs failed control measu	ree that nee	d replace	mont		
descr	iption of corrective actions in relev	ant task comm	ient)	les that hee	u replace	ement,	ora	
90	Monitored Outfall [076] Free of E	the second s					F	12
100	Monitored Outfall [076] Flow Dise describe.					F	Г	12
110	Monitored Outfall [076] Free of E Water? If "No", describe.	vidence of Pollu	utants in Discharges and/or R	eceiving		Г	Г	14
120	Monitored Outfall [076] Free of a describe.	ny unauthorized	d non-stormwater discharges'	? If "No"		F	F	14
130	Montiored Outfall [077] Free of E	vidence of Eros	sion? If "No", describe.			F	F	14
140	Montiored Outfall [077] Flow Dise describe.	the second s		o",		F		1
150	Montiored Outfall [077] Free of E Water? If "No", describe.	vidence of Pollu	utants in Discharges and/or R	eceiving		Г	Г	14
160	Montiored Outfall [077] Free of a describe.	ny unauthorized	I non-stormwater discharges?	P If "No"		Г	Г	14
Contro	ol Measures (identify needed maint	enance and re	pairs, failed control measur	es that need	d replace	nent o	ra	
descri	ption of corrective actions in relev	ant task comm	ents).		- opicion	, only o		
180	Asphalt Berm [0300103040014] ( describe condition & need for Main	tenance, Repai	r, or Replacement.			Г	Г	12
190	Asphalt Berm [0300103040028] ( describe condition & need for Main	Control Measure tenance, Repair	is operating effectively? If "N r, or Replacement.	lo"		Г	Г	14
200	Gravel Bags [0300103100020] Co describe condition & need for Main	ontrol Measure i tenance, Repair	s operating effectively? If "No r, or Replacement.	"		Г	Г	1
210	Base Course Berm [03001030200 describe condition & need for Main	001] Control Me	asure is operating effectively	? If "No"		Г	Г	14
220	Drop Inlet with Petro-Plug [0300' If "No" describe condition & need for	109010003] Cor	ntrol Measure is operating effe	ectively?			F	12
230	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [03001 "No" describe condition & need for	03200029] Con	trol Measure is operating effe	ectively? If		-	-	14
			and an evel and a second second				1	1.

240	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200030] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Ē	F	1×
250	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200031] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	Г	14
260	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200032] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	Г	14
270	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200033] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	F	Г	14
280	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200034] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	F		14
290	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200035] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г		12
			·	

Area/Activity exposed to stormwater (identify needed mainteance or a description of corrective actions in relevant task comment).

310	Material loading/unloading and storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Comments: see CA #1993: Inside the metal fab yard outside of TA-3-38 there were several stacks of rebar. On the east end of the stacks about 2 ft of the rebar was exposed to precipitation, likely due to wind blowing the tarp.	r <b>X</b>	-	F
320	Transfer areas for substances in bulk: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	F	F	1
330	Product/chemical storage areas (raw material): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	F	Г	12
340	Liquid tank storage/secondary containment: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	Г	14
350	Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	Г	14
360	Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	F	14
370	Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	E	14	Г
380	Outdoor vehicle and equipment washing areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	E	1	Г
390	Machinery: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	F	11
400	Waste handling and disposal areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	F	Г	14
410	Erodible areas/construction: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		1.	14
420	Locations and sources of run-on to the site: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.			14
430	Salt storage piles or pile containing salt: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		14	
440	Dust generation and vehicle tracking: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	<u>г</u>	1	12
450	Housekeeping (Industrial materials/residues/trash in contact with stormwater): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Ē	F	12
460	Leaks and spills: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	Г	14
Non-C	ompliance			
180	Free of incidents of observed non-compliance not already identified above? If "No" describe.	Г	Г	[¥
Additio	onal Control Measures			
500	Are permit requirements satisfied with existing control measure(s)? If "No" describe additional control measures needed.		Г	12

Labor Report

Completed: 7/27/2021 2:25:00 PM

Strught	7/29/2021		
Signature / Name	Date	Signature / Name	Date
l confirm the information as record	ed is true, accurate and com	olete.	Date

### **CERTIFICATION STATEMENT**

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations".

(Signatory must meet definition in Section B.11.A, eg. FOD, Ops Mgr, DESH Group Leader, EPC Group Leader)

Print name and title: \_\_\_\_Alberto Hernandez Ancira - Operations Manager

	Alberto Hernandez	Digitally signed by Alberto		
	/ iberto riernanaez	2 , 0 ,		
	Ameine 247720	Hernandez Ancira -347730		
Signatura	Ancira -347730	Date: 2021.08.04 12:48:03 -06'00'		a ( 1 / a a a 1
Signature.		Dute: 2021.000.0112.10.00 0000	Date:	8/4/2021

**Maintenance Details** 

Work Order MSGP-RI-65141

MSGP Routine Inspection Printed 9/1/2021 - 10:56 AM

Procedure	d: 8/30/2021 5:22:00 PM e: MSGP Routine Facility Inspection (EPC-CP-QP-2108 R0 Form 1)	Priority/Type: N	/31/2021 lormal / Inspection ltilities and Infrastructure	➡ MSGP 赤 RG121 争 TA-3-3		Fab Sh	op	
Last PM: Project:	7/27/2021 Routine Facility Inspections August 2021 (P-MSGP-RI- 5510)			Contact: Phone:				
Reason:	2021 August Inspections							
asks					_			
# [	Description				Meas.	No	N/A	Yes
Weather	Information							
E	Describe the weather at time of ins Comments: 73 degrees F. Partly	pection and docum	nent the temperature (F°).	e el				
	cattered T-storms.	sunny mun sidad	a and a se percent chance	6 VI		Γ.	Г	14
Within the	e Facility Boundary		100000					
40 o	s the facility free of previously unid occurred since the last inspection If	entified discharges	s from and/or pollutants tha	t have		2	1	
50	the state of the second s	the second second state of the second s	anu diashasaa 0					1
	If "No" has a CAR been previous							
_	s the facility free of discharge of po			and the second se		<u> </u>		
70 s	s the facility free of evidence of, or ystem. If "No" describe.	the potential for, p	ollutants entering the drain	age		Г	Г	12
of correct	spection (identify needed mainte tive actions in relevant task com Monitored Outfall [076] Free of Ev	iment)		es that need	replacem	ent, or	a desc	riptio
	Monitored Outfall [076] Flow Diss	and the second sec		describe		-		
IV	Nonitored Outfall [076] Free of Ev Vater? If "No", describe.	and the second se		and the second se				
N	Nonitored Outfall [076] Free of an escribe.	y unauthorized no	n-stormwater discharges?	lf "No"		-	-	14
	Iontiored Outfall [077] Free of Ev	vidence of Erosion'	If "No" describe				_	
		the second s						
		pation Devices On		describe			<u> </u>	V
150 M	Iontiored Outfall [077] Free of Ev	the second s	erating Effectively? If "No"	the second s		<u> </u>	F	14
150 V N	<b>Iontiored Outfall [077]</b> Free of Ev Vater? If "No", describe. <b>Iontiored Outfall [077]</b> Free of an	idence of Pollutan	erating Effectively? If "No" ts in Discharges and/or Re	ceiving		- -	1.20	12
150 V N 160 de	<b>Iontiored Outfall [077]</b> Free of Ev Vater? If "No", describe. <b>Iontiored Outfall [077]</b> Free of an escribe.	idence of Pollutan y unauthorized no	erating Effectively? If "No" ts in Discharges and/or Re n-stormwater discharges?	ceiving f "No"	replacmo		Г	12
Control M	Nontiored Outfall [077] Free of Ev Vater? If "No", describe. Nontiored Outfall [077] Free of an escribe. leasures (identify needed mainte ive actions in relevant task com	vidence of Pollutan y unauthorized no enance and repair ments).	erating Effectively? If "No" ts in Discharges and/or Re n-stormwater discharges? s, failed control measure	ceiving f "No" s that need	replacme	   nt, or a	Г	12
50     W       60     di       60     di       Control M     A       60     A       80     cc	Iontiored Outfall [077] Free of Ev Vater? If "No", describe. Iontiored Outfall [077] Free of an escribe. leasures (identify needed mainte ive actions in relevant task com sphalt Berm [0300103040014] Co ondition & need for Maintenance, F	vidence of Pollutan y unauthorized no enance and repair ments). ontrol Measure is of Repair, or Replace	erating Effectively? If "No" ts in Discharges and/or Re n-stormwater discharges? s, failed control measure operating effectively? If "No ment.	ceiving f "No" s that need " describe	replacme	  nt, or a	Г	IV IV
50     V       60     di       60     di       Control M     f correct       80     cc       80     cc       90     cc	Iontiored Outfall [077] Free of Ev Vater? If "No", describe. Iontiored Outfall [077] Free of an escribe. easures (identify needed mainte ive actions in relevant task com sphalt Berm [0300103040014] C ondition & need for Maintenance, F sphalt Berm [0300103040028] C ondition & need for Maintenance, F	vidence of Pollutan y unauthorized no enance and repair ments). ontrol Measure is of Repair, or Replace ontrol Measure is of Repair, or Replace	erating Effectively? If "No" ts in Discharges and/or Re n-stormwater discharges? s, failed control measure operating effectively? If "No ment. operating effectively? If "No ment.	ceiving f "No" s that need " describe " describe	replacme	I	Г	IV IV iption
150         V           160         di           20ntrol M         di           of correct         A           80         cc           90         cc           600         cc	Iontiored Outfall [077] Free of Ev Vater? If "No", describe. Iontiored Outfall [077] Free of an escribe. easures (identify needed mainte ive actions in relevant task com sphalt Berm [0300103040014] Co ondition & need for Maintenance, F sphalt Berm [0300103040028] Co ondition & need for Maintenance, F iravel Bags [0300103100020] Cor ondition & need for Maintenance, F	vidence of Pollutan y unauthorized noi enance and repair ments). ontrol Measure is of Repair, or Replace ontrol Measure is op Repair, or Replace ntrol Measure is op Repair, or Replace	erating Effectively? If "No" ts in Discharges and/or Re n-stormwater discharges? s, failed control measure operating effectively? If "No ment. perating effectively? If "No" ment.	ceiving f "No" s that need " describe " describe describe	replacme		Г	IV IV iption
150         V           160         di           Control M         di           of correct         A           180         cc           190         cc           200         cc           B         CO	Iontiored Outfall [077] Free of Ev Vater? If "No", describe. Iontiored Outfall [077] Free of an escribe. easures (identify needed mainte ive actions in relevant task com sphalt Berm [0300103040014] C ondition & need for Maintenance, F sphalt Berm [0300103040028] C ondition & need for Maintenance, F ravel Bags [0300103100020] Cor	vidence of Pollutan y unauthorized noi enance and repair ments). ontrol Measure is of Repair, or Replace ontrol Measure is op Repair, or Replace ntrol Measure is op Repair, or Replace ontrol Measure is op Repair, or Replace Of Control Measu	erating Effectively? If "No" ts in Discharges and/or Re n-stormwater discharges? s, failed control measure operating effectively? If "No ment. perating effectively? If "No" ment. perating effectively? If "No" ment. re is operating effectively?	ceiving f "No" s that need " describe " describe describe	replacme		Г	2  2  2  2  2
150         V           160         di           160         di           Control M         of correct           A         A           180         cc           200         cc           200         cc           210         de	Iontiored Outfall [077] Free of Ev Vater? If "No", describe. Iontiored Outfall [077] Free of an escribe. easures (identify needed mainte ive actions in relevant task com sphalt Berm [0300103040014] Co ondition & need for Maintenance, F sphalt Berm [0300103040028] Co ondition & need for Maintenance, F iravel Bags [0300103100020] Cor ondition & need for Maintenance, F ase Course Berm [030010302000]	vidence of Pollutan y unauthorized noi enance and repair ments). ontrol Measure is of Repair, or Replace ontrol Measure is op Repair, or Replace ontrol Measure is op Repair, or Replace 01] Control Measu enance, Repair, or 09010003] Control	erating Effectively? If "No" ts in Discharges and/or Re n-stormwater discharges? s, failed control measure operating effectively? If "No ment. operating effectively? If "No" ment. re is operating effectively? Replacement. Measure is operating effectively?	ceiving f "No" s that need " describe describe If "No"	replacme		Г	
150         V           160         di           180         cc           180         cc           200         cc           200         cc           210         di           220         "N	Iontiored Outfall [077] Free of Ev Vater? If "No", describe. Iontiored Outfall [077] Free of an escribe. easures (identify needed mainter ive actions in relevant task com sphalt Berm [0300103040014] Cr ondition & need for Maintenance, F sphalt Berm [0300103040028] Cr ondition & need for Maintenance, F ravel Bags [0300103100020] Cor ondition & need for Maintenance, F ase Course Berm [030010302000 escribe condition & need for Maintenance, F	vidence of Pollutan y unauthorized noi enance and repair ments). ontrol Measure is of Repair, or Replace ontrol Measure is op Repair, or Replace 01] Control Measu enance, Repair, or 09010003] Control Maintenance, Repair	erating Effectively? If "No" ts in Discharges and/or Re n-stormwater discharges? s, failed control measure operating effectively? If "No ment. operating effectively? If "No" ment. re is operating effectively? Replacement. Measure is operating effectively? Replacement. Measure is operating effectively?	ceiving f "No" s that need " describe describe If "No" ctively? If	replacme		Г	

	"No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.			
250	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200031] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	F	F	12
260	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200032] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	F	F	14
270	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200033] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.		F	14
280	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200034] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.		Г	14
290	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200035] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Ē	Г	~

Area/Activity exposed to stormwater (identify needed mainteance or a description of corrective actions in relevant task comment).

310	Material loading/unloading and storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Comments: See CA# 2003: Pipe fitters metal storage along area along the fence line on the north perimeter of 3-38 is uncovered and exposed. Rain is in the forecast. The area needs housekeeping cleanup as well.	1×	F	-
320	Transfer areas for substances in bulk: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.			1
330	Product/chemical storage areas (raw material): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	Г	1
340	Liquid tank storage/secondary containment: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	Г	1
350	Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	Г	1
360	Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	- E	Г	14
370	Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		14	Г
380	Outdoor vehicle and equipment washing areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	14	Г
390	Machinery: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	Г	11
400	Waste handling and disposal areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	Г	
410	Erodible areas/construction: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	Г	1
420	Locations and sources of run-on to the site: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	Г	1
430	Salt storage piles or pile containing salt: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Ē	14	Г
440	Dust generation and vehicle tracking: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	Г	1
450	Housekeeping (Industrial materials/residues/trash in contact with stormwater): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		Г	1
460	Leaks and spills: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		Г	14
Non-C	ompliance			
480	Free of incidents of observed non-compliance not already identified above? If "No" describe.	Ē	F	IV
Additio	onal Control Measures			
500	Are permit requirements satisfied with existing control measure(s)? If "No" describe additional control measures needed.	F	Г	14

Completed: 8/30/2021 3:20:00 PM

Report: Jacob Knight

Name natúre.

Usignature / Name Date Date I confirm the information as recorded is true, accurate and complete.

Signature / Name

Date

#### **CERTIFICATION STATEMENT**

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations".

(Signatory must meet definition in Section B.H.A, eg. FOD, Ops Mgr, DESH Group Leader, EPC Group Leader)

Alberto Hernandez Ancira - IF-OPS Operations Manager Print name and title:

Alberto Hernandez Signature: Ancira - 347730 Date: 2021.09.02 10:54:38 -06'00' Date: 9/02/2021

**Maintenance Details** 

# Work Order MSGP-RI-65112

MSGP Routine Inspection Printed 9/23/2021 - 12:12 PM

manneth	ance Detans						
Requested: 8/31/2021 3:20:00 PM Procedure: MSGP Routine Facility Inspection (EPC-CP-QP- 2108 R0 Form 1)		Target: Priority/Type: Department:	the set of an end of an end of a set of a set of the set	⊇ MSGP Program 걂 RG121.9 ℯ TA-3-38 Metals		тор	
Last PM:	the second se						
Project:	Routine Facility Inspections September 2021 (P-MSGP- RI-5516)			Contact: Phone:			
Reason:	2021 September Inspections						
asks					_		
#	Description			Meas.	No	N/A	Ye
	Information			meas.	NO	N/A	10
	Describe the weather at time of in	spection and do	sumant the temperature (E <sup>o</sup> )				
20	Comments: Sunny, clear and 6	a degrees F.	cument the temperature (F ).		Г	Ē	I.
ALC: NOT	e Facility Boundary						
	is the facility free of previously un	identified dischar	rges from and/or pollutante th	at have			
40	occurred since the last inspection	If "No" describe.	ges nom and/or polititarits ti	lat nave	E	F	T.
50	If "No" has a CAR been previou	sly initiated for th	is new discharge?		Г	11	Г
60 1	s the facility free of discharge of	collutants at the t	time of inspection? If "No" de	scribe.	Г	Г	10
	is the facility free of evidence of, on system. If "No" describe.	or the potential fo	r, pollutants entering the dra	nage	Ē	Г	1
Outfall In	expection (identify peeded main		and an Antibud a superior to superior				
descripti	spection (identify needed main on of corrective actions in relev	vant task comm	ent)	res that need replac	ement,	ora	
	Monitored Outfall [076] Free of I						Í
100 0	Monitored Outfall [076] Flow Dis describe.	12 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1			Г	P	10
110 V	Monitored Outfall [076] Free of E Nater? If "No", describe.	-10 C			Г	Г	[¥
120 c	Monitored Outfall [076] Free of a describe.			? If "No"	E	Г	10
	Montiored Outfall [077] Free of E	the second s					Į.
140 c	Montiored Outfall [077] Flow Dis describe.	sipation Devices	Operating Effectively? If "No	",	Г	Г	14
150 V	Montiored Outfall [077] Free of E Nater? If "No", describe.	Evidence of Pollu	tants in Discharges and/or R	eceiving	Г	Г	1
	Montiored Outfall [077] Free of a lescribe.	ny unauthorized	non-stormwater discharges?	' If "No"	Г	Г	12
Control N	leasures (identify needed main	tenance and rep	pairs, failed control measu	es that need replace	nent. o		-
descriptio	on of corrective actions in relev	ant task comm	ents).				
A 180 d	Asphalt Berm [0300103040014] lescribe condition & need for Mair	Control Measure	is operating effectively? If "N	lo"	127	1	
	sphalt Berm [0300103040028]			lo"			- IV
190 d	lescribe condition & need for Mair	tenance, Repair	, or Replacement.		F	Г	14
G	Gravel Bags [0300103100020] Co escribe condition & need for Mair	ontrol Measure is	operating effectively? If "No		Г	Г	IV
B	Base Course Berm [0300103020 escribe condition & need for Mair	001] Control Mea	asure is operating effectively	? If "No"	F	-	14
							18
220 If	"No" describe condition & need f	109010003] Con	trol Measure is operating effe	ectively?	-	-	100

-	"No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.			
240	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200030] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	E	Г	14
250	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200031] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	5	F	14
260	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200032] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	Г	14
270	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200033] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	- F	Г	~
280	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200034] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	E	F	14
290	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200035] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	E	14

Area/Activity exposed to stormwater (identify needed mainteance or a description of corrective actions in relevant task comment).

		1
Г	Г	14
Г		14
		12
Г	Г	12
Г	Г	14
Г	14	Г
<b>C</b>	14	Г
Г	Г	11
Г	Г	12
Г	Г	14
Г		14
	14	Г
F	Г	10
r <b>X</b>	Г	Ē
Г	<b>F</b>	14
г	Г	14
Г	Г	14

# Labor Report

Completed: 9/21/2021 4:00:00 PM

Report: Jacob Kn	iaht
------------------	------

Signature / Name	9/21/2021 Date	Signature / Name	Date
I confirm the information as recorde		lete.	Date
	CERTIFICATION	STATEMENT	
"I certify under penalty of law that this of accordance with a system designed to as Based on my inquiry of the person or pe	ssure that qualified personnel	properly gathered and evaluated the	information submitted.

information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations".

(Signatory must meet definition in Section B.H.A, eg. FOD, Ops Mgr, DESH Group Leader, EPC Group Leader)

Print name and title:	Lacey J. Bruaw	Facility Operations Director	
Signature:	ey J. Bruaw	Date: 10/13/2021	

**Maintenance Details** 

# Work Order MSGP-RI-65270

MSGP Routine Inspection Printed 11/1/2021 - 11:55 AM

	ted: 10/4/2021 11:35:00 AM ure: MSGP Routine Facility Inspection (EPC-CP-QP- 2108 R0 Form 1)	Target:10/31/2021Priority/Type:Normal / InspectionDepartment:Utilities and Infrastructure	MSGP Program 류 RG121.9 🍰 TA-3-38 Metals		hop	
Last PN	<b>//:</b> 8/30/2021					
Project	: Routine Facility Inspections October 2021 (P-MSGP-RI- 5524)		Contact: Phone:			
Reason	: 2021 October Inspections					
asks						
#	Description		Meas.	No	N/A	Yes
Weathe	er Information					
20		nspection and document the temperature (F°)		-	F	Tie I
10.75 C C	And the second	10001				
within	the Facility Boundary	nidentified discharges from and/or pollutants th	ant have			
40	occurred since the last inspectio	n If "No" describe.	hat have	E	E	14
50	and the state of the	usly initiated for this new discharge?		F	1V	
60		pollutants at the time of inspection? If "No" de	escribe.	Ē	F	12
70	Is the facility free of evidence of, system. If "No" describe.	or the potential for, pollutants entering the dra	inage	F	-	1
Outfall descrip	Inspection (identify needed mai otion of corrective actions in rele	ntenance and repairs, failed control measu evant task comment)	res that need replac	ement,	or a	
90	Monitored Outfall [076] Free of	Evidence of Erosion? If "No", describe.		Г	Г	14
100	Monitored Outfall [076] Flow D describe.	ssipation Devices Operating Effectively? If "No	o'',	Г	Г	~
110	Monitored Outfall [076] Free of Water? If "No", describe.	Evidence of Pollutants in Discharges and/or F	Receiving	E	Г	14
120	Monitored Outfall [076] Free of describe.	any unauthorized non-stormwater discharges	? If "No"	Г	Г	IV
130	Montiored Outfall [077] Free of	Evidence of Erosion? If "No", describe.		Г	Г	14
140	Montiored Outfall [077] Flow Di describe.	ssipation Devices Operating Effectively? If "No	o'',	Г	Г	14
150	Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Water? If "No", describe.	Evidence of Pollutants in Discharges and/or R	Receiving	Г	Г	12
160	Montiored Outfall [077] Free of describe.	any unauthorized non-stormwater discharges	? If "No"	Г	Г	11
Control	Measures (identify needed mai	ntenance and repairs, failed control measu	res that need replac	ment, c	ora	
descrip	tion of corrective actions in rele	vant task comments).	and the second second	111111		
180	describe condition & need for Ma	Control Measure is operating effectively? If "N intenance, Repair, or Replacement.		Г	<u> </u>	14
190	describe condition & need for Ma	Control Measure is operating effectively? If "N intenance, Repair, or Replacement.	13 ( ) (	Г	Г	12
200	describe condition & need for Ma	Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No intenance, Repair, or Replacement.		F	Г	14
210	describe condition & need for Ma	0001] Control Measure is operating effectively intenance, Repair, or Replacement.	140.0	Г	Г.	14
220	If "No" describe condition & need	0109010003] Control Measure is operating eff for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.		Г	Г	14
230	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300	103200029] Control Measure is operating effe	ectively? If	Г		12

_	"No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.			
240	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200030] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	Г	14
250	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200031] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	Ē	14
260	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200032] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	E	14
270	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200033] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	F	E	12
280	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200034] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	E	F	14
290	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200035] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	F	14

Area/Activity exposed to stormwater (identify needed mainteance or a description of corrective actions in relevant task comment). . .

Material loading/unloading and storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	Г	10
Transfer areas for substances in bulk: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	F	Г	14
Product/chemical storage areas (raw material): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Ē	E	14
Liquid tank storage/secondary containment: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	E	Г	~
Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	Г	12
Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Ē	Г	12
Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	F	-	
Outdoor vehicle and equipment washing areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	1.1	1.2
Machinery: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	Г	14
Waste handling and disposal areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Б	Г	14
Erodible areas/construction: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	F	1	14
Locations and sources of run-on to the site: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	100	
Salt storage piles or pile containing salt: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.			
Dust generation and vehicle tracking: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	F		-
Housekeeping (Industrial materials/residues/trash in contact with stormwater): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	F		14
Leaks and spills: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Ē	1.1.1	12
ompliance		-	-
Free of incidents of observed non-compliance not already identified above? If "No" describe.	Ē	Г	14
onal Control Measures		1	
Are permit requirements satisfied with existing control measure(s)? If "No" describe additional control measures needed.		Г	14
	and operating)? If "No" describe. Transfer areas for substances in bulk: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Product/2chemical storage areas (raw material): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Liquid tank storage/secondary containment: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Outdoor vehicle and equipment washing areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Machinery: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Erodible areas/construction: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Locations and sources of run-on to the site: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Salt storage piles or pile containing salt: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Dust generation and vehicle tracking: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Locations and sources of run-on to the site: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Salt storage piles or pile containing salt: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Locations and sources of run-on to the site: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Dust generation and vehicle tracking: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Locations and splils: controls	and operating)? If "No" describe.	and operating)? If "No" describe.

Labor Report

Completed: 10/20/2021 12:30:00 PM

Report: Jacob Knight 10/27/2021 - 166552: There are far less corrective actions for this facility recently because there is a physical cover now at

a historically problematic metal storage rack at the sigma mesa storage yard. Also, other metal has been proactively covered with tarps.

J. Knight	10/27/2021		
Signature / Name	Date	Signature / Name	Date
I confirm the information as recorde	d is true, accurate and comr	olete.	

**CERTIFICATION STATEMENT** 

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations".

(Signatory must meet definition in Section B.11.A, eg. FOD, Ops Mgr, DESH Group Leader, EPC Group Leader)

Print name and title: Lacey J. Bruaw, Facility Operations Director

Signature:	Lacey J. Br	uaw	Date	11/3/2021	
	0				

-Maintenance Details

# Work Order MSGP-RI-65338

MSGP Routine Inspection Printed 12/1/2021 - 11:56 AM

	ted: 11/1/2021 11:37:00 AM ure: MSGP Routine Facility Inspection (EPC-CP-QP- 2108 R0 Form 1) /: 10/20/2021	Target: Priority/Type: Department:	11/28/2021 Normal / Inspection Utilities and Infrastructure	🚠 RG1	SP Program 21.9 -38 Metals		shop	
Project	Routine Facility Inspections November 2021 (P-MSGP- RI-5530)			Contact Phone:				
Reason	2021 November Inspections							
asks								
#	Description				Meas.	No	N/A	Yes
Weathe	er Information							
20	Describe the weather at time of in Comments: sunny and 58 degr		ocument the temperature	e (F°).		E		14
	<b>the Facility Boundary</b> Is the facility free of previously ur			ints that				
40	have occurred since the last insp	the second s						1
50	If "No" has a CAR been previou	the second se	the second s				11	
60	Is the facility free of discharge of describe.			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Г	Г	14
	Is the facility free of evidence of, system. If "No" describe.					Г		
Outfall descrip		ntenance and re want task comr	epairs, failed control m nent)		t need rep	laceme	ent, or	
Outfall descrip 90	system. If "No" describe. Inspection (identify needed main tion of corrective actions in rele	ntenance and re want task comr Evidence of Ero	epairs, failed control m nent) sion? If "No", describe.	easures that	t need rep	laceme	ent, or	
Dutfall descrip 90	system. If "No" describe. Inspection (identify needed main tion of corrective actions in rele Monitored Outfall [076] Free of Monitored Outfall [076] Flow Dis	ntenance and re evant task comr Evidence of Ero ssipation Device Evidence of Poll	epairs, failed control m nent) sion? If "No", describe. is Operating Effectively?	easures that	t need rep	lacemo		
Outfall descrip 90 100 110	system. If "No" describe. Inspection (identify needed main tion of corrective actions in rele Monitored Outfall [076] Free of Monitored Outfall [076] Flow Dis describe. Monitored Outfall [076] Free of Receiving Water? If "No", describe Monitored Outfall [076] Free of "No" describe.	ntenance and revant task comm Evidence of Ero ssipation Device Evidence of Poll e. any unauthorize	epairs, failed control m nent) sion? If "No", describe. s Operating Effectively? lutants in Discharges and d non-stormwater discha	easures that If "No", I/or	t need rep		 ent, or : 	12
Dutfall descrip 30 100 10 20	system. If "No" describe. Inspection (identify needed main tion of corrective actions in rele <u>Monitored Outfall [076]</u> Free of <u>Monitored Outfall [076]</u> Free of <u>Receiving Water? If "No", describ</u> <u>Monitored Outfall [076]</u> Free of <u>Receiving Water? If "No", describ</u> <u>Monitored Outfall [076]</u> Free of	ntenance and revant task comm Evidence of Ero ssipation Device Evidence of Poll e. any unauthorize	epairs, failed control m nent) sion? If "No", describe. s Operating Effectively? lutants in Discharges and d non-stormwater discha	easures that If "No", I/or	t need rep			a [* [*
Dutfall descrip 30 100 10 20 30	system. If "No" describe. Inspection (identify needed main tion of corrective actions in rele Monitored Outfall [076] Free of describe. Monitored Outfall [076] Free of Receiving Water? If "No", describ Monitored Outfall [076] Free of "No" describe. Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Montiored Outfall [077] Flow Dis describe.	ntenance and re- vant task comm Evidence of Ero ssipation Device Evidence of Poll e. any unauthorize Evidence of Ero ssipation Device	epairs, failed control m nent) sion? If "No", describe. s Operating Effectively? lutants in Discharges and d non-stormwater discha sion? If "No", describe. s Operating Effectively?	easures that If "No", d/or irges? If If "No",	t need rep			a 12 12 12
Dutfall descrip 30 100 100 20 30 40	system. If "No" describe. Inspection (identify needed main tion of corrective actions in rele Monitored Outfall [076] Free of Monitored Outfall [076] Flow Dis- describe. Monitored Outfall [076] Free of Receiving Water? If "No", describ Monitored Outfall [076] Free of "No" describe. Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Montiored Outfall [077] Flow Dis-	ntenance and reveat task comm Evidence of Ero ssipation Device Evidence of Poll e. any unauthorize Evidence of Ero ssipation Device Evidence of Poll	epairs, failed control m nent) sion? If "No", describe. s Operating Effectively? lutants in Discharges and d non-stormwater discha sion? If "No", describe. s Operating Effectively?	easures that If "No", d/or irges? If If "No",	t need rep			a 12 12 12
70 Outfall descrip 90 100 110 120 120 130 140 150 160	system. If "No" describe. Inspection (identify needed main tion of corrective actions in rele Monitored Outfall [076] Free of Monitored Outfall [076] Free of Receiving Water? If "No", describ Monitored Outfall [076] Free of "No" describe. Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Montiored Outfall [077] Free of	ntenance and revant task comm Evidence of Ero ssipation Device Evidence of Poll e. any unauthorize Evidence of Ero ssipation Device Evidence of Poll e.	epairs, failed control m nent) sion? If "No", describe. s Operating Effectively? lutants in Discharges and d non-stormwater discha sion? If "No", describe. s Operating Effectively? utants in Discharges and	easures that If "No", I/or Irges? If If "No",	t need rep			a 12 12 12
Outfall descrip 90 100 110 120 120 130 140 150 160 Control descript	system. If "No" describe. Inspection (identify needed main tion of corrective actions in rele Monitored Outfall [076] Free of Monitored Outfall [076] Free of Receiving Water? If "No", describ Monitored Outfall [076] Free of "No" describe. Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Receiving Water? If "No", describ Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Receiving Water? If "No", describ Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Receiving Water? If "No", describ Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Receiving Water? If "No", describ Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Receiving Water? If "No", describ Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Receiving Water? If "No", describ Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Montiored Outfall [077] Free o	ntenance and re- vant task comm Evidence of Ero ssipation Device Evidence of Poll e. any unauthorize Evidence of Ero ssipation Device Evidence of Poll e. any unauthorized itenance and re- vant task comm Control Measur	epairs, failed control m nent) sion? If "No", describe. s Operating Effectively? lutants in Discharges and d non-stormwater discha sion? If "No", describe. s Operating Effectively? utants in Discharges and d non-stormwater discha epairs, failed control me nents). e is operating effectively?	easures that If "No", I/or If ges? If If "No", I/or rges? If easures that				
Outfall descrip 90 100 110 120 130 140 150 160 Control descript	system. If "No" describe. Inspection (identify needed main tion of corrective actions in rele Monitored Outfall [076] Free of Monitored Outfall [076] Free of Receiving Water? If "No", describ Monitored Outfall [076] Free of "No" describe. Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Receiving Water? If "No", describ Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Receiving Water? If "No", describ Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Receiving Water? If "No", describ Receiving Water? If "No", describ [	ntenance and re evant task comm Evidence of Ero ssipation Device Evidence of Poll e. any unauthorize Evidence of Ero ssipation Device Evidence of Poll e. any unauthorize tenance and re vant task comm Control Measure intenance, Repa Control Measure	epairs, failed control m nent) sion? If "No", describe. s Operating Effectively? lutants in Discharges and d non-stormwater discha sion? If "No", describe. s Operating Effectively? utants in Discharges and d non-stormwater discha epairs, failed control me nents). e is operating effectively' ir, or Replacement. e is operating effectively'	easures that If "No", d/or arges? If If "No", i/or rges? If easures that ? If "No"				
Dutfall descrip 90 100 110 120 130 140 50 60 Control lescript	system. If "No" describe. Inspection (identify needed main tion of corrective actions in rele Monitored Outfall [076] Free of Monitored Outfall [076] Free of Receiving Water? If "No", describ Monitored Outfall [076] Free of "No" describe. Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Receiving Water? If "No", describ Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Receiving Water? If "No", describ Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Receiving Water? If "No", describ	ntenance and revent task comm Evidence of Ero ssipation Device Evidence of Poll e. any unauthorize Evidence of Ero ssipation Device Evidence of Poll e. any unauthorized Evidence of Poll e. any unauthorized tenance and revent task comm Control Measure Intenance, Repa Control Measure	epairs, failed control m nent) sion? If "No", describe. so Operating Effectively? utants in Discharges and d non-stormwater discha sion? If "No", describe. s Operating Effectively? utants in Discharges and d non-stormwater discha epairs, failed control me nents). e is operating effectively? ir, or Replacement. e is operating effectively? is operating effectively?	easures that If "No", I/or Irges? If If "No", I/or rges? If easures that ? If "No"				

220	Drop Inlet with Petro-Plug [0300109010003] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	Г	1.
230	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200029] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	Г	14
240	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200030] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	Г	~
250	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200031] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	г	Г	
260	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200032] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	F	F	14
270	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200033] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.		Г	14
280	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200034] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	F	F	14
290	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200035] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.		Г	14
310	Material loading/unloading and storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.     Transfer areas for substances in bulk: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and	<u>F</u>	Г	IV.
310	Material loading/unloading and storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate,effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	E	Г	12
320	operating)? If "No" describe.		Г	14
330	Product/chemical storage areas (raw material): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	F	11
340	Liquid tank storage/secondary containment: controls adequate (appropriate,			
	effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	Г	~
350	effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		<u>г</u>	<b>I</b>
42	Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate,			1¥
360	Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	 	Г	<b>1</b>
360 370	Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Outdoor vehicle and equipment washing areas: controls adequate (appropriate,	  	Г  ¥	1¥
360 370 380	Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		Г 12 12	
350 360 370 380 390 400	Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate         (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Outdoor vehicle and equipment washing areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Machinery: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Waste handling and disposal areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and			
360 370 380 390	Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate         (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Outdoor vehicle and equipment washing areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Machinery: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	  		
360 370 380 390 400	Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate         (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Outdoor vehicle and equipment washing areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Machinery: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Waste handling and disposal areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Waste handling and disposal areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Erodible areas/construction: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	  		
360 370 380 390 400 410	Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate         (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Outdoor vehicle and equipment washing areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Machinery: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Waste handling and disposal areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Erodible areas/construction: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Erodible areas/construction: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Erodible areas/construction: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Locations and sources of run-on to the site: controls adequate (appropriate, effective,			
360 370 380 390 400 410 420	Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Outdoor vehicle and equipment washing areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Machinery: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Waste handling and disposal areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Erodible areas/construction: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Locations and sources of run-on to the site: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Salt storage piles or pile containing salt: controls adequate (appropriate, effective,			
360 370 380 390 400 410 420 430	Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Outdoor vehicle and equipment washing areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Machinery: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Waste handling and disposal areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Erodible areas/construction: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Locations and sources of run-on to the site: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Salt storage piles or pile containing salt: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.			

Non-Compliance

480	Free of incidents of observed describe.	non-compliance not already	y identified above? If "No"	Г	Г	11
Additi	onal Control Measures					
500	Are permit requirements satis additional control measures r	sfied with existing control me needed.	easure(s)? If "No" describe	<b></b>	Г	Ĩ
Labor	Report					
Comp	leted: <u>11/28/2021 1:30:00</u> PM					
Repor	t: Jacob Knight, DEP					
	& Kright	11/30/2021				
l confir	Signature / Name m the information as recorded	Date	Signature / Name		Date	

### **CERTIFICATION STATEMENT**

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations".

(Signatory must meet definition in Section B.11.A, eg. FOD, Ops Mgr, DESH Group Leader, EPC Group Leader)

Print name and title: Lacey J. Bruaw, Facility Operations Director

Signature: Lacey J. Bruaw

\_\_\_\_\_Date: 12/7/21

**Maintenance Details** 

## Work Order MSGP-RI-65449

MSGP Routine Inspection Printed 12/21/2021 - 11:20 AM

Procedure: MSGP Routine Facility Priority/Type: Normal / Inspection Inspection (EPC-CP-QP-2108 Department: Utilities and Infrastructure TA R0 Form 1)					rogram Metals F	ab Sh	op	
Last Pl								
Project	<ul> <li>Routine Facility Inspections December 2021 (P-MSGP- RI-5538)</li> </ul>			Contact: Phone:				
Reasor	2021 December Inspections							
<b>Fasks</b>								
5	200000							
#	Description				Meas.	No	N/A	Yes
	er Information Describe the weather at time of ins	spection and doc	cument the temperature (F°).					
20	Comments: 44 degrees F and su	inny						1
	the Facility Boundary Is the facility free of previously unio	dentified dischar	ges from and/or pollutants th	at have				
40	occurred since the last inspection I	the second s				Г		11
50 60	If "No" has a CAR been previous	Contraction of the second s				<u></u>	1	<u> </u>
00	Is the facility free of discharge of po Is the facility free of evidence of, or		A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL			Г		<u> </u>
70	system. If "No" describe.	the potential lo	, polititants entering the drain	hage		Г	Г	1
Outfall descrip 90	Inspection (identify needed maint otion of corrective actions in releva Monitored Outfall [076] Free of E	ant task comme	ent)	es that need r	eplacem	ient, o	ra	12
100	Monitored Outfall [076] Flow Diss describe.			".		F	F	14
110	Monitored Outfall [076] Free of En Water? If "No", describe.	vidence of Pollut	ants in Discharges and/or Re	eceiving		-	F	1
120	Monitored Outfall [076] Free of an describe.	ny unauthorized	non-stormwater discharges?	lf "No"		Г	Г	14
130	Montiored Outfall [077] Free of E	vidence of Erosi	on? If "No", describe.			Г	Г	14
140	Montiored Outfall [077] Flow Diss describe.	ipation Devices	Operating Effectively? If "No"	1		Г	Г	14
150	Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Ev Water? If "No", describe.					Г	Г	12
160	Montiored Outfall [077] Free of an describe.	ny unauthorized	non-stormwater discharges?	lf "No"		Г	Г	12
Control of corre	Measures (identify needed mainte active actions in relevant task com	enance and rep iments).	airs, failed control measur	es that need re	eplacme	nt, or	a desc	ription
180	Asphalt Berm [0300103040014] C describe condition & need for Maint	enance, Repair,	or Replacement.	_		Г	Г	14
190	Asphalt Berm [0300103040028] C describe condition & need for Maint	enance, Repair,	or Replacement.	Sec. And Sec.		Г.	F	14
200	Gravel Bags [0300103100020] Co condition & need for Maintenance, I	Repair, or Repla	cement.			Г	Г	14
210	Base Course Berm [03001030200 describe condition & need for Maint	enance, Repair,	or Replacement.			Г	Г	12
220	Drop Inlet with Petro-Plug [03001 "No" describe condition & need for I	09010003] Cont Maintenance, Re	rol Measure is operating effe	ctively? If		Г	Г	14
230	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [030010			ctively? If		Г	17	14

-	"No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.			
240	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200030] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	F	Ē	~
250	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200031] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	E	Г	~
260	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200032] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.		Г	12
270	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200033] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	F	F	14
280	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200034] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	Г	14
290	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200035] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	F		14

Area/Activity exposed to stormwater (identify needed mainteance or a description of corrective actions in relevant task comment).

310	Material loading/unloading and storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe, Comments: All metals were covered effectively.	Г	Г	12
320	Transfer areas for substances in bulk: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г		14
330	Product/chemical storage areas (raw material): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	Г	~
340	Liquid tank storage/secondary containment: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	F	Г	14
350	Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	F	Г	14
360	Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		Г	14
370	Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	F	14	Г
380	Outdoor vehicle and equipment washing areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	14	
390	Machinery: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	Г	1
400	Waste handling and disposal areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	Г	14
410	Erodible areas/construction: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Ē	Г	14
420	Locations and sources of run-on to the site: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	F	1	14
430	Salt storage piles or pile containing salt: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	E	1.5	Г
440	Dust generation and vehicle tracking: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	6		14
450	Housekeeping (Industrial materials/residues/trash in contact with stormwater): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Comments: see CAR # 2060: There was trash strewn around the dumpsters to the north of TA-3-38.	×	F	
460	Leaks and spills: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	Г	10
Non-C	compliance			
480	Free of incidents of observed non-compliance not already identified above? If "No" describe.	Г	Г	1
Additi	onal Control Measures			
500	Are permit requirements satisfied with existing control measure(s)? If "No" describe additional control measures needed.		г	14

Labor Report

12/20/2021 Completed: 3:15:00 PM

Jacob Knight Digitally S Date: 202 -07'00'	igned by Jacob Knight 2.01.04 12:12:30		
Signature / Name	Date	Signature / Name	Date

#### CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations".

(Signatory must meet definition in Section B.11.A, eg. FOD, Ops Mgr, DESH Group Leader, EPC Group Leader)

L06-C5 Sing Group Leader incent Print name and title:\_ Date:\_ inven Signature:

### **Maintenance Details**

### Work Order MSGP-RI-65502

MSGP Routine Inspection Printed 2/1/2022 - 1:33 PM

	ted: 12/17/2021 1:05:00 PM	Target: 1/31/2022	MSGP Progra	m		
Procedu	Inspection (EPC-CP-QP- 2108 R0 Form 1)	Priority/Type:         Normal / Inspection           Department:         Utilities and Infrastructure	品 RG121.9 4 TA-3-38 Meta	ls Fab SI	юр	
Last PM	: 12/20/2021		<b>A A A</b>			
Project:	Routine Facility Inspections January 2022 (P-MSGP-RI- 5544)		Contact: Phone:			
Reason:	2022 January Inspections					
asks						
#	Description		Meas	No	N/A	Ye
Weather	r Information					
20	Describe the weather at time of Comments: Mostly sunny and	nspection and document the temperature (F°), 26 degrees F.		Г	Γ.	1
Within t	he Facility Boundary					
		nidentified discharges from and/or pollutants th	at have	_	_	
40 50	occurred since the last inspectio					
		usly initiated for this new discharge? pollutants at the time of inspection? If "No" de	ariba			
	Is the facility free of evidence of,	or the potential for, pollutants entering the drai				
70	system. If "No" describe.					<b>[</b> ¥
descript	ion of corrective actions in rele		es that need repla	icement,	or a	T.
		Evidence of Erosion? If "No", describe ssipation Devices Operating Effectively? If "No				
	describe.	ssipation Devices Operating Enectively? If No		Г	Г	
	Monitored Outfall [076] Free of Water? If "No", describe.	Evidence of Pollutants in Discharges and/or R	eceiving	Г	Г	12
	Monitored Outfall [076] Free of describe.	any unauthorized non-stormwater discharges?	' If "No"	Г	г	[*
130	Montiored Outfall [077] Free of	Evidence of Erosion? If "No", describe_			Г	11
	Montiored Outfall [077] Flow Di describe.	ssipation Devices Operating Effectively? If "No	1	Г	Г	<b>I</b> *
	Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Water? If "No", describe.	Evidence of Pollutants in Discharges and/or R	eceiving	Г		[*
	Montiored Outfall [077] Free of describe.	any unauthorized non-stormwater discharges?	If "No"	Г	Г.	15
		ntenance and repairs, failed control measur	es that need repla	cment, o	ra	
	ion of corrective actions in rele		- <sup>11</sup>			
180	describe condition & need for Ma	Control Measure is operating effectively? If "N intenance, Repair, or Replacement.			Г_	12
		Control Measure is operating effectively? If "N intenance, Repair, or Replacement,	0"	Г	Г	<b>I</b>
		Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No intenance, Repair, or Replacement		г	Г	11
	Base Course Berm [030010302	0001] Control Measure is operating effectively Intenance, Repair, or Replacement	? If "No"	<u>г</u>	<u>,</u>	
	Drop Inlet with Petro-Plug [030	0109010003] Control Measure is operating effe	ectively?			
	If "No" describe condition & need	tor Maintenance Renair or Replacement			-	

_	"No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.			
240	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200030] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	Г	IV
250	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200031] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	Г	12
260	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200032] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.		<u>г</u>	11
270	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200033] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	Г	12
280	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200034] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	 	Г	10
290	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200035] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	Г	14
			_	

# Area/Activity exposed to stormwater (identify needed mainteance or a description of corrective actions in relevant task comment).

Material loading/unloading and storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.			
of the Sigma storage vard	Г	Г	14
Transfer areas for substances in bulk: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.			12
Product/chemical storage areas (raw material): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		Г	12
Liquid tank storage/secondary containment: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.			11
Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		Г	11
Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		Г	
Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	<b>I¥</b>	г
Outdoor vehicle and equipment washing areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	<b>I</b> ¥	. <u> </u>
Machinery: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	Γ	[1
Waste handling and disposal areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	Γ	<b>I</b> ¥
Erodible areas/construction: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г		[*
Locations and sources of run-on to the site: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	Γ	<b>I</b>
Salt storage piles or pile containing salt: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe	Г	14	Г
Dust generation and vehicle tracking: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Γ	Г	11
Housekeeping (Industrial materials/residues/trash in contact with stormwater): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe	Г	<u>г</u>	14
Leaks and spills: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe	Г	Г	<b>I*</b>
ompliance			
Free of incidents of observed non-compliance not already identified above? If "No" describe.	Г	Г	<b>1</b>
onal Control Measures			
Are permit requirements satisfied with existing control measure(s)? If "No" describe			
	and operating)? If "No" describe. Comments: A new metal canopy roof was installed over the rack in the NE corner of the Sigma storage vard Transfer areas for substances in bulk: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Product/chemical storage areas (raw material): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Liquid tank storage/secondary containment: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Outdoor vehicle and equipment washing areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Machinery: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Waste handling and disposal areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Erodible areas/construction: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Salt storage piles or pile containing salt: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Salt storage piles or pile containing salt: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Bust generation and wehicle tracking: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Housekeeping (Industrial materials/residues/trash in contact with stormwater): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe <b>tompliance</b> Free of incidents of observed non-compliance not already identified above? If "No" describe.	and operating)? If "No" describe. Comments: A new metal canopy roof was installed over the rack in the NE corner of the Sigma storage yard Transfer areas for substances in bulk: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Product/chemical storage areas (raw material): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Liquid tank storage/secondary containment: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Controls adequate (appropriate	and operating)? If "No" describe. Comments: A new metal canopy roof was installed over the rack in the NE corner of the Sigma storage vard. Transfer areas for substances in bulk: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Product/chemical storage areas (raw material): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Liquid tank storage/secondary containment: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Fue

# Labor Report

1/31/2022 9:30:00 Completed: AM Report: Jacob Knight, DEP

Signature / Nave 1/31/22 Signature / Nave Date Date

Signature / Name

Date

#### **CERTIFICATION STATEMENT**

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations".

(Signatory must meet definition in Section B.II.A. eg. FOD, Ops Mgr, DESH Group Leader, EPC Group Leader)

LOG-CS-GL incent Print name and title -9-Ja Date: Signature

**Maintenance Details** 

Work Order MSGP-RI-65510

MSGP Routine Inspection Printed 2/28/2022 - 1:13 PM

	ested: 12/17/2021 1:11:00 PM dure: MSGP Routine Facility Inspection (EPC-CP-QP-2108 R0 Form 1)		: MSGP Routine Facility Inspection (EPC-CP-QP-2108 R0 Form 1) Priority/Type: Normal / Inspection Department: Utilities and Infrastructure		MSGF 品 RG12	al Fabr	ication	Shops	
Last P Projec	ct:	1/31/2022 Routine Facility Inspections February 2022 (P-MSGP-RI- 5545)			Contact: Phone:				
Reaso	on: 20	22 February Inspections							
<b>ľasks</b>									
#	De	scription				Meas,	No	N/A	Yes
Weath	her Inf	ormation							
20	Des	scribe the weather at time of ins mments: Sunny and 40 degree	pection and doc as F.	ument the temperature (F°).			F	F	14
Withi	n the F	acility Boundary			-				
40	Is th	he facility free of previously unid urred since the last inspection h	entified discharg	ges from and/or pollutants th	at have		F	-	14
50		"No" has a CAR been previousl	and the second	s new discharge?			-	14	F
60		ne facility free of discharge of po			cribe		-	F	121
70	Is th	ne facility free of evidence of, or tem. If "No" describe.							
		NAME OF A DESCRIPTION OF ADDRESS	NAL PARTY OF A	and the state of the second			1:0		12
Outfal descri	II Inspe iption	ection (identify needed mainte of corrective actions in releva	enance and rep int task comme	airs, failed control measur	es that need	i replacen	nent, o	ra	
90		nitored Outfall [076] Free of Ev					F	F	14
1.75		nitored Outfall [076] Flow Diss					-	_	
100	des	cribe.	2415 . 2 3 mg.				Г	Г	14
110	Mor Wat	nitored Outfall [076] Free of Ever er? If "No", describe.	idence of Pollut	ants in Discharges and/or Re	eceiving		F	-	14
120	Mor	nitored Outfall [076] Free of an	y unauthorized	non-stormwater discharges?				and the second second	
	Mor	onbo,		non-stornwater discharges?	If "No"		Г		12
130		ntiored Outfall [077] Free of Ev	idence of Erosic		If "No"	_	<u> </u>	F	12
97	Mor			on? If "No", describe.					12
140	Mor desc Mor	ntiored Outfall [077] Free of Ev ntiored Outfall [077] Flow Dissi cribe, ntiored Outfall [077] Free of Ev	pation Devices	on? If "No", describe. Operating Effectively? If "No'	,				
	Mor desc Mor Wat	ntiored Outfall [077] Free of Ev ntiored Outfall [077] Flow Dissi cribe. ntiored Outfall [077] Free of Ev er? If "No", describe. ntiored Outfall [077] Free of an	pation Devices	on? If "No", describe. Operating Effectively? If "No" ants in Discharges and/or Re	ceiving				12
140 150 160 Contro	Mor desc Mor Wate Mor desc ol Mea	ntiored Outfall [077] Free of Ev ntiored Outfall [077] Flow Dissi cribe, ntiored Outfall [077] Free of Ev er? If "No", describe. ntiored Outfall [077] Free of an cribe. sures (identify needed mainte	pation Devices ( idence of Polluta y unauthorized ( nance and rep.	on? If "No", describe. Operating Effectively? If "No" ants in Discharges and/or Re non-stormwater discharges?	ceiving	replacme	г г	Г Г	14
140 150 160 Contro	Mor desc Wat Mor desc ol Meas rective	ntiored Outfall [077] Free of Ev ntiored Outfall [077] Flow Dissi cribe. ntiored Outfall [077] Free of Ev er? If "No", describe. ntiored Outfall [077] Free of an cribe. sures (identify needed mainte actions in relevant task com	pation Devices of Polluti idence of Polluti y unauthorized n nance and repo ments).	on? If "No", describe. Operating Effectively? If "No" ants in Discharges and/or Re non-stormwater discharges? airs, failed control measure	ceiving If "No" es that need	replacme	г г	Г Г	14
140 150 160 Contro of corr	Mor desc Mor Wat Mor desc bl Meas rective Asp desc	ntiored Outfall [077] Free of Ev ntiored Outfall [077] Flow Dissi cribe. ntiored Outfall [077] Free of Ev er? If "No", describe. ntiored Outfall [077] Free of an cribe. sures (identify needed mainte actions in relevant task com halt Berm [0300103040014] Co cribe condition & need for Mainte	pation Devices of idence of Polluti y unauthorized of nance and repo ments). pontrol Measure i enance, Repair,	on? If "No", describe. Operating Effectively? If "No" ants in Discharges and/or Re non-stormwater discharges? airs, failed control measure is operating effectively? If "No or Replacement.	If "No"	replacme	г г	Г Г	14
140 150 160 Contro of corr 180	Mor desc Mor Vat Mor desc of Meas rective Asp desc Asp	ntiored Outfall [077] Free of Ev ntiored Outfall [077] Flow Dissi cribe. Intiored Outfall [077] Free of Ev er? If "No", describe. Intiored Outfall [077] Free of an cribe. Sures (identify needed mainte actions in relevant task comm halt Berm [0300103040014] Co cribe condition & need for Mainte halt Berm [0300103040028] Co cribe condition & need for Mainte	pation Devices of idence of Polluti y unauthorized of nance and repo ments). pontrol Measure i enance, Repair, pontrol Measure i enance, Repair,	on? If "No", describe. Operating Effectively? If "No" ants in Discharges and/or Re- non-stormwater discharges? airs, failed control measure s operating effectively? If "No or Replacement. s operating effectively? If "No or Replacement.	If "No" es that need	replacme	г г	Г Г	_[✔
140 150 160 Contro of corr 180 190	Mor desc Wat Mor desc of Meas rective Asp desc Asp desc Grav cond	ntiored Outfall [077] Free of Ev ntiored Outfall [077] Flow Dissi cribe, ntiored Outfall [077] Free of Ev er? If "No", describe. ntiored Outfall [077] Free of an cribe. sures (identify needed mainte e actions in relevant task common halt Berm [0300103040014] Co cribe condition & need for Mainte halt Berm [0300103040028] Co cribe condition & need for Mainte halt Berm [0300103100020] Cor lition & need for Maintenance, F	pation Devices of idence of Polluti y unauthorized of nance and repo- ments). ontrol Measure i enance, Repair, ontrol Measure is enance, Repair, otrol Measure is Repair, or Replace	on? If "No", describe. Operating Effectively? If "No" ants in Discharges and/or Re- non-stormwater discharges? airs, failed control measure or Replacement. s operating effectively? If "No or Replacement. operating effectively? If "No" compending effectively? If "No"	If "No" es that need o" describe	replacme	г г	Г Г	_[✔
140 150 Contro of corr 180 190 200	Mor desc Wat Mor desc of Meas rective Asp desc Asp desc Grav conc Base	ntiored Outfall [077] Free of Ev ntiored Outfall [077] Flow Dissi cribe, ntiored Outfall [077] Free of Ev er? If "No", describe. ntiored Outfall [077] Free of an cribe. sures (identify needed mainte e actions in relevant task common halt Berm [0300103040014] Co cribe condition & need for Mainte halt Berm [0300103040028] Co cribe condition & need for Mainte halt Berm [0300103100020] Cor dition & need for Maintenance, F	pation Devices of idence of Polluti y unauthorized of nance and repo- ments). ontrol Measure i enance, Repair, ontrol Measure is enance, Repair, ontrol Measure is Repair, or Replac 01] Control Mea	on? If "No", describe. Operating Effectively? If "No" ants in Discharges and/or Re- non-stormwater discharges? airs, failed control measure or Replacement. s operating effectively? If "No or Replacement. operating effectively? If "No" compending effectively? If "No" sure is operating effectively?	If "No" es that need o" describe	replacme	г г	Г Г	_[✔
140 150 160 Contro	Mor desc Mor Wat Mor desc ol Meas rective Asp desc Grav conc Base Drop	ntiored Outfall [077] Free of Ev ntiored Outfall [077] Flow Dissi cribe, ntiored Outfall [077] Free of Ev er? If "No", describe. ntiored Outfall [077] Free of an cribe. sures (identify needed mainte e actions in relevant task common halt Berm [0300103040014] Co cribe condition & need for Mainte halt Berm [0300103040028] Co cribe condition & need for Mainte halt Berm [0300103100020] Cor lition & need for Maintenance, F	pation Devices of idence of Polluti y unauthorized of nance and repo- ments). ontrol Measure i enance, Repair, ontrol Measure is Repair, or Replar 01] Control Mea enance, Repair, 09010003] Cont	on? If "No", describe. Operating Effectively? If "No" ants in Discharges and/or Re- non-stormwater discharges? airs, failed control measure or Replacement. s operating effectively? If "No" or Replacement. operating effectively? If "No" cement. sure is operating effectively? or Replacement. sure is operating effectively? or Replacement.	ceiving If "No" es that need o" o" describe	replacme	г г	Г Г	_[✔

	"No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.			
240	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200030] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	Г	10
50	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200031] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	Г	14
60	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200032] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	Г	10
70	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200033] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	F	14
80	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200034] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	Г	14
90	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200035] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	Г	12

310	Material loading/unloading and storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Comments: See CA# 2069: At the pipefitters satellite yard on sigma mesa there was a rack in the NE corner that is mostly covered by the installed canopy above but there were several copper pipes sticking out at least a foot or two that were exposed to stormwater. There was also a trailer with several pieces of steel with some rust and with cut ends that was uncovered.	r <b>X</b>	Г	Г
320	Transfer areas for substances in bulk: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	F	12
330	Product/chemical storage areas (raw material): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	Г	12
340	Liquid tank storage/secondary containment: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	Г	12
350	Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	Г	14
360	Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Ē	Г	1
370	Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	F	14	F
380	Outdoor vehicle and equipment washing areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Ē	14	E
390	Machinery: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	F	Г	14
400	Waste handling and disposal areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	Г	12
410	Erodible areas/construction: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	Г	14
420	Locations and sources of run-on to the site: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	F	Г	14
430	Salt storage piles or pile containing salt: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	14	Г
440	Dust generation and vehicle tracking: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	F	14
450	Housekeeping (Industrial materials/residues/trash in contact with stormwater): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Comments: see CA# 2068: Along the north perimeter fencing near the pipe fitters shop there was trash and debris that needed to be cleaned up.	1×	Г	Г
460	Leaks and spills: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	- F	Г	14
Non-C	compliance			
480	Free of incidents of observed non-compliance not already identified above? If "No" describe.	Ē	Г	14
Additi	onal Control Measures			
500	Are permit requirements satisfied with existing control measure(s)? If "No" describe additional control measures needed.		Г	12
				-

Labor Report

2/14/2022 Completed: 12:00:00 PM				
Report: Jacob Knight, DEP				
Jacob Knight Digital	y signed by Jacob Knight 022.03.04 10:43:21 -07'00'			
Signature / Name	Date	Signature / Name	Date	

### **CERTIFICATION STATEMENT**

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations".

(Signatory must meet definition in Section B.11.A, eg. FOD, Ops Mgr, DESH Group Leader, EPC Group Leader)

Print name and title:	Brandon	James Kran	k	Digitally signed by Brandon James Krank DNE (* US, or U.S., Government, ou: Department of Energy, ou: Los Alamos National Laboratory, ou: People, sorialNumber: 32/970, cnBrandon James Krank Location: TA-03-0038-108H Date: 2022.03.04 14:31:14 - 07:00

Signature:\_\_\_\_\_Date:\_\_\_\_\_

**Maintenance Details** 

Work Order MSGP-RI-65674

MSGP Routine Inspection Printed 3/30/2022 - 2:29 PM

	3/14/2022 5:25:00 PM MSGP Routine Facility Inspection (EPC-CP-QP- 2108 R0 Form 1)	Target: Priority/Type: Department:	3/31/2022 / Inspection Utilities and Infrastructure	MSGP Prog 品 RG121.9 弟 TA-03-0038		iricatio	n Shaps
Last PM:	2/14/2022			and the second second			
Project:	Routine Facility Inspections March 2022 (P-MSGP-RI- 5560)			Contact: Phone:			
Reason: 20	022 March Inspections						
asks							
# De	scription			Mea	s. No	N/A	Yes
Weather In	formation						
N A 77 7 199 199	scribe the weather at time of ir	spection and do	cument the temperature (F°)				
20 Co	mments: 51 degrees F. Most	ly cloudy with 6	0% chance of showers.			Г	1V
Within the	Facility Boundary						
	the facility free of previously un	identified dischar	rges from and/or pollutants th	hat have			
<u>40 occ</u>	curred since the last inspection	If "No" describe.	And the second			Г	10
	f "No" has a CAR been previou	sly initiated for th	nis new discharge?			1V	Г
	he facility free of discharge of	and the second se				Г	1×
'0 sys	the facility free of evidence of, a stem. If "No" describe.	or the potential fo	or, pollutants entering the dra	inage	Г	Г	14
description	pection (identify needed main of corrective actions in rele onitored Outfall [076] Free of onitored Outfall [076] Flow Dis	vant task comm Evidence of Eros	ent) ion? If "No", describe.				IV
00 des	scribe.	<ul> <li>Sector Constraints</li> </ul>				<u> </u>	1×
10 Wa	nitored Outfall [076] Free of ter? If "No", describe.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Contractor of Property and a			Г	14
20 des	nitored Outfall [076] Free of a scribe.	2210 236		? If "No"			14
the second se	ntiored Outfall [077] Free of	the second s					14
40 des	ntiored Outfall [077] Flow Dis scribe.	6. 30. u. 20. A				Г	1×
50 Mo	ntiored Outfall [077] Free of I ter? If "No", describe.	Evidence of Pollu	tants in Discharges and/or R	eceiving	F	Г	10
60 des	ntiored Outfall [077] Free of a scribe.	any unauthorized	non-stormwater discharges	? If "No"	Г	Г	12
Control Mea	asures (identify needed main	tenance and rep	pairs, failed control measu	res that need rep	lacment. d	ora	
lescription	of corrective actions in relev	ant task comm	ents).	De supplier an star			
80 des	phalt Berm [0300103040014] cribe condition & need for Mai	ntenance, Repair	r, or Replacement.			Г	12
90 des	ohalt Berm [0300103040028] cribe condition & need for Mai	ntenance, Repair	, or Replacement.	1	Г	Г	1
00 des	vel Bags [0300103100020] C cribe condition & need for Mai	ntenance, Repair	, or Replacement.		Г	Г	12
10 Bas	se Course Berm [0300103020 cribe condition & need for Mai	001] Control Meantenance, Repair	asure is operating effectively , or Replacement.	? If "No"	Г	Г	14
Dro 20 If "N	p Inlet with Petro-Plug [0300	109010003] Con	trol Measure is operating eff Repair, or Replacement	ectively?	F	F	14
	viroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300			actively2 If		-	111

	"No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.			
240	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200030] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	Г	14
250	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200031] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	Г	14
260	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200032] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	F	F	14
270	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200033] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	F	Г	14
280	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200034] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	F	14
290	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200035] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.		F	14

<u>310</u>	Material loading/unloading and storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Comments: All metal is covered, looks good. At the sigma mesa storage yard the roofing was extended at one of the main metal storage racks and gutters were installed to help ensure stormwater is kept away from the stored metal.	Г	F	IV.
320	Transfer areas for substances in bulk: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	Г	14
330	Product/chemical storage areas (raw material): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	Г	~
340	Liquid tank storage/secondary containment: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	F	14
350	Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	Г	IV.
360	Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	E	Г	14
370	Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	14	F
380	Outdoor vehicle and equipment washing areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	12	Г
390	Machinery: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe,	Г	Г	14
400	Waste handling and disposal areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Ē	Г	14
410	Erodible areas/construction: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	Г	1×
420	Locations and sources of run-on to the site: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	Г	~
430	Salt storage piles or pile containing salt: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	10	Г
440	Dust generation and vehicle tracking: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	- F	1	14
450	Housekeeping (Industrial materials/residues/trash in contact with stormwater): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Comments: See CAR # 2084: There were small pieces of metal on the ground near the metal recycle dumpster at the TA-3-38 metal fab yard. It was cleaned up immediately.	1X	Г	F
460	Leaks and spills: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	Г	14
Non-C	ompliance			
480	Free of incidents of observed non-compliance not already identified above? If "No" describe.	Г	Г	14
Additio	onal Control Measures			-
500	Are permit requirements satisfied with existing control measure(s)? If "No" describe additional control measures needed.	Г	r	1V
			_	

Completed: 3/29/2022 3:00:00 PM	enter e la constante e de la constante e constante e de la constante e de la constante e de la constante e de l		
Report: Jacob Knight, DEP			
Durin t			
f Kright	3/29/2022		
V Signature / Name	Date	Signature / Name	Date
I confirm the information as recorde	d is true, accurate and comp	olete.	

### CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

# (Signatory must meet definition in Section B.11.A, eg. FOD, Ops Mgr, EPC Group or Team Leader) Digitally signed by Brandon James Krank Di: c=US, o=U.S. Government, ou=Department of Energy, ou=Los Alamos National Laboratory, ou=People, serialNumber=327970, cn=Brandon James Krank Location: 1A-55-6042 101 Date: 2022.03.31 10:29:59-0600'

Print name and title:

Signature:\_\_\_\_\_

\_Date:\_\_\_\_\_

**Maintenance Details** 

## Work Order MSGP-RI-65763

MSGP Routine Inspection Printed 4/27/2022 - 2:58 PM

	dure	: 4/5/2022 4:47:00 PM MSGP Routine Facility Inspection (EPC-CP-QP- 2108 R0 Form 1) 3/29/2022		4/28/2022 Normal / Inspection Utilities and Infrastructure	→ MSGP Prog 品 RG121.9 → TA-03-0038		bricatio	n Shop
Projec		Routine Facility Inspections			Contact:			
		April 2022 (P-MSGP-RI- 5567)			Phone:			
Reaso	on: 2	022 April Inspections						
asks								
#	De	escription						
		run or all			Me	as. No	N/A	Yes
vveati		formation	energian and day					
20	C	escribe the weather at time of in mments: Sunny clear and 59	degrees F	cument the temperature (F°)		Ē	Ē.	1¥
Within	n the	Facility Boundary	1.1111.111.11		1.0			
10	Is	the facility free of previously un	identified dischar	ges from and/or pollutants th	nat have			
40	OC	curred since the last inspection	If "No" describe.					11
50 60		f "No" has a CAR been previou					1V	Г
00		the facility free of discharge of p						11
70	sys	the facility free of evidence of, c stem. If "No" describe.	or the potential fo	r, pollutants entering the dra	inage	Г	Г	12
descr 90		opection (identify needed main of corrective actions in relev pnitored Outfall [076] Free of B	vant task comm Evidence of Erosi	ent) ion? If "No", describe.		placemen	t, or a	12
100	de	onitored Outfall [076] Flow Dis scribe.	ni y niny y ni ca ni			Г	Г	14
110	Wa	onitored Outfall [076] Free of E ater? If "No", describe.				Ē	Г	14
120	des	onitored Outfall [076] Free of a scribe.	iny unauthorized	non-stormwater discharges	? If "No"	Г	Г	14
130	Mo	ontiored Outfall [077] Free of E	vidence of Erosi	on? If "No", describe.		- F	F	1V
140	Mo	ontiored Outfall [077] Flow Dis scribe.	sipation Devices	Operating Effectively? If "No	<b>)</b> ",	_	_	
1.7.0	Mo	ntiored Outfall [077] Free of E	vidence of Pollut	tants in Discharges and/or R	eceiving			14
150	_	ter? If "No", describe.						14
160	des	ntiored Outfall [077] Free of a scribe.	ny unauthorized	non-stormwater discharges	? If "No"	Г	Г	14
Contro	ol Mea	asures (identify needed main	tenance and rep	airs, failed control measu	es that need ren	lacment.	ora	
descri	ption	of corrective actions in relev	ant task comme	ents).				
180	des	phalt Berm [0300103040014] ( scribe condition & need for Mair	tenance, Repair,	or Replacement.		Г	Г	14
190	As	phalt Berm [0300103040028] ( scribe condition & need for Main	Control Measure Itenance, Repair.	is operating effectively? If "N or Replacement.	lo"			14
200	Gra	avel Bags [0300103100020] Co cribe condition & need for Main	ontrol Measure is	operating effectively? If "No	"		F	14
0.1	Bas	se Course Berm [0300103020	001] Control Mea	asure is operating effectively	? If "No"			18
		cribe condition & need for Main p Inlet with Petro-Plug [0300			attuck 0			14
210	1.100	a mer with reno-ring 10300.		I OLIVIERSULE IS OPERATING AT	activiant			
210 220	If "N	Vo" describe condition & need for viroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [03001	or Maintenance,	Repair, or Replacement.		E	Г	14

A	"No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.			
240	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200037] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	É	E	14
250	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200038] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.		Г	14
260	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200039] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.		F	IV.
270	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200040] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	 	F	14
280	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200041] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	F	14
290	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200042] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.		-	IV.
			_	

310	and operating)? If "No" describe. Comments: See CAR 2089: At the Sigma Mesa Pipefitters yard there was about 4 feet or so of large copper pipes extending out the back of a trailer. Most of the pipe is covered but the winds may have exposed the ends.	IX.	Ē	г
320	Transfer areas for substances in bulk: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		Г	11
330	Product/chemical storage areas (raw material): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		Г	IV
340	Liquid tank storage/secondary containment: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Ē	14	Г
350	Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Ē	Г	14
360	Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	ъE	Г	14
370	Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	14	Г
380	Outdoor vehicle and equipment washing areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	14	Г
390	Machinery: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		Г	14
400	Waste handling and disposal areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		Г	14
410	Erodible areas/construction: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	-	12
420	Locations and sources of run-on to the site: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Ê	Г	14
430	Salt storage piles or pile containing salt: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	14	F
440	Dust generation and vehicle tracking: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	F	11
450	Housekeeping (Industrial materials/residues/trash in contact with stormwater): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Comments: See CAR 2090: At the TA-3-38 metal fab yard there is some sediment and debris in the channel just up gradient of the stormwater sampler and at the sampler intake.	r <b>x</b>	Ē	Г
460	Leaks and spills: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	Г	14
Non-C	ompliance			
480	Free of incidents of observed non-compliance not already identified above? If "No" describe.	Ē	Г	TV.
Additi	onal Control Measures		1.4	CCR
500	Are permit requirements satisfied with existing control measure(s)? If "No" describe additional control measures needed.	Г	г	14
				_

Report: Jacob Knight

1 . . . .

n ·

There has been significant improvements to the metal storage canopy covers at the sigma mesa storage yard with extensions of the roofing and down spouts installed. The same is proposed for the 3-38 metal fab yard.

Signature\* Name

4/21/2022 Date

Signature / Name

Date

I confirm the information as recorded is true, accurate and complete.

### **CERTIFICATION STATEMENT**

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

## (Signatory must meet definition in Section B.11.A, eg. FOD, Ops Mgr, EPC Group or Team Leader)

Print name and title:		
Brandon James Krank	Digitally signed by Brandon James Kiank DN, c US, o US, Government, or: Department of Energy, ou Los Alamon National Laboratory, ou People, serialNumber 327970, en Brandon James Kiank Location, TA-55.0402, 101 Date: 2022.04.27 15;45:03-06'00'	Date:

Maintenance Details

### Work Order MSGP-65825

MSGP Monitoring Stations Printed 5/2/2022 - 9:41 AM

	<ul> <li>5/2/2022 9:14:00 AM</li> <li>MSGP Routine Facility Inspection (EPC-CP-QP-2108 R0 Form 1)</li> </ul>		5/31/2022 Normal / Inspection Utilities and Infrastructure	MSGP ₽ RG12			- Selver-	-
Last PM:	4/21/2022							
Project:	Routine Facility Inspections May 2022 (P-MSGP-RI-5575)			Contect: Phone:				
Reason: 2	2022 May Inspections							
asks								
# D	escription				Meas.	No	N/Å	Yes
Weather In	nformation							
20 D	escribe the weather at time of ins				_	Г	Г	-
Within the	Facility Boundary	y Sunny	and SUFF					
40 od	the facility free of previously unic ccurred since the last inspection I	ientified dischar f "No" describe	ges from and/or pollutants th	at have		-	-	
and the second second	If "No" has a CAR been previous	the second se	s new discharge?			-		
	the facility free of discharge of po	the second s		scribe		F	-	-
	the facility free of evidence of, or			and the second se		_		
70 sy	stem. If "No" describe.	the partner for					Г	10
M	onitored Outfall [076] Free of Ev onitored Outfall [076] Flow Diss escribe.			".		Г		TV IV
M	onitored Outfall [076] Free of Ev ater? If "No", describe.	vidence of Pollut	ants in Discharges and/or R	eceiving		-		
M	onitored Outfall [076] Free of an	ny unauthorized	non-stormwater discharges	lf "No"		-		E
130 M	ontiored Outfall [077] Free of Ex	vidence of Erosi	on? If "No", describe.			F		T
M	ontiored Outfall [077] Flow Diss escribe.			",		F	Г	TV
	ontiored Outfall [077] Free of Ev ater? If "No", describe.	vidence of Pollut	ants in Discharges and/or R	eceiving		Г	Г	T
160 de	ontiored Outfall [077] Free of an escribe.	y unauthorized	non-stormwater discharges?	' if "No"		Г	Г	r/
Control Me	easures (identify needed mainte ve actions in relevant task com	enance and rep ments).	airs, failed control measur	es that need	replacme	nt, or	a desc	ription
80 de	scribe condition & need for Maint	enance, Repair,	or Replacement.			Г	Г	1
90 <u>de</u>	sphalt Berm [0300103040028] C scribe condition & need for Maint	enance, Repair,	or Replacement.			Г		π/
00 _ co	ravel Bags [0300103100020] Con ndition & need for Maintenance, F	Repair, or Repla	cement.	Distance.		Г	Г	T
10 de	se Course Berm [03001030200 scribe condition & need for Maint	enance, Repair,	or Replacement.			Г	Г	1
20 "N	op Inlet with Petro-Plug [03001 o" describe condition & need for N	Maintenance, Re	pair, or Replacement.			Г	Г.	TV
En	viroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [030010	3200036] Contr	ol Measure is operating effe	ctively? If		-	-	#
30 <u>"No</u>	o" describe condition & need for N viroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [030010					1	_	P /

	"No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.			
250	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200038] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	<u> </u>		
260	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200039] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.			<b>F</b>
270	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200040] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.		<u>г</u>	$\overline{V}$
200	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200041] Control Measure is operating effectively? If			
280	"No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	<u> </u>		
290	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200042] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	Г	T
Area// comm	Activity exposed to stormwater (identify needed mainteance or a description of corrective ac ent).	tions in rele	vant ta	sk
310	Material loading/unloading and storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	<b>—</b>	T
320	Transfer areas for substances in bulk: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	 [		
	Product/chomical storage areas (raw material), controls adequate (constantiate, affective			

330	Product/chemical storage areas (raw material): controls adequate (appropriate. effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	Γ	r
340	Liquid tank storage/secondary containment: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	T	
350	Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Γ	Г	1
360	Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		[	
370	Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		T	<u>г</u>
380	Outdoor vehicle and equipment washing areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Γ		 Г
390	Machinery: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Γ		
400	Waste handling and disposal areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.			
<u>410</u>	Erodible areas/construction: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	г. Г	Г	
420	Locations and sources of run-on to the site: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Γ	Γ	
430	Salt storage piles or pile containing salt: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.			
440	Dust generation and vehicle tracking: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		Г	
450	Housekeeping (Industrial materials/residues/trash in contact with stormwater): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		Γ	 [
460	Leaks and spills: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Γ	Г	
Non-C	ompliance			
480	Free of incidents of observed non-compliance not already identified above? If "No" describe.			<b>4</b>
Additi	onal Control Measures			
500	Are permit requirements satisfied with existing control measure(s)? If "No" describe additional control measures needed.		<u> </u>	M

## Labor

Labor	Assigned	Work Date	Reg Hrs	OT Hrs	Other Hrs
Knight, Jacob	5/2/2022 / 1				

## Labor Report

Completed: 5/19/22 2:00	r 1.n		
Report: Jacob Kaght			
No chames AI	( Mctal	has covered and	housekeeping
And the f	Flat	72	
Signature / Name	Date	Signature / Name	Date

I confirm the information as recorded is true, accurate and complete.

### **CERTIFICATION STATEMENT**

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

(Signatory must meet definition in Section B.11.A, eg. FOD, Ops Mgr, EPC Group or Team Leader)

|--|

Signature:\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_Date:\_\_\_\_\_

**Maintenance Details** 

### Work Order MSGP-RI-65922

MSGP Routine Inspection Printed 6/16/2022 - 4:15 PM

Procedure:	5/31/2022 4:31:00 PM MSGP Routine Facility Inspection (EPC-CP-QP-2108 R0 Form 1)	Target: Priority/Type: Department:	6/30/2022 Normal / Inspection Utilities and Infrastructure	MSGP RG121	.9	l Fabr	ication	Shops
Last PM: Project:	5/19/2022 Routine Facility Inspections June 2022 (P-MSGP-RI- 5580)			Contact: Phone:				
Reason: 20	022 June Inspections							
asks								
# De	scription				Meas.	No	N/A	Yes
Weather In	formation							
	scribe the weather at time of insomments: Partly cloudy and 85		sument the temperature (F°).			Ē	E	14
	Facility Boundary						-	
ls	the facility free of previously unic curred since the last inspection I		ges from and/or pollutants th	at have		P	F	IV.
	f "No" has a CAR been previous		is new discharge?			Г	1V	Г
60 Is 1	the facility free of discharge of p	ollutants at the ti	me of inspection? If "No" de	scribe.		Г	Г	1V
	the facility free of evidence of, or stem. If "No" describe.	the potential for	r, pollutants entering the drai	nage	-	Г	Г	12
Outfall Insr	pection (identify needed maint	enance and rer	aire failed control moseu	roe that poor	Ironlacon	int o	(*************************************	_
description	of corrective actions in relev	ant task comme	ent)	les mai neer	replacen	ient, o	a	
90 Mc	nitored Outfall [076] Free of E	vidence of Erosi	on? If "No", describe.			Г		14
	onitored Outfall [076] Flow Diss scribe.	ipation Devices	Operating Effectively? If "No	)" <u>,</u>		Г	Г	1
	nitored Outfall [076] Free of E ater? If "No", describe.	vidence of Pollut	tants in Discharges and/or R	eceiving		Г	Г	1
	onitored Outfall [076] Free of an scribe.	ny unauthorized	non-stormwater discharges	? If "No"		F	Г	14
130 Mo	ntiored Outfall [077] Free of E	vidence of Erosi	on? If "No", describe.		-	Г		12
	ntiored Outfall [077] Flow Diss	ipation Devices	Operating Effectively? If "No	o",		120	140	
	scribe. Intiored Outfall [077] Free of E	vidence of Pollul	tanta in Discharges and/or P	aaalulaa			<u> </u>	14
	iter? If "No", describe.	vidence or Pollu	ants in Discharges and/or R	eceiving		E.	Г	1V
Mo	ntiored Outfall [077] Free of an scribe.	ny unauthorized	non-stormwater discharges	P If "No"		Г	Г	1V
Control Me	asures (identify needed maint re actions in relevant task com	enance and rep	pairs, failed control measu	res that need	l replacme	ent, or	a desc	1
As	phalt Berm [0300103040014] C scribe condition & need for Main	ontrol Measure		lo''		г	F	14
As	phalt Berm [0300103040028] C scribe condition & need for Main	ontrol Measure	is operating effectively? If "N	lo"		-		IV.
Gra	avel Bags [0300103100020] Co adition & need for Maintenance,	ntrol Measure is	operating effectively? If "No	" describe		F		14
Ba	se Course Berm [03001030200	01] Control Mea	asure is operating effectively	? If "No"		-	-	
210 des	cribe condition & need for Main	tenance, Repair,	or Replacement.			Г		14
	a later the Dates Dive tooood	000400021 Con	trol Measure is operating off	AL Culouitan				
	p Inlet with Petro-Plug [03001 " describe condition & need for			ectively r It		-	-	No.

	"No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.			
240	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200037] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	F	Г	14
250	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200038] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	E	Г	1
260	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200039] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	Г	14
270	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200040] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Ē	Г	14
280	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200041] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	E.	Г	1×
290	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200042] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	F	Г	12

310	Material loading/unloading and storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	E.	Г	14
320	Transfer areas for substances in bulk: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	F	Г	14
330	Product/chemical storage areas (raw material): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	F	F	12
340	Liquid tank storage/secondary containment: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	10	Г
350	Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	F	E	1V
360	Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	F	F	14
370	Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	L.F	11	Г
380	Outdoor vehicle and equipment washing areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	14	Г
390	Machinery: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	F	11
400	Waste handling and disposal areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	Г	12
410	Erodible areas/construction: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	Г	1
420	Locations and sources of run-on to the site: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	Г	12
430	Salt storage piles or pile containing salt: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	14	F
440	Dust generation and vehicle tracking: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	E	Г	14
450	Housekeeping (Industrial materials/residues/trash in contact with stormwater): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Ē		14
460	Leaks and spills: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	Г	1.
Non-C	compliance			
480	Free of incidents of observed non-compliance not already identified above? If "No" describe.	Г	Г	14
Additi	onal Control Measures			
500	Are permit requirements satisfied with existing control measure(s)? If "No" describe additional control measures needed.	Г	Г	1×
				_

## Labor Report

### Completed: 6/13/2022 4:15:00 PM

Report: Jacob Knight, DEP

6/14/2022 - 166552: No deficiency found. With more structural canopy cover over racks instead of tarps there have been less to no corrective actions required.

Signature /

Signature / Name Date Date I confirm the information as recorded is true, accurate and complete.

Signature / Name

Date

### **CERTIFICATION STATEMENT**

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

## (Signatory must meet definition in Section B.11.A, eg. FOD, Ops Mgr, EPC Group or Team Leader)

Brandon J. Krank - Operations Manager 3 - LOG-CS

Brandon James Krank	Digitally signed by Brandon James Krank	
Dianaon Jaines Marik		
Cignotium	Date: 2022.06.20 12:32:30 -06'00'	
Signature:		Date:

**Maintenance Details** 

Work Order MSGP-RI-66021

MSGP Routine Inspection Printed 8/1/2022 - 12:49 PM

Proced	sted: 7/6/2022 4:24:00 PM dure: MSGP Routine Facility Inspection (EPC-CP-QP- 2108 R0 Form 1)	Target: Priority/Type: Department:	7/31/2022 / Inspection Utilities and Infrastructure	# RG12	P Program 1.9 -0038 Met		ricatior	Shops
Last Pl								
Project				Contact: Phone:				
Reaso	n: 2022 July Inspections							
anter .								
asks								
#	Description				Meas.	No	N/A	Yes
Weath	er Information							
	Describe the weather at time of in		cument the temperature (F°)	).		1.1	1.00	
20	Comments: 76 degrees F and s	unny.						
Within	the Facility Boundary	A Statistics	n e da min de la comunitación de la					
40	Is the facility free of previously un occurred since the last inspection	identified discha	rges from and/or pollutants t	hat have		-	-	121
50	If "No" has a CAR been previou						12/	_
60	Is the facility free of discharge of	Contraction de la contraction	and the second se	escribe		F		1
70	Is the facility free of evidence of, of system. If "No" describe.	the second state which are a second state				-		12
								-
Contract of the		STAN STAND	TTTO Testin (number of tests of	ana ana ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang	10000000	- 1.00	0.25	
Outfal	I Inspection (identify needed main prion of corrective actions in relevant to the second s	itenance and re	pairs, failed control measu	ures that ne	ed replace	ement,	ora	
Outfal descri	ption of corrective actions in rele	vant task comm	ient)	ures that ne	ed replace	ement,	ora	12
Outfall descri 90	I Inspection (identify needed main ption of corrective actions in rele Monitored Outfall [076] Free of I Monitored Outfall [076] Flow Dis describe.	vant task comm Evidence of Eros	ient) ion? If "No", describe.		ed replace	ement,	ora	14
Outfal	ption of corrective actions in rele Monitored Outfall [076] Free of I Monitored Outfall [076] Flow Dis	vant task comm Evidence of Eros sipation Devices	nent) ion? If "No", describe. s Operating Effectively? If "N	lo",	ed replace	ement,	or a	12
Outfall descri 90 100 110	ption of corrective actions in rele Monitored Outfall [076] Free of I Monitored Outfall [076] Flow Dis describe. Monitored Outfall [076] Free of I Water? If "No", describe. Monitored Outfall [076] Free of a	vant task comm Evidence of Eros ssipation Devices Evidence of Pollu	<b>tent)</b> ion? If "No", describe. s Operating Effectively? If "N utants in Discharges and/or I	lo", Receiving	ed replace	ement,	or a	12
Outfall descri 90 100 110 120	ption of corrective actions in rele Monitored Outfall [076] Free of I Monitored Outfall [076] Flow Dis describe. Monitored Outfall [076] Free of I Water? If "No", describe. Monitored Outfall [076] Free of a describe.	vant task comm Evidence of Eros ssipation Devices Evidence of Pollu any unauthorized	eent) sion? If "No", describe. s Operating Effectively? If "N utants in Discharges and/or F non-stormwater discharges	lo", Receiving	ed replace	ement,	or a	12
Outfall descri 90 100 110 120 130	ption of corrective actions in rele Monitored Outfall [076] Free of I Monitored Outfall [076] Flow Dis describe. Monitored Outfall [076] Free of I Water? If "No", describe. Monitored Outfall [076] Free of a	vant task comm Evidence of Eros esipation Devices Evidence of Pollu any unauthorized Evidence of Eros	ion? If "No", describe. s Operating Effectively? If "N utants in Discharges and/or F non-stormwater discharges ion? If "No", describe.	lo", Receiving s? If "No"	ed replace	ement,		2 2 2 2 2
Outfall descri 90 100	ption of corrective actions in rele         Monitored Outfall [076] Free of I         Monitored Outfall [076] Free of I         describe.         Monitored Outfall [076] Free of I         Water? If "No", describe.         Monitored Outfall [076] Free of I         describe.         Monitored Outfall [076] Free of I         Monitored Outfall [077] Free of I         Montiored Outfall [077] Free of I         Montiored Outfall [077] Free of I	vant task comm Evidence of Eros esipation Devices Evidence of Pollu any unauthorized Evidence of Eros esipation Devices	ion? If "No", describe. s Operating Effectively? If "N utants in Discharges and/or f non-stormwater discharges ion? If "No", describe. s Operating Effectively? If "N	lo", Receiving s? If "No" lo",	ed replace	ement,		12
Outfall descri 90 100 110 120 130 140	ption of corrective actions in rele Monitored Outfall [076] Free of I Monitored Outfall [076] Flow Dis describe. Monitored Outfall [076] Free of I Water? If "No", describe. Monitored Outfall [076] Free of I describe. Montiored Outfall [077] Free of I Montiored Outfall [077] Free of I Montiored Outfall [077] Free of I Montiored Outfall [077] Free of I	vant task comm Evidence of Eros Evidence of Pollu any unauthorized Evidence of Eros Esipation Devices	eent) ion? If "No", describe. s Operating Effectively? If "N utants in Discharges and/or F non-stormwater discharges ion? If "No", describe. s Operating Effectively? If "N utants in Discharges and/or F	lo", Receiving s? If "No" lo", Receiving	ed replace	ement,		
Outfall descri 90 100 110 120 130 140 150 160 Contro	ption of corrective actions in rele Monitored Outfall [076] Free of I Monitored Outfall [076] Flow Dis describe. Monitored Outfall [076] Free of I Water? If "No", describe. Monitored Outfall [076] Free of I describe. Montiored Outfall [077] Free of I Montiored Outfall [077] Free of I Montiored Outfall [077] Free of I Water? If "No", describe. Montiored Outfall [077] Free of I Montiored Outfall [077] Free of I	vant task comm Evidence of Eros esipation Devices Evidence of Pollu any unauthorized Evidence of Eros Evidence of Pollu any unauthorized	ent) ion? If "No", describe. s Operating Effectively? If "N utants in Discharges and/or R non-stormwater discharges ion? If "No", describe. s Operating Effectively? If "N utants in Discharges and/or R non-stormwater discharges pairs, failed control measu	lo", Receiving s? If "No" lo", Receiving s? If "No"				R R R R R
Outfall descri 90 100 110 120 130 140 150 160 Contro	ption of corrective actions in relevant         Monitored Outfall [076] Free of I         Monitored Outfall [076] Free of I         Monitored Outfall [076] Free of I         Water? If "No", describe.         Monitored Outfall [076] Free of I         Water? If "No", describe.         Monitored Outfall [076] Free of I         Monitored Outfall [077] Free of I         Montiored Outfall [077] Free of I         Water? If "No", describe.         Montiored Outfall [077] Free of I         Water? If "No", describe.         Montiored Outfall [077] Free of I         M	vant task comm Evidence of Eros esipation Devices Evidence of Pollu any unauthorized Evidence of Eros Evidence of Pollu any unauthorized etenance and revent task comm	ent) ion? If "No", describe. s Operating Effectively? If "N utants in Discharges and/or If non-stormwater discharges ion? If "No", describe. s Operating Effectively? If "N utants in Discharges and/or If non-stormwater discharges pairs, failed control measurents).	Io", Receiving 3? If "No" Io", Receiving 3? If "No" ures that neg				2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
Outfall descri 90 100 110 120 130 140 150 160 Contro	ption of corrective actions in rele Monitored Outfall [076] Free of I Monitored Outfall [076] Flow Dis describe. Monitored Outfall [076] Free of I Water? If "No", describe. Monitored Outfall [076] Free of I describe. Montiored Outfall [077] Free of I Montiored Outfall [077] Free of I Montiored Outfall [077] Free of I Water? If "No", describe. Montiored Outfall [077] Free of I Montiored Outfall [077] Free of I Water? If "No", describe. Montiored Outfall [077] Free of I Montiored Outfall [077]	vant task comm Evidence of Eros ssipation Devices Evidence of Pollu any unauthorized Evidence of Eros ssipation Devices Evidence of Pollu any unauthorized tenance and reveant task comm Control Measure ntenance, Repai	ent) ion? If "No", describe. s Operating Effectively? If "N utants in Discharges and/or If a non-stormwater discharges ion? If "No", describe. s Operating Effectively? If "N utants in Discharges and/or If a non-stormwater discharges pairs, failed control measurents). a is operating effectively? If " r, or Replacement.	Io", Receiving s? If "No" Io", Receiving s? If "No" ures that ne				2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
Outfall descri 90 100 110 120 130 140 150 160 Contro descri 180	ption of corrective actions in rele Monitored Outfall [076] Free of I Monitored Outfall [076] Flow Dis describe. Monitored Outfall [076] Free of I Water? If "No", describe. Monitored Outfall [076] Free of I describe. Montiored Outfall [077] Free of I Montiored Outfall [077] Free of I Water? If "No", describe. Montiored Outfall [077] Free of I Montiored Outfall [077] Free of I Montiored Outfall [077] Free of I Water? If "No", describe. Montiored Outfall [077] Free of I Water? If "No", describe. Montiored Outfall [077] Free of I Montiored Outfall [077] Free of	vant task comm Evidence of Eros ssipation Devices Evidence of Pollu any unauthorized Evidence of Eros ssipation Devices Evidence of Pollu any unauthorized tenance and revert vant task comm Control Measure ntenance, Repai Control Measure	ent) ion? If "No", describe. S Operating Effectively? If "N utants in Discharges and/or If I non-stormwater discharges ion? If "No", describe. S Operating Effectively? If "N utants in Discharges and/or If I non-stormwater discharges pairs, failed control measurents). S is operating effectively? If " r, or Replacement. S is operating effectively? If "	Io", Receiving s? If "No" Io", Receiving s? If "No" ures that ne				
Outfall descri 90 100 110 120 130 140 150 160 Contro descri 180 190	ption of corrective actions in rele Monitored Outfall [076] Free of I Monitored Outfall [076] Flow Dis describe. Monitored Outfall [076] Free of I Water? If "No", describe. Monitored Outfall [076] Free of I describe. Montiored Outfall [077] Free of I Montiored Outfall [077] Free of I Montiored Outfall [077] Free of I Water? If "No", describe. Montiored Outfall [077] Free of I Montiored Outfall [077] Free of I Water? If "No", describe. Montiored Outfall [077] Free of I Montiored Outfall [077]	vant task comm Evidence of Eros ssipation Devices Evidence of Pollu any unauthorized Evidence of Eros ssipation Devices Evidence of Pollu any unauthorized tenance of Pollu any unauthorized tenance and revent vant task comm Control Measure ntenance, Repai Control Measure itenance, Repai	ent) ion? If "No", describe. S Operating Effectively? If "N utants in Discharges and/or F non-stormwater discharges ion? If "No", describe. Operating Effectively? If "N utants in Discharges and/or F non-stormwater discharges pairs, failed control measurents). S operating effectively? If " r, or Replacement. S operating effectively? If " r, or Replacement. S operating effectively? If "N	Io", Receiving s? If "No" Io", Receiving s? If "No" ures that new 'No"				
Outfall descri 90 100 110 120 130 140 150 160 Contro descri	ption of corrective actions in rele Monitored Outfall [076] Free of I Monitored Outfall [076] Flow Dis describe. Monitored Outfall [076] Free of I Water? If "No", describe. Monitored Outfall [076] Free of I describe. Montiored Outfall [077] Free of I Montiored Outfall [077] Free of I Water? If "No", describe. Montiored Outfall [077] Free of I describe. Montiored Outfall [077] Free of I Montiored Outfall [077] Free of I Mon	vant task comm Evidence of Eros sispation Devices Evidence of Pollu any unauthorized Evidence of Eros sispation Devices Evidence of Pollu any unauthorized tenance of Pollu any unauthorized tenance and re vant task comm Control Measure intenance, Repai Control Measure i ntenance, Repai control Measure i ntenance, Repai	ent) ion? If "No", describe. S Operating Effectively? If "N utants in Discharges and/or F I non-stormwater discharges ion? If "No", describe. Operating Effectively? If "N utants in Discharges and/or F I non-stormwater discharges pairs, failed control measurents). a is operating effectively? If " r, or Replacement. a is operating effectively? If " r, or Replacement. s operating effectively? If "N r, or Replacement. s operating effectively? If "N r, or Replacement. s operating effectively? If "N r, or Replacement.	lo", Receiving s? If "No" lo", Receiving s? If "No" ures that new "No" No"				
Outfall descri 90 1100 120 130 140 150 160 Contro descri 180 190 200	ption of corrective actions in rele Monitored Outfall [076] Free of I Monitored Outfall [076] Flow Dis describe. Monitored Outfall [076] Free of I Water? If "No", describe. Monitored Outfall [076] Free of I describe. Montiored Outfall [077] Free of I Montiored Outfall [077] Free of I Water? If "No", describe. Montiored Outfall [077] Free of I Gescribe. Montiored Outfall [077] Free of I Montiored Outfall [077] Free of I Water? If "No", describe. Montiored Outfall [077] Free of I Gescribe. Montiored Outfall [077] Free of I Montiored Outfall [077] Free of I	vant task comm Evidence of Eros esipation Devices Evidence of Pollu any unauthorized Evidence of Eros esipation Devices Evidence of Pollu any unauthorized evidence of Pollu any unauthorized tenance and re vant task comm Control Measure intenance, Repai Control Measure i intenance, Repai	aion? If "No", describe. s Operating Effectively? If "N utants in Discharges and/or If a non-stormwater discharges ion? If "No", describe. s Operating Effectively? If "N utants in Discharges and/or If a non-stormwater discharges pairs, failed control measurents). a is operating effectively? If " r, or Replacement. a s operating effectively? If "N r, or Replacement. s operating effectively? If "N r, or Replacement. a soperating effectivel	lo", Receiving s? If "No" lo", Receiving s? If "No" ures that new 'No" No" lo"				

EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200044] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	F	F	IV.
EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200045] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	Г	14
EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200046] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.		-	12
EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200047] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.			12
EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200048] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.		F	12
EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200049] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.		F	12
	"No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement. EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200045] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement. EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200046] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement. EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200046] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement. EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200047] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement. EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200048] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement. EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200048] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement. EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200048] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement. EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200048] Control Measure is operating effectively? If	"No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.       Image: Control Measure is operating effectively? If         "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.       Image: Control Measure is operating effectively? If         "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.       Image: Control Measure is operating effectively? If         "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.       Image: Control Measure is operating effectively? If         "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.       Image: Control Measure is operating effectively? If         "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.       Image: Control Measure is operating effectively? If         "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.       Image: Control Measure is operating effectively? If         "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.       Image: Control Measure is operating effectively? If         "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.       Image: Control Measure is operating effectively? If         "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.       Image: Control Measure is operating effectively? If         "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.       Image: Control Measure is operating effectively? If         "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.       Image: Control Measure is	"No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.       Image: Control Measure is operating effectively? If         "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.       Image: Control Measure is operating effectively? If         "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.       Image: Control Measure is operating effectively? If         "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.       Image: Control Measure is operating effectively? If         "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.       Image: Control Measure is operating effectively? If         "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.       Image: Control Measure is operating effectively? If         "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.       Image: Control Measure is operating effectively? If         "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.       Image: Control Measure is operating effectively? If         "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.       Image: Control Measure is operating effectively? If         "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.       Image: Control Measure is operating effectively? If         "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.       Image: Control Measure is operating effectively? If         "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.       Image: Control Measure is

310	Material loading/unloading and storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Comments: All material was under canopy or covered with tarps.	-	E.	12/
320	Transfer areas for substances in bulk: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.			10
330	Product/chemical storage areas (raw material): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	F	Г	14
340	Liquid tank storage/secondary containment: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	F	12	Г
350	Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	F	Г	IV
360	Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	F	Г	1
370	Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	12	Г
380	Outdoor vehicle and equipment washing areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		~	F
390	Machinery: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		Г	14
100	Waste handling and disposal areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		F	1
410	Erodible areas/construction: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.			12
420	Locations and sources of run-on to the site: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		1.1.1	14
130	Salt storage piles or pile containing salt: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		14	
140	Dust generation and vehicle tracking: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		5.5	14
150	Housekeeping (Industrial materials/residues/trash in contact with stormwater): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Comments: Housekeeping looked great. Yard was recently swept by hand as unneeded materials had been removed and recycled.		Г	
60	Leaks and spills: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		1	14
lon-C	ompliance			
80	Free of incidents of observed non-compliance not already identified above? If "No"		Г	12
dditid	onal Control Measures		-	
00	Are permit requirements satisfied with existing control measure(s)? If "No" describe additional control measures needed.	2	-	IV.

Labor Report

Completed: 7/14/2022 11:30:00 AM

Atricht	7/14/2022		1
Signature Name	Date	Signature / Name	Date
	CERTIFICATION	STATEMENT	
cordance with a system designed to a	ssure that qualified personne	s were prepared under my direction or l properly gathered and evaluated the he system or those persons directly re-	information contained

therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

(Signatory must meet definition in Section B.11.A, eg. FOD, Ops Mgr, EPC Group or Team Leader)

Print name and title:\_\_

Signature: VINCENT SING (Affiliate)

Digitally signed by VINCENT SING (Affiliate) Date: 2022.08.1012228:09 -06'00'

Maintenance Details

## Work Order MSGP-RI-66107

MSGP Routine Inspection Printed 9/1/2022 - 8:40 AM

namienar	ice Details							
201101010	: 7/29/2022 2:43:00 PM : MSGP Routine Facility Inspection (EPC-CP-QP-2108	Target: Priority/Type: Department:	8/31/2022 Normal / Inspection Utilities and Infrastructure	🛁 MSGP I 品 RG121. 🏄 TA-03-0	9	Fabri	cation	Shop
	R0 Form 1)	2 - Service Contract			our meta	. autorit	autom	onop
Last PM: Project:	7/14/2022 Routine Facility Inspections			Contact:				
roject.	August 2022 (P-MSGP-RI- 5597)			Phone:				
Reason: 2	2022 August Inspections							
asks						-		
# D	escription				Meas.	No	N/A	Yes
Weather In	formation							1.22
De	escribe the weather at time of inspondents: Mostly sunny and 64	degrees F. 40 p	iment the temperature (F°).	ed showers		P	F	IV.
			in the state of th	eu ononera.		_	_	1.
	Facility Boundary	antifical disclosure		1.00				
40 oc	the facility free of previously unid courred since the last inspection If	"No" describe	es from and/or pollutants th	lat have		-	F	12
the second se	If "No" has a CAR been previousl	the second s	new discharge?			-	14	-
	the facility free of discharge of po			scribe -		-	-	14
ls	the facility free of evidence of, or 'No" describe.					-	-	14
	WAL THE LOUGH AND THE COMPANY LOUGH					100		-
of correctiv	pection (identify needed mainte ve actions in relevant task com	ment)	lirs, falled control measu	res that need re	eplaceme	it, or a	descri	iption
	onitored Outfall [076] Free of Ev		n? If "No", describe,			E	E .	14
	onitored Outfall [076] Flow Dissi			", describe.		F	- F	TV.
Mo	onitored Outfall [076] Free of Ev ater? If "No", describe.						-	IV.
120 de	onitored Outfall [076] Free of an scribe.	y unauthorized n	ion-stormwater discharges	P If "No"		÷.	É.	12
130 <b>M</b> d	ontiored Outfall [077] Free of Ev	idence of Erosio	n? If "No", describe.				F	14
	ontiored Outfall [077] Flow Dissi			", describe.		Ē	F	1V
Mo	ontiored Outfall [077] Free of Ev ater? If "No", describe.					<b>F</b>	F	14
160 Mc	ontiored Outfall [077] Free of an scribe.	y unauthorized n	on-stormwater discharges?	P If "No"		Г	F	V
Control Me	asures (identify needed mainte actions in relevant task comme	nance and repa nts).	irs, failed control measur	res that need re	placment	, or a c	lescrip	tion
As	phalt Berm [0300103040014] Condition & need for Maintenance, F	ontrol Measure is	s operating effectively? If "N ement.	lo" describe		Г	Г	IV
190 <u>co</u> i	phalt Berm [0300103040028] Condition & need for Maintenance, F	Repair, or Replac	ement.	2 (A 6+_4*)		Г	г	1
200 coi	avel Bags [0300103100020] Cor ndition & need for Maintenance, F	Repair, or Replac	ement.			Г	Г	14
210 des	se Course Berm [030010302000 scribe condition & need for Mainte	enance, Repair, o	or Replacement.			Г	Г	12
220 <u>"No</u>	op Inlet with Petro-Plug [030010 o" describe condition & need for N	laintenance, Re	pair, or Replacement.			Г	Г	14
En 230 "No	viroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [030010 o" describe condition & need for N	laintenance, Rep	pair, or Replacement.			Г	Г	10
	viroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [030010					X	succession in the local division of the loca	-

330	Product/chemical storage areas (raw material): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.			16
1.1.2	operating)? If "No" describe. Liquid tank storage/secondary containment: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and		Г	10
340	operating)? If "No" describe. Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate,		11	Г
350	effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.			11
360	Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г		IV
370	Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		14	
380	Outdoor vehicle and equipment washing areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	14	Г
390	Machinery: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		F	TU
11-	Waste handling and disposal areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and		_	1
00	operating)? If "No" describe.		Г	14
10	Erodible areas/construction: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.			
	Locations and sources of run-on to the site: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and	<u></u> _		10
20	_ operating)? If "No" describe.			11
30	Salt storage piles or pile containing salt: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	14	Г
40	Dust generation and vehicle tracking: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		-	
	Housekeeping (Industrial materials/residues/trash in contact with stormwater): controls		1	
50	_adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		_ <u> </u>	14
50	Leaks and spills: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	Г	12

Labor Report

Completed: 8/25/2022 11:15:00 AM

Report: Jacob Knight, DEP

ignature / Name

Signature / Name Date Date I confirm the information as recorded is true, accurate and complete.

Signature / Name

Date

#### **CERTIFICATION STATEMENT**

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

## (Signatory must meet definition in Section B.11.A, eg. FOD, Ops Mgr, EPC Group or Team Leader)

Print name and title: Brandon J. Krank - LOG Operations Manager

<b>BRANDON KRANK</b>	Digitally signed by BRANDON KRANK (Affiliate) DN: c- US, o: U.S. Government, ou: Department of Energy, 09.3282-1300300.10.11-149001035380100, c-: BRANDON KRANK
Signature: (Affiliate)	(Affiliate) Locator: TA 55:0042 101 Date: 2020/005 1926/42 06:00' Date:

### Work Order MSGP-RI-66122

MSGP Routine Inspection Printed 10/3/2022 - 5:31 PM (Duplicate Copy)

Maintena	ance Details							
Procedui	ed: 9/13/2022 4:09:00 PM re: MSGP Routine Facility Inspection (EPC-CP-QP-2108 R0 Form 1)	Target: Priority/Type: Department:	9/30/2022 / Inspection Utilities and Infrastructure	🚠 RG121	Program I.9 0038 Meta	l Fabri	cation	Shops
Last PM:				Contact:				
Project:	Routine Facility Inspections September 2022 (P-MSGP-RI- 5599)			Phone:				
Reason:	2022 September Inspections							
<b>Fasks</b>			-					
#	Description				Meas.	No	-	Vee
					ivieas.	NO	NVA	Yes
A CONTRACT RECORD	Information Describe the weather at time of insp	action and docu	mont the temperature (F°)					
20	Comments: Partly cloudy and 67	degrees F.	iment the temperature (F*).				F	14
Within th	ne Facility Boundary							
8.274	Is the facility free of previously unide	entified discharg	es from and/or pollutants that	t have				
	occurred since the last inspection If	and the second se	<u> </u>					14
50	If "No" has a CAR been previous!	the second s					1	
	Is the facility free of discharge of po						Г	<u> </u>
70	Is the facility free of evidence of, or If "No" describe.	the potential for,	pollutants entering the draina	age system.		Г	Г	14
of correc	nspection (identify needed mainte tive actions in relevant task com Monitored Outfall [076] Free of Ev	ment)		s that need r	eplaceme	nt, or a	descr	iption
	Monitored Outfall [076] Flow Dissi					Г	Г	14
110	Monitored Outfall [076] Free of Ev Water? If "No", describe.		and a set of the set of the set of the set			Г	Г	14
120 d	Monitored Outfall [076] Free of an describe.	y unauthorized r	ion-stormwater discharges? I	f "No"		F	F	14
130	Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Ev	idence of Erosio	n? If "No", describe.			F	F	11
140	Montiored Outfall [077] Flow Dissi	pation Devices C	Operating Effectively? If "No",	describe.		Г	Г	14
150	Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Ev Water? If "No", describe.	idence of Polluta	ants in Discharges and/or Red	ceiving		F	F	14
ſ	Montiored Outfall [077] Free of and describe.	y unauthorized n	on-stormwater discharges? I	f "No"		-	-	14
1.1 C	leasures (identify needed mainte	nance and rena	irs failed control measure	e that need r	onlacmon		docorin	
correctiv	e actions in relevant task comme	nts).			epiacmen	i, or a i	uescrip	nion of
<u>180 c</u>	Asphalt Berm [0300103040014] Co condition & need for Maintenance, F	Repair, or Replac	ement.		_		.г.	14
<u>190 c</u>	Asphalt Berm [0300103040028] Co condition & need for Maintenance, F	ontrol Measure is Repair, or Replac	s operating effectively? If "No ement.	" describe		Г	Г	12
200 c	Gravel Bags [0300103100020] Cor condition & need for Maintenance, F	trol Measure is Repair, or Replac	operating effectively? If "No" ement.	describe		Г	F	12
210 d	Base Course Berm [030010302000 describe condition & need for Mainte	01] Control Meas enance, Repair, o	sure is operating effectively? or Replacement.	lf "No"		Г	Г	IV.
	Drop Inlet with Petro-Plug [030010 No" describe condition & need for N	90100031 Contr	ol Measure is operating effect	tively? If		F	- -	14
E	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [030010 No" describe condition & need for M	32000431 Contro	ol Measure is operating effect	ively? If		F	F	14
E	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [030010 No" describe condition & need for N	3200044] Contro	ol Measure is operating effect	ively? If		F	Г	14
a second s		the second se	and the second se			_		

EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200045] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	5	14
EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200046] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	Г	14
EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200047] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	Г	IV
EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200048] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	Г	14
EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200049] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.		r	14
EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200050] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	F	Г	14
EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200051] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	Г	14
EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200052] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	E	Г	14
EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200053] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	-	Г	14
EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200054] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	Г	12
Rock Berm [0300103120019] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	F	F	14
	<ul> <li>"No" describe condition &amp; need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.</li> <li>EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200046] Control Measure is operating effectively? If</li> <li>"No" describe condition &amp; need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.</li> <li>EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200047] Control Measure is operating effectively? If</li> <li>"No" describe condition &amp; need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.</li> <li>EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200048] Control Measure is operating effectively? If</li> <li>"No" describe condition &amp; need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.</li> <li>EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200049] Control Measure is operating effectively? If</li> <li>"No" describe condition &amp; need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.</li> <li>EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200050] Control Measure is operating effectively? If</li> <li>"No" describe condition &amp; need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.</li> <li>EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200050] Control Measure is operating effectively? If</li> <li>"No" describe condition &amp; need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.</li> <li>EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200051] Control Measure is operating effectively? If</li> <li>"No" describe condition &amp; need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.</li> <li>EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200052] Control Measure is operating effectively? If</li> <li>"No" describe condition &amp; need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.</li> <li>EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200053] Control Measure is operating effectively? If</li> <li>"No" describe condition &amp; need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.</li> <li>EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200053] Control Measure is operating effectively? If</li> <li>"No" describe condition &amp; need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.</li> <li>EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200054] Control Measure is operating effectively? If</li> <li>"No" describe condit</li></ul>	"No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.         EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200046] Control Measure is operating effectively? If         "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.         EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200047] Control Measure is operating effectively? If         "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.         EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200048] Control Measure is operating effectively? If         "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.         EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200049] Control Measure is operating effectively? If         "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.         EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200050] Control Measure is operating effectively? If         "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.         EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200050] Control Measure is operating effectively? If         "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.         Image: No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.         Image: No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.         Image: No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.         Image: No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.         Image: No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement. <td< td=""><td>"No" describe condition &amp; need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.         EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200046] Control Measure is operating effectively? If         "No" describe condition &amp; need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.         EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200047] Control Measure is operating effectively? If         "No" describe condition &amp; need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.         EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200048] Control Measure is operating effectively? If         "No" describe condition &amp; need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.         EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200049] Control Measure is operating effectively? If         "No" describe condition &amp; need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.         EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200050] Control Measure is operating effectively? If         "No" describe condition &amp; need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.         EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200050] Control Measure is operating effectively? If         "No" describe condition &amp; need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.         EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200051] Control Measure is operating effectively? If         "No" describe condition &amp; need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.         EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200052] Control Measure is operating effectively? If         "No" describe condition &amp; need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.         EnviroSox w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200053] Control Measure is operating effectively? If</td></td<>	"No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.         EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200046] Control Measure is operating effectively? If         "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.         EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200047] Control Measure is operating effectively? If         "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.         EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200048] Control Measure is operating effectively? If         "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.         EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200049] Control Measure is operating effectively? If         "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.         EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200050] Control Measure is operating effectively? If         "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.         EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200050] Control Measure is operating effectively? If         "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.         EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200051] Control Measure is operating effectively? If         "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.         EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200052] Control Measure is operating effectively? If         "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.         EnviroSox w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200053] Control Measure is operating effectively? If

370	Material loading/unloading and storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	É	Г	14
380	Transfer areas for substances in bulk: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	г		1V
390	Product/chemical storage areas (raw material): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	Г	14
400	Liquid tank storage/secondary containment: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Ē	14	Г
410	Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	Г	10
420	Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Ē	Г	14
430	Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	14	F
440	Outdoor vehicle and equipment washing areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	E	10	Г
450	Machinery: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	Γ.	11
460	Waste handling and disposal areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	Г	12
470	Erodible areas/construction: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	Г	14
480	Locations and sources of run-on to the site: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Ē	Г	V
490	Salt storage piles or pile containing salt: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	10.2	12	
500	Dust generation and vehicle tracking: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.			14
510	Housekeeping (Industrial materials/residues/trash in contact with stormwater): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Comments: The metal fab yard was recently swept by hand.	F	F	12
520	Leaks and spills: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	F	1V
Non-C	ompliance			
540	Free of incidents of observed non-compliance not already identified above? If "No" describe.	Г	Г	14
Additi	onal Control Measures		1.00	
560	Are permit requirements satisfied with existing control measure(s)? If "No" describe additional control measures needed.			1

Labor Report

completed: <u>9/20/2022 12:00:00 PM</u>			
eport: Jacob Knight, DEP			
1 Knight			
y Krught	9/21/2022		
Signature / Name	Date	Signature / Name	Date

#### **CERTIFICATION STATEMENT**

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

(Signatory must meet definition in Section B.11.A, eg. FOD, Ops Mgr, EPC Group or Team Leader)

Print name and title:\_\_\_\_\_Brandon J. Krank - Operations Manager

Signature: BRANDON	Digitally signed by BRANDON KRANK (Affiliate)	10/6/2022 Date:
KRANK (Affiliate)	- <del>Location: TA-55-0042_101<sup>D</sup></del> Date: 2022.10.06 10:16:50 -06'00'	

**Maintenance Details** 

## Work Order MSGP-RI-66159

MSGP Routine Inspection Printed 10/27/2022 - 1:26 PM

Procedure: MSGP Routine Facility Inspection (EPC-CP-QP- Department: Utilities and Infrastructure		MSGP Program 걂 RG121.9 弟 TA-03-0038 Me	Shone				
Last PM:	2108 R0 Form 1) 9/20/2022	- oper enterter	ountes and milastracture		allian	lication	i Sliohs
Project:	Routine Facility Inspections October 2022 (P-MSGP-RI- 5602)			Contact: Phone:			
Reason:	2022 October Inspection						
asks							
#	Description			Meas.	No	N/A	Yes
Weather	Information						
20	Describe the weather at time of in Comments: Mostly sunny and	nspection and do 61 degrees F.	cument the temperature (F°)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. г.	Г	1×
Within th	e Facility Boundary			111 P.			
	Is the facility free of previously un	identified discha	rges from and/or pollutants th	hat have			
40	occurred since the last inspection	If "No" describe.		Low States		Г	14
50	If "No" has a CAR been previou					1V	Г
	Is the facility free of discharge of			the state of the s			11
70	Is the facility free of evidence of, system. If "No" describe.	or the potential fo	or, pollutants entering the dra	inage	Г	Г	14
descripti	spection (identify needed main on of corrective actions in rele Monitored Outfall [076] Free of	vant task comm	ent)	res that need repla	cement	, or a	14
1.4	Monitored Outfall [076] Flow Dis describe.			o",		Г	1V
110	Monitored Outfall [076] Free of Water? If "No", describe.	Evidence of Pollu	itants in Discharges and/or F	Receiving	Г	Г	14
	Monitored Outfall [076] Free of a describe.	any unauthorized	non-stormwater discharges	? If "No"	Г	Г	12
	Montiored Outfall [077] Free of I	and the set of the set		-	Г	Г	11
140	Montiored Outfall [077] Flow Dis describe.	sipation Devices	Operating Effectively? If "No	o",	Г	Г	14
150	Montiored Outfall [077] Free of I Nater? If "No", describe.	MINE IIME			Г	Г	14
160 0	Montiored Outfall [077] Free of a describe.	any unauthorized	non-stormwater discharges	? If "No"	Г	Г	14
Control M description	leasures (identify needed main on of corrective actions in relev	tenance and rep vant task comm	oairs, failed control measu ents).	res that need replac	cment, d	or a	
4	Asphalt Berm [0300103040014] describe condition & need for Mai	Control Measure	is operating effectively? If "I	No"	Г	Г	IV.
190 c	Asphalt Berm [0300103040028] describe condition & need for Mai	Control Measure ntenance, Repair	is operating effectively? If "I , or Replacement.	No"	Г	Г	14
200 d	Gravel Bags [0300103100020] C lescribe condition & need for Mai	ntenance, Repair	, or Replacement.		F	Г	11
<u>210 d</u>	Base Course Berm [0300103020 lescribe condition & need for Main	ntenance, Repair	, or Replacement.		Г	Г	~
220	Drop Inlet with Petro-Plug [0300 f "No" describe condition & need	for Maintenance,	Repair, or Replacement.		Г	Г	14
230 E	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300	103200043] Cont	trol Measure is operating effe	ectively? If	Г	Г	12

"No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.			
EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200044] Control Measure is operating effectively? If	Ē	Ē	14
EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200045] Control Measure is operating effectively? If			10
EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200046] Control Measure is operating effectively? If		F	14
EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200047] Control Measure is operating effectively? If			IV
EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200048] Control Measure is operating effectively? If		- -	14
EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200049] Control Measure is operating effectively? If		-	14
EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200050] Control Measure is operating effectively? If		F	1V
EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200051] Control Measure is operating effectively? If		-	14
EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200052] Control Measure is operating effectively? If		-	14
EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200053] Control Measure is operating effectively? If		-	14
EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200054] Control Measure is operating effectively? If		-	14
Rock Berm [0300103120019] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.		-	14
	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200044] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement. EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200045] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement. EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200046] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement. EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200047] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement. EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200047] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement. EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200048] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement. EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200049] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement. EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200050] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement. EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200051] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement. EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200052] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement. EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200053] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement. EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200053] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement. EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200053] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repa	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200044] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement. EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200045] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement. EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200046] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement. EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200047] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement. EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200047] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement. EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200048] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement. EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200049] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement. EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200050] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement. EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200050] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement. EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200051] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement. EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200052] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement. EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200053] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement. EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200053] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement. Envir	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200044] Control Measure is operating effectively? If         "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.         EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200045] Control Measure is operating effectively? If         "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.         EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200046] Control Measure is operating effectively? If         "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.         EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200047] Control Measure is operating effectively? If         "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.         EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200049] Control Measure is operating effectively? If         "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.         EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200049] Control Measure is operating effectively? If         "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.         EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200049] Control Measure is operating effectively? If         "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.         EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200050] Control Measure is operating effectively? If         "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.         EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200051] Control Measure is operating effectively? If         "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.

rh.

Material loading/unloading and storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Comments: See CAR # 2152: Plastic covering over pipes staged on the north

	perimeter outside the pipefitters shop is degraded. Pipes are exposed to	
370	stormwater.	

010	distriction and the second s	100		
380	Transfer areas for substances in bulk: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	F	F	1V
390	Product/chemical storage areas (raw material): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		F	14
400	Liquid tank storage/secondary containment: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.			IV.
410	Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		F	IV
420	Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	F	Г	IV.
430	Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.			1V
440	Outdoor vehicle and equipment washing areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	 	-	14
450	Machinery: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		F	IV.
460	Waste handling and disposal areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe, Comments: See CAR # 2151: 2 wood pallet bins were left uncovered and stormwater accumulated inside.		F	_
470	Erodible areas/construction: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		-	12
480	Locations and sources of run-on to the site: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		-	IV.
490	Salt storage piles or pile containing salt: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		-	IV.
500	Dust generation and vehicle tracking: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		-	IV
510	Housekeeping (Industrial materials/residues/trash in contact with stormwater): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe,		1	12

520 	Leaks and spills: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		Г	Г	Ĩ
Non-O	Compliance				
540	Free of incidents of observed non-compliance not already identified above? If "No" describe.		Г	Г	Ĩ
Additi	tional Control Measures				
560	Are permit requirements satisfied with existing control measure(s)? If "No" describe additional control measures needed.	e	Г	F	Ĩ.
-					
	• Report				
Comp	Report         bleted:       10/19/2022 3:30:00 PM         rt:       Jacob Knight, DEP				
Comp	bleted: <u>10/19/2022 3:30:00 PM</u>				

### **CERTIFICATION STATEMENT**

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

.

## (Signatory must meet definition in Section B.11.A, eg. FOD, Ops Mgr, EPC Group or Team Leader)

Print name and title: <u>Brandon J. Krank - Op</u>	erations Manager 3		
BRANDON KRANK (Affiliate) Signature:	Digitally signed by BRANDON KRANK (Affiliate) Location: TA-55-0042_101 Date: 2022.11.02 11:51:07 -06'00'	_Date:_	11.2.2022

**Maintenance Details** 

## Work Order MSGP-RI-66211

MSGP Routine Inspection Printed 11/28/2022 - 11:37 AM

Charles and the state of the	: 10/28/2022 2:01:00 AM MSGP Routine Facility Inspection (EPC-CP-QP- 2108 R0 Form 1)	Target: Priority/Type: Department:	11/30/2022 Normal / Inspection Utilities and Infrastructure	MSGP 品 RG121 参 TA-03-	1.9	al Fab	rication	n Shops
Last PM:	10/19/2022			4				
Project:	Routine Facility Inspections November 2022 (P-MSGP- RI-5607)			Contact: Phone:				
Reason: 2	022 November Inspection							
asks								
# De	escription				Meas.	No	N/A	Yes
Weather In	formation							1000
	escribe the weather at time of ir	spection and do	cument the temperature (E%)					
20 Co	amments: Sunny and 34 degr	ees F	coment the temperature (F).			Г		TV.
Within the	Facility Boundary							
	the facility free of previously un	identified dischar	rges from and/or pollutants th	at have				
	curred since the last inspection	and the second					<u> </u>	11
	f "No" has a CAR been previou						1×	<u> </u>
	the facility free of discharge of				_			12
0 sy:	the facility free of evidence of, on the stem. If "No" describe.	or the potential fo	or, pollutants entering the drai	nage			_Г	14
description 90 Mc Mc	oection (identify needed main of corrective actions in rele onitored Outfall [076] Free of I onitored Outfall [076] Flow Dis	vant task comm Evidence of Eros	ent) ion? If "No", describe.				<u> </u>	14
	scribe. onitored Outfall [076] Free of I	Evidence of Pollu	tanta in Discharges and/or D	hadiulaa				14
<u>10 Wa</u>	ater? If "No", describe.					Г	<b>_</b>	14
20 de:	onitored Outfall [076] Free of a scribe.	any unauthorized	non-stormwater discharges?	P If "No"		<b>E</b>	Г	IV
30 Mc	ontiored Outfall [077] Free of I	Evidence of Eros	ion? If "No", describe.			F	F	IV
Mo	ontiored Outfall [077] Flow Dis scribe.	the second s		e)		Г	F	IV.
50 Wa	ntiored Outfall [077] Free of I ater? If "No", describe.	Evidence of Pollu	tants in Discharges and/or Re	eceiving	-	F	-	14
Mo	ontiored Outfall [077] Free of a scribe.	any unauthorized	non-stormwater discharges?	' If "No"		-	-	IV.
here at strike	asures (identify needed main	tonance and see	asire failed central		ا معادمه			18
escription	of corrective actions in relev	ant task comm	ents).	es that nee	a replace	ient, o	ora	
As	phalt Berm [0300103040014] scribe condition & need for Main	Control Measure	is operating effectively? If "N	lo"		F	E.	IV
As	phalt Berm [0300103040028] scribe condition & need for Main	Control Measure	is operating effectively? If "N	lo"		F	Г	IV
Gra	avel Bags [0300103100020] C scribe condition & need for Main	ontrol Measure is	s operating effectively? If "No	"		Г	Г	IV.
Bas	se Course Berm [0300103020 scribe condition & need for Main	001] Control Me	asure is operating effectively	? If "No"	_	Г	Г	14
Dro	p Inlet with Petro-Plug [0300 No" describe condition & need t	109010003] Con	trol Measure is operating effe	ectively?		-	F	N/
	viroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300			ctively? If		-		101

	"No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement. EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200044] Control Measure is operating effectively? If	-		
240	"No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement. EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200045] Control Measure is operating effectively? If	<u>Γ</u>	<u> </u>	11
250	"No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	Г	12
260	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200046] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Ē	Г	14
270	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200047] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	Г	14
280	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200048] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	Г	14
290	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200049] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	Г	14
300	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200050] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	Ē	10
310	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200051] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	Г	14
320	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200052] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	-	14
330	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200053] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г		14
340	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200054] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	Г	14
350	Rock Berm [0300103120019] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	Г	14
370 380	and operating)? If "No" describe. Transfer areas for substances in bulk: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	<u>_</u> _	 	IV IV
380	operating)? If "No" describe.		Г	14
390	Product/chemical storage areas (raw material): controls adequate (appropriate, effective,			
	and operating)? If "No" describe.		Г	14
	Liquid tank storage/secondary containment: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	<u>_</u> _	F	F
400	Liquid tank storage/secondary containment: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	 		<u> </u>
400 410	Liquid tank storage/secondary containment: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	  		12 12 12
400 410 420	Liquid tank storage/secondary containment: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	  		
400 410 420 430 440	Liquid tank storage/secondary containment: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Outdoor vehicle and equipment washing areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.			
400 410 420 430 440	Liquid tank storage/secondary containment: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Outdoor vehicle and equipment washing areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Machinery: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.			<u>r</u>
400 410 420 430 440 450	Liquid tank storage/secondary containment: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Outdoor vehicle and equipment washing areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Machinery: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Waste handling and disposal areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.			
400 410 420 430 440 450 460	Liquid tank storage/secondary containment: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Outdoor vehicle and equipment washing areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Machinery: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Waste handling and disposal areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Erodible areas/construction: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Erodible areas/construction: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.			
400 410 420 430 440 450 460 470	Liquid tank storage/secondary containment: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Outdoor vehicle and equipment washing areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Machinery: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Waste handling and disposal areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Erodible areas/construction: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Erodible areas/construction: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Locations and sources of run-on to the site: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.			
400 410 420 430 440 450 460 470 480	Liquid tank storage/secondary containment: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Outdoor vehicle and equipment washing areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Machinery: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Waste handling and disposal areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Erodible areas/construction: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Erodible areas/construction: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Locations and sources of run-on to the site: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Salt storage piles or pile containing salt: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.			
400 410 420 430 440 450 460 460 470 480 490	Liquid tank storage/secondary containment: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Outdoor vehicle and equipment washing areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Machinery: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Waste handling and disposal areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Erodible areas/construction: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Locations and sources of run-on to the site: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Salt storage piles or pile containing salt: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Dust generation and vehicle tracking: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.			
400 410 420 430 440 450 460 460 470 480 490 500	Liquid tank storage/secondary containment: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Outdoor vehicle and equipment washing areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Machinery: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Waste handling and disposal areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Erodible areas/construction: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Locations and sources of run-on to the site: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Salt storage piles or pile containing salt: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Dust generation and vehicle tracking: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Dust generation and vehicle tracking: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Dust generation and vehicle tracking: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Dust generation and vehicle tracking: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and opera			
400 410 420 430 440 450 460 470 480 490 500 510 520	Liquid tank storage/secondary containment: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Outdoor vehicle and equipment washing areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Machinery: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Waste handling and disposal areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Erodible areas/construction: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Locations and sources of run-on to the site: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Salt storage piles or pile containing salt: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Dust generation and vehicle tracking: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.         Housekeeping (Industrial materials/residues/trash in contact with stormwater): controls			

Additi	onal Control Measures				
	additional control measures Comments: On 10/29/22 T perimeter and new asphal	he area of metal storage was t berms were constructed so	consolidated to the eastern that run-on stormwater		
50	would not flow through th	e storage area. New MetalLo	x wattles were installed.	 	11
bor	Report	M			
abor Compl		м			
abor Compl	t: Jacob Knight, DEP	М			
abor Compl	eted: <u>11/17/2022</u> 12:00:00 PI	11/17/2022			

### CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

(Signatory must meet definition in Section B.11.A, eg. FOD, Ops Mgr, EPC Group or Team Leader)

Print name and title:	Casey Byrd	LOG-CS OPS Manager	
Signature Case	ey Byro	Digitally signed by Casey Byrd Date: 2022.12.01 11:22:00 -07'00'	_

## Work Order MSGP-RI-66279

MSGP Routine Inspection Printed 12/15/2022 - 2:09 PM (Duplicate Copy)

Mainte	enan	ce Details			Printed	12/15/2022	- 2:09 F	PM (Dup	licate Co
		11/28/2022 12:52:00 PM	Targut:	12/1/2022	MSG	P Program			
		MSGP Routine Facility Inspection (EPC-CP-QP- 2108 R0 Form 1)	Priority/Type: Department:	Normal / Inspection Utilities and Infrastructure	# RG12		al Fabr	rication	Shops
Last P Projec	201 - E	11/17/2022 Routine Facility Inspections December 2022 (P-MSGP- RI-5613)			Contact: Phone:				
Reaso	RI-5613) Description Meas. No N/A Yes her Information Cloudy and cold with snow flurries. 28 degrees								
asks									
#	De	scription				Meas,	No	NIA	Yes
Weath	ner Inf	formation							
						and cold with snow flurries. 28			
20	De	scribe the weather at time of in	spection and doc	ument the temperature (F°).		F.	Г	Г	14
Within 40	ls t	Facility Boundary he facility free of previously un curred since the last inspection	identified dischar	ges from and/or pollutants th	1at have		-	-	14
50	_	"No" has a CAR been previou		is new discharge?			F	14	- F
60	ls t	he facility free of discharge of p	ollutants at the ti	me of inspection? If "No" de	scribe.	-	Г	Г	IV
70	ls t sys	he facility free of evidence of, c tem. If "No" describe.	or the potential for	r, pollutants entering the drai	inage		F	Г	IV
Outfall descrij	l Insp ption	ection (identify needed main of corrective actions in relev	tenance and rep /ant task commo	pairs, failed control measu ent)	res that ne	ed replace	ment,	ora	
90		nitored Outfall [076] Free of E			_		Г	Г	14
100	des	nitored Outfall [076] Flow Dis cribe.					Г	Г	14
110	Wa	nitored Outfall [076] Free of E ter? If "No", describe.	CALL TO BE CLASS		1.1.1.1.1.1.1		Г	Г	14
120	Mo	nitored Outfall [076] Free of a cribe.	iny unauthorized	non-stormwater discharges	? If "No"		-	-	
130	_	ntiored Outfall [077] Free of E	vidence of Erosi	on? If "No" describe			-	-	14
140	Mo	ntiored Outfall [077] Flow Dis cribe.			»",				IV.
50	Mo Wat	ntiored Outfall [077] Free of E ter? If "No", describe.	vidence of Pollut	ants in Discharges and/or R	eceiving		F		IV
60	Mon	ntiored Outfall [077] Free of a cribe.	ny unauthorized	non-stormwater discharges?	? If "No"		Г	F	14
Contro lescrip	otion	sures (identify needed main of corrective actions in relev	ant task comme	ents).		ed replacm	ent, or		
80	des	halt Berm [0300103040014] ( cribe condition & need for Mair	ntenance, Repair,	or Replacement.			Г		14
	Asp	halt Berm [0300103040028] ( cribe condition & need for Main	Control Measure	is operating effectively? If "N	lo"		-	-	14
90		halt Berm [0300103040061] (				-	1	_	

500	Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	F	14	Г
90	Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	Г	14
180	_effective, and operating)? If "No" describe		Г	14
170	operating)? If "No" describe. Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate,		Г.	11
160	Comments: Copper piping on the metal storage rack was sticking out the ends of the rack and was not covered (see CAR #2187). Liquid tank storage/secondary containment: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and	IX.	Г	
	Product/chemical storage areas (raw material): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.			_
150	Transfer areas for substances in bulk: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	 	14	F
Area// comm 440	Activity exposed to stormwater (identify needed mainteance or a description of corrective acti ent). Material loading/unloading and storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	ons in rele	evant t	ask [¥
420	Rock Berm [0300103120019] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	<u>_</u>		14
410	Gravel Mulch [0300103100055] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.		Г	10
400	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200060] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	F	Г	10
390	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200059] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.		F	14
380	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200058] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	F	Г	14
370	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200057] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	 F	F	12
360	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200056] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.		-	IV
350	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200054] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.			10
340	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200053] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.		-	10
330	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200052] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.		-	14
320	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200051] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.			10
310	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200050] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.			10
300	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200049] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.			10
290	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200048] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.			10
280	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200047] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	<u>_</u>		1
270	"No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement. EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200046] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	<u>_</u> _	<u> </u>	10
260	"No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement. EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200045] Control Measure is operating effectively? If	<u> </u>	<b>F</b>	10
250	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200044] Control Measure is operating effectively? If		F	
240	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200043] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.			10
230	Drop Inlet with Petro-Plug [0300109010003] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.			10
220	condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement. Base Course Berm [0300103020001] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	14
210	condition & need for Maintonance, Danair, or Panlagement			

510	Outdoor vehicle and equipment washing areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	14	Г
520	Machinery: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	<u></u> г	<u>г</u>	 [¥
<u>530</u>	Waste handling and disposal areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	<u>г</u>	. <u> </u>	
540	Erodible areas/construction: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	<u>г</u>	<u>г</u>	Ĩ
550	Locations and sources of run-on to the site: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	Г	ĪÝ
560	Salt storage piles or pile containing salt: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		ī.	
570	Dust generation and vehicle tracking: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Γ		li/
580	Housekeeping (Industrial materials/residues/trash in contact with stormwater): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	<u></u>	Г.	ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ
590	Leaks and spills: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	<u> </u>	Г	Tir I
Non-0	Compliance			
610	Free of incidents of observed non-compliance not already identified above? If "No" describe.	Г	Г	<b>1</b> ¥
Addit	ional Control Measures			
<u>630</u>	Are permit requirements satisfied with existing control measure(s)? If "No" describe additional control measures needed.	Г	Г	[¥
abor	Report			
Comp	12/14/2022 Neted: 1:15:00 PM			
Repor	12/15/2022 - 118432: Holly Wheeler			
	71 sty Wheeler 12/15/2022			
l confi	Sighature / Name Date Signature / Name rm the information as recorded is true, accurate and complete.		Date	

### **CERTIFICATION STATEMENT**

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

### (Signatory must meet definition in Section B.11.A, eg. FOD, Ops Mgr, EPC Group or Team Leader)

Print name and title:	Casey Byrd Byrd Byrd Date: 2022.12.15		
Signature:		Date:	

## Work Order MSGP-RI-66345

MSGP Routine Inspection Printed 1/31/2023 - 9:54 AM

Maint	enance Details					F	Printed	/31/202	23 - 9:54 AM
1			ALCONTRO .	101.0202					
and a state of the	ested: 1/5/2023 11:48: dure: MSGP Routine Inspection (EPC 2108 R0 Form	Facility C-CP-QP-	Target: Priority/Type: Department:	1/31/2023 Normal / Inspection Utilities and Infrastructure	MSGP Program 鈽 RG121.9 龙 TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shop			Shops Yes	
Last F									
Proje	t: Routine Facility January 2023 (1 5620)				Contact: Phone:				
Reaso	on: 2023 January Rol	utine Facility In	spections						
Tasks									
#	Description					Meas.	No	NUA	Vee
Mont	ner Information					Weds.	140	N/A	res
20	Describe the weath	ly and 32 dear	ees F. Most site	cument the temperature (F°) areas and stormwater co	ntrol		-	-	
100100		12	and unit.				1	-	10
40	h the Facility Bounda Is the facility free of occurred since the	previously uni	dentified dischar	ges from and/or pollutants th	nat have		-	-	
50		the second se		is new discharge?					
60				me of inspection? If "No" de	scribe		-	F	14
70	Is the facility free of evidence of, or the potential for, pollutants entering the drainage system. If "No" describe.						-		10
descr 90	iption of corrective a	ctions in relev	ant task comme	oairs, failed control measu ent) on? If "No", describe.	res that heed	replace	Г	Г	12
100	describe.			Operating Effectively? If "No			Г	Г	12
110	Water? If "No", desc	cribe.		tants in Discharges and/or R			Г	Г	10
120	describe.		A CONTRACT OF A	non-stormwater discharges	? If "No"		Г	F	12
130				on? If "No", describe.			Γ_	Г_	14
140	describe.		The second second	Operating Effectively? If "No			F	Г.	12
150	Water? If "No", desc	ribe.		ants in Discharges and/or R	2000 I 4		Г	Г	14
160	Montiored Outfall [ describe.	077] Free of a	ny unauthorized	non-stormwater discharges?	? If "No"		Г	Г	12
Contro descri	ol Measures (identify ption of corrective ac	needed maint tions in releva	enance and rep ant task comme	airs, failed control measur	res that need	replacm	ent, or	a	
180	Asphalt Berm [030 describe condition &	0103040014] ( need for Main	ontrol Measure tenance, Repair,	is operating effectively? If "N or Replacement.	10"		г.	E.	14
190	describe condition &	need for Main	tenance, Repair,				Г	Г	14
200	describe condition &	need for Main	enance, Repair,		and a second		Г	Г	14
210	condition & need for	Maintenance,	Repair, or Repla				Г	Г	10
220	Base Course Berm describe condition &	[03001030200 need for Main	01] Control Mea enance, Repair,	sure is operating effectively or Replacement.	? If "No"		Г	Г	14

230	Drop Inlet with Petro-Plug [0300109010003] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	E	F	Te.
240	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200043] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.		F	14
250	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200044] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	F	F	14
260	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200045] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	E	Г	IN
270	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200046] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.		F	I.
280	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200047] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.			10
290	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200048] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.			10
300	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200049] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	 E	-	Te
310	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200050] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.			
320	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200051] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.		-	10
330	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200052] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.		E	10
340	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200053] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.		-	10
350	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200054] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.		-	10
360	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200056] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement	 E	-	10
370	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200057] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.		F	10
380	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200058] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.		F	I.
390	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200059] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.			10
400	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200060] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	 	F	14
410	Gravel Mulch [0300103100055] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	E	F	10
420	Rock Berm [0300103120019] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.		-	10
Area// comm 440	Material loading/unloading and storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Comments: Storage rack covers and tarps were functional during recent snow.	tions in rele		_
450	Transfer areas for substances in bulk: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	F	Г	IV
460	Product/chemical storage areas (raw material): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	Г	14
470	Liquid tank storage/secondary containment: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Ē	14	Г
480	Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	E	10
490	Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	Г	1
	Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		14	Г
500				
500 510 520	Outdoor vehicle and equipment washing areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Machinery: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	É.	14	Г

530	Waste handling and disposal areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	E.	F	IV
540	Erodible areas/construction: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г.	Г	14
550	Locations and sources of run-on to the site: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe	Г	F	1×
560	Salt storage piles or pile containing salt: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	14	Г
570	Dust generation and vehicle tracking: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	Г	14
580	Housekeeping (Industrial materials/residues/trash in contact with stormwater): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	Г	14
590	Leaks and spills; controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	Г	14
Non-C	Sompliance Free of incidents of observed non-compliance not already identified above? If "No" describe		<u> </u>	14
Additi 630	onal Control Measures Are permit requirements satisfied with existing control measure(s)? If "No" describe additional control measures needed.	Г	Г	IV.
	Report 1/24/2023 leted: 12:15:00 PM			
Repor	t: Jacob Knight, DEP			
l confir	Signature / Name Date Signature / Name The information as recorded is true, accurate and complete.	-	Date	-

## CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

(Signatory must meet definition in Section B.11.A, eg. FOD, Ops Mgr. EPC Group or Team Leader)

# Los Alamos National Laboratory

Maintenance Details

## Work Order MSGP-RI-66412

MSGP Routine Inspection Printed 2/27/2023 - 11:49 AM

	edure: MSGP Routine Facility Inspection (EPC-CP-QP- 2108 R0 Form 1)	Target: Priority/Type: Department:	2/28/2023 Normal / Inspection Utilities and Infrastructure	MSGP Prog 赤 RG121.9 ℴ TA-03-0038		oricatio	n Shops
Last							
Proje	ct: Routine Facility Inspections February 2023 (P-MSGP-RI- 5626)			Contact: Phone:			
Reas	on: 2023 February Routine Facility In	nspections					
Tasks							
#	Description						
West	lan man an an an an an an			Mea	is. No	N/A	Yes
vveat	ther Information Describe the weather at time of in Comments: Showing and 33 de	spection and doo	cument the temperature (F°).	Warad			
20	More snow expected (1 to 3 incl	hes) and high w	inds.	Veren.	Г	F	IV
Withi	in the Facility Boundary			No MA		1 Circ	
40	Is the facility free of previously uni occurred since the last inspection	dentified dischar If "No" describe	ges from and/or pollutants th	at have	-	-	-
50	If "No" has a CAR been previous	the second s	is new discharge?			14	IV.
60	Is the facility free of discharge of p Comments: Very slight snow me	ollutants at the ti	ime of inspection? If "No" de	scribe.		-	
70	Is the facility free of evidence of, o system. If "No" describe.			nage		-	12
Outfa descr	Il Inspection (identify needed main iption of corrective actions in relev	tenance and rep	pairs, failed control measu	res that need repl	acement,	ora	
90	Monitored Outfall [076] Free of E				F	-	14
100	Monitored Outfall [076] Flow Diss describe.			",		F	14
110	Monitored Outfall [076] Free of E Water? If "No", describe.	vidence of Pollut	ants in Discharges and/or R	eceiving		F	14
120	Monitored Outfall [076] Free of a describe.	ny unauthorized	non-stormwater discharges?	' If "No"		F	14
130	Montiored Outfall [077] Free of E	vidence of Erosid	on? If "No", describe.		Г	-	12
140	Montiored Outfall [077] Flow Diss describe			1	Г	Г	12
150	Montiored Outfall [077] Free of E Water? If "No", describe.	CLEUP CRUIT IN CLEUP INL	and the second second second second	2000 T	F	Г	14
160	Montiored Outfall [077] Free of an describe.	ny unauthorized i	non-stormwater discharges?	lf "No"	Г	Г	12
Contro descri 180	ol Measures (identify needed mainte ption of corrective actions in releva Asphalt Berm [0300103040014] C	ontrol Measure i	nts). s operating effectively? If "N		acment, o		
190	describe condition & need for Maint Asphalt Berm [0300103040028] C describe condition & need for Maint	ontrol Measure i	s operating effectively? If "N	o"		<u> </u>	12
200	describe condition & need for Maint Asphalt Berm [0300103040061] C describe condition & need for Maint	ontrol Measure i	s operating effectively? If "N	o"	<u>_</u>	-	12
210	Gravel Bags [0300103100020] Co condition & need for Maintenance, I	ntrol Measure is	operating effectively? If "No"	describe	<u>_</u>	<u> </u>	10
	- condition & need for Maintenance, I	Repair, or Replac	cement.		F	Г	1V

220	Base Course Berm [0300103020001] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.		F	14
230	Drop Inlet with Petro-Plug [0300109010003] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement,	E.	F	h
240	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200043] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	F	Ē	1
250	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200044] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Ē	Г	1
260	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200045] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	E	[
270	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200046] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Ē	Г	1
280	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200047] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Ē	Г	1
290	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200048] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	F	F	1
300	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200049] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	F	[0
310	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200050] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	г	F	1
320	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200051] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	E	1
330	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200052] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	Г	T
340	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200053] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Ē	Г	I
350	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200054] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	Г	1
360	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200056] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	F	Ē	1
370	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200057] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	Г	1
380	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200058] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	Г	Ĩ
390	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200059] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	Г	1
400	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200060] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	г	F	I
410	Gravel Mulch [0300103100055] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Ē	F	I
420	Rock Berm [0300103120019] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	Г	1
Area/A comm 440	Activity exposed to stormwater (identify needed mainteance or a description of corrective action). Material loading/unloading and storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Comments: All metal is under canopies or tarps	ions in rele	evant t	ask [i
450	Transfer areas for substances in bulk: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	F	F	[1
460	Product/chemical storage areas (raw material): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Ē	Г	1
470	Liquid tank storage/secondary containment: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	, P	14	ſ
480	Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	Г	1
490	Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	F	Г	I
500	Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		14	
510	Outdoor vehicle and equipment washing areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	-	14	

<u>520</u> 530				
530	Machinery: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	- E	<b>F</b>	14
000	Waste handling and disposal areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.			14
540	Erodible areas/construction: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	F		iv.
550	Locations and sources of run-on to the site: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.			10
560	Salt storage piles or pile containing salt: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	F	14	-ле Г
570	Dust generation and vehicle tracking; controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.			I.
580	Housekeeping (Industrial materials/residues/trash in contact with stormwater): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		F	 [¥
590	Leaks and spills: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	F	F	10
Non-Co	ompliance			
510	Free of incidents of observed non-compliance not already identified above? If "No" describe.	-	F	( in
additio	nal Control Measures Are permit requirements satisfied with existing control measure(s)? If "No" describe additional control measures needed.	-	-	14
Comple	2/22/2023 ted: 10:45:00 AM Jacob Knight, DEP			
Comple	2/22/2023 ted: 10:45:00 AM Jacob Knight, DEP			
Report:	2/22/2023 sted: 10:45:00 AM Jacob Knight, DEP J Krwydt Signature / Name Date Signature / Name		Date	
Comple Report: 	2/22/2023 sted: 10:45:00 AM Jacob Knight, DEP 2/22/2023		Date	

there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

(Signatory must meet definition in Section B.11.A, eg. FOD, Ops Mgr. EPC Group or Team Leader)

Casey Byrd LOG-CS OM Print name and title:\_

Signature: Casey Byrd

Digitally signed by Casey Byrd <del>Date: 202</del>3:02.28 11:12:23 07'00'-

# Los Alamos National Laboratory

Maintenance Details

## Work Order MSGP-RI-66473

MSGP Routine Inspection Printed 3/27/2023 - 11:03 AM

Procedu	ed: 2/27/2023 1:09:00 PM ire: MSGP Routine Facility Inspection (EPC-CP-QP- 2108 R0 Form 1)	Target: Priority/Type: Department:	3/31/2023 Normal / Inspection Utilities and Infrastructure	── MSGP Program 品 RG121.9 分 TA-03-0038 Me		ication	Shope
Last PM:	2/22/2023						
Project:	Routine Facility Inspections March 2023 (P-MSGP-RI- 5632)			Contact: Phone:			
Reason:	2023 March Routine Facility Insp	pections					
asks							
#	Description			Meas.	No	N/A	Yes
Maatha	- Information			11 A.			144
	Information	amention and day					
20	Describe the weather at time of in Comments: Light snow and dri				Г	Г	10
Within th	he Facility Boundary						-
	Is the facility free of previously un		ges from and/or pollutants the	nat have	1	-	-
40 50	occurred since the last inspection		to sour dischassion				1
50 60	If "No" has a CAR been previou			and a second		1	<u> </u>
	Is the facility free of discharge of p			and the second se		<u> </u>	V.
70	Is the facility free of evidence of, o system. If "No" describe.	or the potential to	r, pollutants entering the dra	inage	Г	Г	14
descript 90	nspection (identify needed main ion of corrective actions in releve Monitored Outfall [076] Free of B	vant task comm Evidence of Erosi	ent) on? If "No", describe.		ement,	ora	14
100	Monitored Outfall [076] Flow Dis describe.	ssipation Devices	Operating Effectively? If "No	o",	Г	Б	10
	Monitored Outfall [076] Free of I Water? If "No", describe. Comments: Clean snow melt ar				-	F	14
and the second s	Monitored Outfall [076] Free of a			the second	-		10
120	describe.	any anadatonizoa	non stormwater diserialges	111 110	Г	Г	14
130	Montiored Outfall [077] Free of E	Evidence of Erosi	on? If "No", describe.		Г	Г	14
140	Montiored Outfall [077] Flow Dis describe.	sipation Devices	Operating Effectively? If "No	ס",	Г	Г	12
1	Montiored Outfall [077] Free of E Water? If "No", describe.					1	000
150	Comments: Water was dripping the outfall. Water was infiltrating	g.			F	<b>—</b>	IV
	Montiored Outfall [077] Free of a describe.	any unauthorized	non-stormwater discharges'	?  f "No"	F	Г	14
descripti	Measures (identify needed main ion of corrective actions in relev Asphalt Berm [0300103040014]	vant task comme Control Measure	ents). is operating effectively? If "N	angen en sen en en en en ser sen ser En en	ment, o	ra	
	describe condition & need for Main Asphalt Berm [0300103040028]	Control Measure	is operating effectively? If "N	10"	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	12
	describe condition & need for Main	the state of the s		1.1.0	Г		10
200	Asphalt Berm [0300103040061] describe condition & need for Main	ntenance, Repair	or Replacement.		. <u> </u>	F	10
	Gravel Bags [0300103100020] C condition & need for Maintenance,			" describe	Г	Г	14
					and the second se		and the second second

220	Base Course Berm [0300103020001] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	F	Ē	16
230	Drop Inlet with Petro-Plug [0300109010003] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.		E	1
240	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200043] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.		F	1
250	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200044] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	F	E	[0
260	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200045] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	F	-	I
270	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200046] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.		Ē	I
280	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200047] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	F	F	Is
290	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200048] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement,	É	F	In
300	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200049] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	-	F	Te.
310	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200050] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.			10
320	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200051] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	F	F	10
330	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200052] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	F	Te
340	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200053] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Ē	F	Ié
350	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200054] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	Г	[0
360	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200056] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	E	Г	10
370	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200057] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	F	14
380	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200058] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	г	Г	10
390	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200059] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	F	14
400	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200060] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Ē	Г	[i
410	Gravel Mulch [0300103100055] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	Г	10
420	Rock Berm [0300103120019] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	F	F	10
Area/A comm 440	Activity exposed to stormwater (identify needed mainteance or a description of corrective act ent). Material loading/unloading and storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Comments: All metal was covered effectively or under canopy.	ions in rel	evant t	ask
450	Transfer areas for substances in bulk: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	F	Г	10
460	Product/chemical storage areas (raw material): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe:	Г	F	14
170	Liquid tank storage/secondary containment: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	г	12	Г
480	Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	F	IP
190	Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	F	Г	10
500	Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	14	
510	Outdoor vehicle and equipment washing areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	E	14	-

520	Machinery: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe	Г	E.	14
530	Waste handling and disposal areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	E		iv
540	Erodible areas/construction: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		Г	14
550	Locations and sources of run-on to the site: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	F	F	14
560	Salt storage piles or pile containing salt: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	F	IV	Г
570	Dust generation and vehicle tracking: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	E.	Г	14
580	Housekeeping (Industrial materials/residues/trash in contact with stormwater): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Ē	F	1V
590	Leaks and spills: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		F	14
Non-C	ompliance Free of incidents of observed non-compliance not already identified above? If "No" describe.	-	Ē	
	onal Control Measures		-	10
630	Are permit requirements satisfied with existing control measure(s)? If "No" describe additional control measures needed.	F	F	14
Comp	3/21/2023           eted:         2:00:00 PM           t:         Jacob Knight, DEP			
	JKnight 3/21/2023			
confir	Signature / Name Date Signature / Name m the information as recorded is true, accurate and complete.	_	Date	
	CERTIFICATION STATEMENT inder penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction			

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

### (Signatory must meet definition in Section B.11.A, eg. FOD, Ops Mgr, EPC Group or Team Leader)

Print name and title: Casey Byrd LOG-CS

Signature: Casey Byrd Digitally signed by Casey Byrd Date: 2023.03.30 16:39:32	Date:	3/30/23	
--	-------	---------	--

# Los Alamos National Laboratory

**Maintenance Details** 

### Work Order MSGP-RI-66545

MSGP Routine Inspection Printed 4/27/2023 - 4:27 PM

Last P Projec		Target:4/30/2023Priority/Type:Normal / Inspection8Department:Utilities and Infrastructure	실 MSGP F 恭 RG121.9 ☆ TA-03-01	9	il Fabr	ication	Shops
riojet	April 2023 (P-MSGP-RI-5639	))	Phone:				
Reaso	on: 2023 April Routine Facility Insp						
Tasks							
#	Description			Meas.	No	N/A	Yes
Weath	ther Information						100
		nspection and document the temperature (F°).					
20	Comments: Sunny and 62 deg	rees F.			Г	F	14
Within	in the Facility Boundary				-	-	15
		nidentified discharges from and/or pollutants th	at hous				
40	occurred since the last inspection	n If "No" describe.	at have		E.	F.	14
50	If "No" has a CAR been previo	usly initiated for this new discharge?			F	14	F
60		pollutants at the time of inspection? If "No" dea	scribe.	-	F	F	14
	Amerex Fire Extinguishers, on extinguishers are emptied into was not capturing all of the ext and WMC to clean the area. Th	ately 2.5 gallons of material was released fr to asphalt, on the northeast side of TA-3-00 five-gallon buckets that have a filter, but th tinguishant. IF personnel are going to work	38. The fire e process with an IH				
70	Metal Fab Shops just outside t fab related activity but still with activity stormwater runoff).	e spill occurred within the MSGP boundary he NE entrance to the shops (noted it was n nin the Clean Water Act permitted area for ir	for the lot a metal idustrial		1X		
Outfall descri	Metal Fab Shops just outside t fab related activity but still with activity stormwater runoff). Il Inspection (identify needed main iption of corrective actions in rele	e spill occurred within the MSGP boundary he NE entrance to the shops (noted it was n hin the Clean Water Act permitted area for ir ntenance and repairs, failed control measur vant task comment)	for the lot a metal idustrial	eplacem		_ <u>_</u>	<u> </u>
Outfall descri 90	Metal Fab Shops just outside t fab related activity but still with activity stormwater runoff). Il Inspection (identify needed main iption of corrective actions in rele Monitored Outfall [076] Free of	e spill occurred within the MSGP boundary he NE entrance to the shops (noted it was n hin the Clean Water Act permitted area for ir ntenance and repairs, failed control measur vant task comment) Evidence of Erosion? If "No", describe.	for the lot a metal idustrial es that need n	eplacem		_ <u>「</u> a	<u> </u>
Outfall descri 90	Metal Fab Shops just outside t fab related activity but still with activity stormwater runoff). Il Inspection (identify needed main iption of corrective actions in rele Monitored Outfall [076] Free of Monitored Outfall [076] Flow Dis	e spill occurred within the MSGP boundary he NE entrance to the shops (noted it was n hin the Clean Water Act permitted area for in ntenance and repairs, failed control measur vant task comment) Evidence of Erosion? If "No", describe, ssipation Devices Operating Effectively? If "No"	for the lot a metal idustrial es that need n	eplacem			[ [
Outfall descri 90 100	Metal Fab Shops just outside t fab related activity but still with activity stormwater runoff). Il Inspection (identify needed main iption of corrective actions in rele Monitored Outfall [076] Free of Monitored Outfall [076] Flow Dis Monitored Outfall [076] Free of Water? If "No", describe.	e spill occurred within the MSGP boundary he NE entrance to the shops (noted it was n hin the Clean Water Act permitted area for ir ntenance and repairs, failed control measur vant task comment) Evidence of Erosion? If "No", describe. ssipation Devices Operating Effectively? If "No Evidence of Pollutants in Discharges and/or Re	for the lot a metal idustrial es that need n ", describe. eceiving	eplacem		F	
Outfall descri 90 100 110	Metal Fab Shops just outside t fab related activity but still with activity stormwater runoff). Il Inspection (identify needed main iption of corrective actions in rele Monitored Outfall [076] Free of Monitored Outfall [076] Flow Dis Monitored Outfall [076] Free of Water? If "No", describe.	e spill occurred within the MSGP boundary he NE entrance to the shops (noted it was n hin the Clean Water Act permitted area for in ntenance and repairs, failed control measur vant task comment) Evidence of Erosion? If "No", describe, ssipation Devices Operating Effectively? If "No"	for the lot a metal idustrial es that need n ", describe. eceiving	eplacem		F	
Outfall descri 90 100 110 120	Metal Fab Shops just outside t fab related activity but still with activity stormwater runoff). Il Inspection (identify needed main ription of corrective actions in rele Monitored Outfall [076] Free of Monitored Outfall [076] Free of Water? If "No", describe. Monitored Outfall [076] Free of describe.	e spill occurred within the MSGP boundary he NE entrance to the shops (noted it was n hin the Clean Water Act permitted area for in in the Clean Water Act permitted area for in metenance and repairs, failed control measure vant task comment) Evidence of Erosion? If "No", describe. Ssipation Devices Operating Effectively? If "No" Evidence of Pollutants in Discharges and/or Re any unauthorized non-stormwater discharges?	for the lot a metal idustrial es that need n ", describe. eceiving	eplacem		F	12
Outfall descri 90 100 110 120 130	Metal Fab Shops just outside t fab related activity but still with activity stormwater runoff). Il Inspection (identify needed main iption of corrective actions in rele Monitored Outfall [076] Free of Monitored Outfall [076] Free of Water? If "No", describe. Monitored Outfall [076] Free of describe. Montiored Outfall [077] Free of	e spill occurred within the MSGP boundary he NE entrance to the shops (noted it was n hin the Clean Water Act permitted area for in ntenance and repairs, failed control measur vant task comment) Evidence of Erosion? If "No", describe. Evidence of Pollutants in Discharges and/or Re any unauthorized non-stormwater discharges? Evidence of Erosion? If "No", describe.	for the not a metal idustrial es that need n ", describe. ecciving	eplacem		F	
Outfall descri 90 100 110 120 130 140	Metal Fab Shops just outside t fab related activity but still with activity stormwater runoff). Il Inspection (identify needed main iption of corrective actions in rele Monitored Outfall [076] Free of Monitored Outfall [076] Free of Water? If "No", describe. Monitored Outfall [076] Free of describe. Monitored Outfall [077] Free of Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Montiored Outfall [077] Free of	e spill occurred within the MSGP boundary he NE entrance to the shops (noted it was n hin the Clean Water Act permitted area for ir ntenance and repairs, failed control measur vant task comment) Evidence of Erosion? If "No", describe, ssipation Devices Operating Effectively? If "No Evidence of Pollutants in Discharges and/or Re any unauthorized non-stormwater discharges? Evidence of Erosion? If "No", describe, ssipation Devices Operating Effectively? If "No"	for the lot a metal idustrial es that need n ", describe. eceiving If "No"	eplacem		F	12
Outfall descri 90 100 110 120 130 140	Metal Fab Shops just outside t fab related activity but still with activity stormwater runoff). Il Inspection (identify needed main iption of corrective actions in rele Monitored Outfall [076] Free of Monitored Outfall [076] Free of Water? If "No", describe. Monitored Outfall [076] Free of describe. Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Montiored Outfall [077] Free of I Water? If "No", describe.	e spill occurred within the MSGP boundary he NE entrance to the shops (noted it was n hin the Clean Water Act permitted area for ir ntenance and repairs, failed control measur vant task comment) Evidence of Erosion? If "No", describe, ssipation Devices Operating Effectively? If "No" Evidence of Pollutants in Discharges and/or Re any unauthorized non-stormwater discharges? Evidence of Erosion? If "No", describe, ssipation Devices Operating Effectively? If "No" Evidence of Erosion? If "No", describe, ssipation Devices Operating Effectively? If "No"	for the not a metal industrial es that need m ", describe. eceiving If "No"	eplacem		F	12
Outfall descri 90 100 110 120 130 140 150	Metal Fab Shops just outside t fab related activity but still with activity stormwater runoff). Il Inspection (identify needed main iption of corrective actions in rele Monitored Outfall [076] Free of Monitored Outfall [076] Free of Water? If "No", describe. Monitored Outfall [076] Free of describe. Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Montiored Outfall [077] Free of I Water? If "No", describe.	e spill occurred within the MSGP boundary he NE entrance to the shops (noted it was n hin the Clean Water Act permitted area for ir ntenance and repairs, failed control measur vant task comment) Evidence of Erosion? If "No", describe, ssipation Devices Operating Effectively? If "No Evidence of Pollutants in Discharges and/or Re any unauthorized non-stormwater discharges? Evidence of Erosion? If "No", describe, ssipation Devices Operating Effectively? If "No"	for the not a metal industrial es that need m ", describe. eceiving If "No"	eplacem		F	14
Outfall descri 90 100 110 120 120 130 140 150 160 Contro	Metal Fab Shops just outside t fab related activity but still with activity stormwater runoff). Il Inspection (identify needed main iption of corrective actions in rele Monitored Outfall [076] Free of Monitored Outfall [076] Free of Water? If "No", describe. Monitored Outfall [076] Free of describe. Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Water? If "No", describe. Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Water? If "No", describe. Montiored Outfall [077] Free of a describe. Montiored Outfall [077] Free of a describe.	e spill occurred within the MSGP boundary he NE entrance to the shops (noted it was n hin the Clean Water Act permitted area for ir ntenance and repairs, failed control measur vant task comment) Evidence of Erosion? If "No", describe. ssipation Devices Operating Effectively? If "No" Evidence of Pollutants in Discharges and/or Re any unauthorized non-stormwater discharges? Evidence of Erosion? If "No", describe. ssipation Devices Operating Effectively? If "No" Evidence of Erosion? If "No", describe. ssipation Devices Operating Effectively? If "No" Evidence of Pollutants in Discharges and/or Re any unauthorized non-stormwater discharges? Evidence of Pollutants in Discharges and/or Re any unauthorized non-stormwater discharges?	for the not a metal industrial es that need m ", describe. eceiving If "No" ', describe. eceiving If "No"				2 2 2
Outfall descri 90 100 110 120 130 140 150 160 Contro	Metal Fab Shops just outside t fab related activity but still with activity stormwater runoff). Il Inspection (identify needed main iption of corrective actions in rele Monitored Outfall [076] Free of Monitored Outfall [076] Free of Water? If "No", describe. Monitored Outfall [077] Free of describe. Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Water? If "No", describe. Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Water? If "No", describe. Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Water? If "No", describe. Montiored Outfall [077] Free of a describe. Montiored Outfall [077] Free of a describe.	e spill occurred within the MSGP boundary he NE entrance to the shops (noted it was in hin the Clean Water Act permitted area for ir naternance and repairs, failed control measure vant task comment) Evidence of Erosion? If "No", describe, ssipation Devices Operating Effectively? If "No" Evidence of Pollutants in Discharges and/or Re any unauthorized non-stormwater discharges? Evidence of Erosion? If "No", describe, ssipation Devices Operating Effectively? If "No" Evidence of Erosion? If "No", describe, ssipation Devices Operating Effectively? If "No" Evidence of Pollutants in Discharges and/or Re any unauthorized non-stormwater discharges? Evidence of Pollutants in Discharges and/or Re any unauthorized non-stormwater discharges? Intenance and repairs, failed control measure mments). Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No", Repair, or Replacement.	for the lot a metal industrial es that need m ", describe. eceiving If "No" i, describe. eceiving If "No" es that need re o" describe				2 2 2
Outfall descri 90 100 110 120 130 140 150 160 Contro of corre	Metal Fab Shops just outside t fab related activity but still with activity stormwater runoff). Il Inspection (identify needed main iption of corrective actions in rele Monitored Outfall [076] Free of Monitored Outfall [076] Free of Water? If "No", describe. Monitored Outfall [076] Free of describe. Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Water? If "No", describe. Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Water? If "No", describe. Montiored Outfall [077] Free of describe. Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Aboutioned Outfall [077] Free of Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Montiored Outfall [077] Free of About actions in relevant task co Asphalt Berm [0300103040014] condition & need for Maintenance Asphalt Berm [0300103040028] condition & need for Maintenance	e spill occurred within the MSGP boundary he NE entrance to the shops (noted it was in hin the Clean Water Act permitted area for ir nate and repairs, failed control measure vant task comment) Evidence of Erosion? If "No", describe, ssipation Devices Operating Effectively? If "No" Evidence of Pollutants in Discharges and/or Re any unauthorized non-stormwater discharges? Evidence of Erosion? If "No", describe, ssipation Devices Operating Effectively? If "No" Evidence of Erosion? If "No", describe, ssipation Devices Operating Effectively? If "No" Evidence of Pollutants in Discharges and/or Re any unauthorized non-stormwater discharges? Evidence of Pollutants in Discharges and/or Re any unauthorized non-stormwater discharges? Intenance and repairs, failed control measure mments). Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" , Repair, or Replacement.	for the lot a metal industrial es that need m ", describe. eceiving If "No" ', describe. eceiving If "No" es that need re o" describe				

210	Gravel Bags [0300103100020] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	F	F	I
220	Base Course Berm [0300103020001] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	F	1
230	Drop Inlet with Petro-Plug [0300109010003] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Γ	F	1
240	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200043] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Ē	F	I
250	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200044] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	F	-	I
260	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200045] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Ē	F	I
270	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200046] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	- F	F	1
280	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200047] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Ē.	-	1
290	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200048] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	E	F	1
300	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200049] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.		F	
310	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200050] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.		-	
320	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200051] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	F	Ē	
330	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200052] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	F	F	1
340	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200053] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.		-	1
350	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200054] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.		F	1
360	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200056] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.		F	1
370	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200057] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.		F	1
380	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200058] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.		F	1
390	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200059] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.		F	- 14
400	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200060] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Ē	F	1
410	Gravel Mulch [0300103100055] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Ē	F	16
420	Rock Berm [0300103120019] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	F	F	10
Area/A comm	activity exposed to stormwater (identify needed mainteance or a description of corrective action	tions in relev	ant ta	-
440	Material loading/unloading and storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Ē	-	r.
450	Transfer areas for substances in bulk: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		F	10
460	Product/chemical storage areas (raw material): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		F	10
470	Liquid tank storage/secondary containment: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		IV.	
480	Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		F	The second
490	Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		-	10
500	Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		12	
510	Outdoor vehicle and equipment washing areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	F	14	-

520	Machinery: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	F	14
530	Waste handling and disposal areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Comments: See CAR # 2224: Wood bin had lids that were uncovered since the previous day when it was reported to EPC as a result of a spills investigation. Bins should remain covered when not in use.	TXX	Ē	Ē
540	Erodible areas/construction: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		F	IV
550	Locations and sources of run-on to the site: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.			14
560	Salt storage piles or pile containing salt: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		1	F
570	Dust generation and vehicle tracking: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		-	14
580	Housekeeping (Industrial materials/residues/trash in contact with stormwater): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Ē		IV
590	Leaks and spills: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		E	14
Non-C	ompliance Free of incidents of observed non-compliance not already identified above? If "No" describe.		F	12
Additi 630	Are permit requirements satisfied with existing control measure(s)? If "No" describe additional control measures needed.	Г	F	14
	4/11/2023 eted: 11:15:00 AM			
Repor	: Jacob Knight, DEP			
	J. Kright 4/13/2023			
	Signature / Name Date Signature / Name			

### CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

(Signatory must meet definition in Section B.11.A, eg. FOD, Ops Mgr, EPC Group or Team Leader)

 Casey Byrd LOG-CS

 Print name and title:

 CASEY BYRD

 Digitally signed by CASEY BYRD

 (Affiliate)

 Signature:

 (Affiliate)

 Date: 2023.05.04 11:02:45 -06'00 Date:

# Los Alamos National Laboratory

**Maintenance Details** 

### Work Order MSGP-RI-66645

MSGP Routine Inspection Printed 5/22/2023 - 4:30 PM

wainte	enance Details						
and the second se	sted: 5/16/2023 9:53:00 AM dure: MSGP Routine Facility Inspection (EPC-CP-QP- 2108 R0 Form 1)	Target: Priority/Type: Department:	5/1/2023 Normal / Inspection Utilities and Infrastructure	<u>의</u> MSGP Progra 끎 RG121.9 🍰 TA-03-0038 N		rication	n Shop
Last P							
Projec	the second second of the second	)		Contact: Phone:			
Reaso	n: 2023 May Routine Facility Inspe	ections					
<b>fasks</b>							
#	Description			Meas	. No	N/A	Yes
Weath	er Information					1 Miles	105
weath	Describe the weather at time of it	nepection and do	nument the temperature (F <sup>0</sup> )				
20	Comments: 51 degrees F and r	raining.	sument the temperature (F*)		F	Ē	14
Mithin	CALL FOR THE DESIGN OF THE DES						14
within	I the Facility Boundary Is the facility free of previously ur	identified disates	mon from and/as sell-dealed	int lines			
40	occurred since the last inspection	If "No" describe	ges from and/or pollutants tr	hat have	E.	-	14
50	If "No" has a CAR been previou		is new discharge?			14	
60	Is the facility free of discharge of	the second se		scribe			14
	Is the facility free of evidence of,						1.
70	system. If "No" describe.	an ine percinial je	r, politicarito critering the dra	nage	F	E	14
90	Monitored Outfall [076] Free of Monitored Outfall [076] Flow Dis describe.	ssipation Devices	Operating Effectively? If "No	ט",			14
100	Comments: Clean stormwater	was discharging			E	F	14
110	Monitored Outfall [076] Free of Water? If "No", describe.	Evidence of Pollu	tants in Discharges and/or R	leceiving	Г	Ē	14
120	Monitored Outfall [076] Free of describe.	any unauthorized	non-stormwater discharges'	? If "No"	Г	Г	IV
130	Montiored Outfall [077] Free of	Evidence of Erosi	on? If "No", describe.		Г	Г	14
140	Montiored Outfall [077] Flow Dis describe. Comments: Raining at the time	of inspection by	t no sign of slormwater di	scharges.			
140	Stonmwater infiltrates pretty we						11
150	Montiored Outfall [077] Free of Water? If "No", describe.	Stelle The Art				. г	IV
160	Montiored Outfall [077] Free of a describe.	any unautionzed	non-stormwater discharges	/ If "No"		Г	11
Contro descrip 180	I Measures (identify needed main otion of corrective actions in relev Asphalt Berm [0300103040014] describe condition & need for Mai	vant task comme Control Measure	ents). is operating effectively? If "N		cment, o	ra	14
190	Asphalt Berm [0300103040028] describe condition & need for Mai	Control Measure ntenance, Repair	is operating effectively? If "N or Replacement.		F	Г	14
200	Asphalt Berm [0300103040061] describe condition & need for Mai	ntenance, Repair,	or Replacement.			Г	14
210	Gravel Bags [0300103100020] C condition & need for Maintenance	ontrol Measure is , Repair, or Repla	operating effectively? If "No cement.	" describe	F	F	12
						-	

220	Base Course Berm [0300103020001] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	<u> </u>	Г	IV
230	Drop Inlet with Petro-Plug [0300109010003] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	F	Ē	IV
240	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200043] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	F	Г	14
250	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200044] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	Ê	14
260	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200045] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Ē	F	IV
270	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200046] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Ē	E	14
280	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200047] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	F	Г	IV
290	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200048] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	F	Г	IV.
300	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200049] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	F	Г	IV.
310	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200050] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	F	F	12
320	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200051] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	F	F	IV
330	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200052] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	F	Г	14
340	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200053] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Ē	F	1V
350	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200054] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	F	E	IV
360	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200056] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	 	F	N
370	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200057] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Ē	F	1V
380	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200058] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	F	1V
390	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200059] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	F	F	14
400	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200060] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	- F	Г	IV
410	Gravel Mulch [0300103100055] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	Г	IV
420	Rock Berm [0300103120019] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	r	F	IV
	Activity exposed to stormwater (identify needed mainteance or a description of corrective act	ions in rele	evant t	
<b>comm</b> 440	ent). Material loading/unloading and storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	r	F	THE
450	Transfer areas for substances in bulk: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		Г	14
460	Product/chemical storage areas (raw material): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	F	F	IV
470	Liquid tank storage/secondary containment: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	F	14	Г
480	Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	F	Г	10
490	Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		Ē	14
500	Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Ē	IV	Г
510	Outdoor vehicle and equipment washing areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	IV	F
	Machinery: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	_		-

101	Waste handling and disposal areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.			
530	Comments, Waste bins were covered, it was raining at the tune of inspection.		Γ.	14
540	Erodible areas/construction: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	F	Г	IV.
550	Locations and sources of run-on to the site: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Ē	Г	14
560	Salt storage piles or pile containing salt: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Ē	IV	
570	Dust generation and vehicle tracking: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		F	14
580	Housekeeping (Industrial materials/residues/trash in contact with stormwater): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	F	IV
590	Leaks and spills: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	— г	Г	iv
Non C	ompliance			
NON-C	수가 집안 같은 것은			
610	Free of incidents of observed non-compliance not already identified above? If "No" describe.	-	-	100
			<u> </u>	1.
Additi	onal Control Measures			
000	Are permit requirements satisfied with existing control measure(s)? If "No" describe			- ma
630	additional control measures needed.			11
abor	5/18/2023			
Comp	eted: 2:00:00 PM			
Repor	t: Jacob Knight, DEP			_
	JKmptot- 5/22/2023			
1.000	1/ Signature / Name Date Signature / Name	-	Date	
confir	m the information as recorded is true, accurate and complete.			

### **CERTIFICATION STATEMENT**

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

(Signatory must meet definition in Section B.11.A, eg. FOD, Ops Mgr, EPC Group or Team Leader)

Casey Byrd LOG-CS

Print name and title:

Signature: CASEY BYRD (Affiliate) Digitally signed by CASEY BYRD (Affiliate) Date: 2023.05.25 15:38:12 -06'00'

# Los Alamos National Laboratory

## Work Order MSGP-66722

MSGP Monitoring Stations Printed 6/21/2023 - 4:25 PM

Maint	tenance Details				rinted 6	5/21/202	3 - 4:25
Proce	ested: 6/6/2023 1:49:00 PM edure: MSGP Routine Facility Inspection (EPC-CP-QP- 2108 R0 Form 1)	Target:6/30/2023Priority/Type:Normal / InspectionDepartment:Utilities and Infrastructure	MSGP 品 RG121 人 TA-03-0	.9	al Fabr	ication	Shops
Last I Proje			Contact: Phone:				
Reas	on: 2023 June Routine Facility Inspe	ections					
<u>Fasks</u>							
#	Description			Meas.	No	N/A	Yes
Weat	her Information						
	Describe the weather at time of ir	spection and document the temperature (F°)					
20	Comments: 69 degrees and pa	rtly cloudy.			F		11
Withi	n the Facility Boundary						
40	Is the facility free of previously un occurred since the last inspection	identified discharges from and/or pollutants th	hat have		-	-	
50		sly initiated for this new discharge?			-	14	1
60		pollutants at the time of inspection? If "No" de	scribe.		F	-	14
70	Is the facility free of evidence of, or the potential for, pollutants entering the drainage system. If "No" describe.				F	F	IV
Outfa	Il Inspection (identify needed main	tenance and repairs, failed control measu	res that nee	d replace	mont	ora	-
descr	ription of corrective actions in relev	vant task comment)	ies marnee	areplace	ment,	ora	
90		Evidence of Erosion? If "No", describe.					11
100	Monitored Outfall [076] Flow Dis describe.	sipation Devices Operating Effectively? If "No	o".		-	-	Tel.
110	AND AND ADDRESS OF ADDRES	Evidence of Pollutants in Discharges and/or R	teceiving		F	F	14
120	Monitored Outfall [076] Free of a describe.	any unauthorized non-stormwater discharges'	? If "No"		-	F	14
130	Montiored Outfall [077] Free of E	Evidence of Erosion? If "No", describe.	1		F	F	IV
140	Montiored Outfall [077] Flow Dis describe.	sipation Devices Operating Effectively? If "No	»",		Г	F	14
150	Montiored Outfall [077] Free of E Water? If "No", describe.	Evidence of Pollutants in Discharges and/or R	eceiving		F	Г	IV
160	Montiored Outfall [077] Free of a describe.	iny unauthorized non-stormwater discharges?	? If "No"		Г	Г	IV
Contr	ol Measures (identify needed main	tenance and repairs, failed control measu	res that need	replacm	ent, or	a	
descri	iption of corrective actions in relev	ant task comments).			10000 20		
180	describe condition & need for Main	Control Measure is operating effectively? If "N htenance, Repair, or Replacement.	10.		F	F	IV
190	Asphalt Berm [0300103040028]	Control Measure is operating effectively? If "N Itenance, Repair, or Replacement.	10"			F	12
200	Asphalt Berm [0300103040061]	Control Measure is operating effectively? If "N	lo"		F	F	12
210	describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement. Gravel Bags [0300103100020] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.				F		14
220		001] Control Measure is operating effectively	? If "No"		F	Г	14
and the second	the second se						

230	Drop Inlet with Petro-Plug [0300109010003] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	Г	1
240	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200043] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	_ F	F	n
250	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200044] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	Г	0
260	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200045] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	F	D
270	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200046] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement,	-	F	1
280	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200047] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.		F	1
290	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200048] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	E	in the second se	
300	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200049] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.			0
310	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200050] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.			1
320	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200051] Control Measure is operating effectively? If	F	<u> </u>	10
330	"No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement. EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200052] Control Measure is operating effectively? If	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	10
	"No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement. EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200053] Control Measure is operating effectively? If			18
340	"No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement. EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200054] Control Measure is operating effectively? If	<u> </u>	. г	16
350	"No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement. EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200056] Control Measure is operating effectively? If		. г	10
360	"No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement. EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200057] Control Measure is operating effectively? If	<u> </u>	Г	10
370	"No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	F	F	10
380	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200058] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.		Г	IV
390	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200059] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	F	10
400	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx [0300103200060] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Г	Г	10
410	Gravel Mulch [0300103100055] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	Ē	Г	14
420	Rock Berm [0300103120019] Control Measure is operating effectively? If "No" describe condition & need for Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement.	F	F	12
Area// comm		ions in rel	evant t	
440	Material loading/unloading and storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. Comments: One of the larger tarps appeared functional but was showing UV degradation and wear and tear. Superintendent replaced it by the end of day	-	-	
450	Transfer areas for substances in bulk: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		-	10
460	Product/chemical storage areas (raw material): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	-	-	
470	Liquid tank storage/secondary containment: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.			12
180	Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		10	
190	Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe,		<u> </u>	1
500	Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.			IV
	Outdoor vehicle and equipment washing areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective		10	F
510	and operating)? If "No" describe.	F	10	Г
520	Machinery: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Г	Г	11

530	Waste handling and disposal areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.	Ē	in the	1×
540	Erodible areas/construction: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		F	IV.
550	Locations and sources of run-on to the site: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.			IV
560	Salt storage piles or pile containing salt: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		14	<u>. т</u>
570	Dust generation and vehicle tracking: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.			14
580	Housekeeping (Industrial materials/residues/trash in contact with stormwater): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.		F	14
590	Leaks and spills: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe,			IV
Non-C	ompliance			
610	Free of incidents of observed non-compliance not already identified above? If "No" describe.	г	Г	1V
Additi	onal Control Measures		100	
630	Are permit requirements satisfied with existing control measure(s)? If "No" describe additional control measures needed.	-	Ē	14
				-10

Labor Report

6/14/2023 Completed: 2:00:00 PM

Report: Jacob Knight, DEP

7/17 23 Cint Signature / Name Date I confirm the information as recorded is true, accurate and complete.

Signature / Name

Date

### CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

## (Signatory must meet definition in Section B.11.A, eg. FOD, Ops Mgr, EPC Group or Team Leader)

Print name and title: Brandon J. Krank, Operations Manager 4, LOG-CS

BRANDON KRANK	Digitally signed by BRANDON KRANK (Affiliate)			
Signature(Affiliate)	Location: TA-55-0042_101 Date: 2023.07.06 13:14:15 -06'00'	Date:	7/6/23	

# MSGP Record Print - Non-Employee Related Questionnaire (Clone) ①

#### Questionnaire

Details

Date Of Response	07/20/2023	Questionnaire *	MSGP RFI TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shop (MSGP FAC TA-3-38 Metal Fab Shop)
Source	Environment Inspection/Survey Program	QRHId	379
Location	TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shops(MSGP-0001)	Source Id	MSGF 2023 TA3-38 MFS
Created By	Knight, Jacob (166552)		
Created by, used for transfer to EDRMS			

Responses

1. Describe the weather at time of inspection and document the temperature (F).

90 degrees F and partly cloudy

2. WITHIN THE FACILITY BOUNDARY

Is the facility free of previously unidentified discharges from and/or pollutants that have occurred since the last inspection? If No, describe.

Yes

4. Has a CAR been previously initiated for discharge identified in the previous question?

N/A

5. Is the facility free of discharge of pollutants at the time of inspection? If No, describe.

Yes

6. Is the facility free of evidence of, or the potential for, pollutants entering the drainage system. If No, describe.

Yes

7. OUTFALL INSPECTION (identify needed maintenance and repairs, failed control measures that need replacement, or a description of corrective actions in relevant question comment).

8. Monitored Outfall 076: Is the outfall free of evidence of erosion; free of evidence of pollutants in discharges and/or Receiving Water; AND free of any unauthorized non-stormwater discharges?

Yes

9. Monitored Outfall 076: Are flow dissipation devices operating effectively?

....

91 B.I

Yes

t company of the second

10. Monitored Outfall 077: Is the outfall free of evidence of erosion; free of evidence of pollutants in discharges and/or Receiving Water; AND free of any unauthorized non-stormwater discharges?

Yes

11. Monitored Outfall 077: Are flow dissipation devices operating effectively?

Yes

12. CONTROL MEASURES

13. Select control measures inspected. (Identify needed maintenance, repairs, failed control measures that need replacement, or a description of corrective action in text box below.)

Asphalt Berm 0300103040014 Asphalt Berm 0300103040028 Asphalt Berm 0300103040061 Base Course Berm 0300103020001 Drop Inlet w/ Petro-Plug 0300109010003 EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx 0300103200043 EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx 0300103200044 EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx 0300103200045 EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx 0300103200046 EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx 0300103200047 EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx 0300103200048 EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx 0300103200049 EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx 0300103200050 EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx 0300103200051 EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx 0300103200052 EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx 0300103200053 EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx 0300103200054 EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx 0300103200056 EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx 0300103200057 EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx 0300103200058 EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx 0300103200059 EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx 0300103200060 Gravel Bags 0300103100020 Gravel Mulch 0300103100055 Rock Berm 0300103120019

14. AREA/ACTIVITY EXPOSED TO STORMWATER (identify needed maintenance or a description of corrective actions in relevant question comment).

15. Material loading/unloading and storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

Yes

16. Transfer areas for substances in bulk: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

Yes

17. Product/chemical storage areas (raw material): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

Yes

18. Liquid tank storage/secondary containment: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

N/A

19. Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

Yes

20. Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

21. Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

N/A

22. Outdoor vehicle and equipment washing areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

N/A

23. Machinery: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

24. Waste handling and disposal areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

25. Erodible areas/construction: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

26. Locations and sources of run-on to the site: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

27. Salt storage piles or pile containing salt: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

N/A

28. Dust generation and vehicle tracking: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

29. Housekeeping (Industrial materials/residues/trash in contact with stormwater): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

30. Leaks and spills: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

31. Sector AA: Fabricated metal products: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

32. Free of incidents of observed non-compliance not already identified above? If "No"

describe.

Yes

33. Are permit requirements satisfied with existing control measure(s)? If "No" describeadditional control measures needed.

Yes

34. Additional information:

35. Date inspection completed.

07/18/2023

36. Time inspection completed.

1300

37. Select inspector name.

Knight, Jacob

38. Signature/Name I confirm the information as recorded is true, accurate and complete.

ung 39.

#### **Certification Statement**

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

(Signatory must meet definition in Section B.11.A, e.g., FOD, Ops Mgr, EPC Group or Team Leader)

40. Print name and title:

Casey Byrd LOG-CS

CASEY BYRD (Affiliate)	Digitally signed by CASEY BYRD (Affiliate) Date: 2023,08.09 14:48:52 -06'00'
8/9/23	
	<u>Go Το</u> Τομ
	View Documents for this Questionnaire
Document Type	Document Date

×

# MSGP Record Print - Non-Employee Related Questionnaire (Clone) ①

#### Questionnaire

#### Details

Date Of Response	08/24/2023	Questionnaire *	MSGP RFI TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shop (MSGP FAC TA-3-38 Metal Fab Shop)
Source	Environmental Questionnaire	QRHId	597
Location	TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shops(MSGP-0001)	Source Id	<u>597</u>
Created By	Knight, Jacob (166552)		
Created by, used for transfer to EDRMS	Knight, Jacob		

Responses

1. Describe the weather at time of inspection and document the temperature (F).

Mostly Sunny and 72 degrees F

2. WITHIN THE FACILITY BOUNDARY

Is the facility free of previously unidentified discharges from and/or pollutants that have occurred since the last inspection? If No, describe.

Yes

4. Has a CAR been previously initiated for discharge identified in the previous question?

N/A

5. Is the facility free of discharge of pollutants at the time of inspection? If No, describe.

Yes

6. Is the facility free of evidence of, or the potential for, pollutants entering the drainage system. If No, describe.

Yes

7. OUTFALL INSPECTION (identify needed maintenance and repairs, failed control measures that need replacement, or a description of corrective actions in relevant question comment).

8. Monitored Outfall 076: Is the outfall free of evidence of erosion; free of evidence of pollutants in discharges and/or Receiving Water; AND free of any unauthorized non-stormwater discharges?

Yes

9. Monitored Outfall 076: Are flow dissipation devices operating effectively?

Yes

10. Monitored Outfall 077: Is the outfall free of evidence of erosion; free of evidence of pollutants in discharges and/or Receiving Water; AND free of any unauthorized nonstormwater discharges?

Yes

11. Monitored Outfall 077: Are flow dissipation devices operating effectively?

Yes

#### **12. CONTROL MEASURES**

 Select control measures inspected. (Identify needed maintenance, repairs, failed control measures that need replacement, or a description of corrective action in text box below.)

Asphalt Berm 0300103040014 Asphalt Berm 0300103040028 Asphalt Berm 0300103040061 Base Course Berm 0300103020001 Drop Inlet w/ Petro-Plug 0300109010003 EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx 0300103200043

EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx 0300103200044 EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx 0300103200045 EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx 0300103200046 EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx 0300103200047 EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx 0300103200048 EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx 0300103200049 EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx 0300103200050 EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx 0300103200051 EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx 0300103200052 EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx 0300103200053 EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx 0300103200054 EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx 0300103200056 EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx 0300103200057 EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx 0300103200058 EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx 0300103200059 EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx 0300103200060 Gravel Bags 0300103100020 Gravel Mulch 0300103100055 Rock Berm 0300103120019

14. AREA/ACTIVITY EXPOSED TO STORMWATER (identify needed maintenance or a description of corrective actions in relevant question comment).

15. Material loading/unloading and storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

#### Yes

16. Transfer areas for substances in bulk: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

Yes

17. Product/chemical storage areas (raw material): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

Yes

18. Liquid tank storage/secondary containment: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

N/A

19. Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

Yes

20. Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yés

21. Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

N/A

22. Outdoor vehicle and equipment washing areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

N/A

23. Machinery: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

24. Waste handling and disposal areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

25. Erodible areas/construction: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

26. Locations and sources of run-on to the site: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

27. Salt storage piles or pile containing salt: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

N/A

28. Dust generation and vehicle tracking: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

29. Housekeeping (Industrial materials/residues/trash in contact with stormwater): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

30. Leaks and spills: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

31. Sector AA: Fabricated metal products: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

32. Free of incidents of observed non-compliance not already identified above? If "No" describe.

Yes

33. Are permit requirements satisfied with existing control measure(s)? If "No" describeadditional control measures needed.

Yes

34. Additional information:

35. Date inspection completed.

08/24/2023

#### 36. Time inspection completed.

1300

37. Select inspector name.

Knight, Jacob

#### 38. Signature/Name

I confirm the information as recorded is true, accurate and complete.

Jacob Knight Digitally signed by Jacob Knight Date: 2023.08.28 16:09:51 -06'00'

#### 39.

#### **Certification Statement**

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

(Signatory must meet definition in Section B.11.A, e.g., FOD, Ops Mgr, EPC Group or Team Leader)

40. Print name and title: Casey Byrd

# 41. Signature: CASEY BYRD (Affiliate)

Digitally signed by CASEY BYRD (Affiliate) Date: 2023.08.29 07:48:30 -06'00'

42. Date: 8/29/23

(671 L.D.

Duckinents

View Documents for this Questionnaire

Description

Document Type

Document Date

# MSGP Record Print - Non-Employee Related Questionnaire (Clone) ①

#### Questionnaire

#### Details

Date Of Response	09/20/2023	Questionnaire *	MSGP RFI TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shop (MSGP FAC TA-3-38 Metal Fab Shop)
Source	Environmental Questionnaire	QRHId	672
Location	TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shops(MSGP-0001)	Source Id	£20,
Created By	Knight, Jacob (166552)		
Created by, used for transfer to EDRMS	Knight, Jacob		

Responses

Describe the weather at time of inspection and document the temperature (F).
 Partly cloudy and 70 degres F. 30% chance of scattered afternoon showers.

2.

#### WITHIN THE FACILITY BOUNDARY

3. Is the facility free of previously unidentified discharges from and/or pollutants that have occurred since the last inspection? If No, describe.

Yes

4. Has a CAR been previously initiated for discharge identified in the previous question?

5. Is the facility free of discharge of pollutants at the time of inspection? If No, describe.

Yes

 Is the facility free of evidence of, or the potential for, pollutants entering the drainage system. If No, describe.

Yes

#### 7.

OUTFALL INSPECTION (identify needed maintenance and repairs, failed control measures that need replacement, or a description of corrective actions in relevant question comment).

8. Monitored Outfall 076: Is the outfall free of evidence of erosion; free of evidence of pollutants in discharges and/or Receiving Water; AND free of any unauthorized non-stormwater discharges?

Yes

9. Monitored Outfall 076: Are flow dissipation devices operating effectively?

Yes

10. Monitored Outfall 077: Is the outfall free of evidence of erosion; free of evidence of pollutants in discharges and/or Receiving Water; AND free of any unauthorized non-stormwater discharges?

Yes

11. Monitored Outfall 077: Are flow dissipation devices operating effectively?

Yes

12.

#### CONTROL MEASURES

13. Select control measures inspected. (Identify needed maintenance, repairs, failed control measures that need replacement, or a description of corrective action in text box below.)

Asphalt Berm 0300103040014 Asphalt Berm 0300103040028

Asphalt Berm 0300103040061 Base Course Berm 0300103020001 Drop Inlet w/ Petro-Plug 0300109010003 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200043 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200044 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200045 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200046 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200047 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200048 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200049 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200050 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200051 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200052 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200053 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200054 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200056 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200057 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200058 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200059 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200060 Gravel Bags 0300103100020 Gravel Mulch 0300101050055 Rock Berm 0300103120019

#### 14.

AREA/ACTIVITY EXPOSED TO STORMWATER (identify needed maintenance or a description of corrective actions in relevant question comment).

15. Material loading/unloading and storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

#### No

See CA# 2276: There were some newer metal storage racks without canopy covers yet at the north end of 3-38 for the pipefitters use. The easternmost rack had raw metal material stored that was uncovered and exposed. This was corrected with tarp cover within the hour.

16. Transfer areas for substances in bulk: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

Yes

17. Product/chemical storage areas (raw material): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

18. Liquid tank storage/secondary containment: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

N/A

19. Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

Yes

20. Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

21. Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

N/A

22. Outdoor vehicle and equipment washing areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

N/A

23. Machinery: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

24. Waste handling and disposal areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

25. Erodible areas/construction: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

26. Locations and sources of run-on to the site: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

27. Salt storage piles or pile containing salt: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

N/A

28. Dust generation and vehicle tracking: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

29. Housekeeping (Industrial materials/residues/trash in contact with stormwater): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

30. Leaks and spills: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

31. Sector AA: Fabricated metal products: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

32. Free of incidents of observed non-compliance not already identified above? If "No" describe.

Yes

33. Are permit requirements satisfied with existing control measure(s)? If "No" describeadditional control measures needed.

Yes

34. Additional information:

35. Date inspection completed.

09/20/2023

36. Time inspection completed.

1100

37. Select inspector name.

Knight, Jacob

#### 38. Signature/Name

I confirm the information as recorded is true, accurate and complete.

Knight

39.

#### **Certification Statement**

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

(Signatory must meet definition in Section B.11.A, e.g., FOD, Ops Mgr, EPC Group or Team Leader)

# 41. Signature: CASEY BYRD (Affiliate)

42. Date: 9/27/23

Documents

Description

Document Type

Digitally signed by CASEY BYRD (Affiliate) Date: 2023.09.27 09:49:21 -06'00'

Go To Top

View Documents for this Questionnaire 👻

Document Date

# MSGP Record Print - Non-Employee Related Questionnaire (Clone) ①

## Questionnaire

### Details

Date Of Response	10/25/2023	Questionnaire *	MSGP RFI TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shop (MSGP FAC TA-3-38 Metal Fab Shop)
Source	Environment Inspection/Survey Program	QRHId	780
Location	TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shops(MSGP-0001)	Source Id	MSGP 2023 TA3-38 MFS
Created By	Knight, Jacob (166552)		
Created by, used for transfer to EDRMS	Knight, Jacob		

### Responses

1. Describe the weather at time of inspection and document the temperature (F).

56 degrees F. Mostly cloudy with light rain

2.

#### WITHIN THE FACILITY BOUNDARY

3. Is the facility free of previously unidentified discharges from and/or pollutants that have occurred since the last inspection? If No, describe.

Yes

4. Has a CAR been previously initiated for discharge identified in the previous question?  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{N/A}}$ 

5. Is the facility free of discharge of pollutants at the time of inspection? If No, describe.

6. Is the facility free of evidence of, or the potential for, pollutants entering the drainage system. If No, describe.

Yes

7.

OUTFALL INSPECTION (identify needed maintenance and repairs, failed control measures that need replacement, or a description of corrective actions in relevant question comment).

8. Monitored Outfall 076: Is the outfall free of evidence of erosion; free of evidence of pollutants in discharges and/or Receiving Water; AND free of any unauthorized nonstormwater discharges?

Yes

9. Monitored Outfall 076: Are flow dissipation devices operating effectively?

Yes

10. Monitored Outfall 077: Is the outfall free of evidence of erosion; free of evidence of pollutants in discharges and/or Receiving Water; AND free of any unauthorized nonstormwater discharges?

Yes

11. Monitored Outfall 077: Are flow dissipation devices operating effectively?

Yes

12.

#### CONTROL MEASURES

 Select control measures inspected. (Identify needed maintenance, repairs, failed control measures that need replacement, or a description of corrective action in text box below.)

Asphalt Berm 0300103040014 Asphalt Berm 0300103040028

Asphalt Berm 0300103040061 Base Course Berm 0300103020001 Drop Inlet w/ Petro-Plug 0300109010003 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200043 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200044 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200045 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200046 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200047 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200048 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200049 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200050 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200051 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200052 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200053 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200054 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200056 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200057 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200058 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200059 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200060 Gravel Bags 0300103100020 Gravel Mulch 0300101050055 Rock Berm 0300103120019

#### 14.

AREA/ACTIVITY EXPOSED TO STORMWATER (identify needed maintenance or a description of corrective actions in relevant question comment).

15. Material loading/unloading and storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

#### Yes

16. Transfer areas for substances in bulk: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

#### Yes

17. Product/chemical storage areas (raw material): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

18. Liquid tank storage/secondary containment: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

N/A

19. Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

Yes

20. Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

21. Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

N/A

22. Outdoor vehicle and equipment washing areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

N/A

23. Machinery: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

24. Waste handling and disposal areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

25. Erodible areas/construction: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

26. Locations and sources of run-on to the site: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

27. Salt storage piles or pile containing salt: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

N/A

28. Dust generation and vehicle tracking: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

29. Housekeeping (Industrial materials/residues/trash in contact with stormwater): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

30. Leaks and spills: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

31. Sector AA: Fabricated metal products: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

32. Free of incidents of observed non-compliance not already identified above? If "No" describe.

Yes

33. Are permit requirements satisfied with existing control measure(s)? If "No" describe additional control measures needed.

34. Additional information:

35. Date inspection completed.

10/25/2023

36. Time inspection completed.

1400

37. Select inspector name.

Knight, Jacob

38. Signature/Name I confirm the information as recorded is true, accurate and complete.

Knight

39.

#### **Certification Statement**

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

(Signatory must meet definition in Section B.11.A, e.g., FOD, Ops Mgr, EPC Group or Team Leader)

40. Print name and title: Casey Byrd LOG-CS

41. Signature: CASEY BYRD (Affiliate) Digitally signed by CASEY BYRD (Affiliate) Date: 2023.11.13 15:24:26 -07'00' 42. Date:

Documents

2001

Description

Document Type

Go To Top

View Documents for this Questionnaire 😽

Document Date

# MSGP Record Print - Non-Employee Related Questionnaire (Clone) ③

#### Questionnaire

#### Details

Date Of Response	11/16/2023	Questionnaire*	MSGP RFI TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shop (MSGP FAC TA-3-38 Metal Fab Shop)
Source	Environmental Questionnaire	QRHId	875
Location	TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shops(MSGP-0001)	Source Id	<u>875</u>
Created By	Knight, Jacob (166552)		
Created by, used for transfer to EDRMS	Knight, Jacob		

Responses

1. Describe the weather at time of inspection and document the temperature (F).

58 degrees and partly sunny with clouds

2.

# WITHIN THE FACILITY BOUNDARY

3. Is the facility free of previously unidentified discharges from and/or pollutants that have occurred since the last inspection? If No, describe.

Yes

4. Has a CAR been previously initiated for discharge identified in the previous question?

N/A

5. Is the facility free of discharge of pollutants at the time of inspection? If No, describe.

6. Is the facility free of evidence of, or the potential for, pollutants entering the drainage system. If No, describe.

Yes

7.

OUTFALL INSPECTION (identify needed maintenance and repairs, failed control measures that need replacement, or a description of corrective actions in relevant question comment).

8. Monitored Outfall 076: Is the outfall free of evidence of erosion; free of evidence of pollutants in discharges and/or Receiving Water; AND free of any unauthorized non-stormwater discharges?

Yes

9. Monitored Outfall 076: Are flow dissipation devices operating effectively?

Yes

10. Monitored Outfall 077: Is the outfall free of evidence of erosion; free of evidence of pollutants in discharges and/or Receiving Water; AND free of any unauthorized non-stormwater discharges?

Yes

11. Monitored Outfall 077: Are flow dissipation devices operating effectively?

Yes

12.

#### CONTROL MEASURES

13. Select control measures inspected. (Identify needed maintenance, repairs, failed control measures that need replacement, or a description of corrective action in text box below.)

Asphalt Berm 0300103040014 Asphalt Berm 0300103040028

Asphalt Berm 0300103040061 Base Course Berm 0300103020001 Drop Inlet w/ Petro-Plug 0300109010003 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200043 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200044 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200045 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200046 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200047 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200048 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200049 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200050 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200051 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200052 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200053 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200054 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200056 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200057 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200058 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200059 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200060 Gravel Bags 0300103100020 Gravel Mulch 0300101050055 Rock Berm 0300103120019

#### 14.

AREA/ACTIVITY EXPOSED TO STORMWATER (identify needed maintenance or a description of corrective actions in relevant question comment).

15. Material loading/unloading and storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

#### Yes

16. Transfer areas for substances in bulk: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

Yes

17. Product/chemical storage areas (raw material): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

18. Liquid tank storage/secondary containment: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

N/A

19. Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

Yes

20. Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

21. Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

N/A

22. Outdoor vehicle and equipment washing areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

N/A

23. Machinery: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe Yes

24. Waste handling and disposal areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

New chain controlled roll back dumpster covers for wood (pallet) bins look great

25. Erodible areas/construction: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

26. Locations and sources of run-on to the site: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

27. Salt storage piles or pile containing salt: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

N/A

28. Dust generation and vehicle tracking: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

29. Housekeeping (Industrial materials/residues/trash in contact with stormwater): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

30. Leaks and spills: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

31. Sector AA: Fabricated metal products: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

32. Free of incidents of observed non-compliance not already identified above? If "No" describe.

Yes

33. Are permit requirements satisfied with existing control measure(s)? If "No" describe additional control measures needed.

34. Additional information:

35. Date inspection completed.

11/16/2023

36. Time inspection completed.

1300

37. Select inspector name.

Knight, Jacob

38. Signature/Name

I confirm the information as recorded is true, accurate and complete.

39.

#### **Certification Statement**

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

(Signatory must meet definition in Section B.11.A, e.g., FOD, Ops Mgr, EPC Group or Team Leader)

40. Print name and title: Casey Byrd LOG-CS

41. Signature: CASEY BYRD (Affiliate)

Digitally signed by CASEY BYRD (Affiliate) Date: 2023.11.29 07:21:24 -07'00'

# 42. Date: 11/29/23

Documents


Description

Document Type

Go To Top

View Documents for this Questionnaire  $\sim$ 

Document Date



# MSGP Record Print - Non-Employee Related Questionnaire (Clone) ①

# Questionnaire

## Details

Date Of Response *	12/11/2023	Questionnaire *	MSGP RFI TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shop (MSGP FAC TA-3-38 Metal Fab Shop)
Source	Environment inspection/Survey Program	QRHId	972
Location	TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shops(MSGP-0001)	Source Id	MSGP 2023 TA3-38.MFS
Created By	Knight, Jacob (166552)		
Created by, used for transfer to	Knight, Jacob		

Responses

EDRMS

1. Describe the weather at time of inspection and document the temperature (F).

39 degrees F and partly sunny

2.

# WITHIN THE FACILITY BOUNDARY

3. Is the facility free of previously unidentified discharges from and/or pollutants that have occurred since the last inspection? If No, describe.

Yes

4. Has a CAR been previously initiated for discharge identified in the previous question?

5. Is the facility free of discharge of pollutants at the time of inspection? If No, describe.

6. Is the facility free of evidence of, or the potential for, pollutants entering the drainage system. If No, describe.

Yes

7.

OUTFALL INSPECTION (identify needed maintenance and repairs, failed control measures that need replacement, or a description of corrective actions in relevant question comment).

8. Monitored Outfall 076: Is the outfall free of evidence of erosion; free of evidence of pollutants in discharges and/or Receiving Water; AND free of any unauthorized nonstormwater discharges?

Yes

9. Monitored Outfall 076: Are flow dissipation devices operating effectively?

Yes

10. Monitored Outfall 077: Is the outfall free of evidence of erosion; free of evidence of pollutants in discharges and/or Receiving Water; AND free of any unauthorized non-stormwater discharges?

Yes

11. Monitored Outfall 077: Are flow dissipation devices operating effectively?

Yes

12.

#### CONTROL MEASURES

13. Select control measures inspected. (Identify needed maintenance, repairs, failed control measures that need replacement, or a description of corrective action in text box below.)

Asphalt Berm 0300103040014 Asphalt Berm 0300103040028

Asphalt Berm 0300103040061 Base Course Berm 0300103020001 Drop Inlet w/ Petro-Plug 0300109010003 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200043 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200044 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200045 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200046 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200047 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200048 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200049 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200050 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200051 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200052 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200053 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200054 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200056 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200057 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200058 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200059 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200060 Gravel Bags 0300103100020 Gravel Mulch 0300101050055 Rock Berm 0300103120019

#### 14.

AREA/ACTIVITY EXPOSED TO STORMWATER (identify needed maintenance or a description of corrective actions in relevant question comment).

15. Material loading/unloading and storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

#### Yes

16. Transfer areas for substances in bulk: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

Yes

17. Product/chemical storage areas (raw material): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

18. Liquid tank storage/secondary containment: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

N/A

19. Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

Yes

20. Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

21. Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

N/A

22. Outdoor vehicle and equipment washing areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

N/A

23. Machinery: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe,

Yes

24. Waste handling and disposal areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

No

See CAR # 2332. The metal for recycle bin in the covered metal raw material storage area west of TA-03-0038, does not cover the entire bin. There is about a foot wide area that was not covered. This was corrected with an extension cover on 12/12/23.

25. Erodible areas/construction: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

26. Locations and sources of run-on to the site: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

27. Salt storage piles or pile containing salt: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

N/A

28. Dust generation and vehicle tracking: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

29. Housekeeping (Industrial materials/residues/trash in contact with stormwater): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

30. Leaks and spills: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

31. Sector AA: Fabricated metal products: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

32. Free of incidents of observed non-compliance not already identified above? If "No" describe.

Yes

33. Are permit requirements satisfied with existing control measure(s)? If "No" describe additional control measures needed.

34. Additional information:

35. Date inspection completed.

12/11/2023

36. Time inspection completed.

1300

37. Select inspector name.

Knight, Jacob

## 38. Signature/Name

I confirm the information as recorded is true, accurate and complete.

2 Knight

39.

#### Certification Statement

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

(Signatory must meet definition in Section B.11.A, e.g., FOD, Ops Mgr, EPC Group or Team Leader)

40. Print name and title: Casey Byrd LOG-CS

41. Signature: CASEY BYRD (Affiliate)

Digitally signed by CASEY BYRD (Affiliate) Date: 2024.01.09 14:37:29 -07'00' 42. Date:

Documents

Description

Document Type

Go To Top

View Documents for this Questionnaire 🗸 🗸

Document Date

# MSGP Record Print - Non-Employee Related Questionnaire (Clone) ①

Questionnaire			
Details			
Date Of Response	01/30/2024	Questionnaire *	MSGP RFI TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shop (MSGP FAC TA-3-38 Metal Fab Shop)
Source	Environment Inspection/Survey Program	QRHId	1170
Location	TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shops(MSGP-0001)	Source Id	MSGP 2024 TA3-38 MFS
Created By	Lutz, Timothy (368093)		
Created by, used for transfer to EDRMS	Lutz, Timothy		

### Responses

1. Describe the weather at time of inspection and document the temperature (F).

45 degrees, mostly sunny

2.

### WITHIN THE FACILITY BOUNDARY

3. Is the facility free of previously unidentified discharges from and/or pollutants that have occurred since the last inspection? If No, describe.

• Yes

4. Has a CAR been previously initiated for discharge identified in the previous question?

• N/A

5. Is the facility free of discharge of pollutants at the time of inspection? If No, describe.

6. Is the facility free of evidence of, or the potential for, pollutants entering the drainage system. If No, describe.

- Yes

7.

OUTFALL INSPECTION (identify needed maintenance and repairs, failed control measures that need replacement, or a description of corrective actions in relevant question comment).

8. Monitored Outfall 076: Is the outfall free of evidence of erosion; free of evidence of pollutants in discharges and/or Receiving Water; AND free of any unauthorized non-stormwater discharges?

- Yes

9. Monitored Outfall 076: Are flow dissipation devices operating effectively?

- Yes

10. Monitored Outfall 077: Is the outfall free of evidence of erosion; free of evidence of pollutants in discharges and/or Receiving Water; AND free of any unauthorized non-stormwater discharges?

- Yes

11. Monitored Outfall 077: Are flow dissipation devices operating effectively?

- Yes

12.

#### **CONTROL MEASURES**

13. Select control measures inspected. (Identify needed maintenance, repairs, failed control measures that need replacement, or a description of corrective action in text box below.)

- Asphalt Berm 0300103040014

- Asphalt Berm 0300103040028

- Asphalt Berm 0300103040061 - Base Course Berm 0300103020001 Drop Inlet w/ Petro-Plug 0300109010003 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200043 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200044 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200045 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200046 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200047 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200048 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200049 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200050 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200051 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200052 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200053 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200054 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200056 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200057 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200058 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200059 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200060 - Gravel Bags 0300103100020 - Gravel Mulch 0300101050055 - Rock Berm 0300103120019

Control 0300103200048 was missing (heavy metal filter sock). After discussion, it was decided that this control was not necessary and would be removed from the SWPPP.

#### 14.

AREA/ACTIVITY EXPOSED TO STORMWATER (identify needed maintenance or a description of corrective actions in relevant question comment).

15. Material loading/unloading and storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

- Yes

16. Transfer areas for substances in bulk: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

• Yes

17. Product/chemical storage areas (raw material): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

18. Liquid tank storage/secondary containment: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

<u>-</u> N/A

19. Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

- Yes

20. Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

- Yes

21. Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

<u>•</u> N/A

22. Outdoor vehicle and equipment washing areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

• N/A

23. Machinery: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

- Yes

24. Waste handling and disposal areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

- Yes

25. Erodible areas/construction: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

26. Locations and sources of run-on to the site: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

- Yes

27. Salt storage piles or pile containing salt: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

- N/A

28. Dust generation and vehicle tracking: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

- Yes

29. Housekeeping (Industrial materials/residues/trash in contact with stormwater): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

• Yes

30. Leaks and spills: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

• Yes

31. Sector AA: Fabricated metal products: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

- Yes

32. Free of incidents of observed non-compliance not already identified above? If "No" describe.

- Yes

33. Are permit requirements satisfied with existing control measure(s)? If "No" describe additional control measures needed.

34. Additional information:

## 35. Date inspection completed.

01/30/2024

#### 36. Time inspection completed.

1300

#### 37. Select inspector name.

Lutz, Timothy

# 38. Signature/Name

I confirm the information as recorded is true, accurate and complete.



#### 39.

## **Certification Statement**

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

(Signatory must meet definition in Section B.11.A, e.g., FOD, Ops Mgr, EPC Group or Team Leader)

40. Print name and title: Casey Byrd LOG\_CS

(Affiliate)

**CASEY BYRD** 

41. Signature:

Digitally signed by CASEY BYRD (Affiliate) Date: 2024.02.09 10:02:10 -07'00'

	42. Date:			
			<u>Go To To</u>	<u>D</u>
Documents				
		Vie	Documents for this	Questionnaire 🗸
Descriptio	on	Document Type	Document Date	

# MSGP Record Print - Non-Employee Related Questionnaire (Clone) ①

02/20/2024		
02/20/2024		
	Questionnaire *	MSGP RFI TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shop (MSGP FAC TA-3-38 Metal Fab Shop)
Environment Inspection/Survey Program	QRHId	1281
TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shops(MSGP-0001)	Source Id	MSGP 2024 TA3-38 MFS
Lutz, Timothy (368093)		
Lutz, Timothy		
	TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shops(MSGP-0001) Lutz, Timothy (368093)	TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shops(MSGP-0001) Source Id Lutz, Timothy (368093)

### Responses

1. Describe the weather at time of inspection and document the temperature (F).

50 degrees, sunny, humidity 14%

2.

### WITHIN THE FACILITY BOUNDARY

3. Is the facility free of previously unidentified discharges from and/or pollutants that have occurred since the last inspection? If No, describe.

- Yes

4. Has a CAR been previously initiated for discharge identified in the previous question?

• N/A

5. Is the facility free of discharge of pollutants at the time of inspection? If No, describe.

6. Is the facility free of evidence of, or the potential for, pollutants entering the drainage system. If No, describe.

- Yes

7.

OUTFALL INSPECTION (identify needed maintenance and repairs, failed control measures that need replacement, or a description of corrective actions in relevant question comment).

8. Monitored Outfall 076: Is the outfall free of evidence of erosion; free of evidence of pollutants in discharges and/or Receiving Water; AND free of any unauthorized non-stormwater discharges?

- Yes

9. Monitored Outfall 076: Are flow dissipation devices operating effectively?

- Yes

10. Monitored Outfall 077: Is the outfall free of evidence of erosion; free of evidence of pollutants in discharges and/or Receiving Water; AND free of any unauthorized non-stormwater discharges?

- Yes

11. Monitored Outfall 077: Are flow dissipation devices operating effectively?

- Yes

12.

#### **CONTROL MEASURES**

13. Select control measures inspected. (Identify needed maintenance, repairs, failed control measures that need replacement, or a description of corrective action in text box below.)

- Asphalt Berm 0300103040014

- Asphalt Berm 0300103040028

- Asphalt Berm 0300103040061 - Base Course Berm 0300103020001 Drop Inlet w/ Petro-Plug 0300109010003 - Gravel Bags 0300103100020 - Gravel Mulch 0300101050055 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200043 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200044 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200045 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200046 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200047 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200050 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200051 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200052 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200053 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200054 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200056 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200057 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200058 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200059 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200060 - Rock Berm 0300103120019

#### 14.

AREA/ACTIVITY EXPOSED TO STORMWATER (identify needed maintenance or a description of corrective actions in relevant question comment).

15. Material loading/unloading and storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

- Yes

16. Transfer areas for substances in bulk: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

• Yes

17. Product/chemical storage areas (raw material): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

- Yes

18. Liquid tank storage/secondary containment: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

• N/A

19. Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

- Yes

20. Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

- Yes

21. Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

- N/A

22. Outdoor vehicle and equipment washing areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

- N/A

23. Machinery: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

- Yes

24. Waste handling and disposal areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

• Yes

25. Erodible areas/construction: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

- Yes

26. Locations and sources of run-on to the site: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

27. Salt storage piles or pile containing salt: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

- N/A

28. Dust generation and vehicle tracking: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

• Yes

29. Housekeeping (Industrial materials/residues/trash in contact with stormwater): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

- Yes

30. Leaks and spills: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

- Yes

31. Sector AA: Fabricated metal products: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

- Yes

32. Free of incidents of observed non-compliance not already identified above? If "No" describe.

- Yes

33. Are permit requirements satisfied with existing control measure(s)? If "No" describe additional control measures needed.

- Yes

34. Additional information:

35. Date inspection completed.

02/20/2024

#### 36. Time inspection completed.

10:30AM

### 37. Select inspector name.

Lutz, Timothy

38. Signature/Name I confirm the information as recorded is true, accurate and complete.

# 39.

### **Certification Statement**

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

(Signatory must meet definition in Section B.11.A, e.g., FOD, Ops Mgr, EPC Group or Team Leader)

40. Print name and title:	Casey Byrd LOG-CS		
41. Signature:	CASEY BYRD (Affiliate) Digitally signed by CASEY BYRD (Affiliate) Date: 2024.02.21 11:18:03 -07'00'		
42. Date:	2/21/24		

<u>Go To Top</u>

		View Doci	uments for this Questionnaire	~
Description	Document Type	Docume	ent Date	

## MSGP Record Print - Non-Employee Related Questionnaire (Clone) ①

Questionnaire			
Details			
Date Of Response	03/14/2024	Questionnaire *	MSGP RFI TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shop (MSGP FAC TA-3-38 Metal Fab Shop)
Source	Environment Inspection/Survey Program	QRHId	1435
Location	TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shops(MSGP-0001)	Source Id	MSGP 2024 TA3-38 MFS
Created By	Lutz, Timothy (368093)		
Created by, used for transfer to EDRMS	Lutz, Timothy		

#### Responses

1. Describe the weather at time of inspection and document the temperature (F).

44 degrees. Partly cloudy.

2.

#### WITHIN THE FACILITY BOUNDARY

3. Is the facility free of previously unidentified discharges from and/or pollutants that have occurred since the last inspection? If No, describe.

- Yes

4. Has a CAR been previously initiated for discharge identified in the previous question?

• N/A

5. Is the facility free of discharge of pollutants at the time of inspection? If No, describe.

- Yes

6. Is the facility free of evidence of, or the potential for, pollutants entering the drainage system. If No, describe.

- Yes

7.

OUTFALL INSPECTION (identify needed maintenance and repairs, failed control measures that need replacement, or a description of corrective actions in relevant question comment).

8. Monitored Outfall 076: Is the outfall free of evidence of erosion; free of evidence of pollutants in discharges and/or Receiving Water; AND free of any unauthorized non-stormwater discharges?

- Yes

9. Monitored Outfall 076: Are flow dissipation devices operating effectively?

- Yes

10. Monitored Outfall 077: Is the outfall free of evidence of erosion; free of evidence of pollutants in discharges and/or Receiving Water; AND free of any unauthorized non-stormwater discharges?

- Yes

11. Monitored Outfall 077: Are flow dissipation devices operating effectively?

- Yes

12.

#### **CONTROL MEASURES**

13. Select control measures inspected. (Identify needed maintenance, repairs, failed control measures that need replacement, or a description of corrective action in text box below.)

- Asphalt Berm 0300103040014

- Asphalt Berm 0300103040028

- Asphalt Berm 0300103040061 - Base Course Berm 0300103020001 Drop Inlet w/ Petro-Plug 0300109010003 - Gravel Bags 0300103100020 - Gravel Mulch 0300101050055 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200043 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200044 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200045 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200046 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200047 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200050 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200051 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200052 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200053 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200054 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200056 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200057 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200058 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200059 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200060 - Rock Berm 0300103120019

#### 14.

AREA/ACTIVITY EXPOSED TO STORMWATER (identify needed maintenance or a description of corrective actions in relevant question comment).

15. Material loading/unloading and storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

- Yes

16. Transfer areas for substances in bulk: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

• Yes

17. Product/chemical storage areas (raw material): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

- Yes

18. Liquid tank storage/secondary containment: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

• N/A

19. Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

- Yes

20. Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

- Yes

21. Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

- N/A

22. Outdoor vehicle and equipment washing areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

- N/A

23. Machinery: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

- Yes

24. Waste handling and disposal areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

• Yes

25. Erodible areas/construction: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

- Yes

26. Locations and sources of run-on to the site: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

- Yes

27. Salt storage piles or pile containing salt: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

- N/A

28. Dust generation and vehicle tracking: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

• Yes

29. Housekeeping (Industrial materials/residues/trash in contact with stormwater): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

- Yes

30. Leaks and spills: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

- Yes

31. Sector AA: Fabricated metal products: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

- Yes

32. Free of incidents of observed non-compliance not already identified above? If "No" describe.

- Yes

33. Are permit requirements satisfied with existing control measure(s)? If "No" describe additional control measures needed.

- Yes

34. Additional information:

35. Date inspection completed.

03/14/2024

#### 36. Time inspection completed.

1:30PM

#### 37. Select inspector name.

Lutz, Timothy

38. Signature/Name I confirm the information as recorded is true, accurate and complete.



#### 39.

#### **Certification Statement**

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

(Signatory must meet definition in Section B.11.A, e.g., FOD, Ops Mgr, EPC Group or Team Leader)

40. Print name and title: Casey Byrd LOG-CS

41. Signature:	CASEY BYRD Digitally signed by CASEY BYRD (Affiliate) (Affiliate) Date: 2024.03.19 07:18:03 -06'00'

42. Date:

3/19/24

Go To Top

		View Doc	uments for this Questionnaire	*
Description	Document Type	Docum	ent Date	

## MSGP Record Print - Non-Employee Related Questionnaire (Clone) ①

Questionnaire			
Details			
Date Of Response *	04/11/2024	Questionnaire *	MSGP RFI TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shop (MSGP FAC TA-3-38 Metal Fab Shop)
Source	Environment Inspection/Survey Program	QRHId	1597
Location	TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shops(MSGP-0001)	Source Id	MSGP 2024 TA3-38 MFS
Created By	Lutz, Timothy (368093)		
Created by, used for transfer to EDRMS	Lutz, Timothy		

#### Responses

1. Describe the weather at time of inspection and document the temperature (F).

57 degrees. Sunny.

2.

WITHIN THE FACILITY BOUNDARY

3. Is the facility free of previously unidentified discharges from and/or pollutants that have occurred since the last inspection? If No, describe.

• Yes

4. Has a CAR been previously initiated for discharge identified in the previous question?

• N/A

5. Is the facility free of discharge of pollutants at the time of inspection? If No, describe.

- Yes

6. Is the facility free of evidence of, or the potential for, pollutants entering the drainage system. If No, describe.

- Yes

7.

OUTFALL INSPECTION (identify needed maintenance and repairs, failed control measures that need replacement, or a description of corrective actions in relevant question comment).

8. Monitored Outfall 076: Is the outfall free of evidence of erosion; free of evidence of pollutants in discharges and/or Receiving Water; AND free of any unauthorized non-stormwater discharges?

- Yes

9. Monitored Outfall 076: Are flow dissipation devices operating effectively?

- Yes

10. Monitored Outfall 077: Is the outfall free of evidence of erosion; free of evidence of pollutants in discharges and/or Receiving Water; AND free of any unauthorized non-stormwater discharges?

- Yes

11. Monitored Outfall 077: Are flow dissipation devices operating effectively?

- Yes

12.

#### **CONTROL MEASURES**

13. Select control measures inspected. (Identify needed maintenance, repairs, failed control measures that need replacement, or a description of corrective action in text box below.)

- Asphalt Berm 0300103040014

- Asphalt Berm 0300103040028

- Asphalt Berm 0300103040061 - Base Course Berm 0300103020001 Drop Inlet w/ Petro-Plug 0300109010003 - Gravel Bags 0300103100020 - Gravel Mulch 0300101050055 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200043 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200044 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200045 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200046 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200047 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200050 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200051 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200052 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200053 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200054 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200056 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200057 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200058 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200059 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103200060 - Rock Berm 0300103120019

#### 14.

AREA/ACTIVITY EXPOSED TO STORMWATER (identify needed maintenance or a description of corrective actions in relevant question comment).

15. Material loading/unloading and storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

- Yes

16. Transfer areas for substances in bulk: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

• Yes

17. Product/chemical storage areas (raw material): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

- Yes

18. Liquid tank storage/secondary containment: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

• N/A

19. Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

- Yes

20. Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

- Yes

21. Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

- N/A

22. Outdoor vehicle and equipment washing areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

- N/A

23. Machinery: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

- Yes

24. Waste handling and disposal areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

• Yes

25. Erodible areas/construction: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

- Yes

26. Locations and sources of run-on to the site: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

- Yes

27. Salt storage piles or pile containing salt: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

- N/A

28. Dust generation and vehicle tracking: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

• Yes

29. Housekeeping (Industrial materials/residues/trash in contact with stormwater): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

- Yes

30. Leaks and spills: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

- Yes

31. Sector AA: Fabricated metal products: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

- Yes

32. Free of incidents of observed non-compliance not already identified above? If "No" describe.

• Yes

33. Are permit requirements satisfied with existing control measure(s)? If "No" describe additional control measures needed.

- Yes

#### 34. Additional information:

Heavy Metal Filter Socks replaced week of April 8, 2024

35. Date inspection completed.

04/11/2024

#### 36. Time inspection completed.

10:00AM

#### 37. Select inspector name.

Lutz, Timothy

38. Signature/Name I confirm the information as recorded is true, accurate and complete.

1\_

### 39.

#### **Certification Statement**

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

(Signatory must meet definition in Section B.11.A, e.g., FOD, Ops Mgr, EPC Group or Team Leader)

40. Print name and title: Casey Byrd LOG-CS

41. Signature:	CASEY BYRD	Digitally signed by CASEY BYRD (Affiliate)
-	(Affiliate)	Date: 2024.04.15 16:02:40 -06'00'

42. Date:

4/15/24

Go To Top

		View Doc	uments for this Questionnaire	*
Description	Document Type	Docum	ent Date	

## MSGP Record Print - Non-Employee Related Questionnaire (Clone) ①

### Questionnaire

#### Details

Date Of Response	05/16/2024	Questionnaire *	MSGP RFI TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shop (MSGP FAC TA-3-38 Metal Fab Shop)
Source	Environment Inspection/Survey Program	QRHId	2785
Location	TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shops(MSGP-0001)	Source Id	MSGP 2024 TA3-38 MFS
Created By	Lutz, Timothy (368093)		
Created by, used for transfer to EDRMS	Lutz, Timothy		

#### Responses

1. Describe the weather at time of inspection and document the temperature (F).

54 degrees F. Overcast.

2.

WITHIN THE FACILITY BOUNDARY

3. Is the facility free of previously unidentified discharges from and/or pollutants that have occurred since the last inspection? If No, describe.

Yes

4. Has a CAR been previously initiated for discharge identified in the previous question?

N/A

5. Is the facility free of discharge of pollutants at the time of inspection? If No, describe.

Yes

6. Is the facility free of evidence of, or the potential for, pollutants entering the drainage system. If No, describe.

Yes

#### 7.

OUTFALL INSPECTION (identify needed maintenance and repairs, failed control measures that need replacement, or a description of corrective actions in relevant question comment).

8. Monitored Outfall 076: Is the outfall free of evidence of erosion; free of evidence of pollutants in discharges and/or Receiving Water; AND free of any unauthorized non-stormwater discharges?

Yes

9. Monitored Outfall 076: Are flow dissipation devices operating effectively?

Yes

10. Monitored Outfall 077: Is the outfall free of evidence of erosion; free of evidence of pollutants in discharges and/or Receiving Water; AND free of any unauthorized non-stormwater discharges?

Yes

11. Monitored Outfall 077: Are flow dissipation devices operating effectively?

Yes

12.

**CONTROL MEASURES** 

13. Select control measures inspected. (Identify needed maintenance, repairs, failed control measures that need replacement, or a description of corrective action in text box below.)

Asphalt Berm 0300103040014 Asphalt Berm 0300103040028 Asphalt Berm 0300103040061 Base Course Berm 0300103020001 Drop Inlet w/ Petro-Plug 0300109010003 Gravel Bags 0300103100020 Gravel Mulch 0300101050055 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210062 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210063 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210064 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210065 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210066 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210067 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210068 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210069 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210070 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210071 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210072 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210073 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210074 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210075 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210076 Rock Berm 0300103120019

#### 14.

AREA/ACTIVITY EXPOSED TO STORMWATER (identify needed maintenance or a description of corrective actions in relevant question comment).

15. Material loading/unloading and storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

Yes

16. Transfer areas for substances in bulk: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

Yes

17. Product/chemical storage areas (raw material): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

Yes

18. Liquid tank storage/secondary containment: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

No

19. Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe. 20. Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

21. Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

N/A

22. Outdoor vehicle and equipment washing areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

N/A

23. Machinery: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

24. Waste handling and disposal areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

25. Erodible areas/construction: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

26. Locations and sources of run-on to the site: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

27. Salt storage piles or pile containing salt: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

N/A

28. Dust generation and vehicle tracking: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

29. Housekeeping (Industrial materials/residues/trash in contact with stormwater): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

30. Leaks and spills: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

31. Sector AA: Fabricated metal products: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

32. Free of incidents of observed non-compliance not already identified above? If "No" describe.

Yes

33. Are permit requirements satisfied with existing control measure(s)? If "No" describe additional control measures needed.

Yes

34. Additional information:

35. Date inspection completed.

05/16/2024

36. Time inspection completed.

2:00PM

37. Select inspector name.

Lutz, Timothy

# 38. Signature/Name I confirm the information as recorded is true, accurate and complete. 39. **Certification Statement** "I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations." (Signatory must meet definition in Section B.11.A, e.g., FOD, Ops Mgr, EPC Group or Team Leader) 40. Print name and title: Casey Byrd LOG-CS Digitally signed by CASEY BYRD (Affiliate) CASEY BYRD 41. Signature: Date: 2024.05.23 12:41:22 (Affiliate) -06'00' 42. Date: 5/23/24 Go To Top Documents for this Questionnaire v View

Description

**Documents** 

**Document Type** 

Document Date

## MSGP Record - Non-Employee Related Questionnaire ①

Questionnaire			
Details			
Date Of Response *	06/25/2024	Questionnaire *	MSGP RFI TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shop (MSGP FAC TA-3-38 Metal Fab Shop)
Source	Environment Inspection/Survey Program	QRHId	2976
In Progress			
Location	TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shops(MSGP-0001)	Source Id	MSGP 2024 TA3-38 MFS
Modified By	Lutz, Timothy (368093)	Modified Date	06/25/2024 2:41 PM
Locked Unlocked		Locked By	
Lock Date Time		Cancelled	
Signed copy of RFI returned to MSGP Program?		Cancelled Date	mm/dd/yyyy
		Created by, used for transfer to EDRMS	Lutz, Timothy

#### Responses

1. Describe the weather at time of inspection and document the temperature (F).

86 degrees, mostly sunny

2.

#### WITHIN THE FACILITY BOUNDARY

3. Is the facility free of previously unidentified discharges from and/or pollutants that have occurred since the last inspection? If No, describe.

Yes

4. Has a CAR been previously initiated for discharge identified in the previous question?

5. Is the facility free of discharge of pollutants at the time of inspection? If No, describe.

• Yes

6. Is the facility free of evidence of, or the potential for, pollutants entering the drainage system. If No, describe.

• Yes

### 7.

OUTFALL INSPECTION (identify needed maintenance and repairs, failed control measures that need replacement, or a description of corrective actions in relevant question comment).

8. Monitored Outfall 076: Is the outfall free of evidence of erosion; free of evidence of pollutants in discharges and/or Receiving Water; AND free of any unauthorized non-stormwater discharges?

• Yes

9. Monitored Outfall 076: Are flow dissipation devices operating effectively?

• Yes

10. Monitored Outfall 077: Is the outfall free of evidence of erosion; free of evidence of pollutants in discharges and/or Receiving Water; AND free of any unauthorized non-stormwater discharges?

• Yes

11. Monitored Outfall 077: Are flow dissipation devices operating effectively?

Yes

12.

#### CONTROL MEASURES

13. Select control measures inspected. (Identify needed maintenance, repairs, failed control measures that need replacement, or a description of corrective action in text box below.)

- Asphalt Berm 0300103040014
- Asphalt Berm 0300103040028
- Asphalt Berm 0300103040061
- Base Course Berm 0300103020001
- Drop Inlet w/ Petro-Plug 0300109010003
- Gravel Bags 0300103100020
- Gravel Mulch 0300101050055
- Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210062
- Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210063
- Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210064
- Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210065
- Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210066
- Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210067
- Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210068
- Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210069
- Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210070
- Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210071
- Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210072
- Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210073
- Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210074
- Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210075
- Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210076
- Rock Berm 0300103120019

#### 14.

AREA/ACTIVITY EXPOSED TO STORMWATER (identify needed maintenance or a description of corrective actions in relevant question comment).

15. Material loading/unloading and storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

• Yes

16. Transfer areas for substances in bulk: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

Yes

17. Product/chemical storage areas (raw material): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

Yes

18. Liquid tank storage/secondary containment: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

• N/A

19. Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

· Yes

20. Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

• Yes

21. Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

• N/A

22. Outdoor vehicle and equipment washing areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

• N/A

23. Machinery: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

• Yes

24. Waste handling and disposal areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

• Yes

25. Erodible areas/construction: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

, Yes

26. Locations and sources of run-on to the site: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

27. Salt storage piles or pile containing salt: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

·N/A

28. Dust generation and vehicle tracking: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

• Yes

29. Housekeeping (Industrial materials/residues/trash in contact with stormwater): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

30. Leaks and spills: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

31. Sector AA: Fabricated metal products: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

32. Free of incidents of observed non-compliance not already identified above? If "No" describe.

· Yes

33. Are permit requirements satisfied with existing control measure(s)? If "No" describe additional control measures needed.

· Yes

34. Additional information:

#### 35. Date inspection completed.

06/25/2024

#### 36. Time inspection completed.

1:00PM

#### 37. Select inspector name.

Lutz, Timothy

#### 38. Signature/Name

I confirm the information as recorded is true, accurate and complete.



#### 39.

### **Certification Statement**

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

(Signatory must meet definition in Section B.11.A, e.g., FOD, Ops Mgr, EPC Group or Team Leader)

40. Print name and title:	Casey Byrd
41. Signature:	CASEY BYRD Digitally signed by CASEY BYRD (Affiliate) (Affiliate) Date: 2024.06.26 07:52:24 -06'00'

	42. Date:	6/26/24		
				<u>Go To Top</u>
Documents				
			View	Documents for this Questionnaire 🗸 🗸
Descrip	tion	Document Type	D	ocument Date

## MSGP Record Print - Non-Employee Related Questionnaire (Clone) ①

Questionnaire			
Details			
Date Of Response *	07/23/2024	Questionnaire *	MSGP RFI TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shop (MSGP FAC TA-3-38 Metal Fab Shop)
Source	Environment Inspection/Survey Program	QRHId	3160
Location	TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shops(MSGP-0001)	Source Id	MSGP 2024 TA3-38 MFS
Created By	Lutz, Timothy (368093)		

#### Responses

1. Describe the weather at time of inspection and document the temperature (F).

77 degrees and partly cloudy

2.

#### WITHIN THE FACILITY BOUNDARY

3. Is the facility free of previously unidentified discharges from and/or pollutants that have occurred since the last inspection? If No, describe.

-Yes

4. Has a CAR been previously initiated for discharge identified in the previous question?

• N/A

5. Is the facility free of discharge of pollutants at the time of inspection? If No, describe.

Yes

6. Is the facility free of evidence of, or the potential for, pollutants entering the drainage system. If No, describe.

Yes

#### 7.

OUTFALL INSPECTION (identify needed maintenance and repairs, failed control measures that need replacement, or a description of corrective actions in relevant question comment).

8. Monitored Outfall 076: Is the outfall free of evidence of erosion; free of evidence of pollutants in discharges and/or Receiving Water; AND free of any unauthorized non-stormwater discharges?

-Yes

9. Monitored Outfall 076: Are flow dissipation devices operating effectively?

Yes

10. Monitored Outfall 077: Is the outfall free of evidence of erosion; free of evidence of pollutants in discharges and/or Receiving Water; AND free of any unauthorized non-stormwater discharges?

Yes

11. Monitored Outfall 077: Are flow dissipation devices operating effectively?

Yes

12.

**CONTROL MEASURES** 

13. Select control measures inspected. (Identify needed maintenance, repairs, failed control measures that need replacement, or a description of corrective action in text box below.)

- Asphalt Berm 0300103040014

- Asphalt Berm 0300103040028

- Asphalt Berm 0300103040061

- Base Course Berm 0300103020001

- Drop Inlet w/ Petro-Plug 0300109010003

- Gravel Bags 0300103100020 - Gravel Mulch 0300101050055 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210062 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210063 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210064 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210065 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210066 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210067 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210068 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210069 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210070 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210071 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210072 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210073 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210074 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210075 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210076 - Rock Berm 0300103120019

#### 14.

AREA/ACTIVITY EXPOSED TO STORMWATER (identify needed maintenance or a description of corrective actions in relevant question comment).

15. Material loading/unloading and storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

Yes

16. Transfer areas for substances in bulk: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

- Yes

17. Product/chemical storage areas (raw material): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

-Yes

18. Liquid tank storage/secondary containment: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

Yes

19. Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

Yes

20. Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

21. Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

- N/A

22. Outdoor vehicle and equipment washing areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

- N/A

23. Machinery: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

24. Waste handling and disposal areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

-Yes

25. Erodible areas/construction: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

- Yes

26. Locations and sources of run-on to the site: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

-Yes

27. Salt storage piles or pile containing salt: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

- N/A

28. Dust generation and vehicle tracking: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

29. Housekeeping (Industrial materials/residues/trash in contact with stormwater): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

30. Leaks and spills: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

31. Sector AA: Fabricated metal products: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

32. Free of incidents of observed non-compliance not already identified above? If "No" describe.

Yes

33. Are permit requirements satisfied with existing control measure(s)? If "No" describe additional control measures needed.

Yes

#### 34. Additional information:

Shop asked if PetroPlug could be removed. Coordinated with MSGP program lead and permission was granted. Shop personnel stated that PetroPlug inhibited removal of water from sumo resulting in flooding of the pipefitter's shop.

35. Date inspection completed.

07/23/2024

#### 36. Time inspection completed.

1:00pm

#### 37. Select inspector name.

Lutz, Timothy

38. Signature/NameI confirm the information as recorded is true, accurate and complete.

### 39.

#### **Certification Statement**

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

(Signatory must meet definition in Section B.11.A, e.g., FOD, Ops Mgr, EPC Group or Team Leader)

40. Print name and title:	Casey Byrd LOG-CS
0	

42. Date:

9/3/24

		<u>Go To Top</u>		
Documents				
		View	Documents for this Questionnaire	~
Description	Document Type	D	ocument Date	

## MSGP Record Print - Non-Employee Related Questionnaire (Clone) ①

Questionnaire			
Details			
Date Of Response *	08/26/2024	Questionnaire *	MSGP RFI TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shop (MSGP FAC TA-3-38 Metal Fab Shop)
Source	Environment Inspection/Survey Program	QRHId	3462
Location	TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shops(MSGP-0001)	Source Id	MSGP 2024 TA3-38 MFS
Created By	Lutz, Timothy (368093)		

#### Responses

1. Describe the weather at time of inspection and document the temperature (F).

75 degrees, partly cloudy

2.

#### WITHIN THE FACILITY BOUNDARY

3. Is the facility free of previously unidentified discharges from and/or pollutants that have occurred since the last inspection? If No, describe.

-Yes

4. Has a CAR been previously initiated for discharge identified in the previous question?

• N/A

5. Is the facility free of discharge of pollutants at the time of inspection? If No, describe.

Yes

6. Is the facility free of evidence of, or the potential for, pollutants entering the drainage system. If No, describe.

Yes

#### 7.

OUTFALL INSPECTION (identify needed maintenance and repairs, failed control measures that need replacement, or a description of corrective actions in relevant question comment).

8. Monitored Outfall 076: Is the outfall free of evidence of erosion; free of evidence of pollutants in discharges and/or Receiving Water; AND free of any unauthorized non-stormwater discharges?

-Yes

9. Monitored Outfall 076: Are flow dissipation devices operating effectively?

Yes

10. Monitored Outfall 077: Is the outfall free of evidence of erosion; free of evidence of pollutants in discharges and/or Receiving Water; AND free of any unauthorized non-stormwater discharges?

Yes

11. Monitored Outfall 077: Are flow dissipation devices operating effectively?

Yes

12.

**CONTROL MEASURES** 

13. Select control measures inspected. (Identify needed maintenance, repairs, failed control measures that need replacement, or a description of corrective action in text box below.)

- Asphalt Berm 0300103040014

- Asphalt Berm 0300103040028

- Asphalt Berm 0300103040061

- Base Course Berm 0300103020001

- Gravel Bags 0300103100020

- Gravel Mulch 0300101050055 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210062 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210063 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210064 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210065 Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210066 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210067 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210068 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210069 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210070 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210071 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210072 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210073 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210074 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210075 - Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210076 - Rock Berm 0300103120019

#### 14.

AREA/ACTIVITY EXPOSED TO STORMWATER (identify needed maintenance or a description of corrective actions in relevant question comment).

15. Material loading/unloading and storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

Yes

16. Transfer areas for substances in bulk: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

Yes

17. Product/chemical storage areas (raw material): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

- Yes

18. Liquid tank storage/secondary containment: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

- Yes

19. Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

-Yes

20. Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

- Yes

21. Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

- N/A

22. Outdoor vehicle and equipment washing areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

- N/A

23. Machinery: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

24. Waste handling and disposal areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

25. Erodible areas/construction: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

26. Locations and sources of run-on to the site: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

27. Salt storage piles or pile containing salt: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

- N/A

28. Dust generation and vehicle tracking: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

-Yes

29. Housekeeping (Industrial materials/residues/trash in contact with stormwater): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

30. Leaks and spills: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

31. Sector AA: Fabricated metal products: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

32. Free of incidents of observed non-compliance not already identified above? If "No" describe.

Yes

33. Are permit requirements satisfied with existing control measure(s)? If "No" describe additional control measures needed.

Yes

34. Additional information:

35. Date inspection completed.

08/26/2024

#### 36. Time inspection completed.

9:00AM

#### 37. Select inspector name.

Lutz, Timothy

#### 38. Signature/Name

I confirm the information as recorded is true, accurate and complete.



39.

#### **Certification Statement**

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

(Signatory must meet definition in Section B.11.A, e.g., FOD, Ops Mgr, EPC Group or Team Leader)

40. Print name and title:	Casey Byrd LOG-CS	
41. Signature:	CASEY BYRD Digitally signed by CASEY BYRD (Affiliate) (Affiliate) Date: 2024.09.03 08:15:38 -06'00'	
42. Date:	9/3/24	

<u>Go To Top</u>

	Vie	'iew	Documents for this Questionnaire
Description	Document Type	D	ocument Date

# MSGP Record Print - Non-Employee Related Questionnaire (Clone) ①

Questionnaire			
Details			
Date Of Response *	09/25/2024	Questionnaire *	MSGP RFI TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shop (MSGP FAC TA-3-38 Metal Fab Shop)
Source	Environment Inspection/Survey Program	QRHId	3771
Location	TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shops(MSGP-0001)	Source Id	MSGP 2024 TA3-38 MFS
Created By	Lutz, Timothy (368093)		

#### Responses

1. Describe the weather at time of inspection and document the temperature (F).

76 degrees and sunny

2.

#### WITHIN THE FACILITY BOUNDARY

3. Is the facility free of previously unidentified discharges from and/or pollutants that have occurred since the last inspection? If No, describe.

-Yes

4. Has a CAR been previously initiated for discharge identified in the previous question?

• N/A

5. Is the facility free of discharge of pollutants at the time of inspection? If No, describe.

6. Is the facility free of evidence of, or the potential for, pollutants entering the drainage system. If No, describe.

Yes

#### 7.

OUTFALL INSPECTION (identify needed maintenance and repairs, failed control measures that need replacement, or a description of corrective actions in relevant question comment).

8. Monitored Outfall 076: Is the outfall free of evidence of erosion; free of evidence of pollutants in discharges and/or Receiving Water; AND free of any unauthorized non-stormwater discharges?

-Yes

9. Monitored Outfall 076: Are flow dissipation devices operating effectively?

Yes

10. Monitored Outfall 077: Is the outfall free of evidence of erosion; free of evidence of pollutants in discharges and/or Receiving Water; AND free of any unauthorized non-stormwater discharges?

Yes

11. Monitored Outfall 077: Are flow dissipation devices operating effectively?

Yes

12.

**CONTROL MEASURES** 

13. Select control measures inspected. (Identify needed maintenance, repairs, failed control measures that need replacement, or a description of corrective action in text box below.)

- Asphalt Berm 0300103040014

- Asphalt Berm 0300103040028

- Asphalt Berm 0300103040061

- Base Course Berm 0300103020001

- Gravel Bags 0300103100020

- Gravel Mulch 0300101050055
- Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210077
- Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210078
- Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210079
- Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210080
- Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210081
- Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210082
- Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210083
- Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210084
- Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210085
- Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210086
- Rock Berm 0300103120019

## 14.

AREA/ACTIVITY EXPOSED TO STORMWATER (identify needed maintenance or a description of corrective actions in relevant question comment).

15. Material loading/unloading and storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

-Yes

16. Transfer areas for substances in bulk: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

-Yes

17. Product/chemical storage areas (raw material): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

Yes

18. Liquid tank storage/secondary containment: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

Yes

19. Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

20. Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

21. Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

- N/A

22. Outdoor vehicle and equipment washing areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

- N/A

23. Machinery: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

24. Waste handling and disposal areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

25. Erodible areas/construction: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

-Yes

26. Locations and sources of run-on to the site: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

-Yes

27. Salt storage piles or pile containing salt: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

- N/A

28. Dust generation and vehicle tracking: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

29. Housekeeping (Industrial materials/residues/trash in contact with stormwater): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

30. Leaks and spills: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

31. Sector AA: Fabricated metal products: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

32. Free of incidents of observed non-compliance not already identified above? If "No" describe.

Yes

33. Are permit requirements satisfied with existing control measure(s)? If "No" describe additional control measures needed.

Yes

34. Additional information:

Conducted annual training with personnel at same time as inspection.

35. Date inspection completed.

09/25/2024

#### 36. Time inspection completed.

2:00PM

37. Select inspector name.

Lutz, Timothy

38. Signature/Name I confirm the information as recorded is true, accurate and complete.



#### 39.

#### **Certification Statement**

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

(Signatory must meet definition in Section B.11.A, e.g., FOD, Ops Mgr, EPC Group or Team Leader)

40. Print name and title:	Casey Byrd LOG-CS	
41. Signature:	CASEY BYRD Digitally signed by CASEY BYRD (Affiliate) (Affiliate) Date: 2024.09.25 15:43:22 -06'00'	
42. Date:	9/25/24	
		<u>Go To Top</u>
		[

Description

**Documents** 

Details			
Date Of Response *	10/29/2024	Questionnaire *	MSGP RFI TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shop (MSGP FAC TA-3-38 Metal Fab Shop)
Source	Environmental Questionnaire	Source Id	4509
In Progress			
Total Score			

#### Responses

1. Describe the weather at time of inspection and document the temperature (F).

68°F and Sunny

2.

#### WITHIN THE FACILITY BOUNDARY

3. Is the facility free of previously unidentified discharges from and/or pollutants that have occurred since the last inspection? If No, describe.

Yes

4. Has a CAR been previously initiated for discharge identified in the previous question?

• N/A

5. Is the facility free of discharge of pollutants at the time of inspection? If No, describe.

• Yes

6. Is the facility free of evidence of, or the potential for, pollutants entering the drainage system. If No, describe.

· Yes

OUTFALL INSPECTION (identify needed maintenance and repairs, failed control measures that need replacement, or a description of corrective actions in relevant question comment).

8. Monitored Outfall 076: Is the outfall free of evidence of erosion; free of evidence of pollutants in discharges and/or Receiving Water; AND free of any unauthorized non-stormwater discharges?

Yes

7.

9. Monitored Outfall 076: Are flow dissipation devices operating effectively?

• Yes

10. Monitored Outfall 077: Is the outfall free of evidence of erosion; free of evidence of pollutants in discharges and/or Receiving Water; AND free of any unauthorized non-stormwater discharges?

Yes

11. Monitored Outfall 077: Are flow dissipation devices operating effectively?

Yes

12.

#### CONTROL MEASURES

13. Select control measures inspected. (Identify needed maintenance, repairs, failed control measures that need replacement, or a description of corrective action in text box below.)

- Asphalt Berm 0300103040014

- Asphalt Berm 0300103040028
- Asphalt Berm 0300103040061
- Base Course Berm 0300103020001
- Gravel Bags 0300103100020
- Gravel Mulch 0300101050055

- Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210077
- Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210078
- Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210079
- Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210080
- Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210081
- Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210082
- Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210083
- Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210084
- Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210085
- Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210086
- Rock Berm 0300103120019

# 14.

# AREA/ACTIVITY EXPOSED TO STORMWATER (identify needed maintenance or a description of corrective actions in relevant question comment).

15. Material loading/unloading and storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

• Yes

16. Transfer areas for substances in bulk: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

• Yes

17. Product/chemical storage areas (raw material): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

• Yes

18. Liquid tank storage/secondary containment: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

- Yes

19. Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

20. Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

21. Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

• N/A

22. Outdoor vehicle and equipment washing areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

• N/A

23. Machinery: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

• Yes

24. Waste handling and disposal areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

25. Erodible areas/construction: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

26. Locations and sources of run-on to the site: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

• Yes

27. Salt storage piles or pile containing salt: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

28. Dust generation and vehicle tracking: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

29. Housekeeping (Industrial materials/residues/trash in contact with stormwater): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

30. Leaks and spills: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

• Yes

31. Sector AA: Fabricated metal products: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

32. Free of incidents of observed non-compliance not already identified above? If "No" describe.

Yes

33. Are permit requirements satisfied with existing control measure(s)? If "No" describe additional control measures needed.

• Yes

34. Additional information:

35. Date inspection completed.

10/29/2024

#### 36. Time inspection completed.

2:00 pm

37. Select inspector name.

Flores, Jairo

38. Signature/NameI confirm the information as recorded is true, accurate and complete.

Jairo Flores Digitally signed by Jairo Flores Date: 2024.11.04 15:41:40 -07'00'

#### 39.

#### **Certification Statement**

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

(Signatory must meet definition in Section B.11.A, e.g., FOD, Ops Mgr, EPC Group or Team Leader)

40. Print name and title: Casey Byrd LOG-CS

41. Signature: CASEY BYRD (Affiliate) Digitally signed by CASEY BYRD (Affiliate) Date: 2024.11.12 12:30:00 -07'00'

<u>Go To Top</u>

# Non-Employee Related Questionnaire ③

Questionnaire			
Details			
Date Of Response *	11/25/2024	Questionnaire *	MSGP RFI TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shop (MSGP FAC TA-3-38 Metal Fab Shop)
Source	Environmental Questionnaire	Source Id	<u>5289</u>
In Progress			
Total Score			

## **Employee Work Demographic**

#### Responses

1. Describe the weather at time of inspection and document the temperature (F).

47 °F and Sunny

2.

#### WITHIN THE FACILITY BOUNDARY

3. Is the facility free of previously unidentified discharges from and/or pollutants that have occurred since the last inspection? If No, describe.

• Yes

4. Has a CAR been previously initiated for discharge identified in the previous question?

• N/A

5. Is the facility free of discharge of pollutants at the time of inspection? If No, describe.

6. Is the facility free of evidence of, or the potential for, pollutants entering the drainage system. If No, describe.

Yes

## 7.

OUTFALL INSPECTION (identify needed maintenance and repairs, failed control measures that need replacement, or a description of corrective actions in relevant question comment).

8. Monitored Outfall 076: Is the outfall free of evidence of erosion; free of evidence of pollutants in discharges and/or Receiving Water; AND free of any unauthorized non-stormwater discharges?

• Yes

9. Monitored Outfall 076: Are flow dissipation devices operating effectively?

• Yes

10. Monitored Outfall 077: Is the outfall free of evidence of erosion; free of evidence of pollutants in discharges and/or Receiving Water; AND free of any unauthorized non-stormwater discharges?

Yes

11. Monitored Outfall 077: Are flow dissipation devices operating effectively?

Yes

12.

**CONTROL MEASURES** 

13. Select control measures inspected. (Identify needed maintenance, repairs, failed control measures that need replacement, or a description of corrective action in text box below.)

- Asphalt Berm 0300103040014
- Asphalt Berm 0300103040028
- Asphalt Berm 0300103040061
- Base Course Berm 0300103020001
- Gravel Bags 0300103100020
- Gravel Mulch 0300101050055
- Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210077
- Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210078
- Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210079
- Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210080
- Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210081
- Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210082
- Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210083
- Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210084
- Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210085
- Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210086
- Rock Berm 0300103120019

14.

AREA/ACTIVITY EXPOSED TO STORMWATER (identify needed maintenance or a description of corrective actions in relevant question comment).

15. Material loading/unloading and storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

• Yes

16. Transfer areas for substances in bulk: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

Yes

17. Product/chemical storage areas (raw material): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

Yes

18. Liquid tank storage/secondary containment: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

19. Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

Yes

20. Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

21. Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

• N/A

22. Outdoor vehicle and equipment washing areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

• N/A

23. Machinery: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

24. Waste handling and disposal areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

25. Erodible areas/construction: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

26. Locations and sources of run-on to the site: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

27. Salt storage piles or pile containing salt: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

• N/A

28. Dust generation and vehicle tracking: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

29. Housekeeping (Industrial materials/residues/trash in contact with stormwater): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

• Yes

30. Leaks and spills: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

- Yes

31. Sector AA: Fabricated metal products: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

32. Free of incidents of observed non-compliance not already identified above? If "No" describe.

Yes

33. Are permit requirements satisfied with existing control measure(s)? If "No" describe additional control measures needed.

#### 34. Additional information:

#### 35. Date inspection completed.

11/25/2024

#### 36. Time inspection completed.

11:00 am

#### 37. Select inspector name.

JF

Flores, Jairo

## 38. Signature/Name I confirm the information as recorded is true, accurate and complete.

39.

#### **Certification Statement**

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

(Signatory must meet definition in Section B.11.A, e.g., FOD, Ops Mgr, EPC Group or Team Leader)





42. Date:

<u>Go To Top</u>

# MSGP Record Print - Non-Employee Related Questionnaire (Clone)

Details			
Date Of Response *	12/17/2024	Questionnaire *	MSGP RFI TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shop (MSGP FAC TA-3-38 Metal Fab Shop)
Source	Environment Inspection/Survey Program	QRHId	5705
Location	TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shops(MSGP-0001)	Source Id	MSGP 2024 TA3-38 MFS
Created By	Flores, Jairo (384848)		

#### Responses

1. Describe the weather at time of inspection and document the temperature (F).

35°F and Sunny

2.

## WITHIN THE FACILITY BOUNDARY

3. Is the facility free of previously unidentified discharges from and/or pollutants that have occurred since the last inspection? If No, describe.

• Yes

4. Has a CAR been previously initiated for discharge identified in the previous question?

• N/A

5. Is the facility free of discharge of pollutants at the time of inspection? If No, describe.

· Yes

6. Is the facility free of evidence of, or the potential for, pollutants entering the drainage system. If No, describe.

Yes

## 7.

OUTFALL INSPECTION (identify needed maintenance and repairs, failed control measures that need replacement, or a description of corrective actions in relevant question comment).

8. Monitored Outfall 076: Is the outfall free of evidence of erosion; free of evidence of pollutants in discharges and/or Receiving Water; AND free of any unauthorized non-stormwater discharges?

• Yes

9. Monitored Outfall 076: Are flow dissipation devices operating effectively?

• Yes

10. Monitored Outfall 077: Is the outfall free of evidence of erosion; free of evidence of pollutants in discharges and/or Receiving Water; AND free of any unauthorized non-stormwater discharges?

• Yes

11. Monitored Outfall 077: Are flow dissipation devices operating effectively?

Yes

12.

**CONTROL MEASURES** 

13. Select control measures inspected. (Identify needed maintenance, repairs, failed control measures that need replacement, or a description of corrective action in text box below.)

- Asphalt Berm 0300103040014
- Asphalt Berm 0300103040028
- Asphalt Berm 0300103040061
- Base Course Berm 0300103020001
- Gravel Bags 0300103100020
- Gravel Mulch 0300101050055
- Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210077
- Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210078
- Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210079
- Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210080
- Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210081
- Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210082
- Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210083
- Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210084
- Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210085
- Heavy Metal Filter Sock 0300103210086
- Rock Berm 0300103120019

Asphalt Berm 0300103040028 requires maintenance. Upstream portion of the control is above 50% capacity for sediment. Sediment must be removed.

#### 14.

# AREA/ACTIVITY EXPOSED TO STORMWATER (identify needed maintenance or a description of corrective actions in relevant question comment).

15. Material loading/unloading and storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

• No

During annual routine facility inspection with MSGP program personnel it was discovered that the northern outside metal storage area required additional coverage. Materials are stored outdoors via metal cantilever shelving and covered with tarps. Portions of the current tarps had tears and holes due to wear. The current status of the tarping could cause stormwater to come in contact with the material. See CAR #2444

16. Transfer areas for substances in bulk: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

Yes

17. Product/chemical storage areas (raw material): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

18. Liquid tank storage/secondary containment: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

Yes

19. Industrial processing and finished product storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.

• Yes

20. Equipment operation and maintenance areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

• Yes

21. Fueling areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

- N/A

22. Outdoor vehicle and equipment washing areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

• N/A

23. Machinery: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

24. Waste handling and disposal areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

• Yes

25. Erodible areas/construction: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

26. Locations and sources of run-on to the site: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

• Yes

27. Salt storage piles or pile containing salt: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

- N/A

28. Dust generation and vehicle tracking: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

• Yes

29. Housekeeping (Industrial materials/residues/trash in contact with stormwater): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

30. Leaks and spills: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

Yes

31. Sector AA: Fabricated metal products: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If No, describe.

• Yes

32. Free of incidents of observed non-compliance not already identified above? If "No" describe.

• Yes

33. Are permit requirements satisfied with existing control measure(s)? If "No" describe additional control measures needed.

Yes

#### 34. Additional information:

#### 35. Date inspection completed.

12/17/2024

36. Time inspection completed.

3:00 pm

37. Select inspector name.

Flores, Jairo

38. Signature/Name I confirm the information as recorded is true, accurate and complete.

JF

39.

#### **Certification Statement**

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations." (Signatory must meet definition in Section B.11.A, e.g., FOD, Ops Mgr, EPC Group or Team Leader)

40. Print name and title: Casey Byrd LOG-CS- Operations Manager 4

41. Signature: CASEY BYRD (Affiliate) (Affiliate) Date: 2025.01.09 11:46:01 -07'00'

**42. Date:** 1/9/25

<u>Go To Top</u>

# ATTACHMENT 8: QUARTERLY VISUAL ASSESSMENTS

# NMR050013 MSGP 2021 TA-3-38 Metals Fabrication Shop

# Quarterly Visual Assessment Forms, First Quarter, July through September 2021

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Terrill W. Lemke, EPC-CP Storm Water Permitting/Compliance Team Leader

Los Alamos National Laboratory

TERRILL LEMKE Digitally signed by TERRILL LEMKE (Affiliate) (Affiliate) Date: 2021 10:20 12:13:49 -06'00'

Manager Signature

Date

Facility Name	Sampling Station	Work Order #
TA-3-38 Metals Fabrication Shop	MSGP07601	MSGP-65031
TA-3-38 Metals Fabrication Shop	MSGP07701	MSGP-65042

# Los Alamos National Laboratory

Work Order MSGP-65031

MSGP Monitoring Stations Printed 10/6/2021 - 5:40 PM

#### **Maintenance Details** Requested: 7/6/2021 5:45:00 PM Target: 9/30/2021 🔁 MSGP Program 🚠 RG121.9 Procedure: MSGP Quarterly Visual Priority/Type: / Inspection Assessment (EPC-CP-QP-📥 TA-3-38 Metals Fab Shop Department: Utilities and Infrastructure 2105 R1 Form 1) Anitored Outfall (076) Last PM: 7/6/2021 **MSGP07601** Visual Assessments 7/1/21 **Project:** (P-MSGP-5503) Contact: Reason: MSGP Quarterly Visual Assessment Phone: Tasks

The result of this VA applies to associated SIOs as defined in the SWPPP, where applicable.			
Sample information			
30 Document the monitoring period. July-S	ept		
Document the Date/Time Discharge began in the "Reading" field of this line (using7/16/40mm/dd/yy hh:mm format).23:5			<b>M</b>
Document the Date/time sample collected in the "Reading" field of this line (using7/16/50mm/dd/yy hh:mm format).23:5			
Document the Date/time sample visually assessed in the "Reading" field of this line (using mm/dd/yy hh:mm format).7/19/ 15:0		Γ	<b>M</b>
Document the nature of discharge (e.g., rain, snowmelt). Document the TOTAL amount70(in) in the "Reading" field of this line.	15		
Sample collected in first 30 minutes of discharge? If "Failed" or unknown, provide a reason.			
Parameters			
110   Is sample colorless? If "Failed", describe.   brow	/n	×	
Is sample oderless? If "Failed", provide description (e.g. musty, sewage, sulfur, sour, solvent, petroleum/gas)			
130       Is sample clear? If "Failed", provide description (e.g., slightly cloudy, cloudy, opaque).       cloudy		×	
Is sample free of floating solids? If "Failed", describe if raw or waste material(s) in the comments of this line.			
150       Is sample free of settled solids? If "Failed", provide description (e.g., fine, course).       fine		×	
160 Is sample free of suspended solids? If "Failed", provide description (e.g., fine, course).			<b>1</b>
Is sample foamless after gently shaking? If "Failed" describe foam color and location (e.g.,'on the surface' or 'in the sample').			
Is sample devoid of an oil sheen? If "Failed", describe color and thickness (e.g. flecks, 180 globs).			
190 Is sample free of other obvious indicators of pollution? If "Failed", describe.			<b>V</b>

# Labor Report

Completed: 7/19/2021 3:03:00 PM

Report: Marwin Shendo

7/20/2021 Date

Signature / Name Date I confirm the information as recorded is true, accurate and complete.

## **CERTIFICATION STATEMENT**

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations".

## (Signatory must meet definition in Section B.11.A, eg. FOD, Ops Mgr, DESH Group Leader, EPC Group or Team Leader)

Print name and title: Terrill W. Lemke, EPC-CP Storm Water Permiting/Compliance Team Leader

Signature: (See signature on file) Date:

# Los Alamos National Laboratory

Work Order MSGP-65042

MSGP Monitoring Stations Printed 10/6/2021 - 5:41 PM

#### Maintenance Details -

Requested: 7/28/2021 3:47:00 PM       Target: 9/30/2021         Procedure:       MSGP Quarterly Visual Assessment (EPC-CP-QP- 2105 R1 Form 1)       Priority/Type: / Inspection         Last PM:       7/6/2021         Project:       Visual Assessments 7/1/21			/ Inspection	🚠 RG12	38 Metals F ored Outfall		р	
-	(P-MSGP-5503)			Contact:				
Reason:	MSGP Quarterly Visual Assessr	nent		Phone:				
Fasks —								
#	Description				Meas.	No	N/A	Yes
The resu	ult of this VA applies to associa	ted SIOs as defi	ned in the SWPPP, where	applicable.				
Sample	information							
30	Document the monitoring period.				jul-sept			$\mathbf{V}$
40	Document the Date/Time Dischar mm/dd/yy hh:mm format).	ge began in the '	'Reading" field of this line (ເ	using	7/27/21 1319			
50	Document the Date/time sample mm/dd/yy hh:mm format).	collected in the "F	Reading" field of this line (u	sing	7/27/21 1319			
60	Document the Date/time sample (using mm/dd/yy hh:mm format).	visually assessed	l in the "Reading" field of th	is line	7/29/21 1622			<b>N</b>
70	Document the nature of discharg (in) in the "Reading" field of this li		vmelt). Document the TOTA	L amount	rain 0.25 inch			<b>1</b>
80	Sample collected in first 30 minut reason.	es of discharge?	If "Failed" or unknown, pro	vide a				<b>1</b>
Paramet	tors							
110	Is sample colorless? If "Failed", d	escribe.			brown	×		
120	Is sample oderless? If "Failed", p solvent, petroleum/gas)		n (e.g. musty, sewage, sulfu	ir, sour,				<b>r</b>
130	Is sample clear? If "Failed", provi	de description (e.	a., slightly cloudy, cloudy, c	paque).	opaque	×		
140	Is sample free of floating solids? comments of this line.		* * · · · ·					<u> </u>
150	Is sample free of settled solids? I	f "Failed". provide	e description (e.g., fine, cou	rse).	fine	×		
160	Is sample free of suspended solid		· · · ·					
170	Is sample foamless after gently s (e.g.,'on the surface' or 'in the sar	haking? If "Failed	· · · ·	,				R.
180	Is sample devoid of an oil sheen? globs).		ribe color and thickness (e.	g. flecks,				
190	Is sample free of other obvious in	diastors of polluti	ion2 If "Failed" describe		·			

Completed: 7/29/2021 4:22:00 PM

Report: Marwin Shendo

Signature / Name

I confirm the information as recorded is true, accurate and complete.

8/3/2021 Date

Signature / Name

Date

### **CERTIFICATION STATEMENT**

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations".

## (Signatory must meet definition in Section B.11.A, eg. FOD, Ops Mgr, EPC Group or Team Leader)

Print name and title: Terrill W. Lemke, EPC-CP Storm Water Permiting/Compliance Team Leader

Signature: (See signature on file) Date:

# NMR050013 MSGP 2021 TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shops

# Quarterly Visual Assessment Forms, Fourth Quarter, April through June 2022

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

<u>Terrill W. Lemke, EPC-CP Storm Water Permitting/Compliance Team</u> <u>Leader</u> Los Alamos National Laboratory

TERRILL LEMKE Digitally signed by TERRILL LEMKE (Affiliate) (Affiliate) Date: 2022.11.18 11:16:03 -07'00'

Manager Signature

Facility Name	Sampling Station	Work Order #
TA-03-0038 Metal Fab. Shops	MSGP07601	MSGP-66001
TA-03-0038 Metal Fab. Shops	MSGP07701	MSGP-66020

# Los Alamos National Laboratory

## Work Order MSGP-66001

MSGP Monitoring Stations Printed 7/6/2022 - 2:35 PM

A STATE OF THE STATE OF THE	: 6/23/2022 7:10:00 PM MSGP Quarterly Visual Assessment (EPC-CP-QP- 2105 R1 Form 1) 6/23/2022 Visual Assessments 4/1/22 (P-MSGP-5585)	Target: Priority/Type: Department:	6/30/2022 Normal / Inspection Utilities and Infrastructure	MSGP Program 뮮 RG121,9 과 TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shops 과 Monitored Outfall (076) 와 MSGP07601
Reason: M	ISGP Quarterly Visual Assessm	ent		Contact: Phone:

Tasks

### # Description

**Maintenance Details** 

Meas. No N/A Yes

1.11

The result of this VA applies to associated SIOs as defined in the SWPPP, where applicable.

#### Sample information

30	Document the monitoring period.	April- June	Г	Г	IV.
40	Document the Date/Time Discharge began in the "Reading" field of this line (using mm/dd/yy hh:mm format).	6/22/22 6:12	Г	Г	12
50	Document the Date/time sample collected in the "Reading" field of this line (using mm/dd/yy hh:mm format).	6/22/22 6:12	Г	Г	12
60	Document the Date/time sample visually assessed in the "Reading" field of this line (using mm/dd/yy hh:mm format).	6/23/22 9:00	Ē	Г	12
70	Document the nature of discharge (e.g., rain, snowmelt). Document the TOTAL amount (in) in the "Reading" field of this line.	rain .67	Г	Г	12
80	Sample collected in first 30 minutes of discharge? If "Failed" or unknown, provide a reason.		Г	Г	12
Param	neters				
110	Is sample colorless? If "Failed", describe.	Brown	120	Г	E
120	Is sample oderless? If "Failed", provide description (e.g. musty, sewage, sulfur, sour, solvent, petroleum/gas)	asphalt smell	1×	Г	Г
130	Is sample clear? If "Failed", provide description (e.g., slightly cloudy, cloudy, opaque).	cloudy	1X	Г	Г
140	Is sample free of floating solids? If "Failed", describe if raw or waste material(s) in the comments of this line.		F	Г	IV.
150	Is sample free of settled solids? If "Failed", provide description (e.g., fine, course).	Fine	120	Г	Г
160	Is sample free of suspended solids? If "Failed", provide description (e.g., fine, course).		Г	Г	1V
170	Is sample foamless after gently shaking? If "Failed" describe foam color and location (e.g., 'on the surface' or 'in the sample').		Г	Г	IV.
180	Is sample devoid of an oil sheen? If "Failed", describe color and thickness (e.g. flecks, globs).		Г	Г	IV.
190	Is sample free of other obvious indicators of pollution? If "Failed", describe.	1	Г	Г	1V

## Labor Report

Completed: 6/23/2022 9:00:00 AM

Report: Marwin Shendo

Signature / Name

6/27/2022

Date

I confirm the information as recorded is true, accurate and complete.

Date

### **CERTIFICATION STATEMENT**

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations".

### (Signatory must meet definition in Section B.11.A, eg. FOD, Ops Mgr, EPC Group or Team Leader)

Print name and title: Terrill W. Lemke, EPC-CP Storm Water Permitting/Compliance Team Leader

Signature: <u>(See signature on file)</u> Date:

# Los Alamos National Laboratory

**Maintenance Details** 

## Work Order MSGP-66020

MSGP Monitoring Stations Printed 7/6/2022 - 2:36 PM

Procedu	<ul> <li>sted: 6/30/2022 10:10:00 AM</li> <li>ure: MSGP Quarterly Visual Assessment (EPC-CP-QP- 2105 R1 Form 1)</li> </ul>	Target: Priority/Type: Department:	6/30/2022 Normal / Inspection Utilities and Infrastructure	品 RG12 , TA-03				Shops
ast PN				A MSGF				
Project	: Visual Assessments 4/1/22 (P-MSGP-5585)					No N/A		
Reason	a: MSGP Quarterly Visual Asses	ssment		Phone:				
asks								
#	Description				Meas.	No	N/A	Yes
The res	sult of this VA applies to assoc	iated SIOs as defi	ned in the SWPPP, where a	applicable.				
	e information	2022 CONTRACT OF 107 10						
30		d			apr-jun	-	F	12
40		ocument the monitoring period. ocument the Date/Time Discharge began in the "Reading" field of this line (using					<u>г</u>	IV.
50			15:11 6/25/22 15:11	Г	Г	12		
60				6/27/22 10:43	Г	Г	14	
70	Document the nature of discha (in) in the "Reading" field of this		melt). Document the TOTAL	_ amount	rain 1.45	Г	Г	12
80	Sample collected in first 30 mir	nutes of discharge?	If "Failed" or unknown, provi	ide a		Г	Г	IV
Parame	eters							
110	Is sample colorless? If "Failed"	, describe.			brown	120	Г	
120	Is sample oderless? If "Failed", solvent, petroleum/gas)	ription this VA applies to associated SIOs as defined in the SWPPP, where applicable. nation ment the monitoring period. ment the Date/Time Discharge began in the "Reading" field of this line (using d/yy hh:mm format). ment the Date/Time sample collected in the "Reading" field of this line (using d/yy hh:mm format). ment the Date/time sample visually assessed in the "Reading" field of this line (using ment the Date/time sample visually assessed in the "Reading" field of this line g mm/dd/yy hh:mm format). ment the nature of discharge (e.g., rain, snowmelt). Document the TOTAL amount the "Reading" field of this line. le collected in first 30 minutes of discharge? If "Failed" or unknown, provide a n.  nple colorless? If "Failed", describe. nple colorless? If "Failed", provide description (e.g., musty, sewage, sulfur, sour, nt, petroleum/gas) nple free of floating solids? If "Failed", describe if raw or waste material(s) in the tents of this line. nple free of settled solids? If "Failed", provide description (e.g., fine, course). nple free of suspended solids? If "Failed", provide description (e.g., fine, course). nple free of suspended solids? If "Failed", provide description (e.g., fine, course). nple free of suspended solids? If "Failed", describe foam color and location on the surface' or "in the sample'). nple devoid of an oil sheen? If "Failed", describe color and thickness (e.g. flecks, nple free of other obvious indicators of pollution? If "Failed", describe.			musty	12	Г	Г
130	Is sample clear? If "Failed", pro	vide description (e.	g., slightly cloudy, cloudy, op	paque).	opaque	120	Г	Г
140					coarse	124	Г	Г
150	Is sample free of settled solids	? If "Failed", provide	description (e.g., fine, cours	se).	fine	×	Г	Г
160	Is sample free of suspended so	olids? If "Failed", pro	vide description (e.g., fine, o	course).	coarse	X	Г	Г
170			" describe foam color and lo	cation		Г	Г	12
80	_globs).	440) - Elitti Celetti		flecks,		Г	Г	R.
190	Is sample free of other obvious	indicators of polluti	on? If "Failed", describe.			Г	Г	Į.
abor R	Report							
a de la	(oport							
omple	eted: 6/27/2022 10:43:00 AM							

Signature / Name

7/1/2022 Date

Signature / Name

Date

### **CERTIFICATION STATEMENT**

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations".

### (Signatory must meet definition in Section B.11.A, eg. FOD, Ops Mgr, EPC Group or Team Leader)

Print name and title: <u>Terrill W. Lemke, EPC-CP Storm Water Permitting/Compliance Team Leader</u>

Signature: (See signature on file) Date:

# NMR050013 MSGP 2021 TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shops

# Quarterly Visual Assessment Forms, First Quarter, July through September 2022

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

<u>Terrill W. Lemke, EPC-CP Storm Water Permitting/Compliance Team</u> <u>Leader</u> Los Alamos National Laboratory

TERRILL LEMKE Digitally signed by TERRILL (Affiliate) Date: 2022.11.18 11:17:12 -07'00'

Manager Signature

Facility Name	Sampling Station	Work Order #
TA-03-0038 Metal Fab. Shops	MSGP07601	MSGP-66096
TA-03-0038 Metal Fab. Shops	MSGP07701	MSGP-66114

# Los Alamos National Laboratory

### **Maintenance Details**

## Work Order MSGP-66096

MSGP Monitoring Stations Printed 10/4/2022 - 11:29 AM

Requested By	: Banar, Alethea on	Target:	9/30/2022	MAGO						
	7/24/2022 4:35:00 PM	Priority/Type:		A RG12	P Program					
Taken By:	Banar, Alethea		Utilities and Infrastructure		3-0038 Meta	l Fabri	cation 9	Shope		
Procedure:	MSGP Quarterly Visual Assessment (EPC-CP- QP-2105 R1 Form 1)	al 🤹 Monitore		ored Outfall		Cation	snops			
Last PM:	7/21/2022									
Project:	Visual Assessments 7/1/22 (P-MSGP-5591)				t: Banar, Alethea 699-5836					
Reason: MSG	SP Quarterly Visual Assessn	nent								
asks						_				
# Desc	ription				Meas.	No	N/A	Yes		
The result of t	this VA applies to associat	ed SIOs as defin	ned in the SWPPP, where a	plicable.						
Sample inform	nation									
30 Docur	ment the monitoring period.	1			july-sept	Г	Г	1V		
40 Docur Mm/d	ment the Date/Time Dischar d/yy hh:mm format),	ge began in the "I	Reading" field of this line (usi	ng	7/21/22 13:40	Г	Г	14		
	ment the Date/time sample o d/yy hh:mm format).	collected in the "R	eading" field of this line (usir	g	7/21/22 13:40	Г	Г	V		
Docur 60 mm/d	ment the Date/time sample v d/yy hh:mm format).	visually assessed	in the "Reading" field of this	line (using	7/21/22 14:52	Г	Г	V		
Docur 70 (in) in	nent the nature of discharge the "Reading" field of this lin	e (e.g., rain, snow ne.	melt). Document the TOTAL	amount	rain 0.3	Г	Г	~		
Samp	le collected in first 30 minute	es of discharge? I	f "Failed" or unknown, provid	éa		1		1		

### Parameters

reason.

80

110	Is sample colorless? If "Failed", describe.	grayish	X	Γ.	Г
120	Is sample oderless? If "Failed", provide description (e.g. musty, sewage, sulfur, sour, solvent, petroleum/gas)		Г	Г	14
130	Is sample clear? If "Failed", provide description (e.g., slightly cloudy, cloudy, opaque).	slightly cloudy	x	Г	Г
140	Is sample free of floating solids? If "Failed", describe if raw or waste material(s) in the comments of this line.		Г	Г	14
150	Is sample free of settled solids? If "Failed", provide description (e.g., fine, course).		Г	Г	IV.
160	Is sample free of suspended solids? If "Failed", provide description (e.g., fine, course).	1.1	Г	Г	11
170	Is sample foamless after gently shaking? If "Failed" describe foam color and location (e.g., 'on the surface' or 'in the sample').	-	Г	Г	1
180	Is sample devoid of an oil sheen? If "Failed", describe color and thickness (e.g. flecks, globs).		Г	Г	IV.
190	Is sample free of other obvious indicators of pollution? If "Failed", describe.		Г	Г	IV.

## Labor Report

### Completed: 7/21/2022 2:52:00 PM

Report: Marwin Shendo

Signature / Name

7/26/2022 Date

ſ,

## **CERTIFICATION STATEMENT**

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations".

## (Signatory must meet definition in Section B.11.A, eg. FOD, Ops Mgr, EPC Group or Team Leader)

Print name and title: \_\_\_\_\_ Terrill W. Lemke, EPC-CP Storm Water Permitting/Compliance Team Leader \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_\_ (See signature on file) \_\_\_\_\_\_ Date:\_\_\_\_\_\_

# Los Alamos National Laboratory

## **Maintenance Details**

Requested By:	Banar, Alethea on 8/3/2022 5:28:00 PM	Target: Priority/Type:	9/30/2022 / Inspection	MSGP Program 品 RG121.9
Taken By:	Banar, Alethea	Department:	Utilities and Infrastructure	ATA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shops
Procedure:	MSGP Quarterly Visual Assessment (EPC-CP- QP-2105 R1 Form 1)	- open and the		Montiored Outfall (077) MSGP07701
Last PM:	8/1/2022			
Project:	Visual Assessments			Contact: Banar, Alethea
	7/1/22 (P-MSGP-5591)			Phone: 699-5836

Reason: MSGP Quarterly Visual Assessment

### Tasks

#	Description	Meas.	No	N/A	Yes
The r	esult of this VA applies to associated SIOs as defined in the SWPPP, where applicable				
Samp	ole information				
30	Document the monitoring period.	jul-sept	Г	Г	1V
40	Document the Date/Time Discharge began in the "Reading" field of this line (using mm/dd/yy hh:mm format).	7/30/22 @12:21	Г	Г	14
50	Document the Date/time sample collected in the "Reading" field of this line (using mm/dd/yy hh:mm format).	7/30/22 @12:21	Г	Г	12
60	Document the Date/time sample visually assessed in the "Reading" field of this line (using mm/dd/yy hh:mm format).	8/1/22 @10:14	Г	Г	14
70	Document the nature of discharge (e.g., rain, snowmelt). Document the TOTAL amount (in) in the "Reading" field of this line.	rain 1.56	Г	Г	11
	Sample collected in first 20 minutes of discharge? If "Failed" as a line of discharge?		_		
80	Document the nature of discharge (e.g., rain, snowmelt). Document the TOTAL amount       rain 1.56         Sample collected in first 30 minutes of discharge? If "Failed" or unknown, provide a reason.       rain 1.56         rameters       Dis sample colorless? If "Failed", describe.       brown		Г	Г	1V
	reason.		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1V
	neters	brown	_ <u>_</u>		
Paran 110	neters		 _X		
Paran 110 120	reason. neters Is sample colorless? If "Failed", describe. Is sample odorless? If "Failed", provide description (e.g. musty, sewage, sulfur, sour, solvent, petroleum/gas)	brown musty slightly cloudy	۲ ۱ <u>۲</u> ۱ <u>۲</u>		
Paran 110 120 130	reason. neters Is sample colorless? If "Failed", describe. Is sample odorless? If "Failed", provide description (e.g. musty, sewage, sulfur, sour,	musty slightly	1X		
Paran 110 120 130	reason.  neters  Is sample colorless? If "Failed", describe.  Is sample odorless? If "Failed", provide description (e.g. musty, sewage, sulfur, sour, solvent, petroleum/gas)  Is sample clear? If "Failed", provide description (e.g., slightly cloudy, cloudy, opaque). Is sample free of floating solids? If "Failed", describe if raw or waste material(s) in the	musty slightly	1X		
Paran 110 120 130 140 150	reason.  neters  Is sample colorless? If "Failed", describe.  Is sample odorless? If "Failed", provide description (e.g. musty, sewage, sulfur, sour, solvent, petroleum/gas)  Is sample clear? If "Failed", provide description (e.g., slightly cloudy, cloudy, opaque). Is sample free of floating solids? If "Failed", describe if raw or waste material(s) in the comments of this line.	musty slightly cloudy	1X		
Paran 110 120 130 140 150 160	reason.  neters  Is sample colorless? If "Failed", describe. Is sample odorless? If "Failed", provide description (e.g. musty, sewage, sulfur, sour, solvent, petroleum/gas)  Is sample clear? If "Failed", provide description (e.g., slightly cloudy, cloudy, opaque). Is sample free of floating solids? If "Failed", describe if raw or waste material(s) in the comments of this line. Is sample free of settled solids? If "Failed", provide description (e.g., fine, course).	musty slightly cloudy	1X		
Paran	reason.  neters  Is sample colorless? If "Failed", describe. Is sample odorless? If "Failed", provide description (e.g. musty, sewage, sulfur, sour, solvent, petroleum/gas)  Is sample clear? If "Failed", provide description (e.g., slightly cloudy, cloudy, opaque). Is sample free of floating solids? If "Failed", describe if raw or waste material(s) in the comments of this line. Is sample free of settled solids? If "Failed", provide description (e.g., fine, course). Is sample free of suspended solids? If "Failed", provide description (e.g., fine, course). Is sample free of sample free sample free of suspended solids? If "Failed", provide description (e.g., fine, course). Is sample free of suspended solids? If "Failed", provide description (e.g., fine, course). Is sample foamless after gently shaking? If "Failed" describe foam color and location	musty slightly cloudy	1X		

## Labor Report

### Completed: 8/1/2022 10:14:00 AM

Report: Marwin Shendo

Signature / Name

8/9/2022 Date

Signature / Name

## Work Order MSGP-66114

MSGP Monitoring Stations Printed 10/4/2022 - 11:30 AM I confirm the information as recorded is true, accurate and complete.

### **CERTIFICATION STATEMENT**

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations".

# (Signatory must meet definition in Section B.11.A, eg. FOD, Ops Mgr, EPC Group or Team Leader)

Print name and title: \_\_\_\_\_ Terrill W. Lemke, EPC-CP Storm Water Permitting/Compliance Team Leader \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: <u>(See signature on file)</u> Date:

# NMR050013 MSGP 2021 TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shops

# Quarterly Visual Assessment Form, Second Quarter, October through December 2022

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

<u>Terrill W. Lemke, EPC-CP Storm Water Permitting/Compliance Team</u> <u>Leader</u> Los Alamos National Laboratory

 Digitally signed by TERRILL

 (Affiliate)

 Date: 2023.01.09 17:31:27

Manager Signature

Facility Name	Sampling Station	Work Order #
TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shops	MSGP07701	MSGP-66180

# Los Alamos National Laboratory

**Maintenance Details** 

## Work Order MSGP-66180

MSGP Monitoring Stations Printed 10/31/2022 - 5:56 PM

	ested: 10/3/2022 5:19:00 PM	Target:	12/31/2022	🔜 MSGP Program	1		
Proce	rocedure:       MSGP Quarterly Visual Assessment (EPC-CP-QP- 2105 R1 Form 1)       Priority/Type:       Normal / Inspection       Inspection         ust PM:       10/3/2022       10/3/2022       Visual Assessments 10/1/22       Visual Assessments 10/1/22					Shops	
Last P					ull (077)	)	
Projec	ct: Visual Assessments 10/1/22 (P-MSGP-5603)						
Reaso	on: MSGP Quarterly Visual Assess	ment		Contact: Phone:			
<b>ľasks</b>				-	_		
#	Description			Meas.	No	N/A	Yes
The re	esult of this VA applies to associa	ted SIOs as defin	ned in the SWPPP, where a	pplicable.			
	le information		an we de rije of antaga				
30	Document the monitoring period			oct-dec	Ē	-	V
40		Document the Date/Time Discharge began in the "Reading" field of this line (using					IV.
50	Document the Date/time sample collected in the "Reading" field of this line (using mm/dd/yy hh:mm format).				Г	Г	1V
60	Document the Date/time sample (using mm/dd/yy hh:mm format).	a de la constante		2:58	Г	Г	12
70	Document the nature of discharg (in) in the "Reading" field of this I	ine.		rain 0.26	Г	Г	~
80	Sample collected in first 30 minut reason.	tes of discharge?	lf "Failed" or unknown, provi	de a	Г	Г	1
Param	neters						
110	Is sample colorless? If "Failed", o	lescribe.		brown	12	-	E
120	Is sample odorless? If "Failed", p solvent, petroleum/gas)		(e.g. musty, sewage, sulfur,				10
130	Is sample clear? If "Failed", provi	de description (e.g	g., slightly cloudy, cloudy, op	aque).	Г	F	IV
140	Is sample free of floating solids? comments of this line.	If "Failed", describ	e if raw or waste material(s)	in the	F	F	14
150	Is sample free of settled solids? I	f "Failed", provide	description (e.g., fine, cours	e).	Г	F	IV
160	Is sample free of suspended solic	ls? If "Failed", pro	vide description (e.g., fine, c	ourse).	Г	F	1V
170	Is sample foamless after gently so (e.g., on the surface' or 'in the sar	naking? If "Failed" nple').	describe foam color and loc	ation	Г	Г	1
180	Is sample devoid of an oil sheen? globs).			flecks,		Г	IV
190	Is sample free of other obvious in	dicators of pollutio	n? If "Failed" describe		F	F	14

## Labor Report

### Completed: 10/3/2022 2:58:00 PM

Report: Marwin Shendo

Signature / Name

I confirm the information as recorded is true, accurate and complete.

10/12/2022 Date

Signature / Name

Date

### **CERTIFICATION STATEMENT**

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations".

# (Signatory must meet definition in Section B.11.A, eg. FOD, Ops Mgr, EPC Group or Team Leader)

Print name and title: \_\_\_\_\_ Terrill W. Lemke, EPC-CP Storm Water Permitting/Compliance Team Leader

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_\_ (See signature on file) \_\_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_\_

# NMR050013 MSGP 2021 TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shops

# Quarterly Visual Assessment Form, Fourth Quarter, April through June 2023

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Terrill W. Lemke, EPC-CP Stormwater Permitting/Compliance Team Leader Los Alamos National Laboratory

Digitally signed by TERRILL LEMKE (Affiliate) Date: 2023.09.20 16:45:12 -06'00'

Manager Signature

Facility Name	Sampling Station	Work Order #
TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shops	MSGP07601	MSGP-66720

# Los Alamos National Laboratory

### **Maintenance Details**

## Work Order MSGP-66720

MSGP Monitoring Stations Printed 7/3/2023 - 1:54 PM

Requested By:	Banar, Alethea on 6/5/2023 10:46:00 AM	Target: Priority/Type:	6/30/2023 / Inspection	<mark>—</mark> MSGP Program ♣ RG121.9
Taken By:	Banar, Alethea			TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shops
	MSGP Quarterly Visual Assessment (MSGP Quarterly Visual Ass)			Monitored Outfall (076)
Last PM:	5/31/2023			
Project:	Visual Assessments 3/1/23 (P-MSGP-5650)			Contact: Banar, Alethea Phone: 699-5836

Reason: MSGP Quarterly Visual Assessment

## Tasks

#	Description	Meas.	No	N/A	Yes
The re	esult of this VA applies to associated SIDPs as defined in the SWPPP, where applicable.				
Samp	le information				
30	Document the monitoring period.	apr-jun	Г	Г	14
40	Document the Date/Time Discharge began in the "Reading" field of this line (using mm/dd/yy hh:mm format).	5/31/23 @ 15:51	Г	Г	14
50	Document the Date/time sample collected in the "Reading" field of this line (using mm/dd/yy hh:mm format).	5/31/23 @ 15:51	Г	Г	IV.
60	Document the Date/time sample visually assessed in the "Reading" field of this line (using mm/dd/yy hh:mm format).	5/31/23 @ 16:01	Г	Г	1
70	Document the nature of discharge (e.g., rain, snowmelt). Document the TOTAL amount (in) in the "Reading" field of this line.	rain .82	Г	-	14
80	Sample collected in first 30 minutes of discharge? If "Failed" or unknown, provide a reason.		F	Г	1
Paran	neters		-		
110	Is sample colorless? If "Failed", describe.	brown	1×		-
120	Is sample odorless? If "Failed", provide description (e.g. musty, sewage, sulfur, sour, solvent, petroleum/gas)	musty	TX.	 	
130	Is sample clear? If "Failed", provide description (e.g., slightly cloudy, cloudy, opaque).	cloudy	X	F	F
140	Is sample free of floating solids? If "Failed", describe if raw or waste material(s) in the comments of this line.		Г	F	12
150	Is sample free of settled solids? If "Failed", provide description (e.g., fine, course).		F	F	14
160	Is sample free of suspended solids? If "Failed", provide description (e.g., fine, course).		Ē		14
170	Is sample foamless after gently shaking? If "Failed" describe foam color and location (e.g., 'on the surface' or 'in the sample').		F		14
180	Is sample devoid of an oil sheen? If "Failed", describe color and thickness (e.g. flecks, globs).		Г	F	IV
_	Is sample free of other obvious indicators of pollution? If "Failed", describe,			_	

## Labor Report

5/31/2023 Completed: 4:01:00 PM

Report: Marwin Shendo

Signature / Name

6/6/2023 Date

Signature / Name

## **CERTIFICATION STATEMENT**

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations".

## (Signatory must meet definition in Section B.11.A, eg. FOD, Ops Mgr, EPC Group or Team Leader)

Print name and title: \_\_\_\_\_ Terrill W. Lemke, EPC-CP Stormwater Permitting/Compliance Team Leader

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_\_Date:\_\_\_\_\_Date:\_\_\_\_\_\_

# NMR050013 MSGP 2021 TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shops

# Quarterly Visual Assessment Form, First Quarter, July through September 2023

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

<u>Terrill W. Lemke, EPC-CP Stormwater Permitting/Compliance Team</u> <u>Leader</u> Los Alamos National Laboratory

TERRILL LEMKE LEMKE (Affiliate) (Affiliate) Date: 2024.01.08 12:43:38 -07/00

Manager Signature

Facility Name	Sampling Station	Inspection #
TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication		
Shops	MSGP07601	MSGP-QRHId-433

## MSGP Record Print - Non-Employee Related Questionnaire (Clone) ①

Questionnaire			
Details			
Date Of Response *	07/27/2023	Questionnaire *	MSGP Visual Assessment (MSGP-VISUAL)
Source	Environment Inspection/Survey Program	QRHId	433
Location	MSGP07601(MSGP-0067)	Source Id	MSGP 2023 VA JulSep
Created By	Shendo, Marwin (175810)		
Created by, used for transfer to EDRMS	Shendo, Marwin		

#### Responses

1. Document the monitoring period (e.g., Jan-Feb-Mar).

jul-sept

2. Document the Date/Time Discharge began (mm/dd/yy hh:mm).

7/27/23 @ 14:31

3. Document the Date/Time sample collected (mm/dd/yy hh:mm).

7/27/23 @ 14:31

4. Document the Date/Time sample visually assessed (mm/dd/yy hh:mm).

7/27/23 @ 14:51

5. Document the nature of discharge (e.g., rain, snowmelt) and the TOTAL amount (in).

rain 0.18

6. Sample collected in first 30 minutes of discharge? If No or unknown, provide a reason.

• Yes

7. Color in sample? If Yes, describe.

• Yes

brown

8. Odor in sample? If Yes, describe (e.g. musty, sewage, sulfur, sour, solvent, petroleum/gas).

Yes

musty

9. Diminished clarity of sample? If Yes, describe (e.g., slightly cloudy, cloudy, opaque).

• Yes

opaque

10. Floating solids in sample? If Yes, describe if raw or waste material(s).

• No

11. Settled solids in sample? If Yes, describe (e.g., fine, course).

Yes

fine

12. Suspended solids in sample? If Yes, describe (e.g., fine, course).

• No

13. Foam in sample after gently shaking? If Yes, describe foam color and location (e.g.,'on the surface' or 'in the sample').

• No

14. Oil sheen on sample? If Yes, describe color and thickness (e.g. flecks, globs).

٠No

15. Other obvious indicators of stormwater pollution in sample? If Yes, describe.

• No

### 16. Additional information:

### 17. Date inspection completed.

07/27/2023

#### 18. Time inspection completed.

14:51

### 19. Select inspector name.

Shendo, Marwin

#### 20. Signature/Name

I confirm the information as recorded is true, accurate and complete.



#### 21.

#### **Certification Statement**

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

(Signatory must meet definition in Section B.11.A, e.g., FOD, Ops Mgr, EPC Group or Team Leader)

22. Print name and title: Terrill W. Lemke, EPC-CP Storm Water Permitting/Compliance Team Leader

# NMR050013 MSGP 2021 TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shops

# Quarterly Visual Assessment Form, Fourth Quarter, April through June 2024

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

<u>Terrill W. Lemke, EPC-CP Stormwater Permitting/Compliance Team Leader</u> Los Alamos National Laboratory

TERRILL LEMKE (Affiliate)

Digitally signed by TERRILL LEMKE (Affiliate) Date: 2024.08.23 18:03:10 -06'00'

Manager Signature

Facility Name	Sampling Station	Inspection #
TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shops	MSGP07601	MSGP-QRHId-2902

## MSGP Record Print - Non-Employee Related Questionnaire (Clone) ①

Questionnaire			
Details			
Date Of Response *	06/11/2024	Questionnaire *	MSGP Visual Assessment (MSGP-VISUAL)
Source	Environment Inspection/Survey Program	QRHId	2902
Location	MSGP07601(MSGP-0067)	Source Id	MSGP 2024 VA AprJun2
Created By	Shendo, Marwin (175810)		

Responses

1. Document the monitoring period (e.g., Jan-Feb-Mar).

apr-jun

2. Document the Date/Time Discharge began (mm/dd/yy hh:mm).

6/9/24 @ 16:34

3. Document the Date/Time sample collected (mm/dd/yy hh:mm).

6/9/24 @ 16:34

4. Document the Date/Time sample visually assessed (mm/dd/yy hh:mm).

6/11/24 @ 10:25

5. Document the nature of discharge (e.g., rain, snowmelt) and the TOTAL amount (in).

rain 0.68

6. Sample collected in first 30 minutes of discharge? If No or unknown, provide a reason.

Yes

7. Color in sample? If Yes, describe.

Yes

8. Odor in sample? If Yes, describe (e.g. musty, sewage, sulfur, sour, solvent, petroleum/gas).

Yes

musty

9. Diminished clarity of sample? If Yes, describe (e.g., slightly cloudy, cloudy, opaque).

Yes

slightly cloudy

10. Floating solids in sample? If Yes, describe if raw or waste material(s).

• No

11. Settled solids in sample? If Yes, describe (e.g., fine, course).

• No

12. Suspended solids in sample? If Yes, describe (e.g., fine, course).

• No

13. Foam in sample after gently shaking? If Yes, describe foam color and location (e.g.,'on the surface' or 'in the sample').

· No

14. Oil sheen on sample? If Yes, describe color and thickness (e.g. flecks, globs).

• No

15. Other obvious indicators of stormwater pollution in sample? If Yes, describe.

- No

16. Additional information:

n/a

#### 17. Date inspection completed.

06/11/2024

#### **18. Time inspection completed.**

10:25

#### 19. Select inspector name.

Shendo, Marwin

#### 20. Signature/Name

I confirm the information as recorded is true, accurate and complete.



#### 21.

### **Certification Statement**

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

(Signatory must meet definition in Section B.11.A, e.g., FOD, Ops Mgr, EPC Group or Team Leader)

22. Print name and title: Terrill W. Lemke, EPC-CP Storm Water Permitting/Compliance Team Leader

23. Signature and Date: (See signature on file)

# NMR050013 MSGP 2021 TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shops

# Quarterly Visual Assessment Form, First Quarter, July through September 2024

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

<u>Terrill W. Lemke, EPC-CP Stormwater Permitting/Compliance Team Leader</u> Los Alamos National Laboratory

TERRILL LEMKE (Affiliate)

Digitally signed by TERRILL LEMKE (Affiliate) Date: 2024.12.12 17:17:18 -07'00'

Manager Signature

Facility Name	Sampling Station	Inspection #
TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shops	MSGP07601	MSGP-QRHId-3152

## MSGP Record Print - Non-Employee Related Questionnaire (Clone) ①

Questionnaire			
Details			
Date Of Response *	07/18/2024	Questionnaire *	MSGP Visual Assessment (MSGP-VISUAL)
Source	Environment Inspection/Survey Program	QRHId	3152
Location	MSGP07601(MSGP-0067)	Source Id	MSGP 2024 VA JulSep
Created By	Shendo, Marwin (175810)		

Responses

1. Document the monitoring period (e.g., Jan-Feb-Mar).

jul-sept

2. Document the Date/Time Discharge began (mm/dd/yy hh:mm).

7/16/24 @ 14:10

3. Document the Date/Time sample collected (mm/dd/yy hh:mm).

7/16/24 @ 14:10

4. Document the Date/Time sample visually assessed (mm/dd/yy hh:mm).

7/18/24 @ 11:51

5. Document the nature of discharge (e.g., rain, snowmelt) and the TOTAL amount (in).

rain 0.23

6. Sample collected in first 30 minutes of discharge? If No or unknown, provide a reason.

Yes

7. Color in sample? If Yes, describe.

Yes

8. Odor in sample? If Yes, describe (e.g. musty, sewage, sulfur, sour, solvent, petroleum/gas).

· Yes

musty

9. Diminished clarity of sample? If Yes, describe (e.g., slightly cloudy, cloudy, opaque).

Yes

slightly cloudy

10. Floating solids in sample? If Yes, describe if raw or waste material(s).

• No

11. Settled solids in sample? If Yes, describe (e.g., fine, course).

Yes

fine

12. Suspended solids in sample? If Yes, describe (e.g., fine, course).

No

13. Foam in sample after gently shaking? If Yes, describe foam color and location (e.g.,'on the surface' or 'in the sample').

· No

14. Oil sheen on sample? If Yes, describe color and thickness (e.g. flecks, globs).

• No

15. Other obvious indicators of stormwater pollution in sample? If Yes, describe.

• No

16. Additional information:

n/a

### 17. Date inspection completed.

07/18/2024

#### 18. Time inspection completed.

11:51

#### 19. Select inspector name.

Shendo, Marwin

### 20. Signature/Name

I confirm the information as recorded is true, accurate and complete.



21.

### **Certification Statement**

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

(Signatory must meet definition in Section B.11.A, e.g., FOD, Ops Mgr, EPC Group or Team Leader)

22. Print name and title: Terrill W. Lemke, EPC-CP Storm Water Permitting/Compliance Team Leader

23. Signature and Date: (See signature on file)

## ATTACHMENT 9: CORRECTIVE ACTION DOCUMENTATION AND CERTIFICATION



Corrective Action #	1993
FOD	UI
MSGP Facility Description	TA-03-0038 Metals Fabrication Shops
Inspection Date	27-JUL-2021 13:15
Date EPC Notified	27-JUL-2021 13:15
Specific Location	
Inspector Name	KNIGHT JACOB L
Person Identifying Condition	KNIGHT JACOB L
Report Status	A new corrective action
Finding Description	Control measures inadequate to meet non-numeric effluent limitations
Finding Other Description	
Outfall	NA
Problem Description	Inside the metal fab yard outside of TA-3-38 there are several stacks of rebar. On the east end of the stacks about 2 ft of the rebar was exposed to precipitation, likely due to wind blowing the tarp.
AIM Level	NA
AIM Exception	NA
Inspection Type	Routine facility inspection
Inspection Type Other Description	
Corrective Action Description	Cover the ends of the rebar and weight the end of the tarp so it stays covered during rain events. The ends were fully covered by 2:30 pm
Was the problem identified at an outfall that has associated SIDPs?	
SIDP's Affected	
SIDP Action Taken	
Does Corrective Action require modification of SWPPP?	N
Corrective Action Initiate Date	27-JUL-2021 14:00
Corrective Action Complete Date	27-JUL-2021 14:30
Corrective Action Expected Completion Date	
Days to Take Action	0
Days Open	0
Status Description	
Date EPA Notified of Intent to Exceed 45 Days	
Date EPA Notiefied of Intent to Exceed 90 Days	
Baseline Date	



Corrective Action #	2003
FOD	UI
MSGP Facility Description	TA-03-0038 Metals Fabrication Shops
Inspection Date	30-AUG-2021 14:30
Date EPC Notified	30-AUG-2021 14:30
Specific Location	
Inspector Name	KNIGHT JACOB L
Person Identifying Condition	KNIGHT JACOB L
Report Status	A new corrective action
Finding Description	Control measures inadequate to meet non-numeric effluent limitations
Finding Other Description	
Outfall	NA
Problem Description	Pipe fitters metal storage along area along the fence line on the north perimeter of 3-38 is uncovered and exposed. Rain is in the forecast. The area needs housekeeping cleanup as well.
AIM Level	NA
AIM Exception	NA
Inspection Type	Routine facility inspection
Inspection Type Other Description	
Corrective Action Description	Cover the exposed metal properly and clean up debris/trash. This was completed by COB same day.
Was the problem identified at an outfall that has associated SIDPs?	
SIDP's Affected	
SIDP Action Taken	
Does Corrective Action require modification of SWPPP?	N
Corrective Action Initiate Date	30-AUG-2021 14:30
Corrective Action Complete Date	30-AUG-2021 16:30
Corrective Action Expected Completion Date	
Days to Take Action	0
Days Open	0
Status Description	
Date EPA Notified of Intent to Exceed 45 Days	
Date EPA Notiefied of Intent to Exceed 90 Days	
Baseline Date	



Corrective Action #	2011
FOD	UI
MSGP Facility Description	TA-03-0038 Metals Fabrication Shops
Inspection Date	21-SEP-2021 15:00
Date EPC Notified	21-SEP-2021 15:00
Specific Location	
Inspector Name	KNIGHT JACOB L
Person Identifying Condition	KNIGHT JACOB L
Report Status	A new corrective action
Finding Description	Control measures inadequate to meet non-numeric effluent limitations
Finding Other Description	
Outfall	NA
Problem Description	There are small pieces of metal debris on the ground near the metal recycle bin inside the metal fab storage yard on the west side of TA-3-38.
AIM Level	NA
AIM Exception	NA
Inspection Type	Routine facility inspection
Inspection Type Other Description	
Corrective Action Description	The area needs to be swept with a magnet. This was completed morning of 09/22/2021.
Was the problem identified at an outfall that has associated SIDPs?	
SIDP's Affected	
SIDP Action Taken	
Does Corrective Action require modification of SWPPP?	Ν
Corrective Action Initiate Date	21-SEP-2021 15:00
Corrective Action Complete Date	22-SEP-2021 08:00
Corrective Action Expected Completion Date	
Days to Take Action	0
Days Open	1
Status Description	
Date EPA Notified of Intent to Exceed 45 Days	
Date EPA Notiefied of Intent to Exceed 90 Days	
Baseline Date	



Corrective Action #	2060
FOD	IF
MSGP Facility Description	TA-03-0038 Metals Fabrication Shops
Inspection Date	20-DEC-2021 14:15
Date EPC Notified	20-DEC-2021 14:15
Specific Location	North of TA-3-38 by the trash dumpsters.
Inspector Name	WHEELER HOLLY L
Person Identifying Condition	WHEELER HOLLY L
Report Status	A new corrective action
Finding Description	Control measures inadequate to meet non-numeric effluent limitations
Finding Other Description	
Outfall	NA
Problem Description	There is trash strewn around the dumpsters to the north of TA-3-38.
AIM Level	NA
AIM Exception	NA
Inspection Type	Routine facility inspection
Inspection Type Other Description	
Corrective Action Description	Pick up the trash and place it in the dumpster. Roads and grounds completed trash pick up.
Was the problem identified at an outfall that has associated SIDPs?	N
SIDP's Affected	
SIDP Action Taken	
Does Corrective Action require modification of SWPPP?	Y
Corrective Action Initiate Date	20-DEC-2021 15:00
Corrective Action Complete Date	21-DEC-2021 09:00
Corrective Action Expected Completion Date	
Days to Take Action	0
Days Open	1
Status Description	N/A
Date EPA Notified of Intent to Exceed 45 Days	
Date EPA Notiefied of Intent to Exceed 90 Days	
Baseline Date	



Corrective Action #	2068
FOD	IF
MSGP Facility Description	TA-03-0038 Metals Fabrication Shops
Inspection Date	14-FEB-2022 11:00
Date EPC Notified	14-FEB-2022 11:00
Specific Location	
Inspector Name	KNIGHT JACOB L
Person Identifying Condition	KNIGHT JACOB L
Report Status	A new corrective action
Finding Description	Control measures inadequate to meet non-numeric effluent limitations
Finding Other Description	housekeeping issue
Outfall	NA
Problem Description	Along the north perimeter fencing near the pipe fitters shop there is trash and debris that needs to be cleaned up
AIM Level	NA
AIM Exception	NA
Inspection Type	Routine facility inspection
Inspection Type Other Description	
Corrective Action Description	Laborers cleaned up trash and debris. A standing work order for housekeeping is now scheduled for Fridays.
Was the problem identified at an outfall that has associated SIDPs?	
SIDP's Affected	
SIDP Action Taken	
Does Corrective Action require modification of SWPPP?	Ν
Corrective Action Initiate Date	14-FEB-2022 11:00
Corrective Action Complete Date	15-FEB-2022 10:00
Corrective Action Expected Completion Date	
Days to Take Action	0
Days Open	1
Status Description	
Date EPA Notified of Intent to Exceed 45 Days	
Date EPA Notiefied of Intent to Exceed 90 Days	
Baseline Date	



Corrective Action #	2069
FOD	IF
MSGP Facility Description	TA-03-0038 Metals Fabrication Shops
Inspection Date	14-FEB-2022 11:15
Date EPC Notified	14-FEB-2022 11:15
Specific Location	
Inspector Name	KNIGHT JACOB L
Person Identifying Condition	KNIGHT JACOB L
Report Status	A new corrective action
Finding Description	Control measures inadequate to meet non-numeric effluent limitations
Finding Other Description	
Outfall	NA
Problem Description	At the pipefitters satellite yard on sigma mesa there is a rack in the NE corner that is mostly covered by the installed canopy above but there are several copper pipes sticking out at least a foot or two that are exposed to stormwater. There is also a trailer with several pieces of steel with some rust and with cut ends that is uncovered.
AIM Level	NA
AIM Exception	NA
Inspection Type	Routine facility inspection
Inspection Type Other Description	
Corrective Action Description	Metal that was exposed extending from the canopy and on the trailer was covered with tarps.
Was the problem identified at an outfall that has associated SIDPs?	
SIDP's Affected	
SIDP Action Taken	
Does Corrective Action require modification of SWPPP?	Ν
Corrective Action Initiate Date	14-FEB-2022 11:15
Corrective Action Complete Date	16-FEB-2022 09:30
Corrective Action Expected Completion Date	
Days to Take Action	0
Days Open	2
Status Description	
Date EPA Notified of Intent to Exceed 45 Days	
Date EPA Notiefied of Intent to Exceed 90 Days	
Baseline Date	



Corrective Action #	2084
FOD	IF
MSGP Facility Description	TA-03-0038 Metals Fabrication Shops
Inspection Date	29-MAR-2022 14:00
Date EPC Notified	29-MAR-2022 14:00
Specific Location	
Inspector Name	KNIGHT JACOB L
Person Identifying Condition	KNIGHT JACOB L
Report Status	A new corrective action
Finding Description	Control measures inadequate to meet non-numeric effluent limitations
Finding Other Description	
Outfall	NA
Problem Description	There were small pieces of metal on the ground near the metal recycle dumpster at the TA-3-38 metal fab yard.
AIM Level	NA
AIM Exception	NA
Inspection Type	Routine facility inspection
Inspection Type Other Description	
Corrective Action Description	All metal was cleaned up immediately after the inspection.
Was the problem identified at an outfall that has associated SIDPs?	
SIDP's Affected	
SIDP Action Taken	
Does Corrective Action require modification of SWPPP?	N
Corrective Action Initiate Date	29-MAR-2022 14:00
Corrective Action Complete Date	29-MAR-2022 14:40
Corrective Action Expected Completion Date	
Days to Take Action	0
Days Open	0
Status Description	
Date EPA Notified of Intent to Exceed 45 Days	
Date EPA Notiefied of Intent to Exceed 90 Days	
Baseline Date	



Corrective Action #	2089
FOD	IF
MSGP Facility Description	TA-03-0038 Metals Fabrication Shops
Inspection Date	21-APR-2022 10:30
Date EPC Notified	21-APR-2022 10:30
Specific Location	Pipefitters sigma mesa storage yard
Inspector Name	KNIGHT JACOB L
Person Identifying Condition	KNIGHT JACOB L
Report Status	A new corrective action
Finding Description	Control measures inadequate to meet non-numeric effluent limitations
Finding Other Description	
Outfall	NA
Problem Description	There was about 4 feet or so of large copper pipes extending out the back of a trailer. Most of the pipe is covered but the winds may have exposed the ends.
AIM Level	NA
AIM Exception	NA
Inspection Type	Routine facility inspection
Inspection Type Other Description	
Corrective Action Description	Wrap ends of pipes or other means of preventing copper from coming into contact with stormwater. On 4/22/22 the ends of the pipes were wrapped with a tarp.
Was the problem identified at an outfall that has associated SIDPs?	
SIDP's Affected	
SIDP Action Taken	
Does Corrective Action require modification of SWPPP?	N
Corrective Action Initiate Date	21-APR-2022 10:30
Corrective Action Complete Date	22-APR-2022 12:30
Corrective Action Expected Completion Date	
Days to Take Action	0
Days Open	1
Status Description	
Date EPA Notified of Intent to Exceed 45 Days	
Date EPA Notiefied of Intent to Exceed 90 Days	
Baseline Date	



Corrective Action #	2090
FOD	IF
MSGP Facility Description	TA-03-0038 Metals Fabrication Shops
Inspection Date	21-APR-2022 10:30
Date EPC Notified	21-APR-2022 10:30
Specific Location	TA-3-38 metal fab yard
Inspector Name	KNIGHT JACOB L
Person Identifying Condition	KNIGHT JACOB L
Report Status	A new corrective action
Finding Description	Control measures inadequate to meet non-numeric effluent limitations
Finding Other Description	
Outfall	NA
Problem Description	There is some sediment and debris in the channel just up gradient of the stormwater sampler and at the sampler intake.
AIM Level	NA
AIM Exception	NA
Inspection Type	Routine facility inspection
Inspection Type Other Description	
Corrective Action Description	Clean up all sediment and debris at the sampler location and up gradient drainage area (outfall). On 4/22/22 the sediment was swept up and removed and the debris near the sampler and outfall area was all cleaned up.
Was the problem identified at an outfall that has associated SIDPs?	
SIDP's Affected	
SIDP Action Taken	
Does Corrective Action require modification of SWPPP?	Ν
Corrective Action Initiate Date	21-APR-2022 10:30
Corrective Action Complete Date	22-APR-2022 12:30
Corrective Action Expected Completion Date	
Days to Take Action	0
Days Open	1
Status Description	
Date EPA Notified of Intent to Exceed 45 Days	
Date EPA Notiefied of Intent to Exceed 90 Days	
Baseline Date	



Corrective Action #	2123
FOD	IF
MSGP Facility Description	TA-03-0038 Metals Fabrication Shops
Inspection Date	19-JUL-2022 03:55
Date EPC Notified	19-JUL-2022 03:55
Specific Location	Outfall 077 at the TA-03-0038 Metals Fabrication Shops Satellite Storage Area.
Inspector Name	WHEELER HOLLY L
Person Identifying Condition	WHEELER HOLLY L
Report Status	A new corrective action
Finding Description	Average benchmark value exceedance
Finding Other Description	
Outfall	077
Problem Description	The average concentration of total recoverable Aluminum discharged from outfall 077 at the TA-03-0038 Metals Fabrication Shops Satellite Storage Area was mathematically certain to exceed the benchmark value. This average was calculated from monitoring results associated with storm events occurring on 07/27/2021 and 06/25/2022, with individual analytical results of 2,110 ug/L and 9,730 ug/L. The average was 5,920 ug/L. The benchmark value is 1,100 ug/L.
AIM Level	1
AIM Exception	NA
Inspection Type	Benchmark monitoring
Inspection Type Other Description	
Corrective Action Description	The Stormwater Pollution Prevention Team for the TA-03-0038 Metals Fabrication Shops shall evaluate potential pollutant sources of total recoverable Aluminum and implement additional controls to ensure discharge of this pollutant source in stormwater is minimized. On 7/20/22 met with Roads and Grounds to discuss addition of controls in the drainage pathway along the outfall. On Saturday 7/23/2022 several Metal Loxx wattles were installed along the drainage pathway leading to the MSGP Sampler.
Was the problem identified at an outfall that has associated SIDPs?	N
SIDP's Affected	N/A
SIDP Action Taken	
Does Corrective Action require modification of SWPPP?	γ
Corrective Action Initiate Date	20-JUL-2022 14:00
Corrective Action Complete Date	23-JUL-2022 09:30
Corrective Action Expected Completion Date	02-AUG-2022 17:00
Days to Take Action	1
Days Open	4
Status Description	
Date EPA Notified of Intent to Exceed 45 Days	
Date EPA Notiefied of Intent to Exceed 90 Days	
Baseline Date	



Corrective Action #	2129
FOD	IF
MSGP Facility Description Inspection Date	TA-03-0038 Metals Fabrication Shops 15-AUG-2022 17:05
Date EPC Notified	15-AUG-2022 17:05
Date EPC Notified	Outfall 077 at the TA-03-0038 Metals Fabrication Shops Satellite Storage
Specific Location	
la su sata u Nauss	Area.
Inspector Name	WHEELER HOLLY L
Person Identifying Condition	WHEELER HOLLY L
Report Status	A new corrective action
Finding Description	Average benchmark value exceedance
Finding Other Description	
Outfall	077
	The average concentration of total recoverable Aluminum discharged from
	outfall 077 at the TA-03-0038 Metals Fabrication Shops Satellite Storage
Problem Description	Area was mathematically certain to exceed the benchmark value. This
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	average was calculated from monitoring results associated with the storm
	event occurring on 07/30/2022, with and individual analytical result of
	6,190 ug/L. The benchmark value is 1,100 ug/L.
AIM Level	2
AIM Exception	NA
Inspection Type	Benchmark monitoring
Inspection Type Other Description	
Corrective Action Description	Fabrication Shops shall evaluate potential pollutant sources of total recoverable Aluminum and implement additional controls to ensure discharge of this pollutant source in stormwater is minimized. On 8/18/22 EPC met at the site with Logistics to discuss a path forward. Several good ideas were presented and subsequently proposed to upper management via a Webex meeting on 8/23/22. A walk down with the stormwater team and management was conducted on 8/29/22 and a plan of action was put into place to install run on/ run off diversion controls, consolidate the area of storage to decrease surface area, and better stabilize the area (compacted millings were proposed for surface and berms). Logistics is scheduling the scope of work and personnel and initiating an EXID. EPC-CP is providing a rough sketch based on what was discussed during initial planning. On 10/25/22 Roads & Grounds crews began earth work in the pipefitters yard. 10/26 through 10/28 laborers and operators began applying and compacting asphalt millings for run-on/runoff diversion berms and also for stabilization and a rock outlet was installed. On 10/29/22 the hoisting and rigging crew moved the metal storage racks and associated piping into the bermed area. The space now will not receive run-on from a much larger area and the only runoff will be from the bermed area where the pipe racks now sit.
Was the problem identified at an outfall that has associated SIDPs?	N
SIDP's Affected	N/A
SIDP Action Taken	
Does Corrective Action require modification of SWPPP?	Υ
Corrective Action Initiate Date	16-AUG-2022 12:00
Corrective Action Complete Date	29-OCT-2022 13:00
Corrective Action Expected Completion Date	
Days to Take Action	1
Days Open	75
Status Description	After the 8/29/22 planning walk down a site plan sketch is being created so that Logistics can better plan and execute the work, which includes submission of an EX-ID (excavation permit). Because of end of fiscal year planning and funding being pre-determined the work will likely break



	ground beginning of October. Letter sent to EPA Region 6 indicating berms to divert run-on from the site will be installed. The newly proposed
	completion date for this corrective action is 10/31/2022.
Date EPA Notified of Intent to Exceed 45 Days	29-SEP-2022 16:30
Date EPA Notiefied of Intent to Exceed 90 Days	
Baseline Date	



Corrective Action #	2120
Corrective Action #	2130
FOD	
MSGP Facility Description	TA-03-0038 Metals Fabrication Shops
Inspection Date	15-AUG-2022 17:30
Date EPC Notified	15-AUG-2022 17:30
Specific Location	Outfall 077 at the TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shops Satellite Storage Area.
Increator Name	WHEELER HOLLY L
Inspector Name	WHEELER HOLLY L
Person Identifying Condition	
Report Status	A new corrective action
Finding Description	Average benchmark value exceedance
Finding Other Description	
Outfall	
	The average concentration of Nitrate plus Nitrite Nitrogen discharged from outfall 077 at the TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shops was mathematically
Droblem Description	certain to exceed the benchmark value. This average was calculated from
Problem Description	monitoring results associated with storm events occurring on $07/27/2021$ ,
	06/25/2022 and 07/30/2022 with individual analytical results of 1.31 mg/L,
	0.55 mg/L and 1.66 mg/L. The average was 1.17 mg/L. The benchmark
A15.4.1 I	value is 0.68 mg/L.
AIM Level	
AIM Exception	NA
Inspection Type	Benchmark monitoring
Inspection Type Other Description	
	The Stormwater Pollution Prevention Team for TA-03-0038 Metal
	Fabrication Shops shall evaluate potential pollutant sources of Nitrate plus
	Nitrite Nitrogen and implement additional controls to ensure discharge of
	this pollutant source in stormwater is minimized. On 8/18/22 EPC met at
	the site with Logistics to discuss a path forward. Several good ideas were
	presented and subsequently proposed to upper management via a Webex
	meeting on 8/23/22. A walk down with the stormwater team and
	management was conducted on 8/29/22 and a plan of action was put into
	place to install run on/ run off diversion controls, consolidate the area of
	storage to decrease surface area, and better stabilize the area (compacted
Corrective Action Description	millings were proposed for surface and berms). Logistics is scheduling the
	scope of work and personnel and initiating an EXID. EPC-CP is providing a
	rough sketch based on what was discussed during initial planning. On
	10/25/22 Roads & Grounds crews began earth work in the pipefitters yard.
	10/26 through 10/28 laborers and operators began applying and
	compacting asphalt millings for run-on/runoff diversion berms and also for
	stabilization and a rock outlet was installed. On 10/29/22 the hoisting and
	rigging crew moved the metal storage racks and associated piping into the
	bermed area. The space now will not receive run-on from a much larger
	area and the only runoff will be from the bermed area where the pipe racks
	now sit.
Was the problem identified at an outfall that has associated SIDPs?	Ν
SIDP's Affected	N/A
SIDP Action Taken	N/A
Does Corrective Action require modification of SWPPP?	Υ
Corrective Action Initiate Date	16-AUG-2022 12:00
Corrective Action Complete Date	29-OCT-2022 13:00
Corrective Action Expected Completion Date	
Days to Take Action	1
Days Open	75
Status Description	After the 8/29/22 planning walk down a site plan sketch is being created so
	that Logistics can better plan and execute the work, which includes
	submission of an EX-ID (excavation permit). Because of end of fiscal year



	planning and funding being pre-determined the work will likely break
	ground beginning of October. Letter sent to EPA Region 6 indicating berms
	to divert run-on from the site will be installed. The newly proposed
	completion date for this corrective action is 10/31/2022.
Date EPA Notified of Intent to Exceed 45 Days	
Date EPA Notiefied of Intent to Exceed 90 Days	
Baseline Date	



Corrective Action #	2135
FOD	IF
MSGP Facility Description	TA-03-0038 Metals Fabrication Shops
Inspection Date	25-AUG-2022 10:00
Date EPC Notified	25-AUG-2022 10:00
Specific Location	Inside metal fab yard just west of TA-3-38 in outfall drainage path
Inspector Name	KNIGHT JACOB L
Person Identifying Condition	KNIGHT JACOB L
Report Status	A new corrective action
Finding Description	Control measures not properly operated or maintained
Finding Other Description	
Outfall	NA
	Inside the metal fab storage yard just west of TA-3-38 there used to be 5
Problem Description	metallox wattles along the flow path at the asphalt swale just up gradient
	of the automated sampler. Of the 5 three are currently missing.
AIM Level	NA
AIM Exception	NA
Inspection Type	Routine facility inspection
Inspection Type Other Description	
	Replace metaLox wattles so and arrange so there are 5 functional Filtrexx
Corrective Action Description	Metallox wattles in the outfall drainage path inside the metal fab yard near
	3-38. Wattles were replaced on 8/29/22
Was the problem identified at an outfall that has associated SIDPs?	N
SIDP's Affected	
SIDP Action Taken	
Does Corrective Action require modification of SWPPP?	N
Corrective Action Initiate Date	25-AUG-2022 12:00
Corrective Action Complete Date	29-AUG-2022 11:00
Corrective Action Expected Completion Date	
Days to Take Action	0
Days Open	4
Status Description	
Date EPA Notified of Intent to Exceed 45 Days	
Date EPA Notiefied of Intent to Exceed 90 Days	
Baseline Date	



Corrective Action #	2151
FOD	IF
MSGP Facility Description	TA-03-0038 Metals Fabrication Shops
Inspection Date	19-OCT-2022 14:30
Date EPC Notified	19-OCT-2022 14:30
Specific Location	Outside NW corner of TA-3-38 - 2 wood pallet bins
Inspector Name	KNIGHT JACOB L
Person Identifying Condition	KNIGHT JACOB L
Report Status	A new corrective action
Finding Description	Control measures inadequate to meet non-numeric effluent limitations
Finding Other Description	
Outfall	NA
Problem Description	2 wood pallet bins were left uncovered and stormwater accumulated inside
AIM Level	NA
AIM Exception	NA
Inspection Type	Routine facility inspection
Inspection Type Other Description	
Corrective Action Description	Bins were covered properly with lids. Crews have been informed to keep
	the bins covered when not in use.
Was the problem identified at an outfall that has associated SIDPs?	
SIDP's Affected	
SIDP Action Taken	
Does Corrective Action require modification of SWPPP?	Ν
Corrective Action Initiate Date	19-OCT-2022 14:45
Corrective Action Complete Date	20-OCT-2022 09:00
Corrective Action Expected Completion Date	
Days to Take Action	0
Days Open	1
Status Description	
Date EPA Notified of Intent to Exceed 45 Days	
Date EPA Notiefied of Intent to Exceed 90 Days	
Baseline Date	



Corrective Action #	2152
FOD	IF
MSGP Facility Description	TA-03-0038 Metals Fabrication Shops
Inspection Date	19-OCT-2022 14:30
Date EPC Notified	19-OCT-2022 14:30
Specific Location	Pipefitters pipe storage area along fence on north side of TA-3-38
Inspector Name	KNIGHT JACOB L
Person Identifying Condition	KNIGHT JACOB L
Report Status	A new corrective action
Finding Description	Control measures inadequate to meet non-numeric effluent limitations
Finding Other Description	
Outfall	NA
Problem Description	Plastic covering over pipes staged on the north perimeter outside the pipefitters shop is degraded. Pipes are exposed to stormwater.
AIM Level	NA
AIM Exception	NA
Inspection Type	Routine facility inspection
Inspection Type Other Description	
Corrective Action Description	Degraded plastic was replaced with a proper tarp. Covered metal racks are in the works for this area.
Was the problem identified at an outfall that has associated SIDPs?	
SIDP's Affected	
SIDP Action Taken	
Does Corrective Action require modification of SWPPP?	Ν
Corrective Action Initiate Date	19-OCT-2022 14:45
Corrective Action Complete Date	20-OCT-2022 09:00
Corrective Action Expected Completion Date	
Days to Take Action	0
Days Open	1
Status Description	
Date EPA Notified of Intent to Exceed 45 Days	
Date EPA Notiefied of Intent to Exceed 90 Days	
Baseline Date	



Corrective Action #	2187	
FOD	IF	
MSGP Facility Description	TA-03-0038 Metals Fabrication Shops	
Inspection Date	13-DEC-2022 13:27	
Date EPC Notified	13-DEC-2022 13:27	
Specific Location	Northern most metal storage rack at the TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shops Satellite Storage Area.	
Inspector Name	WHEELER HOLLY L	
Person Identifying Condition	WHEELER HOLLY L	
Report Status	A new corrective action	
Finding Description	Control measures inadequate to meet non-numeric effluent limitations	
Finding Other Description		
Outfall	NA	
Problem Description	Copper piping is sticking our beyond the cover on the northern most metal storage rack at the TA-03-0038 Metal Fabrication Shops Satellite Storage Area.	
AIM Level	NA	
AIM Exception	NA	
Inspection Type	Routine facility inspection	
Inspection Type Other Description		
Corrective Action Description	The copper was aligned under the canopy. The awning will also be extended to help prevent this issue.	
Was the problem identified at an outfall that has associated SIDPs?	N	
SIDP's Affected	N/A	
SIDP Action Taken	N/A	
Does Corrective Action require modification of SWPPP?	N	
Corrective Action Initiate Date	13-DEC-2022 13:45	
Corrective Action Complete Date	14-DEC-2022 10:00	
Corrective Action Expected Completion Date		
Days to Take Action	0	
Days Open	1	
Status Description	N/A	
Date EPA Notified of Intent to Exceed 45 Days		
Date EPA Notiefied of Intent to Exceed 90 Days		
Baseline Date		



Corrective Action #	2223		
FOD	IF		
MSGP Facility Description			
Inspection Date	TA-03-0038 Metals Fabrication Shops		
Date EPC Notified	10-APR-2023 13:30		
Specific Location	10-APR-2023 13:30		
	NE corner outside TA-3-38 where fire extinguishers are stored		
Inspector Name	KNIGHT JACOB L		
Person Identifying Condition	KNIGHT JACOB L		
Report Status	A new corrective action		
Finding Description	Unauthorized release or discharge		
Finding Other Description			
Outfall	NA		
Problem Description	EPC-CP responded to TA-3-0038 for a fire extinguisher process that spilled. Approximately 2.5 gallons of material was released from several Amerex Fire Extinguishers, onto asphalt, on the northeast side of TA-3-0038. The fire extinguishers were emptied into five-gallon buckets that have a filter, but the recharge process was not capturing all of the extinguishant. IF personnel are going to work with an IH and WMC to clean the area. The spill occurred within the MSGP boundary for the Metal Fab Shops just outside the NE entrance to the shops (Note: This process it not a metal fab related activity. However, the discharge of the powder is an unauthorized release within a Clean Water Act permitted area for industrial activity stormwater runoff).		
AIM Level	NA		
AIM Exception	NA		
Inspection Type	Other (describe) :		
Inspection Type Other Description	Spill response		
Corrective Action Description	The spill occurred within the MSGP boundary for the Metal Fab Shops just outside the NE entrance to the shops. The spill area was covered with plastic and samples of the spilled powder were collected on 4/10/2023 and analyzed using the modified NIOSH 7300 method. Results were received on 4/14/2023. Work was paused. Cleanup of the powder cannot start until an assessment by an Industrial Hygienist occurs to determine required personnel protective equipment and method of cleanup. IF-OPS and IH will discuss how to clean up the waste from the ground. A discussion on how to improve the common practice of releasing the material will also occurr. Waste will be disposed with WMC.		
Was the problem identified at an outfall that has associated SIDPs?			
SIDP's Affected			
SIDP Action Taken			
Does Corrective Action require modification of SWPPP?	Ν		
Corrective Action Initiate Date	10-APR-2023 13:30		
Corrective Action Complete Date	23-JUN-2023 15:00		
Corrective Action Expected Completion Date			
Days to Take Action	0		
Days Open	74		
Status Description	Sampling was required. Based on results IH personnel are involved and a plan for PPE and clean up method is underway. Hazard assessments were completed and the spill was cleaned up with a HEPA vacuum on 6/23/23		
Date EPA Notified of Intent to Exceed 45 Days	24-MAY-2023 10:16		
Date EPA Notiefied of Intent to Exceed 90 Days			
Baseline Date			



Corrective Action #	2224	
FOD	IF	
MSGP Facility Description	TA-03-0038 Metals Fabrication Shops	
Inspection Date	11-APR-2023 11:00	
Date EPC Notified	11-APR-2023 11:00	
Specific Location	Wood pallet bins outside entrance to the metal fab shop on the west side of TA-3-38.	
Inspector Name	KNIGHT JACOB L	
Person Identifying Condition	KNIGHT JACOB L	
Report Status	A new corrective action	
Finding Description	Control measures inadequate to meet non-numeric effluent limitations	
Finding Other Description		
Outfall	NA	
Problem Description	Wood bin had lids that were uncovered since the previous day when it was reported to EPC as a result of a spills investigation. Bins should remain covered when not in use.	
AIM Level	NA	
AIM Exception	NA	
Inspection Type	Routine facility inspection	
Inspection Type Other Description		
Corrective Action Description	Bins were covered by 4pm on 4/11/23. DEP will consult with facility on new bin covers that may stay in place more effectively.	
Was the problem identified at an outfall that has associated SIDPs?		
SIDP's Affected		
SIDP Action Taken		
Does Corrective Action require modification of SWPPP?	Ν	
Corrective Action Initiate Date	11-APR-2023 11:00	
Corrective Action Complete Date	11-APR-2023 16:00	
Corrective Action Expected Completion Date		
Days to Take Action	0	
Days Open	0	
Status Description		
Date EPA Notified of Intent to Exceed 45 Days		
Date EPA Notiefied of Intent to Exceed 90 Days		
Baseline Date		



Corrective Action #	2276	
FOD	IF	
MSGP Facility Description	TA-03-0038 Metals Fabrication Shops	
Inspection Date	20-SEP-2023 11:00	
Date EPC Notified	20-SEP-2023 11:00	
Specific Location	Pipefitters storage rack near fence line on the north side of TA-3-38.	
Inspector Name	KNIGHT JACOB L	
Person Identifying Condition	KNIGHT JACOB L	
Report Status	A new corrective action	
Finding Description	Control measures inadequate to meet non-numeric effluent limitations	
Finding Other Description		
Outfall	NA	
Problem Description	There are some newer metal storage racks without canopy covers yet at the north end of 3-38 for the pipefitters use. The easternmost rack had raw metal material stored that was uncovered and exposed.	
AIM Level	NA	
AIM Exception	NA	
Inspection Type	Routine facility inspection	
Inspection Type Other Description		
Corrective Action Description	Additional tarps were procured and the material was covered ahead of potential precipitation.	
Was the problem identified at an outfall that has associated SIDPs?		
SIDP's Affected		
SIDP Action Taken		
Does Corrective Action require modification of SWPPP?	N	
Corrective Action Initiate Date	20-SEP-2023 11:00	
Corrective Action Complete Date	20-SEP-2023 12:30	
Corrective Action Expected Completion Date		
Days to Take Action	0	
Days Open	0	
Status Description		
Date EPA Notified of Intent to Exceed 45 Days		
Date EPA Notiefied of Intent to Exceed 90 Days		
Baseline Date		



Corrective Action #	2332	
FOD	IF	
MSGP Facility Description	TA-03-0038 Metals Fabrication Shops	
Inspection Date	11-DEC-2023 13:00	
Date EPC Notified	11-DEC-2023 13:00	
Specific Location	Raw material (metal) storage area west of TA-03-0038.	
Inspector Name	WHEELER HOLLY L	
Person Identifying Condition	WHEELER HOLLY L	
Report Status	A new corrective action	
Finding Description	Control measures not properly operated or maintained	
Finding Other Description		
Outfall	NA	
oblem Description       The metal for recycle bin in the covered metal raw material s         west of TA-03-0038, does not cover the entire bin. There is a         wide area that was not covered.		
AIM Level	NA	
AIM Exception	NA	
Inspection Type	Routine facility inspection	
Inspection Type Other Description		
Corrective Action Description	An additional tarp was added to the roll-off bin and held down with bungee cords.	
Was the problem identified at an outfall that has associated SIDPs?	N	
SIDP's Affected	NA	
SIDP Action Taken	NA	
Does Corrective Action require modification of SWPPP?	N	
Corrective Action Initiate Date	12-DEC-2023 12:30	
Corrective Action Complete Date	12-DEC-2023 13:15	
Corrective Action Expected Completion Date		
Days to Take Action	1	
Days Open	1	
Status Description		
Date EPA Notified of Intent to Exceed 45 Days		
Date EPA Notiefied of Intent to Exceed 90 Days		
Baseline Date		



Corrective Action #	2443	
FOD	IF	
MSGP Facility Description	TA-03-0038 Metals Fabrication Shops	
Inspection Date	17-DEC-2024 15:00	
Date EPC Notified	17-DEC-2024 15:00	
Specific Location	The west most asphalt berm within the TA-03-0038 site map, directly outside of the "Covered Metal Raw Material Storage Area" entrance gate.	
Inspector Name	FLORES JAIRO	
Person Identifying Condition	FLORES JAIRO	
Report Status	A new corrective action	
Finding Description	Control measures not properly operated or maintained	
Parameter	NA	
Outfall	NA	
Problem Description	During the annual routine facility inspection with MSGP program personne it was discovered that the west most asphalt berm at the TA-03-0038 was in need of maintenance. Sediment had accumulated to above 50% capacity on the upstream portion of the control.	
AIM Level	NA	
AIM Exception	NA	
Inspection Type	Routine facility inspection	
Inspection Type Other Description		
Corrective Action Description	Maintenance of the the asphalt berm will be scheduled and completed. Maintenance will included the removal of the sediment from the control.	
Was the problem identified at an outfall that has associated SIDPs?	N	
SIDP's Affected		
SIDP Action Taken		
Does Corrective Action require modification of SWPPP?	Ν	
Corrective Action Initiate Date	17-DEC-2024 15:00	
Corrective Action Complete Date	20-DEC-2024 09:30	
Corrective Action Expected Completion Date	31-DEC-2024 15:00	
Days to Take Action	0	
Days Open	3	
Status Description		
Date EPA Notified of Intent to Exceed 45 Days		
Date EPA Notified of Intent to Exceed 90 Days		
Baseline Date		



Corrective Action #	2444	
FOD	IF	
MSGP Facility Description	TA-03-0038 Metals Fabrication Shops	
Inspection Date	17-DEC-2024 14:30	
Date EPC Notified	17-DEC-2024 14:30	
Specific Location	Outside metal storage area on the north portion of the TA-30-0038 site.	
Inspector Name	FLORES JAIRO	
Person Identifying Condition	FLORES JAIRO	
Report Status	A new corrective action	
Finding Description	Control measures not properly operated or maintained	
Parameter	NA	
Outfall	NA	
Problem Description	During annual routine facility inspection with MSGP program personnel it was discovered that the northern outside metal storage area required additional coverage. Materials are stored outdoors via metal cantilever shelving and covered with tarps. Portions of the current tarps had tears and holes due to wear. The current status of the tarping could cause stormwater to come in contact with the material.	
AIM Level	NA	
AIM Exception	NA	
Inspection Type	Routine facility inspection	
Inspection Type Other Description		
Corrective Action Description	Operational staff removed damaged tarps and replaced with new. IF-DEP completed an follow site visit and ensured that material was properly covered to minimize stormwater contact.	
Was the problem identified at an outfall that has associated SIDPs?	Ν	
SIDP's Affected		
SIDP Action Taken		
Does Corrective Action require modification of SWPPP?	Ν	
Corrective Action Initiate Date	17-DEC-2024 14:30	
Corrective Action Complete Date	18-DEC-2024 13:00	
Corrective Action Expected Completion Date		
Days to Take Action	0	
Days Open	1	
Status Description		
Date EPA Notified of Intent to Exceed 45 Days		
Date EPA Notified of Intent to Exceed 90 Days		
Baseline Date		

# **CERTIFICATION FOR CORRECTIVE ACTIONS**

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

#### Printed Name: James Patrick O'Grady

 JAMES O'GRADY
 Digitally signed by JAMES

 O'GRADY (Affiliate)
 O'GRADY (Affiliate)

 Date: 2025.01.23 14:34:19
 -07'00'

Title: Operations Manager 4 IF-DO

Date: 1/23/2025

### ATTACHMENT 10: SCHEDULED MAINTENANCE LOG

**Control Measure or** 

Date	Equipment Description (include location where appropriate)	Action Taken/Comments	Action Taken By (printed name & Z no.)
7/23/21	Pavement sweeping	Swept with pick up sweeper truck	R&G
8/27/21	Pavement sweeping	Swept with pick up sweeper truck	R&G
9/17/21	All MetalLox <sup>®</sup> wattles	MetalLox <sup>®</sup> wattles replaced with new	ВА
12/22/21	Pavement sweeping	Swept with pick up sweeper truck	R&G
2/21/22	Pavement sweeping	Swept with pick up sweeper truck	R&G
2/15/22	All MetalLox <sup>®</sup> wattles	MetalLox <sup>®</sup> wattles replaced with new	R&G
3/18/22	Pavement sweeping	Swept with pick up sweeper truck	R&G
4/22/22	Pavement sweeping	Swept with pick up sweeper truck	R&G
6/21/22	All MetalLox <sup>®</sup> wattles	MetalLox <sup>®</sup> wattles replaced with new	R&G
6/30/22	Petro-Plug <sup>®</sup>	Replaced with new at PFS	DT
7/15/22	Pavement sweeping	Swept with pick up sweeper truck	R&G
9/9/22	Pavement sweeping	Swept with pick up sweeper truck	R&G
9/27/22	All MetalLox <sup>®</sup> wattles	MetalLox <sup>®</sup> wattles replaced with new	R&G
10/21/22	Pavement sweeping	Swept with pick up sweeper truck	R&G
11/18/22	Pavement sweeping	Swept with pick up sweeper truck	R&G
1/23/23	All MetalLox <sup>®</sup> wattles	MetalLox <sup>®</sup> wattles replaced with new	R&G
3/24/23	Pavement Sweeping	Swept with pick up sweeper truck	R&G
4/7/23	Pavement Sweeping	Swept with pick up sweeper truck	R&G
4/13/23	All MetalLox <sup>®</sup> wattles	MetalLox <sup>®</sup> wattles replaced with new	R&G
5/5/23	Petro-Plug <sup>®</sup>	Replaced with new at PFS	DT
5/12/23	Pavement Sweeping	Swept with pick up sweeper truck	R&G
6/19/23	TA-3-38 metal fab yard	Hand broom swept	R&G
7/21/23	Pavement Sweeping	Swept with pick up sweeper truck	R&G
8/18/23	Pavement Sweeping	Swept with pick up sweeper truck	R&G
9/19/23	Pavement Sweeping	Swept with pick up sweeper truck	R&G
10/27/23	Pavement Sweeping	Swept with pick up sweeper truck	R&G
11/17/23	Pavement Sweeping	Swept with pick up sweeper truck	R&G
11/17/23	Petro-Plug <sup>®</sup>	Replaced with new at PFS	DT
12/15/23	Pavement Sweeping	Swept with pick up sweeper truck	R&G
1/19/24	Pavement Sweeping	Swept with pick up sweeper truck	R&G

Date	<b>Control Measure or</b> <b>Equipment Description</b> (include location where appropriate)	Action Taken/Comments	Action Taken By (printed name & Z no.)
2/16/24	Pavement Sweeping	Swept with pick up sweeper truck	R&G
3/15/24	Pavement Sweeping	Swept with pick up sweeper truck	R&G
4/11/24	Heavy Metal Filter Socks	Replaced	IF-FOD
4/19/24	Pavement Sweeping	Swept with pick up sweeper truck	R&G
5/17/24	Pavement Sweeping	Swept with pick up sweeper truck	R&G
6/21/24	Pavement Sweeping	Swept with pick up sweeper truck	R&G
7/12/24	Pavement Sweeping	Swept with pick up sweeper truck	R&G
8/16/24	Pavement Sweeping	Swept with pick up sweeper truck	R&G
8/20/24	Heavy Metal Filter Socks	Replaced with new	IF-FOD
9/27/24	Pavement Sweeping	Swept with pick up sweeper truck	R&G
10/11/24	Pavement Sweeping	Swept with pick up sweeper truck	R&G
11/27/24	Pavement Sweeping	Swept with pick up sweeper truck	R&G
12/24/24	Pavement Sweeping	Swept with pick up sweeper truck	R&G

### ATTACHMENT 11: TRAINING DOCUMENTATION

Information on employees receiving training is available upon request

# TA-03-38 Metal Fabrication Shops MSGP TRAINING

- 1. Brief overview of the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System, Multi-Sector General Permit (MSGP)
  - a. The MSGP is a nation-wide general permit
  - b. New permit was issued in January of 2021
    - LANL received authorization to discharge stormwater from specific industrial activities, to meet Clean Water Act provisions under the new permit, on June 25, 2021
  - c. EPA is the regulatory authority
    - i. NM Environment Department is delegated authority to conduct inspections for them.
  - d. This facility falls under Sector AA Fabricated Metal Products
    - i. Because the MSGP is a "general" permit, every Metal Fabrication Shop authorized to discharge under the MSGP has to meet the same requirements.
- 2. Purpose of the MSGP is to MINIMIZE off-site migration of pollutants
  - a. Ensure controls are always adequate and functional (not just after identification of a condition requiring corrective action)
- 3. Key Elements of the MSGP
  - a. Industrial sites must have a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP)
  - b. Stormwater discharge from the site is monitored and analytical results are reported to EPA
  - c. Monthly inspections are performed at the site by Jacob Knight (your Deployed Environmental Professional)
  - d. Conditions requiring corrective action are identified, documented, and addressed
    - i. These could include housekeeping issues (presence of trash, shavings or small metal pieces found on the ground, abandoned materials or equipment, etc.
    - ii. Raw material not appropriately covered
    - iii. Spill identified
    - iv. Permit limit exceedance for constituents monitored for as described in Section4.7 of the SWPPP (i.e., aluminum, copper, Nitrate-Nitrite, zinc)
  - e. Training requirement
    - i. The permit requires personnel who implement requirements of the permit to be trained
    - ii. You all are or will be managing industrial materials (raw material metal, new and used oil lubricants, gasoline, metal recycle and other dumpsters, etc.) and conducting activities on an industrial site (TA-3-38 metal fabrication)
- 4. What does the SWPPP do?
  - a. Identifies a Pollution Prevention Team
    - This team consists of Jacob Knight (DEP), Darrell Thompson, Brandon Krank, Eric Jacquez, Ed Vigil, Jacob Vigil, and Holly Wheeler (MSGP Program Lead).
       Together, this team is instrumental in ensuring implementation of the MSGP, SWPPP, and associated stormwater controls.

- b. Identifies potential pollutant sources
  - i. Vehicle or equipment pollutants (spills of fuel, oil, grease, fluids (transmission and hydraulic), anti-freeze and associated heavy metals
  - ii. Storage of raw material (metal for fabrication)
  - iii. The metal for recycle roll-off bin
  - iv. Dumpsters containing trash and/or cardboard
  - v. Sediment
- c. Describes stormwater controls used to reduce/eliminate pollutants in stormwater discharges
  - i. Review SWPPP map and walk down controls at the site. Discuss their purpose and when they should be repaired or replaced.
    - Covered dumpsters
    - Tarps, canopies
    - Metallox wattles
    - Rip Rap rundowns and drainages
    - Asphalt berms
    - Gravel bags
    - Routine maintenance like sweeping of sediment loaded areas or where metal fines may accumulate after fabrication
- d. Contains procedures the site uses to comply with the terms/conditions of the permit
  - i. Procedures by EPC-CP specifically identifying how permit conditions are implemented
    - How to conduct inspections, spill investigations, visual assessments, document conditions requiring corrective actions, obtain samples, etc.
- e. Contains documentation that permit requirements have been met, including:
  - i. NOI and associated correspondence
  - ii. Completed visual assessments and Routine Facility Inspections
  - iii. Annual reports
  - iv. All documentation associated with conditions requiring corrective action
  - v. Maintenance of control measures
  - vi. Documentation of training given
- 5. What can you do to ensure good housekeeping requirements in the MSGP are met?
  - a. Keep the outside area of the Metals Fabrication area and storage yards in an orderly condition.
  - b. Pick up garbage, debris, and floatables when observed on-site and in drainages.
  - c. Keep dumpsters covered.
  - d. Monitor tarp covers.
  - e. Only use well maintained trucks and heavy equipment for transfer operations.
- 6. What are industrial materials and activities?
  - a. Metal, cutting oil, welding supplies and other products used during metal fabrication;
  - b. Chemical storage areas;
  - c. Raw metal storage;
  - d. Locations where trash and debris is stored;

- e. Metal for recycling bins;
- f. Locations of material handling equipment;
- g. Fabrication areas;
- 7. How can you properly manage industrial materials?
  - a. Keep raw metal and fabricated product inside a building or covered.
    - i. Replace tarps as needed.
    - ii. Contain fines from cutting, grinding and welding on a tarp or sweep fabrication areas and remove fines after fabrication work.
    - iii. Place fines in a metal drum or 5 gallon metal bucket inside the building. Once full, seal the bucket or drum and place it in the metal for recycle bin.
    - iv. Do not overfill the metal for recycle roll off bin.
    - v. Frequently sweep with magnet to collect small pieces of metal.
  - b. Potential for spills to occur from equipment.
    - Call the EPC-CP spills pager at 505-664-7722.
    - Provide immediate spill response with spill kits.
    - All complex/emergency spills or releases are reported to the Emergency Operations Support Center (EOSC) at 667-2400.
    - For fire or explosion, call 911 or activate fire pull box.
    - Clean up spill (know where your spill kits are). If too large call your Waste Management Coordinator and/or the EOSC
    - The EOSC, FOD and EPC-CP will determine if required notification are needed relative to the spill.
- 8. Contact information.
  - a. Contact Jacob (665-5880), the Deployed Environmental Professional, if you have any questions about environmental requirements.
  - b. Contact Holly Wheeler (667-1312) or <u>hbenson@lanl.gov</u>) for specific questions about the MSGP.

# MSGP TRAINING FOR TA-3-38 Metal Fabrication and Pipefitter Shops

Presented by Jacob Knight Deployed Environmental Professional

November 2023



# What is the MSGP?

- Multi-Sector General Permit A nation-wide general permit
  - Applies to only those areas of the US where EPA is identified as the permitting authority (Like here in NM)
- Authorizes the discharge of stormwater from specific industrial activities to meet Clean Water Act Provisions
  - 3-38 Industrial activities include:
    - Fabricated Metal Products
- EPA is the regulatory authority in New Mexico
  - NM Environment Department is delegated authority to conduct inspections




# What are industrial activity areas?

- Chemical storage areas
- Raw metal storage
- Metal, cutting oil, welding supplies and other products used during metal fabrication
- Fabrication and pipe fitting areas
- Locations where trash and debris are stored including trash bins
- Metal and other recycle bins
- Locations of material handling equipment



# **Purpose of the MSGP**


- Minimize off-site migration of pollutants
  - Sediment is the #1 pollutant of waterbodies on the US
  - Stormwater runoff is a major factor in water quality
  - At LANL sediment, spills and trash are the major pollutants
  - <u>Proactive</u> approach will prevent reactive requirement to address conditions requiring corrective action



# Stormwater Control Measures (SCMs) or Best Management Practices (BMPs)

- Select, design, install and implement SCMs to meet:
  - Non-numeric technology-based effluent limits, including:
    - Minimizing exposure of manufacturing, processing, and material storage areas to rain, snow, snowmelt, and stormwater
    - Good housekeeping
    - Maintenance
    - Spill prevention and response
    - Erosion and sediment control
    - Divert, infiltrate, reuse, contain, or otherwise reduce stormwater
    - Training employees
    - Ensuring unallowable non-stormwater discharges are prevented
    - Minimizing dust generation and vehicle tracking







# **Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP)**

- Facility-specific plan on how permit requirements will be met
- All personnel implementing the MSGP must be trained to it
- Identifies potential pollutant sources
- Describes stormwater controls used to reduce/eliminate pollutants in discharge
- Identifies the Pollution Prevention Team
- Contains procedures used to comply with terms/conditions of the MSGP



# When Do I Perform A Routine Facility Inspection (RFI)?

# Monthly

• At least once a calendar year during a stormwater discharge

# **Evaluation includes:**

- Weather at time of inspection
- Discharges or evidence of discharges from the site
  - New discharges?
  - Evidence of, or potential for pollutants to enter the drainage system?
- Monitored outfalls and Substantially Identical Discharge Points (SIDPs)
  - Evidence of erosion?
  - Evidence of pollutants in discharge like trash?
  - Flow dissipation devices operating effectively?





# What Does An RFI Cover? (continued)

- Stormwater Control Measures
  - Are they operating effectively?
  - Are they in need of maintenance, repair, replacement?
- Examples





# Stormwater Control Measures (SCM)

• Examples – corrective action needed





### Stormwater Control Measures (SCM)

- Examples
- Covered dumpsters
- Tarps, canopies
- Metallox wattles (heavy metal filtering socks)
- Rip Rap/asphalt rundowns and drainages
- Asphalt berms
- Gravel bags
- Routine maintenance like sweeping of sediment loaded areas or where metal fines may accumulate after fabrication



### **Corrective Action (CA)**

Definition: Any action taken, or required to be taken, to

- (1) repair, modify, or replace any stormwater control used at the site;
- (2) clean up and dispose of spills, releases, or other deposits found on the site;
- (3) satisfy any permit condition or SWPPP requirement



### **Conditions Requiring Corrective Action**

- Unauthorized release or discharge
- Control measures are not stringent enough for discharge to meet applicable water quality standards or non-numeric effluent limits
- The average of four quarterly monitoring results exceeds an applicable benchmark
  - Additional Implementation Measure (AIM) triggering event
- Control measures are not being properly operated and maintained
- Whenever a visual assessment shows evidence of stormwater pollution
- Facility operations change resulting in an increase in the quantities of pollutants discharged
- Permit limit exceedance for constituents monitored for as described in Section 4.7 of the SWPPP (i.e., aluminum, copper, Nitrate-Nitrite, zinc)



### **Corrective Action Time Frames**

- 2 time-frames identified in the MSGP: Immediate & Subsequent
- Immediate action means right away (same day) once a CA is identified
- What constitutes immediate action?
  - Fixing the problem
  - Installation of temporary controls (gravel bags, covering, initial clean-up)
  - Some type of physical action to address or stabilize the situation
- For minor conditions, immediate action is often sufficient, and no additional action is necessary



### **Subsequent Corrective Action**

- Complete the corrective action (e.g., install a new or modified control and make it operational or complete the repair) <u>before the next storm</u> <u>event or within 14 calendar days from the time of discovery – FSRs are</u> <u>not a good response time</u>
- Roads and grounds may get involved (standing work order) to initiate a follow up action or permanent solution after the immediate action is completed (e.g., procurement and installation of a new SCM, maintenance/replacement of SCMs)
- Any corrective action resulting in a change to a SCM or procedure documented in the SWPPP will require SWPPP modification within 14 days of completing the corrective action
  - Contact your DEP (Jacob Knight) when corrective actions are completed so I can close out the open corrective action (emails go out on these).



### **Corrective Action Documentation**

- Within 24 hours of discovery enter a description of the condition requiring corrective action and the date the condition was identified in the Corrective Action Report (CAR) database (DEP responsibility).
- Document immediate actions taken to minimize or prevent the discharge of pollutants
- Document dates when each corrective action was initiated, completed, or is expected to be completed
- If the corrective action cannot be completed within 14days, provide a schedule and justification for why it is infeasible to complete the necessary work.



### Things to look for – be proactive

- · Pick up garbage when observed on-site and in drainage
- Check trucks, loaders, forklifts and other heavy equipment for leaks prior to using them
- Ensure all dumpster lids remain closed
- Ensure all raw metals are covered
- Contain fines from cutting, grinding and welding on a tarp or sweep fabrication areas and remove fines after fabrication work
- Place fines in a metal drum or 5 gallon metal bucket inside the building. Once full, seal the bucket or drum and place it in the metal for recycle bin
- Don't overfill metal recycle bin and keep it fully covered
- Frequently sweep with magnet to collect any small pieces of metal
- If in doubt, call your DEP (Jacob Knight 505-665-5880)



### **Unplanned Releases/Spills**

- Regulatory Driver: New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission Regulations (20.6.2.1203 NMAC) require that any spill impacting a storm water drainage system, watercourse, groundwater, SWMU or AOC be reported to the NMED.
- Small spills that are completely remediated in a timely manner may not be reportable, but the release must be reported to the DEP and EPC spills program (spill pager 664-7722), remediated, and documented on an Unplanned Release Report. Untimely clean-up may change a nonreportable event to a reportable event.
- Spills that occur indoors must also be reported to the spills pager..505-664-7722




### **Unplanned Releases/Spills**

- Immediately notify EPC of all unplanned releases to ensure appropriate corrective actions are taken and notifications are made. Anything that looks like a spill is a spill (big or small) and has to be reported.
- EPC Spills Pager (664-7722) and DEP
- Contact EOSC (667-2400) if the unplanned release is an emergency.
- TRIAD must notify NMED within 24 hours of every "Reportable" spill and follow with written reports within seven and fifteen days.
- TRIAD must immediately notify the National Response Center of any release of a Hazardous Substance that equals or exceeds a Reportable Quantity.
- KNOW WHERE YOUR SPILL KITS ARE



## **Questions?**





### MSGP TRAINING FOR TA-3-38 Metal Fabrication and Pipefitter Shops

### Presented by Jon Lutz / Jairo Flores Deployed Environmental Professional

September 2024



### What is the MSGP?

- Multi-Sector General Permit A nation-wide general permit
  - Applies to only those areas of the US where EPA is identified as the permitting authority (Like here in NM)
- Authorizes the discharge of stormwater from specific industrial activities to meet Clean Water Act Provisions
  - 3-38 Industrial activities include:
    - Fabricated Metal Products
- EPA is the regulatory authority in New Mexico
  - NM Environment Department is delegated authority to conduct inspections



### What are industrial activity areas?

- Chemical storage areas
- Raw metal storage
- Metal, cutting oil, welding supplies and other products used during metal fabrication
- Fabrication and pipe fitting areas
- Locations where trash and debris are stored including trash bins
- Metal and other recycle bins
- Locations of material handling equipment



### **Purpose of the MSGP**


- Minimize off-site migration of pollutants
  - Sediment is the #1 pollutant of waterbodies on the US
  - Stormwater runoff is a major factor in water quality
  - At LANL sediment, spills and trash are the major pollutants
  - <u>Proactive</u> approach will prevent reactive requirement to address conditions requiring corrective action



### Stormwater Control Measures (SCMs) or Best Management Practices (BMPs)

- Select, design, install and implement SCMs to meet:
  - Non-numeric technology-based effluent limits, including:
    - Minimizing exposure of manufacturing, processing, and material storage areas to rain, snow, snowmelt, and stormwater
    - Good housekeeping
    - Maintenance
    - Spill prevention and response
    - Erosion and sediment control
    - Divert, infiltrate, reuse, contain, or otherwise reduce stormwater
    - Training employees
    - Ensuring unallowable non-stormwater discharges are prevented
    - Minimizing dust generation and vehicle tracking







### **Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP)**

- Facility-specific plan on how permit requirements will be met
- All personnel implementing the MSGP must be trained to it
- Identifies potential pollutant sources
- Describes stormwater controls used to reduce/eliminate pollutants in discharge
- Identifies the Pollution Prevention Team
- Contains procedures used to comply with terms/conditions of the MSGP



## When Do I Perform A Routine Facility Inspection (RFI)?

#### Monthly

• At least once a calendar year during a stormwater discharge

#### **Evaluation includes:**

- Weather at time of inspection
- Discharges or evidence of discharges from the site
  - New discharges?
  - Evidence of, or potential for pollutants to enter the drainage system?
- Monitored outfalls and Substantially Identical Discharge Points (SIDPs)
  - Evidence of erosion?
  - Evidence of pollutants in discharge like trash?
  - Flow dissipation devices operating effectively?





### What Does An RFI Cover? (continued)

- Stormwater Control Measures
  - Are they operating effectively?
  - Are they in need of maintenance, repair, replacement?
- Examples





#### Stormwater Control Measures (SCM)

• Examples – corrective action needed





### Stormwater Control Measures (SCM)

- Examples
- Covered dumpsters
- Tarps, canopies
- Metallox wattles (heavy metal filtering socks)
- Rip Rap/asphalt rundowns and drainages
- Asphalt berms
- Gravel bags
- Routine maintenance like sweeping of sediment loaded areas or where metal fines may accumulate after fabrication



### **Corrective Action (CA)**

Definition: Any action taken, or required to be taken, to

- (1) repair, modify, or replace any stormwater control used at the site;
- (2) clean up and dispose of spills, releases, or other deposits found on the site;
- (3) satisfy any permit condition or SWPPP requirement



### **Conditions Requiring Corrective Action**

- Unauthorized release or discharge
- Control measures are not stringent enough for discharge to meet applicable water quality standards or non-numeric effluent limits
- The average of four quarterly monitoring results exceeds an applicable benchmark
  - Additional Implementation Measure (AIM) triggering event
- Control measures are not being properly operated and maintained
- Whenever a visual assessment shows evidence of stormwater pollution
- Facility operations change resulting in an increase in the quantities of pollutants discharged
- Permit limit exceedance for constituents monitored for as described in Section 4.7 of the SWPPP (i.e., aluminum, copper, Nitrate-Nitrite, zinc)



### **Corrective Action Time Frames**

- 2 time-frames identified in the MSGP: Immediate & Subsequent
- Immediate action means right away (same day) once a CA is identified
- What constitutes immediate action?
  - Fixing the problem
  - Installation of temporary controls (gravel bags, covering, initial clean-up)
  - Some type of physical action to address or stabilize the situation
- For minor conditions, immediate action is often sufficient, and no additional action is necessary



### **Subsequent Corrective Action**

- Complete the corrective action (e.g., install a new or modified control and make it operational or complete the repair) <u>before the next storm</u> <u>event or within 14 calendar days from the time of discovery</u>
- Engage building manager to initiate a follow up action or permanent solution after the immediate action is completed (e.g., procurement and installation of a new SCM, maintenance/replacement of SCMs)
- Any corrective action resulting in a change to a SCM or procedure documented in the SWPPP will require SWPPP modification within 14 days of completing the corrective action
  - Contact your DEP (Jairo Flores) when corrective actions are completed so I can close out the open corrective action (emails go out on these).



### **Corrective Action Documentation**

- Within 24 hours of discovery enter a description of the condition requiring corrective action and the date the condition was identified in the Corrective Action Report (CAR) database (DEP responsibility).
- Document immediate actions taken to minimize or prevent the discharge of pollutants
- Document dates when each corrective action was initiated, completed, or is expected to be completed
- If the corrective action cannot be completed within 14days, provide a schedule and justification for why it is infeasible to complete the necessary work.



### Things to look for – be proactive

- Pick up garbage when observed on-site and in drainage
- Check trucks, loaders, forklifts and other heavy equipment for leaks prior to using them
- Ensure all dumpster lids remain closed
- Ensure all raw metals are covered
- Contain fines from cutting, grinding and welding on a tarp or sweep fabrication areas and remove fines after fabrication work
- Place fines in a metal drum or 5 gallon metal bucket inside the building. Once full, seal the bucket or drum and place it in the metal for recycle bin
- Don't overfill metal recycle bin and keep it fully covered
- Frequently sweep with magnet to collect any small pieces of metal
- If in doubt, call your DEP (Jairo Flores 505-500-7875)



### **Unplanned Releases/Spills**

- Regulatory Driver: New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission Regulations (20.6.2.1203 NMAC) require that any spill impacting a storm water drainage system, watercourse, groundwater, SWMU or AOC be reported to the NMED.
- Small spills that are completely remediated in a timely manner may not be reportable, but the release must be reported to the DEP and EPC spills program (spill pager 664-7722), remediated, and documented on an Unplanned Release Report. Untimely clean-up may change a nonreportable event to a reportable event.
- Spills that occur indoors must also be reported to the spills pager..505-664-7722




### **Unplanned Releases/Spills**

- Immediately notify EPC of all unplanned releases to ensure appropriate corrective actions are taken and notifications are made. Anything that looks like a spill is a spill (big or small) and has to be reported.
- EPC Spills Pager (664-7722) and DEP
- Contact EOSC (667-2400) if the unplanned release is an emergency.
- TRIAD must notify NMED within 24 hours of every "Reportable" spill and follow with written reports within seven and fifteen days.
- TRIAD must immediately notify the National Response Center of any release of a Hazardous Substance that equals or exceeds a Reportable Quantity.
- KNOW WHERE YOUR SPILL KITS ARE



## **Questions?**





#### ATTACHMENT 12: MSGP (OR ACTIVE URL)

The active URL for the permit is:

2021 Multi-Sector General Permit

ATTACHMENT 13: THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES HABITAT MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY

#### LA-UR-22-20556

#### Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

Title:Threatened and Endangered Species Habitat Management Plan for Los<br/>Alamos National Laboratory

Author(s): Thompson, Brent Eugene Hathcock, Charles Dean Sanchez, Audrey Anna

Intended for:Environmental Regulatory DocumentIssued:2022-04-14 (rev.2)









Los Alamos National Laboratory, an affirmative action/equal opportunity employer, is operated by Triad National Security, LLC for the National Nuclear Security Administration of U.S. Department of Energy under contract 89233218CNA000001. By approving this article, the publisher recognizes that the U.S. Government retains nonexclusive, royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or to allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes. Los Alamos National Laboratory requests that the publisher identify this article as work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy. Los Alamos National Laboratory strongly supports academic freedom and a researcher's right to publish; as an institution, however, the Laboratory does not endorse the viewpoint of a publication or guarantee its technical correctness.

# Threatened and Endangered Species Habitat Management Plan for Los Alamos National Laboratory





Prepared for:	U.S. Department of Energy/National Nuclear Security Administration, Los Alamos Field Office
Prepared by:	Environmental Protection and Compliance Division Resources Management Team Los Alamos National Laboratory <i>An Affirmative Action/Equal Opportunity Employer</i>

Editing and Layout by:	Tamara Hawman
	Communication Arts & Services
	Los Alamos National Laboratory

Cover photo: Mexican Spotted Owls at Los Alamos National Laboratory



NOTICE: This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government, nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, make any warranty, express or implied, or assume any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represent that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government, any agency thereof, or any of their contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.



## CONTENTS

I.		REATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES HABITAT MANAGEMENT PLAN GENERAI	
		ERVIEW	
	1.0	Introduction	
	2.0	Role of Site Plans in the HMP	
	3.0	Description of Areas of Environmental Interest	
		3.1 Definition and Role of Developed Areas in AEI Management	
		3.2 General Description of Buffer Areas and Allowable Buffer Area Development	
		3.3 Emergency Actions	
	4.0	Implementation of Site Plans	
		4.1 Roles and Responsibilities	
		4.2 Activities that Do Not Meet Site Plan Guidelines	
		4.3 Dissemination of Information	
II.		EA OF ENVIRONMENTAL INTEREST SITE PLAN FOR THE MEXICAN SPOTTED OWL	
	1.0	Species Description—Mexican Spotted Owl	
		1.1 Status	
		1.2 General Biology	
		1.3 Threats	
	2.0	Impact of Human Activities	
		2.1 Introduction	
		2.2 Impacts on Habitat Quality	
	3.0	AEI General Description for Mexican Spotted Owl	
		3.1 Method for Identifying a Mexican Spotted Owl AEI	
		3.2 Location and Number of Mexican Spotted Owl AEIs	
	4.0	AEI Management	
		4.1 Overview	
		4.2 Definition and Role of Occupancy in AEI Management	
		4.3 Introduction to AEI Management Guidelines	
		4.4 Definition of and Restrictions on Habitat Alterations	.13
		4.5 Definition of and Restrictions on Disturbance Activities	.15
		4.6 Protective Measures	.17
	5.0		
III.	ARE	EA OF ENVIRONMENTAL INTEREST SITE PLAN FOR THE SOUTHWESTERN WILLO	N
	FLY	/CATCHER	20
	1.0	Species Description—Southwestern Willow Flycatcher	20
		1.1 Status	.20
		1.2 General Biology	.20
		1.3 Threats	.21
	2.0	Impact of Human Activities	21
		2.1 Introduction	.21
		2.2 Impacts on Habitat Quality	.21
	3.0	AEI General Description for the Southwestern Willow Flycatcher	23
		3.1 Method for Identifying the Southwestern Willow Flycatcher AEI	.23
		3.2 Location of the Southwestern Willow Flycatcher AEI	.23
	4.0	AEI Management	23
		4.1 Overview	.23

		4.2	Definition and Role of Occupancy in AEI Management	23
		4.3	Introduction to AEI Management Guidelines	24
		4.4	Definition of and Restrictions on Habitat Alterations	24
		4.5	Definition of and Restrictions on Disturbance Activities	25
		4.6	Protective Measures	27
5	0.0	Sout	hwestern Willow Flycatcher AEI Description	27
		5.1	Pajarito Canyon Southwestern Willow Flycatcher AEI	27
IV. A	ARE	EA O	F ENVIRONMENTAL INTEREST SITE PLAN FOR THE JEMEZ MOUNTAINS	
S	SAL	AMA	ANDER	29
1	.0	Spec	vies Description—Jemez Mountains Salamander	29
		1.1	Status	
		1.2	General Biology	29
		1.3	Threats	
2	0.0	Impa	act of Human Activities	30
		2.1	Introduction	30
		2.2	Impacts on Habitat Quality	
		2.3	Impacts on Individual Salamanders	
3	0.0	AEI	General Description for the Jemez Mountains Salamander	
		3.1	Method for Identifying a Jemez Mountains Salamander AEI	
			Location and Number of Jemez Mountains Salamander AEIs	
4	.0	AEI	Management	32
		4.1	Overview	
		4.2	Definition and Role of Occupancy in AEI Management	
		4.3	Definition and Role of Developed Areas in AEI Management	
		4.4	General Description of Core and Buffer Areas and Allowable Area Development	
		4.5	Emergency Actions	
		4.6	Introduction to AEI Management Guidelines	
		4.7	Definition of and Restrictions on Habitat Alterations	
			YMS AND ABBREVIATIONS	
			NCES CITED	
Appe	endi	x A:	Supplemental Information	A-1

# Figures

Figure I-1. Process flowchart for determining site plan requirements	. 3
Figure A-1. Most recent map of all AEIs at LANL A	-2

## Tables

Table II-1. Restrictions on Activities in Undeveloped Occupied Mexican Spotted Owl AEIs	17
Table III-1. Restrictions on Activities in Undeveloped Occupied	
Table A-1. Percentage of Each Food Type Found in Mexican Spotted Owl Food Remains at	
LANL	A-1
Table A-2. Preliminary Light Measurements (in fc) for the Mexican Spotted Owl Site Plan	A-1



## I. THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES HABITAT MANAGEMENT PLAN GENERAL OVERVIEW

## 1.0 Introduction

The Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) Threatened and Endangered Species Habitat Management Plan (HMP) fulfills a commitment made to the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) in the "Final Environmental Impact Statement for the Dual-Axis Radiographic Hydrodynamic Test Facility Mitigation Action Plan" (DOE 1996). The HMP received concurrence from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) in 1999 (USFWS consultation numbers 2-22-98-I-336 and 2-22-95-I-108). This 2022 update retains the management guidelines from the 1999 HMP for listed species and updates some descriptive information.

## 2.0 Role of Site Plans in the HMP

The purpose of the HMP is to provide a management strategy for Endangered Species Act (ESA) compliance through the protection of threatened and endangered species and their habitats on LANL property. The HMP consists of site plans for federally listed threatened or endangered species that have a moderate or high probability of occurring at LANL. The following federally listed threatened or endangered species currently have site plans at LANL: Mexican Spotted Owl (*Strix occidentalis lucida*), Southwestern Willow Flycatcher (*Empidonax traillii extimus*), and Jemez Mountains Salamander (*Plethodon neomexicanus*). Site plans provide guidance to ensure that LANL operations do not adversely affect threatened or endangered species or their habitats.

The Black-footed Ferret (*Mustela nigripes*) is federally listed as endangered; however, no sightings of Black-footed Ferrets have been reported in Los Alamos County for more than 70 years. In addition, no large prairie dog towns—prime habitat for Black-footed Ferrets—have been observed at LANL; therefore, there is no site plan for this species.

The New Mexico Meadow Jumping Mouse (*Zapus hudsonius luteus*) and western distinct population segment of the Yellow-billed Cuckoo (*Coccyzus americanus*) do not require a site plan because they do not have breeding habitat on LANL property. In Keller (2015), it was concluded that if any LANL work activities—onsite or offsite—might affect habitat for these two species, those activities would be reviewed for impacts.

## 3.0 Description of Areas of Environmental Interest

Suitable habitats for federally listed threatened and endangered species have been designated as areas of environmental interest (AEIs), which are geographical units at LANL that are managed for the protection of federally listed species and consist of core habitat areas and buffer areas. The purpose of the core habitat is to protect areas essential for the existence of the specific threatened or endangered species. This includes the appropriate habitat type for breeding, prey availability, and micro-climate conditions. The purpose of buffer areas is to protect core areas from undue disturbance and habitat degradation.

Site plans identify restrictions on activities within the AEIs. The USFWS reviewed allowable activities and provided concurrence that these activities are not likely to adversely affect federally listed species.

Activities discussed in site plans include day-to-day activities that cause disturbance (hereafter referred to as "disturbance activities"), such as access into an AEI, and long-term impacts, such as habitat alteration.

## 3.1 Definition and Role of Developed Areas in AEI Management

Developed areas include all building structures, paved roads, improved gravel roads, paved and unpaved parking lots, and firing sites. The extent of developed areas in each AEI was determined using two methods. First, LANL geographic information system (GIS) analysts placed a 15-meter (m; 49-foot [ft]) border around all buildings and parking lots. For paved and improved gravel roads, the developed area was defined as the area to a roadside fence if one exists within 9 m (30 ft) of the road or 5 m (15 ft) on each side of the road if there is no fence within 9 m (30 ft). If an area of highly fragmented habitat was enclosed by roads, a security fence, or connected buildings, that area was also classified as developed. Developed areas at firing sites were defined as a circle with a 91-m (300-ft) radius from the most centrally located firing pad. Second, LANL GIS analysts overlaid scanned orthophotos onto a map of the Los Alamos area and digitized all areas that appeared developed. These two information sources were overlaid and combined so that areas classified as developed by either method were considered developed in final maps and analyses. Some areas were confirmed by ground surveys.

Developed areas occur in the core and/or buffer of all AEIs; however, developed areas do not constitute suitable habitat for federally listed species. Current ongoing activities in developed areas constitute a baseline condition for the AEIs and are not restricted. New activities, including further development within already existing developed areas, are not restricted unless they impact undeveloped portions of an AEI core. For example, if light or noise from a new office building in a developed area were to raise levels in an undeveloped core area, those light and noise levels would be subject to the guidelines on disturbance or habitat alterations.

## 3.2 General Description of Buffer Areas and Allowable Buffer Area Development

The purpose of buffer areas is to protect core areas from undue disturbance or habitat degradation. The 1999 levels of development in buffer and core areas represent baseline conditions for this HMP. No further development is allowed in the core area under the guidelines of this HMP. A limited amount of development may be allowed in buffer areas. Each species' site plan details the allowable levels. Under the guidelines of this HMP, individual development projects are limited to 2 hectares (ha; 5 acre [ac]) in size, including a 15-m (49-ft) developed-area border around structures and a 5-m (15-ft) developed-area border around paved and improved gravel roads. Projects greater than 2 ha (5 ac) in size require individual review for ESA compliance (see exceptions for fuels management activities and utility corridor maintenance).

## 3.3 Emergency Actions

Managers may activate emergency actions if safety and/or property is immediately threatened by something occurring within an AEI (e.g., wildfire, water line breakage). Contact a LANL biologist (<u>https://int.lanl.gov/environment/bio/index.shtml</u>), the Environmental Stewardship Group (505-665-8855), or the DOE/National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) Los Alamos Field Office (505-667-7014) as soon as possible. If the emergency occurs outside of regular business hours, contact the Emergency Operations Support Center (505-667-2400); this office will then communicate with the appropriate LANL and DOE/NNSA Field Office personnel.

## 4.0 Implementation of Site Plans

## 4.1 Roles and Responsibilities

LANL's facility managers and operational staff are responsible for ensuring that activities are reviewed for compliance with all applicable site plans. Figure I-1 illustrates the process for utilizing site plans. If activities follow approved Site Plan guidelines, there is no requirement for additional ESA regulatory compliance; however, if proposed activities fall outside of the requirements of the Site Plan(s), then the project must fund a biological assessment for their activity.




Figure I-1. Process flowchart for determining site plan requirements

If an activity or project occurs outside of all LANL AEIs and will not impact habitat within an AEI, it does not have to be reviewed for ESA compliance unless it is a large project in an undeveloped area that could have impacts on nearby habitat. Projects that are larger than 2 ha (5 ac) or cost more than \$5 million require an individual ESA compliance review even if they are not located within an AEI.

LANL's facility managers are responsible for determining if operations within their geographic and/or programmatic areas of responsibility comply with the guidelines in these site plans. Submission of a project into the integrated review tool for a new or modified project is required under *Environmental Management System*, System Description (SD) 400 (LANL 2021) and allows managers to identify the requirements within their project areas. Deployed environmental professionals and core LANL biologists are available to support facility managers. If activities follow site plan guidelines, they do not require any additional ESA regulatory compliance action; however, NEPA, cultural resources, wetlands, or other

regulatory compliance actions are not addressed in site plans, and additional compliance actions may be required for these actions. It is the responsibility of the project leader or facility management staff to ensure that all requirements are satisfied. If you have questions, contact biological, cultural, NEPA, or other environmental subject matter experts.

A single facility may have one or more AEIs within its boundary, and the AEIs may be for different species. Some AEIs overlap. In areas where overlap occurs, project managers must follow the guidelines for AEIs of all involved species.

## 4.2 Activities that Do Not Meet Site Plan Guidelines

If a project reviewer determines that an activity or project cannot meet the guidelines in applicable site plans, LANL biologists evaluate that activity individually for compliance with the ESA. Results of the evaluation of potential impacts allow LANL biologists to make recommendations to the DOE/NNSA Field Office Biological Resources Program Manager regarding the need for USFWS consultation. An evaluation may result in a DOE/NNSA Field Office

- determination that there is no effect and the activity may proceed,
- suggestion for modifications of the action to avoid adverse effects so that it may proceed, or
- decision to prepare a biological assessment for the activity and submit it to the USFWS for concurrence.

Fieldwork and preparation of a biological assessment can take several months, with an additional 2 to 12 months for DOE/NNSA Field Office review and then final USFWS concurrence.

## 4.3 Dissemination of Information

Habitat locations of threatened and endangered species are not considered sensitive; however, it is in the best interest of threatened and endangered species to restrict specific knowledge about their locations.

## 5.0 Changes in the HMP since Implementation

The HMP received concurrence from USFWS and was first implemented in 1999. Since that time, both the Peregrine Falcon (*Falco peregrinus*) and the Bald Eagle (*Haliaeetus leucocephalus*) have been delisted. Site plans for those species have been removed from LANL's HMP. Both species are protected at LANL under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act, and the Bald Eagle is also protected under the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act.

In 2005, the USFWS concurred with DOE's proposal for updated Mexican Spotted Owl habitat boundaries based on a revised analysis of Mexican Spotted Owl habitat quality within DOE property around LANL (USFWS consultation number 22420-2006-I-0010).

In 2012, the USFWS concurred with DOE's proposal to modify the habitat boundaries for the Los Alamos Canyon Mexican Spotted Owl AEI due to changes from the fire response activities after the Las Conchas wildfire (USFWS consultation number 02ENNM00-2012-IE-0088).

In 2013, the USFWS concurred with the DOE's new site plan for the Jemez Mountains Salamander and its addition to LANL's HMP (USFWS consultation number 02ENNM00-2014-I-0014).

In 2015, the USFWS concurred with the DOE's addition of the New Mexico Meadow Jumping Mouse and Yellow-billed Cuckoo to LANL's HMP (USFWS consultation number 02ENNM00-2015-I-0538).

In 2017, the USFWS concurred with DOE's proposal to modify the habitat boundaries for the lower section of Water Canyon Mexican Spotted Owl AEI due to habitat degradation that resulted from long-term drought and fire effects (USFWS consultation number 02ENNM00-2017-I-0255).

In 2022, the HMP was revised for formatting and updated language and to revise Section 5.0 in the Mexican Spotted Owl site plan. This effort was a required mitigation in a recent consultation (USFWS consultation number 02ENNM00-2020-I-1412).

## 6.0 Data Management

The data used in the implementation of the HMP are stored in a geodatabase at LANL. The current map of all of the AEIs at LANL is shown in Figure A-1 in the appendix.

# II. AREA OF ENVIRONMENTAL INTEREST SITE PLAN FOR THE MEXICAN SPOTTED OWL

## 1.0 Species Description—Mexican Spotted Owl

### 1.1 Status

In 1993, the USFWS determined the Mexican Spotted Owl to be a threatened species under the authority of the ESA, as amended (58 Federal Register [FR] 14248). In 1995, the USFWS released its final recovery plan for the owl (USFWS 1995), which was revised in 2012 (USFWS 2012). The USFWS most recently designated critical habitat for Mexican Spotted Owl in 2004 (69 FR 53182).

## 1.2 General Biology

The Mexican Spotted Owl is found in northern Arizona, southeastern Utah, and southwestern Colorado south through New Mexico, west Texas, and into Mexico. It is the only subspecies of Spotted Owl recognized in New Mexico (USFWS 1995).

The Mexican Spotted Owl generally inhabits mixed conifer and ponderosa pine- (Pinus ponderosa; Lawson & C. Lawson) Gambel oak (Quercus gambelli; Nutt.) forests in mountains and canyons. High canopy closure, high stand diversity, multilayered canopy resulting from an uneven-aged stand, large mature trees, downed logs, snags, and stand decadence as indicated by the presence of mistletoe are characteristics of Mexican Spotted Owl habitat. Some owls have been found in second-growth forests (i.e., younger forests that have been logged); however, these areas were found to contain characteristics typical of old-growth forests. Mexican Spotted Owls in the Jemez Mountains prefer cliff faces in canyons for their nest sites (Johnson and Johnson 1985). The recovery plan for the Mexican Spotted Owl recommends that mixed conifer and pine-oak woodland types on slopes greater than 40 percent be protected for the conservation of this owl.

A mated pair of adult Spotted Owls might use the same home range and general nesting areas throughout their lives. A pair of owls requires approximately 800 ha (1,976 ac) of suitable nesting and foraging habitat to ensure reproductive success. Incubation is carried out by the female. The incubation period is approximately 30 days, and most eggs hatch by the end of May. Most owlets fledge in June, 34 to 36 days after hatching (USFWS 1995). The owlets are "semi-independent" by late August or early September, although juvenile begging calls have been heard as late as September 30. Young are fully independent by early October. The non-breeding season runs from September 1 through February 28. Although seasonal movements vary among owls, most adults remain within their summer home ranges throughout the year.

The diet of Mexican Spotted Owls who nest in canyons consists primarily of woodrats (*Neotoma spp.*) and deer mice (*Peromyscus spp.*), with fewer numbers of rabbits, birds, reptiles, and arthropods (Willey 2013). The relative abundance of prey types in Mexican Spotted Owl pellets collected at LANL is listed in Table A-1 in the appendix. Ganey and Balda (1994) found that core areas of individuals (i.e., where owls spent 60 percent of their time) averaged 134 ha (331 ac), and core areas for pairs averaged 160 ha (395 ac).

## 1.3 Threats

The Mexican Spotted Owl was listed as threatened because of destruction and modification of habitat caused by timber harvest, wildfires, increased predation on owls associated with habitat fragmentation, and a lack of adequate protective regulations.

## 2.0 Impact of Human Activities

#### 2.1 Introduction

The primary threats to Mexican Spotted Owls on LANL property are (1) impacts to habitat quality from LANL operations and (2) disturbance of nesting owls. This section provides a review and summary of scientific knowledge of the effects of various types of human activities on the Mexican Spotted Owl and provides an overview of the current levels of activities at LANL.

#### 2.2 Impacts on Habitat Quality

#### 2.2.1 Development

The type of habitat used by Mexican Spotted Owls—late seral stage forests with large trees—is usually not found in large quantities near developed areas or near areas that have experienced recent agricultural or forest product extraction land uses; therefore, Mexican Spotted Owls generally are not found near developments. Whether it is the development or a lack of suitable habitat that discourages colonization of these areas by Mexican Spotted Owls is unknown.

Areas of LANL vary from remote, undeveloped areas to heavily developed and/or industrialized facilities. Most LANL facilities are situated atop mesas, primarily in the northern and western portion of the DOE property. LANL is bounded by developed residential, industrial, and retail areas along its northern boundary (the town of Los Alamos) and by residential and retail development along a portion of its eastern boundary (the town of White Rock). Three major paved roads traverse LANL from northeast to southwest. Sandia, Pajarito, and Los Alamos canyons have paved roads within AEIs, and several AEIs have dirt roads along at least a portion of the canyon bottom. AEIs that contain paved or dirt roads in the canyon bottoms have not been occupied at LANL (Hathcock et al. 2010).

#### 2.2.2 Ecological Risk

No specific information exists on the impact of chemicals on the Mexican Spotted Owl, although experience with other raptor species suggests that exposure to polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), dichloro-diphenyl-trichloroethane (DDT) and its derivatives, and other organophosphate or organochlorine pesticides would probably be harmful. Exposure to other chemicals could also be harmful (Cain 1988).

Between 1997 and 2009, LANL subject matter experts completed three ecological risk assessments that included the Mexican Spotted Owl. The ecological risk assessment process involves using computer modeling to assess potential effects to animals from chemicals of potential concern that have been detected in the environment. All of the following ecological risk assessments concluded that, on average, no appreciable impact to Mexican Spotted Owls is expected from chemicals of potential concern (Gallegos et al. 1997; Gonzales et al. 2004; Gonzales et al. 2009).

#### 2.2.3 Disturbance

#### Pedestrians and Vehicles

Based on work with other raptors, LANL biologists assume that Mexican Spotted Owls would likely be disturbed by the approach of either pedestrians or vehicles. At an equal distance, pedestrians are frequently more disturbing to raptors than vehicles (Grubb and King 1991). Brown and Stevens (1997) reported that, during surveys in Grand Canyon National Park, 22 times more Bald Eagles were found in canyon reaches with low human recreational use compared with reaches with moderate-to-high human recreational use. Human activity 100 m (328 ft) from Bald Eagle nests in Alaska caused clear and consistent changes in behavior of breeding eagles (Steidl and Anthony 2000).

Swarthout and Steidl (2001) found that both juvenile and adult roosting Mexican Spotted Owls were unlikely to alter their behavior in the presence of a single hiker at distances greater than 55 m (180 ft). Swarthout and Steidl (2003) concluded that cumulative effects of high levels of short-duration recreational hiking near Mexican Spotted Owl nests could be detrimental.

Many canyon bottoms and mesa tops at LANL have dirt roads traversing them. Most of these roads are gated; however, these roads are accessible to LANL employees, and some of them are accessible to the public on foot or by bike. LANL biologists found that AEIs are occupied less often if there is recreational access into a canyon (Hathcock et al. 2010).

#### Aircraft

Ground-based disturbances appear to impact raptor reproductive success more than aerial disturbances (Grubb and King 1991). Grubb and Bowerman (1997) concluded that an exclusion of aircraft within 600 m (1,968 ft) of Bald Eagle nest sites would limit Bald Eagle response frequency to 19 percent.

Delaney et al. (1999) found that, for Mexican Spotted Owls, chainsaws consistently elicited higher response rates than helicopters at similar distances. Owl flush rates did not differ between nesting and non-nesting seasons. No owls flushed when noise stimuli (helicopter or chainsaws) were at distances greater than 105 m (344 ft). Distance was generally a better predictor of owl response to helicopter overflights than sound level.

LANL is restricted airspace, and planes infrequently fly less than 609 m (2,000 ft) above ground level. The County of Los Alamos operates an airport along the northern edge of LANL. The airport is located on the southern rim of Pueblo Canyon. Most flights approach and depart to the east of the airport, over the Rio Grande.

#### **Explosives**

No specific information is currently available on the reaction of Mexican Spotted Owls to explosives detonation. Explosive blasts set off 120 to 140 m (393 to 459 ft) from active Prairie Falcon (*Falco mexicanus*) nests caused perched Prairie Falcons to flush from perches 79 percent of the time and, in 26 percent of the cases, caused incubating Prairie Falcons to flush from nests. Measured sound levels at aerie entrances during blasts ranged from 129 to 141 decibels (dB) (Holthuijzen et al. 1990). Explosives blasting for dam construction 560 to 1,000 m (1,837 to 3,280 ft) from active Prairie Falcon nests caused a change in behavior 26 percent of the time, and birds flushed in 17 percent of all cases. No incubating birds flushed (Holthuijzen et al. 1990). Brown et al. (1999) found little activity change in roosting or

nesting Bald Eagles and no population-level impacts from weapons detonations at the Aberdeen Proving Ground. Holthuijzen et al. (1990) found that a 167-gram (g; 5.89-ounce [oz]) charge of Kinestik produced noise levels between 138 and 141 dB at 100 m (328 ft) and that a 500-g (17.6-oz) charge of trinitrotoluene(2,4,6-) (TNT) produced noise levels between 144 and 146 dB at 100 m (328 ft). A 20-kilogram (kg; 44-pound [lb]) charge of TNT produced noise levels that measured 163 dB at 100 m (328 ft) (Paakkonen 1991).

Measurements of noise levels during explosives testing were conducted at three locations at LANL using quantities of high explosives ranging from 4.5 to 67.5 kg (10 to 148 lb) of TNT during six shots. Noise levels increased during the test from a background level of 31 A-weighted decibels [dB(A)] to a range between 64 and 71 dB(A) during shots at a distance of 1.8 kilometers (km; 1.1 miles [mi]). At a distance of 4.3 km (2.67 mi), noise levels rose from a background range of 35 to 64 dB(A) to a range of 60 to 63 dB(A) (Vigil 1995). At a distance of 6.7 km (4.16 mi), noise levels rose from a background range of 38 to 51 dB(A) to a range of 60 to 71 dB(A) (Burns 1995). LANL biologists estimated that the noise from a shot at the Dual-Axis Radiographic Hydrodynamic Test (DARHT) Facility would be 150 dB(A) at the source and 80 dB(A) at 400 m (1,312 ft) (Keller and Risberg 1995). LANL biologists found that Mexican Spotted Owl AEIs located within the explosives-testing buffer area were occupied more frequently than AEIs in other locations (Hathcock et al. 2010)—likely due to the strict access control in explosives areas that limits human activity and development in the canyon bottoms.

#### Other Sources of Noise

Major noise-producing activities at LANL include automobile and truck traffic and noise associated with office buildings, construction activities, a live-fire range, and explosives testing. Noise is also associated with aircraft traffic at the Los Alamos County Airport. Construction and maintenance activities involved with operations at LANL are fairly common. In addition, implementation of the 2016 Compliance Order on Consent issued by the New Mexico Environmental Department has resulted in an increased frequency of drilling groundwater-monitoring wells in protected habitat at LANL. Also, forest fuels management operations use chainsaws, chippers, and other noise-generating equipment. The 2010 National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Individual Permit (EPA 2010) issued by the Environmental Protection Agency requires LANL to comply with minimizing pollutants in storm water discharges from associated historical industrial activities. To do so, site-specific stormwater and sediment control features such as berms, rock check dams, and other best management practices (BMPs) are installed at various sites around LANL. These BMPs-and the associated monitoring required-often occur in canyon bottoms in protected habitat. LANL biologists conducted a study of noise levels in canyons and found that the primary sources of noise that exceed 55 dB(A) were cars and trucks. Readings taken near flowing water were up to 11 dB(A) higher than readings taken elsewhere. The average dB(A) in canyons near paved roads ranged from 41 to 62, with maximum values ranging from 62 to 74. Away from paved roads 1.6 km (1 mi) or more, average dB(A) in canyons ranged from 37 to 50, with all but one average below 45. Maximum dB(A) away from paved roads ranged from 38 to 76; 76 dB(A) was measured during a thunder clap (Huchton et al. 1997).

In December 1997, LANL biologists conducted noise measurements at the Los Alamos County Airport and in Bayo and Pueblo canyons, including the Los Alamos County Sewage Treatment Facility. Sound levels near the airport runway during the maximum use time (6:30 to 7:30 a.m.) had background values averaging 54 dB(A). Noise during plane arrivals ranged from 47 to 63 dB(A). No measurements were collected during plane take-off. Sound measurements conducted in the bottoms of Pueblo and Bayo canyons ranged from 37 to 40 dB(A) in most areas of the canyon. At the sewage treatment facility parking lot during a working day, the average dB(A) during a 3-minute period was 46 (range 45 to 49). At the intersection of the road going into Pueblo Canyon with State Road 502, the average dB(A) during a 3-minute period was 60 (range 41 to 70).

LANL biologists conducted sound measurements at successive distances from an industrial area near a canyon rim, into the canyon, and to the opposite rim using a C-weighted decibel (dB(C) scale (Keller and Foxx 1997). Measurements of noise levels using the dB(C) scale are greater than if measured using the dB(A) scale. The average background noise on the mesa was 65.8 dB(C) [with a range of 43 to 81 dB(C)]. The average background noise in the canyon bottom was 62.3 dB(C) [with a range of 54 to 78 dB(C)]. The average background noise at the bottom of the north-facing slope was 53.8 dB(C) [with a range of 48 to 64 dB(C)]. Measurements were taken mid-day.

LANL biologists measured sound levels from various pieces of construction equipment used at LANL project sites over 5-minute intervals at distances of 6 to 31 m (20 to 100 ft) (Knight and Vrooman 1999). Average values ranged from 58.5 to 80.9 dB(A). Peak values ranged from 75.7 to 155.4 dB(A). Additional data were collected by other LANL operators on specific pieces of construction equipment and on the Security Computer Complex construction site fence perimeter at Technical Area 3 before and during construction (Knight and Vrooman 1999). The average noise level before construction began was 56.6 dB(A), and the average during construction was 82.1 dB(A).

LANL biologists conducted a series of sound measurements at LANL to investigate background noise levels around AEIs (Vrooman et al. 2000). Background noise levels were significantly higher in daytime than in nighttime. AEIs with greater than a 10 percent developed area in their buffers had significantly higher levels of background noise than undeveloped AEIs. The mean background sound level was 51.3 dB(A) in developed AEIs and 39.6 dB(A) in undeveloped AEIs. The LANL biological resources project review process uses the individual AEI background measurements from Vrooman et al. (2000) to screen project activities for increases more than 6 dB(A) above background.

LANL biologists took sound-level measurements of heavy equipment use associated with concrete recycling on Sigma Mesa at LANL in 2004 (Hansen 2004). At this location, background noise levels at two different locations were 55.2 and 58.8 dB(A). Operation of a dump truck hauling and dumping concrete increased noise levels above background by a mean of 22.7 dB(A) at 30 m (98 ft) and 2.4 dB(A) at 80 m (262 ft). Additional sound-level measurements were taken in the same general area on Sigma Mesa in 2005 as part of a biological assessment for the operation of an asphalt batch plant (Hansen 2005). Measurements were taken on the north rim of Mortandad Canyon (south of the asphalt batch plant at distances of approximately 30 to 122 m (100 to 400 ft), at the bottom of Mortandad Canyon approximately 183 to 244 m (600 to 800 ft) from the asphalt batch plant, and on the south rim of Mortandad Canyon approximately 305 m (1,000 ft) from the asphalt batch plant. Background noise levels at the various locations ranged from 41.1 to 48.7 dB(A). The only locations with increases greater than 3 dB(A) during operation of the asphalt batch plant were the locations on the north rim of Mortandad Canyon, within 122 m (400 ft) of the asphalt batch plant. Noise from the operation of the asphalt batch plant was not detected in the bottom of Mortandad Canyon or on the south rim.

LANL biologists took sound-level measurements around the LANL TA-3, Building 1076 with the heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) system on and with it off (Hansen 2009). The area to the north of the TA-3, Building 1076 is developed; the area to the south is not. Background noise levels north of the facility ranged from 53.6 to 57.6 dB(A). Background noise levels south of the facility ranged

from 41.6 to 49.7 dB(A). Noise from the HVAC system was detected at 25 m (82 ft) from the facility on both sides but was not detected at 81 m (266 ft) on the north side or at 107 m (351 ft) on the south side.

In 2020, LANL biologists conducted noise-level assessments around TA-35 to support a biological assessment (LANL 2020). Noise levels were measured along core habitat for the Mexican Spotted Owl in the Sandia-Mortandad AEI in TA-35. The average across all locations and sampling time intervals in this study was 47.47 dB(A), which was 5.27 dB(A) lower than the Vrooman et al. (2000) average.

Overall, these studies appear to show that areas adjacent to or within developed areas or paved roads are likely to have daytime average background noise levels between 45 and 63 dB(A). Less-disturbed areas are likely to have average background noise levels between 37 and 50 dB(A).

#### Artificially Produced Light

No information is available on the effects of artificially produced light on Mexican Spotted Owls. Under the Los Alamos County Code, commercial site development plans are reviewed to ensure that lighting serves the intended use of the site while minimizing adverse impacts to adjacent residential property (Section 16-276). Section 16-276 of the County Code includes light-source measurement limitations by zoning district. The code allows offsite light to be 0.5 foot-candles (fc) in residential areas. By comparison, full moonlight measures 0.1 fc, and a crescent moon was measured at 0.01 fc. Table A-2 in the appendix presents preliminary light measurements in foot-candles.

Preliminary surveys were conducted for light levels within Los Alamos Canyon at the Omega Reactor (Keller and Foxx 1997). The Omega Reactor was brightly lit for purposes of security; therefore, total light intensity was greater than the average street lighting. Measurements were conducted at a light pole in an open parking lot at the reactor as the source. Trees did not obscure the area. Using the relationship of light intensity reducing as a square of the distance, calculations using the field data indicated that at 30 m (98 ft) from the source, the light levels would be equivalent or nearly equivalent to full moonlight.

## 3.0 AEI General Description for Mexican Spotted Owl

An AEI consists of two areas: a core and a buffer. The core of the habitat is defined as suitable canyon habitat from rim to rim and 100 m (328 ft) out from the top of the canyon rim. The buffer area is 400 m (1,312 ft) wide, extending outward from the edge of the core area. Although adult Mexican Spotted Owls might be found within their home range anytime throughout the year, the primary threat from disturbance to the owls is during the breeding season when owl pairs are tied to their nest sites; therefore, management of disturbance in Mexican Spotted Owl AEIs is concentrated on the breeding season.

## 3.1 Method for Identifying a Mexican Spotted Owl AEI

The original location of each Mexican Spotted Owl AEI was identified using a habitat model developed by Johnson (1998) that classified nesting and roosting habitat for Mexican Spotted Owls using topographic characteristics and vegetative diversity. LANL biologists compared the results from the Johnson (1998) model with a different model that identified slopes >40 percent in mixed conifer and ponderosa pine cover types at LANL. Areas identified from the Johnson (1998) model application to LANL that were greater than five contiguous  $30 \times 30$  m (98 × 98 ft) pixels in size, were above 1,980 m (6,496 ft) in elevation, and that had mixed conifer or ponderosa pine forest cover were considered suitable Mexican Spotted Owl habitat. Where suitable habitat was identified, AEI core area boundaries were established to include the canyons and 100 m (328 ft) outward from the canyon rims.

Following the Cerro Grande wildfire, an updated Mexican Spotted Owl habitat model was developed and refined for application on LANL property (Hathcock and Haarmann 2008). This model incorporated finer-scale vegetation characteristics into the Mexican Spotted Owl habitat quality assessment and was used to redelineate the boundaries of the Mexican Spotted Owl AEIs at LANL in 2005 following wildfire, drought, and a regional bark beetle outbreak (USFWS consultation number 22420-2006-I-0010).

The new core boundaries were delineated with an area approximately 0.4 km (0.25 mi) from the edge of the nearest suitable habitat, up and down canyon. Core boundaries were established along readily recognizable geologic features or anthropogenic features in the terrain wherever possible to facilitate the ease of identification of core boundaries when in the field.

## 3.2 Location and Number of Mexican Spotted Owl AEIs

There are currently five Mexican Spotted Owl AEIs on LANL property, each encompassing one or more canyons. In general, the AEI cores are centered in canyons on the western side of LANL. The canyons with AEIs are Cañon de Valle, Water, Pajarito, Los Alamos, Sandia, Mortandad, and Three-mile.

## 4.0 AEI Management

## 4.1 Overview

This AEI management section provides guidelines for LANL operations to reduce or eliminate the threats to Mexican Spotted Owls from (1) habitat alterations that reduce habitat quality and (2) disturbance of breeding or potentially breeding owls. Habitat alterations are considered for all AEIs and for both core and buffer areas. Disturbance activities to owls are considered only for occupied AEIs and only for impacts on core areas. Developed areas (see Section I.3.1) that have ongoing baseline levels of activities and are not suitable habitat for Mexican Spotted Owls have different restrictions than undeveloped core or buffer areas; therefore, the location of the disturbance activity within the AEI, the occupancy status of the AEI, and the type of activity all affect whether the activity is allowable. AEIs for different species may overlap, and an activity must meet the guidelines of all applicable site plans to be allowable.

## 4.2 Definition and Role of Occupancy in AEI Management

Occupancy simply refers to whether an AEI is occupied during a species' period of sensitivity. For Mexican Spotted Owls, the primary concern is to protect the owls from disturbance during the breeding season. Because individuals can colonize suitable habitat, all Mexican Spotted Owl AEIs are treated as though they are occupied from March 1 through August 31 or until surveys show an AEI to be unoccupied. Mexican Spotted Owl surveys are conducted from late March through June. In general, surveys in areas with ongoing or proposed projects are completed by May 15. If a nest is located during surveys, then the AEI can be treated as unoccupied except for the area within a 400 m (1,312 ft) radius of the nest site. Because owls are not as sensitive to disturbance during the non-breeding season, Mexican Spotted Owl AEIs are treated as unoccupied from September 1 to February 28.

The occupancy status of an AEI affects what activities are allowable in the AEI. Although activities that cause habitat alterations are restricted in all AEIs, disturbance activities are restricted only in occupied

AEIs. The Activity Table (Table II-1, Section II.4.5.2) provides dates and levels of allowable disturbance activities within occupied Mexican Spotted Owl AEIs under the guidelines of this site plan. Contact a LANL biologist to find out the current occupancy status of an AEI (https://int.lanl.gov/environment/bio/index.shtml).

## 4.3 Introduction to AEI Management Guidelines

Sections 4.4 and II.4.5 provide the guidelines for habitat alterations and allowable activities in AEI core and buffer areas. Section II.4.4 describes what and where habitat alterations are allowed under the guidelines of this site plan. Section II.4.5 describes what, when, and where disturbance activities are allowed in occupied AEIs under the guidelines of this site plan. If an activity does not meet the restrictions given in the guidelines, the activity must be individually reviewed for ESA compliance. This site plan provides only guidelines for Mexican Spotted Owl AEIs. If an activity is desired in an area with overlapping AEIs, all applicable site plans must be consulted. AEI maps show the location of all AEIs in an area. Section II.4.6 describes management practices that should be applied when working or considering work in an AEI. LANL biologists are available to answer questions and provide advice (https://int.lanl.gov/environment/bio/index.shtml).

## 4.4 Definition of and Restrictions on Habitat Alterations

#### 4.4.1 Definition of Habitat Alterations

Habitat alteration includes any action that alters the soil structure, vegetative components necessary to the species, prey quality and quantity, water quality, hydrology, or noise or light levels in undeveloped areas of an AEI. Long term means the alteration lasts for more than 1 year. For physical disturbances, in general, any activity that can be accomplished by one person with a hand tool generally is not considered habitat alteration; any activity that requires mechanized equipment on a landscape is habitat alteration. An actual activity may take place outside of the AEI and will be considered habitat alteration if consequences of the activity have effects inside the AEI core.

The habitat components most important to Mexican Spotted Owls include vegetative structure, food quality and quantity, and disturbance levels, including noise and light. The forest structure within a canyon designated as a Mexican Spotted Owl AEI is important because it provides roost sites and suitable habitat for nesting and foraging. Trees along the canyon rim are used for foraging and territorial calling, and they shelter the canyon interior from light and noise disturbances.

A long-term change in light or noise levels within the undeveloped core of an AEI is considered to be a habitat alteration if it increases average noise levels by >6 dB(A) during any portion of the 24-hour day or if it increases average light levels by >0.05 fc at night. Changes in noise and light levels are measured at the core area boundary if the source is outside the core area or at 10 m (33 ft) from the source if the source is inside the undeveloped core area. Impacts of changes in developed areas on undeveloped cores are measured at the developed area boundary if it is within the core or at the core area boundary if the developed area is outside of the core.

#### 4.4.2 Fuels Management Practices to Reduce Wildfire Risk

The recovery plan for the Mexican Spotted Owl lists stand-replacing wildfires as a primary threat to their habitat and encourages land managers to reduce fuel levels and abate fire risks in ways compatible with

owl presence on the landscape (USFWS 1995). Within undeveloped core areas, on slopes >40 percent, in the bottoms of steep canyons, and within 30 m (100 ft) of a canyon rim, thinning of trees <22 centimeters (cm; 9 inches [in.]) diameter at breast height, treatment of fuels, and prescribed and natural prescribed fires are allowed. Exceptions that allow trees >22 cm (9 in.) to be thinned within 30 m (100 ft) of buildings are granted to protect facilities. Large logs (>30 cm [11.8 in.] midpoint diameter) and snags should be retained. Thinning within core areas that do not meet the characteristics listed above and in buffer areas may include trees of any size to achieve 8 m (25 ft) spacing between tree crowns; however, clear cutting is not allowed in undeveloped core areas.

For health and safety reasons, any trees within 30 m (100 ft) of buildings but outside a developed area may be thinned to achieve 8 m (25 ft) spacing between crowns. Habitat alterations, including thinning, are not restricted in developed areas; however, LANL biologists encourage the retention of trees and snags along canyon rims if the rim is in a developed area. Because of the extreme fire danger associated with firing sites and the potential impact of a fire on Mexican Spotted Owl habitat, firing sites and burn areas are treated separately for the purposes of fuels management. Trees within 380 m (1,246 ft) of firing sites and burn areas everywhere except on slopes >40 percent or in the bottoms of steep canyons. Any tree in excess of 22 cm (9 in.) diameter at breast height within 380 m (1,246 ft) of a firing site may be delimbed to a height of 2 m (6 ft) to help prevent crown fires.

In historically occupied core areas, fuels treatment may not exceed 10 percent of the undeveloped core area and is not allowed within 400 m (1,312 ft) of nesting areas. In occupied core areas, forest management activities must take place during the nonbreeding season (September 1 to February 28) (USFWS 1995).

#### 4.4.3 Utility Corridors

Habitat alterations, such as cutting down trees that threaten power lines, are allowed within 8 m (26 ft) of either side of an existing utility line in all areas of an AEI (LANL 2020). New utility lines and utility lines that require clearance of a right-of-way greater than 16 m (52 ft) total must be individually reviewed for ESA compliance. Disturbance activities must follow the guidelines given in the Activities Table (Table II-1, Section II.4.5.2) for occupied AEIs.

#### 4.4.4 Restrictions on Habitat Alterations

Habitat alterations other than the fuels management practices and utility corridor maintenance described above are not allowed in undeveloped core areas under the guidelines of this site plan. If a project or activity is planned that would alter habitat in an undeveloped core area, it must be individually evaluated for ESA compliance. Habitat alterations in undeveloped buffer areas other than the fuels management activities and utility corridor maintenance described above are restricted to 2 ha (5 ac) in area per project and are subject to other restrictions, including light and noise effects in the core (see Section II.2.2.3). Projects in the buffer area larger than 2 ha (5 ac) in size will require individual ESA compliance review. A cumulative maximum area may be developed in each AEI's buffer. Once that cumulative area is reached, all habitat alterations in a buffer will require individual ESA reviews for compliance.

## 4.5 Definition of and Restrictions on Disturbance Activities

#### 4.5.1 Definitions of Disturbance Activities

LANL biologists considered six categories of activities that might cause disturbance in an AEI. Most of the categories were first identified in the document "Peregrine Falcon Habitat Management in the National Forests of New Mexico," prepared for the United States Forest Service (Johnson 1994). LANL biologists added explosives detonation, other light production, and other noise production to provide the most comprehensive list of activities possible, thereby reducing the need for individual review of activities for ESA compliance. The categories of activities are people, vehicles, aircraft, other light production, other noise production, and explosives detonation. LANL biologists defined low, medium, and high levels of impact for these activities except for explosives detonation. Activity levels for explosives detonation have been designed to follow the guidelines agreed upon by LANL, DOE, and USFWS in the DARHT biological assessment (Keller and Risberg 1995). Restrictions on explosives detonation are described in the definition of the activity but are not included in the Activity Table (Table II-1, Section II.4.5.2). These six categories of activities are restricted only in AEIs that are classified as occupied.

People—includes any entry of people into an AEI on foot

- Low impact is the presence of three or fewer people per project and duration of 1 day or less during a breeding season.
- Medium impact is the exceedance of either the number of people or the duration criteria.
- High impact is the exceedance of both the number of people and the duration criteria.

Vehicles—includes the entry of any two-axle highway vehicle, all-terrain vehicle, or motorized machinery into an AEI by any route other than a paved road or an improved gravel road

- Low impact is the presence of two or fewer vehicles per project and duration of 1 day or less during a breeding season.
- Medium impact is the exceedance of either the number of vehicles or the duration criteria.
- High impact is the exceedance of both the number of vehicles and the duration criteria.

Aircraft—includes the operation of any aircraft below an elevation of 600 m (2,000 ft) above the highest ground level in the local vicinity

- Low impact is the presence of one single-engine airplane and the duration of 1 day or less during a breeding season.
- Medium impact is the exceedance of either the number of aircraft or the duration criteria.
- High impact is the exceedance of both the number of aircraft and the duration criteria.

Any use of helicopters, jet airplanes, and propeller airplanes with two or more engines is classified as medium impact or above, depending on duration.

Other Light Production—includes any activity not previously listed that causes additional light to occur in an AEI core area. For example, plans for construction of a new building at the edge of a developed area may call for lighting at night to facilitate nighttime work that impacts an undeveloped core area.

- Low impact is the increase of light intensity by ≤0.05 fc and a duration of 1 night or less per project per breeding season.
- Medium impact is the exceedance of either the intensity or duration criteria.
- High impact is the exceedance of both the intensity and duration criteria.

Measurements for increases in light are taken at the AEI core area boundary closest to the light source if the source is outside the core and at 10 m (33 ft) from the source if the source is inside the core. Light measurements for developed areas are taken at the edge of the developed area if the developed area is within an AEI core or at the closest core boundary if the developed area is outside of an AEI core.

Other Noise Production—includes any activity not previously listed except for explosives detonation that causes additional noise to occur in an AEI. For example, operation of machinery creates noise.

- Low impact is increasing noise levels in an AEI core by 6 dB(A) or less for 1 day or less per project per breeding season.
- Medium impact is the exceedance of either the level or the duration criteria.
- High impact is the exceedance of both the level and the duration criteria.

Measurements for increases in noise are taken at the AEI core boundary closest to the noise source if the source is outside the core and at 10 m (33 ft) from the source if the source is inside the core. Noise measurements for developed areas are taken at the edge of the developed area if the developed area is within an AEI core or at the closest core boundary if the developed area is outside of an AEI core.

Explosives Detonation—includes the use of high explosives for any purpose. LANL biologists did not define low, medium, and high levels of this activity because of the difficulty of determining levels for a shot before actually doing the shot. For the purpose of explosives detonation near Mexican Spotted Owl AEIs, occupied habitat is defined as the area within 400 m (1,312 ft) of the current year's nest/roost sites or the previous year's nest site if a current site has not been identified. No explosives detonation will take place within 400 m (1,312 ft) of nest/roost sites in occupied habitat between March 1 and August 31. Explosives detonation at night at sites within 400 to 800 m (1,312 to 2,624 ft) of a nest site in occupied habitat is restricted to once per month from March 1 and August 31. No restrictions exist on daytime explosives testing between 400 and 800 m (1,312 to 2,624 ft), and no restrictions exist between September 1 and February 28 or in unoccupied habitat. Explosives detonation adjacent to AEIs that have not previously been recorded by LANL biologists as occupied will have no restrictions unless surveys detect Mexican Spotted Owls. Explosives tests not allowed under the guidelines of this site plan must be individually reviewed for ESA compliance.

#### 4.5.2 Activity Table

The dates shown in the Activity Table (Table II-1) are the dates between which the activity in the row is restricted under the guidelines of this site plan. All AEIs are considered occupied from March 1 to August 31 or until surveys show an AEI to be unoccupied. If owls are detected, AEIs are considered occupied

until August 31 within 400 m (1,312 ft) of the nest site. Consult with LANL biologists to find out occupancy status of AEIs and what locations are within 400 m (1,312 ft) of nest sites (https://int.lanl.gov/environment/bio/index.shtml).

Levels of Impact	Core	Buffer
People		
Low	No Restrictions <sup>*</sup>	No Restrictions
Medium	March 1 to August 31	No Restrictions
High	March 1 to August 31	No Restrictions
Vehicles		
Low	No Restrictions	No Restrictions
Medium	March 1 to August 31	No Restrictions
High	March 1 to August 31	No Restrictions
Aircraft		
Low	March 1 to August 31	No Restrictions
Medium	March 1 to August 31	March 1 to May 15
High	March 1 to August 31	March 1 to August 31
Other Light Production		
Low	March 1 to August 31	No Restrictions**
Medium	March 1 to August 31	No Restrictions**
High	March 1 to August 31	No Restrictions**
Other Noise Production		
Low	March 1 to August 31	No Restrictions**
Medium	March 1 to August 31	No Restrictions**
High	March 1 to August 31	No Restrictions**
Explosives Detonation (see text in Section 4.5.1)		

<b>T I I I I I I I I I I</b>			
Table II-1. Restrictions on	Activities in Undevel	oped Occupied Mexican	Spotted Owl AEIs
		opou occupiou momoun	opolica ominicaio

Entry is restricted in core areas that are occupied within 400 m (1,312 ft) of the nest site from March 1 to August 31. If the current nest has not been located, entry is restricted within 400 m (1,312 ft) of the previous year's nest site.

\* Noise or light production in the buffer is restricted if the activity would violate core area restrictions on noise or light.

#### 4.6 Protective Measures

This section provides a list of management practices to apply in Mexican Spotted Owl AEIs.

- Timing of projects must take into account that projects in core areas or projects that violate restrictions for occupied buffer areas must stop on February 28 of each year until occupancy status of the AEI is determined.
- Make every reasonable effort to reduce the noise from explosives testing within 800 m (2,624 ft) of occupied habitat. Methods to reduce noise could include contained shots, noise shields in the direction of AEI cores, etc. For night shots, every reasonable effort should be made to limit the amount of light directed into AEI core areas.
- Install signs on dirt roads and trails that lead into AEIs, posting them as restricted access areas and providing a contact number for access restrictions.

- Keep disturbance and noise to a minimum.
- Avoid unnecessary disturbance to vegetation (e.g., excessive parking areas or equipment storage areas, off-road travel, materials storage areas, crossing of streams or washes).
- Avoid removal of vegetation along drainage systems and stream channels.
- Avoid all vegetation removals not absolutely necessary.
- Employ appropriate erosion and runoff controls to reduce soil loss. The controls must be put in place and periodically checked throughout the life of projects.
- Revegetate all exposed soils as soon as feasible after construction to minimize erosion.
- Focus development away from undeveloped areas on the western end of the Los Alamos Canyon AEI.

## 5.0 Allowable Habitat Alteration in the Buffer Areas

The following quantifications of development and guidance for allowable habitat alteration in buffer areas were published and consulted on in the 1999 version of the HMP. Most AEIs changed in dimensions during the 2005 redelineation of the habitats, and many have experienced additional development under past consultations. Many projects were reviewed and received USFWS concurrence between 1999 and 2017.

The current development status for each of the AEIs is at the end of each AEI description. This section was updated in the 2022 revision. The original framework for the HMP included allowable levels of future development in buffer habitat for each AEI. The AEI boundaries have changed over time, so the percent of allowable development was used to compare 1999 values to 2022 levels.

#### Cañon de Valle

In 1999, 16.3 ha (40.3 ac) of the core was developed, and 52.2 ha (129 ac) of the buffer was developed. For this AEI, it was recommended that only an additional 25.30 ha (62.5 ac) of the AEI buffer be developed, which is 9.7 percent. The 1999 HMP stated that once this cap is reached or a large-scale project is proposed, additional consultation with USFWS would be required. By 2011, 28 ha (69.2 ac) of the core and 84 ha (207.5 ac) of the buffer was developed, with most of the changes due to consultations. The 2017 redelineation of the lower Water Canyon AEI resulted in another reduction of 69 ha (170 ac). The current size of this AEI is 277 ha (685 ac) of core and 524 ha (1,295 ac) of buffer habitat. Of that, 18.6 ha (46 ac) of the current core is developed, and 80.5 ha (199 ac) of the current buffer is developed. As of this 2022 HMP revision, 15.47 percent of the buffer is developed. Any future development in buffer would require a consultation.

#### <u>Pajarito</u>

In 1999, 6.7 ha (16.5 ac) of the core was developed, and 75.1 ha (186.5 ac) of the buffer was developed. For this AEI, it was recommended that only an additional 35 ha (86.4 ac) of the buffer be developed, which is 21.8 percent. The 1999 HMP stated that once the cap is reached or a single large-scale project is proposed, additional consultation with the USFWS would be required. By 2011, 27 ha (66.7 ac) of the core and 89 ha (220 ac) of the buffer was developed, with most of the changes due to consultations. The current size of this AEI is 236 ha (585 ac) of core and 449 ha (1,111 ac) of buffer habitat. Of that, 29.5 ha

(73 ac) of the current core is developed, and 101.5 ha (251 ac) of the current buffer is developed. As of this 2022 HMP revision, 22.6 percent of the buffer is developed. Any future development in buffer would require a consultation.

#### Los Alamos

In 1999, 77.16 ha (190 ac) of the core was developed, and 167.2 ha (413.1 ac) of the buffer was developed, which is 9.97 percent. Because this AEI is heavily developed, additional development was restricted to a few selected areas within the buffer. By 2011, 94 ha (232.2 ac) of the core and 181 ha (447.3 ac) of the buffer was developed, with most of the changes due to consultations. The current size of this AEI is 325 ha (805 ac) of core and 535 ha (1,323 ac) of buffer habitat. Of that, 125.4 ha (310 ac) of the current core is developed, and 347.2 ha (858 ac) of the current buffer is developed. These increases are largely due to large tracts of land that were transferred to Los Alamos County through the Land Conveyance and Transfer project (USFWS consultation number 2-22-01-F-634). As of this 2022 HMP revision, 64.8 percent of the buffer is developed. Any future development in buffer would require a consultation.

#### Sandia-Mortandad

In 1999, 29 ha (71.7 ac) of the core was developed, and 75.1 ha (185.6 ac) of the buffer was developed. For this AEI, it was recommended that only an additional 38.1 ha (94.1 ac) of the buffer be developed, which is 20.2 percent, before additional USFWS consultations take place. By 2011, 45 ha (111.2 ac) of the core and 83 ha (205.1 ac) of the buffer was developed, with most of the changes due to consultations. The current size of this AEI is 270 ha (669 ac) of core and 371 ha (918 ac) of buffer habitat. Of that, 48.5 ha (120 ac) of the current core is developed, and 101.2 ha (250 ac) of the current buffer is developed. As of this 2022 HMP revision, 27.2 percent of the buffer is developed. Any future development in buffer would require a consultation.

#### Three-mile

In 1999, 3.8 ha (9.4 ac) of the core was developed, and 21.5 ha (51.1 ac) of the buffer was developed. For this AEI, it was recommended that only 64.3 ha (158.8 ac) additional area of buffer be developed, which is 24.9 percent, before additional USFWS consultations take place. By 2011, 12 ha (29.6 ac) of the core and 37 ha (91.4 ac) of the buffer was developed, with most of the changes due to consultations. The current size of this AEI is 131 ha (325 ac) of core and 295 ha (730 ac) of buffer habitat. Of that, 7.2 ha (18 ac) of the current core is developed, and 32.3 ha (80 ac) of the current buffer is developed. As of this 2022 HMP revision, 10.9 percent of the buffer is developed. Additionally, this AEI has been occupied since 2007.

# III. AREA OF ENVIRONMENTAL INTEREST SITE PLAN FOR THE SOUTHWESTERN WILLOW FLYCATCHER

## 1.0 Species Description—Southwestern Willow Flycatcher

#### 1.1 Status

In 1995, the USFWS designated the Southwestern Willow Flycatcher as a federally endangered species (60 FR 10693). The USFWS most recently designated critical habitat for the Southwestern Willow Flycatcher in 2013 (78 FR 343). The most recent recovery plan for the Southwestern Willow Flycatcher was published in 2002 (USFWS 2002).

## 1.2 General Biology

The Southwestern Willow Flycatcher is one of four subspecies of the Willow Flycatcher. The historic range of the Southwestern Willow Flycatcher included Arizona, California, Colorado, New Mexico, Texas, Utah, and Mexico. Currently, this flycatcher breeds in riparian habitats from southern California to Arizona and New Mexico, plus southern Colorado, Utah, Nevada, and far western Texas. In winter, it is found in southern Mexico, Central America, and northern South America (USFWS 2002).

Southwestern Willow Flycatchers are present in New Mexico from early May through mid-September and breed from late May through late July (Finch and Kelly 1999; USFWS 2002; Yong and Finch 1997). The flycatcher's nesting cycle is approximately 28 days. Three or four eggs are laid at 1-day intervals, and incubation begins when the clutch is complete. The female incubates eggs for approximately 12 days, and the young fledge about 13 days after hatching. Southwestern Willow Flycatchers typically raise one brood per year (USFWS 2002). Because arrival dates vary, northbound migrant Willow Flycatchers (of all subspecies) pass through areas where Southwestern Willow Flycatchers have already begun nesting. Similarly, southbound migrants (of all subspecies) in late July and August might occur where Southwestern Willow Flycatchers are still breeding; therefore, it is only during a short period of the breeding season (approximately June 15 through July 20) that a Willow Flycatcher seen within Southwestern Willow Flycatcher range is probably of that subspecies (USFWS 2002).

The Southwestern Willow Flycatcher nests only along rivers, streams, and other wetlands. It is found in close association with dense stands of willows (*Salix spp.*), arrowweed (*Pluchea spp.*), buttonbush (*Cephalanthus spp.*), tamarisk (*Tamarix spp.*), Russian olive (*Eleagnus angustifolia L.*), and other riparian vegetation, often with a scattered overstory of cottonwood (*Populus spp.*) (USFWS 2002). The size of vegetation patches or habitat mosaics used by Southwestern Willow Flycatchers varies considerably and ranges from as small as 0.8 ha (1.9 ac) to several hundred hectares (Hatten and Paradzick 2003). The Southwestern Willow Flycatcher nests in thickets of trees and shrubs approximately 2 to 15 m (6 to 49 ft) tall, with a high percentage of canopy cover and dense foliage from 0 to 4 m (0 to 13 ft) above ground. Regardless of the plant species composition or height, occupied sites always have dense vegetation in the patch interior (Allison et al. 2003; USFWS 2002).

The Southwestern Willow Flycatcher is an insectivore. It forages within and occasionally above dense riparian vegetation, taking insects on the wing and gleaning them from foliage. The flycatcher's prey includes flies, bees, wasps, ants, beetles, moths, butterflies, grasshoppers, crickets, dragonflies, damselflies, and spiders (Durst et al. 2008; Wiesenborn and Heydon 2007).

### 1.3 Threats

The current population of Southwestern Willow Flycatchers in the United States occupies an estimated 1,214 territories (Durst et al. 2006). The distribution of breeding groups is highly fragmented, with groups often separated by considerable distances. This subspecies has suffered declines attributed to extensive loss of its cottonwood-willow habitat and to poor productivity resulting from brood parasitism by Brownheaded Cowbirds (*Molothrus ater*) (USFWS 2002).

## 2.0 Impact of Human Activities

## 2.1 Introduction

The primary threats to the Southwestern Willow Flycatcher on LANL property are (1) impacts on habitat quality from LANL operations and (2) disturbance of nesting flycatchers. This section includes a review and summary of the known effects of various types of human activities to the Southwestern Willow Flycatcher and an overview of the current levels of activities at LANL within species habitat.

## 2.2 Impacts on Habitat Quality

#### 2.2.1 Development

Throughout the Southwest, riparian habitats are rare and tend to be small and separated by vast expanses of arid lands. The Southwestern Willow Flycatcher has experienced extensive habitat loss and modification resulting from urban and agricultural development, water diversion and impoundment, channelization of waterways, livestock grazing, off-road vehicle and other recreational uses, and hydrological changes that result from these and other land uses (USFWS 2002). River and stream impoundments, groundwater pumping, and overuse of riparian areas have altered as much as 90 percent of the Southwestern Willow Flycatcher's habitat (USFWS 2002). Loss of cottonwood-willow riparian forests has had widespread impact on the distribution and abundance of bird species associated with that forest. Development may be tolerated if the habitat is left intact.

Because watercourses at LANL tend to be intermittent to ephemeral, riparian habitat is uncommon. Extensive degradation of the riparian zone has occurred along the Rio Grande, caused by feral-cattle grazing and flood-control operations at Cochiti Lake. Other riparian/wetland areas on LANL property are associated with canyon bottoms, the most significant being the Pajarito wetlands in the lower end of Pajarito Canyon. A major paved road parallels the wetlands area in Pajarito Canyon.

#### 2.2.2 Ecological Risk

There is no specific information on the impact of chemicals on the Southwestern Willow Flycatcher.

#### Ecorisk Assessment

LANL subject matter experts completed two ecological risk assessments between 1997 and 2009 that included the Southwestern Willow Flycatcher. The ecological risk assessment process involves using computer modeling to assess potential effects to animals from chemicals of potential concern that have been detected in the environment. The ecological risk assessments concluded that, in general, there is a small potential for effects to Southwestern Willow Flycatcher from chemicals of potential concern (Gonzales et al. 1998; Gonzales et al. 2009).

An ecotoxicological risk assessment for the Southwestern Willow Flycatcher, centered on the Pajarito wetlands, found that between 7 and 16 percent of 100 hypothetical nest sites examined had hazard indices >1.0 and <10.0, depending on the foraging scenario (Gonzales et al. 1998). This result indicates a small potential for impacts from chemicals. The primary chemicals that drove the risk scenario were pentachlorophenol, aluminum, radium-226, calcium, and thorium-228. Aluminum, radium, and thorium are naturally occurring substances in northern New Mexico.

#### 2.2.3 Disturbance

#### Pedestrians and Vehicles

No specific information is available on the reactions of Southwestern Willow Flycatchers to pedestrians and vehicles. The recovery plan for the Southwestern Willow Flycatcher recommends providing protected areas, reducing unpredictable activities, providing visual barriers, and reducing noise disturbance (USFWS 2002).

#### <u>Aircraft</u>

No specific information is available on the reaction of Southwestern Willow Flycatchers to aircraft.

LANL lies within restricted airspace, and planes infrequently fly less than 609 m (2,000 ft) above ground level. The County of Los Alamos operates an airport along the northern edge of LANL. The airport is located on the southern rim of Pueblo Canyon. Most flights approach and depart to the east of the airport, over the Rio Grande.

#### **Explosives**

No specific information is available on the reaction of Southwestern Willow Flycatchers to explosives detonation. The Southwestern Willow Flycatcher AEI is not located close to any explosives-testing sites at LANL.

#### Other Sources of Noise

LANL biologists do not have good information on the effects of noise, including machinery operation, on Southwestern Willow Flycatchers; however, Southwestern Willow Flycatchers are probably not as sensitive to disturbance as some other threatened or endangered species (USFWS 2002). For a description of noise levels at LANL, see Section II.2.2.3.

#### Artificially Produced Light

No information is available on the effects of artificially produced light on Southwestern Willow Flycatchers. Under the Los Alamos County Code, commercial site development plans are reviewed to ensure that lighting serves the intended use of the site while minimizing adverse impacts to adjacent residential property (Section 16-276). Section 16-276 of the County Code includes light-source measurement limitations by zoning district. The code allows offsite light to be 0.5 fc in residential areas. By comparison, full moonlight measures 0.1 fc, and a crescent moon was measured at 0.01 fc.

## 3.0 AEI General Description for the Southwestern Willow Flycatcher

The AEI consists of two types of areas: core and buffer. Core areas represent wetland areas with suitable vegetation for nesting, primarily dense willows. The buffer area is the area within 100 m (328 ft) of core areas. The Southwestern Willow Flycatcher AEI on LANL property consists of two separate core areas. For purposes of this site plan, both core areas and associated buffers are considered one AEI unit.

## 3.1 Method for Identifying the Southwestern Willow Flycatcher AEI

The core areas were defined by the presence of riparian habitat and suitable wetland vegetation. These areas were identified in 1994 during a survey of wetlands at LANL and mapped using a global positioning system receiver. Wetlands without stands of dense willows at least 2 m (7 ft) tall and 30 m (98 ft) wide were not included in the AEI. The buffer area is the area within 100 m (328 ft) of the core areas.

#### 3.2 Location of the Southwestern Willow Flycatcher AEI

There is one Southwestern Willow Flycatcher AEI on LANL property. It is composed of two core areas with associated buffers. The AEI core areas are located in the bottom of Pajarito Canyon, on the eastern side of LANL adjacent to Pajarito Road and State Road 4.

## 4.0 AEI Management

#### 4.1 Overview

This AEI management section provides guidelines for LANL operations to reduce or eliminate the threats to the Southwestern Willow Flycatcher from (1) habitat alterations that reduce habitat quality and (2) disturbance of breeding or potentially breeding flycatchers. Habitat alterations are considered for all AEIs and for both core and buffer areas. Disturbance activities to flycatchers are considered only for occupied AEIs and only for impacts on core areas. Developed areas (see I.3.1 that have ongoing baseline levels of activities and are not suitable habitat for Southwestern Willow Flycatchers have different restrictions than undeveloped core or buffer areas; therefore, the location of the disturbance activity within the AEI, the occupancy status of the AEI, and the type of activity all affect whether the activity is allowable. AEIs for different species may overlap, and an activity must meet the guidelines of all applicable site plans to be allowable. Protective measures are described as management practices that should be followed when working in AEIs.

## 4.2 Definition and Role of Occupancy in AEI Management

Occupancy simply refers to whether an AEI is occupied during a species' period of sensitivity. For Southwestern Willow Flycatchers, LANL biologists are primarily concerned with protecting the birds from disturbance during the breeding season. Because individuals can colonize suitable habitat, the Southwestern Willow Flycatcher AEI is treated as though it is occupied from May 15 through September 15 or until surveys show an AEI to be unoccupied. Southwestern Willow Flycatcher surveys are conducted during May, June, and July. Because Southwestern Willow Flycatchers migrate south for the winter, the AEI is treated as unoccupied from September 16 to May 14. The occupancy status of an AEI affects what activities are allowable in the AEI. Although activities that cause habitat alterations are always restricted, disturbance activities are restricted only in occupied AEIs. The Activity Table (Table II-1, Section II.4.5.2) provides dates and levels of disturbance activities allowable in the occupied Southwestern Willow Flycatcher AEI under the guidelines of this site plan. The dates in Table II-1 indicate the period during which the activity is restricted. Contact a LANL biologist to find out the current occupancy status of an AEI (https://int.lanl.gov/environment/bio/index.shtml).

## 4.3 Introduction to AEI Management Guidelines

Sections II.4.4 and II.4.5 provide the guidelines for habitat alterations and allowable activities in AEI core and buffer areas. The flowchart (see Figure I-1) provides a quick reference that should be used to determine if a project or activity will affect an AEI and what sections of the site plan need to be consulted. The section on habitat alterations (Section II.4.4) describes what and where habitat alterations are allowed under the guidelines of this site plan. Section II.4.5 and Table II-1 describe what, when, and where disturbance activities are allowed in occupied AEIs under the guidelines of this site plan. If an activity does not meet the restrictions given in the guidelines, the activity must be individually reviewed for ESA compliance. This site plan provides only guidelines for the Southwestern Willow Flycatcher AEI. If an activity is desired in an area that has overlapping AEIs, all applicable site plans must be consulted. Section II.4.6 describes management practices that should be applied when working or considering work in an AEI. LANL biologists are available to help interpret site plans and answer questions (<u>https://int.lanl.gov/environment/bio/index.shtml</u>).

## 4.4 Definition of and Restrictions on Habitat Alterations

#### 4.4.1 Definition of Habitat Alterations

Habitat alteration includes any action that, over the long term, alters the soil structure, vegetative components necessary to the species, prey quality and quantity, water quality, hydrology, or noise or light levels in undeveloped areas of an AEI. Long term means that the alteration lasts for more than 1 year. Habitat alteration includes any activity that removes vegetative components important to the Southwestern Willow Flycatcher (primarily trees and shrubs). An actual activity may take place outside of the AEI and will be considered habitat alteration if consequences of the activity have effects inside the AEI core.

The habitat components most important to flycatchers include vegetative structure, food quality and quantity, and disturbance levels, including noise and light. The thickets of certain trees and shrubs along wetlands are important because they provide roost sites and a suitable habitat for nesting and foraging.

#### 4.4.2 Fuels

#### Management Practices to Reduce Wildfire Risk

Thinning within undeveloped buffer areas may include trees of any size to achieve 7.6 m (25 ft) spacing between tree crowns; however, clear cutting is not allowed in undeveloped buffer areas. No fuels management practices are allowed in core areas. Habitat alterations, including thinning, are not restricted in developed areas.

#### 4.4.3 Utility Corridors

Habitat alterations, such as cutting down trees that threaten power lines, are allowed within 8 m (26 ft) of either side of an existing utility line in all areas of an AEI (LANL 2020). New utility lines and utility lines that require clearance of a right-of-way greater than 16 m (52 ft) total must be individually reviewed for ESA compliance. Disturbance activities must follow the guidelines given in the Activities Table (Table II-1, Section II.4.5.2) for occupied AEIs.

#### 4.4.4 Restrictions on Habitat Alterations

Habitat alterations other than the utility corridor maintenance described above are not allowed in undeveloped core areas under the guidelines of this site plan. Habitat alteration in buffers is limited. If a project or activity is planned that would alter habitat in an undeveloped core area, it must be individually evaluated for ESA compliance.

#### 4.5 Definition of and Restrictions on Disturbance Activities

#### 4.5.1 Definition of Disturbance Activities

LANL biologists considered five categories of activities that might cause disturbance in an AEI. Most of the categories were first identified in the document "Peregrine Falcon Habitat Management in the National Forests of New Mexico," prepared for the United States Forest Service (Johnson 1994). Other light production and other noise production were included to provide the most comprehensive list of activities possible, reducing the need for individual review of activities for ESA compliance. The categories of activities are people, vehicles, aircraft, other light production, and other noise production. The impact of explosives detonation on this species is not considered here because no explosives-testing sites are located within 2 km (1.25 mi) of potential nesting habitat. Low, medium, and high levels of impact for these activities are considered here. The following categories of activities are restricted only in AEIs that are classified as occupied.

People-includes any entry of people into an AEI on foot

- Low impact is the presence of three or fewer people per project and duration of 1 day or less during a breeding season.
- Medium impact is the exceedance of either the number of people or the duration criteria.
- High impact is the exceedance of both the number of people and the duration criteria.

Vehicles—includes the entry of any two-axle highway vehicle, all-terrain vehicle, or motorized machinery into an AEI by any route other than a paved road or an improved gravel road

- Low impact is the presence of two or fewer vehicles per project and duration of 1 day or less during a breeding season.
- Medium impact is the exceedance of either the number of vehicles or the duration criteria.
- High impact is the exceedance of both the number of vehicles and the duration criteria.

Aircraft—includes the operation of any aircraft below an elevation of 600 m (2,000 ft) above the highest ground level in the local vicinity

- Low impact is the presence of one single-engine airplane and duration of 1 day or less during a breeding season.
- Medium impact is the exceedance of either the number of aircraft or the duration criteria.
- High impact is the exceedance of both the number of aircraft and the duration criteria.

Any use of helicopters, jet airplanes, and propeller airplanes with two or more engines is classified as medium impact or above, depending on duration.

Other Light Production—includes any activity not previously listed that causes additional light to occur in an AEI core area (e.g., plans for construction of a new building at the edge of a developed area may call for lighting at night to facilitate nighttime work that impacts an undeveloped core area).

- Low impact is the increase of light intensity by up to 0.05 fc and a duration of 1 night or less per project per breeding season.
- Medium impact is the exceedance of either the intensity or duration criteria.
- High impact is the exceedance of both the intensity and duration criteria.

Measurements for increases in light are taken at the AEI core area boundary closest to the light source if the source is outside the core and at 10 m (33 ft) from the source if the source is inside the core. Light measurements for developed areas are taken at the edge of the developed area if the developed area is within an AEI core or at the closest core boundary if the developed area is outside of an AEI core.

Other Noise Production—includes any activity not previously listed except for explosives detonation that causes additional noise to occur in an AEI. For example, operation of machinery causes noise.

- Low impact is increasing noise levels in an AEI core by 6 dB(A) or less for 1 day or less per project per breeding season.
- Medium impact is the exceedance of either the level or the duration criteria.
- High impact is the exceedance of both the level and the duration criteria.

Measurements for increases in noise are taken at the AEI core boundary closest to the noise source if the source is outside the core and at 10 m (33 ft) from the source if the source is inside the core. Noise measurements for developed areas are taken at the edge of the developed area if the developed area is within an AEI core or at the closest core boundary if the developed area is outside of an AEI core.

#### 4.5.2 Activity Table

The dates shown in the Activity Table (Table III-1) are the dates between which the activity in the row is restricted under the guidelines of this site plan. Disturbance activities are of concern only when Southwestern Willow Flycatchers occupy an AEI. The AEI is always considered occupied between May 15 and September 15 or until surveys show the AEI to be unoccupied. The Southwestern Willow Flycatcher AEI is always considered unoccupied between September 16 and May 14, when flycatchers have migrated for the winter. For occupancy status of an AEI after completion of surveys, contact a LANL biologist (https://int.lanl.gov/environment/bio/index.shtml).

Levels of Impact	Core	Buffer
People		
Low	No Restrictions	No Restrictions
Medium	May 15 to August 15	No Restrictions
High	May 15 to September 15	No Restrictions
Vehicles		
Low	May 15 to September 15	No Restrictions
Medium	May 15 to September 15	No Restrictions
High	May 15 to September 15	No Restrictions
Aircraft		
Low	No Restrictions	No Restrictions
Medium	May 15 to August 15	May 15 to August 15
High	May 15 to September 15	May 15 to August 15
Other Light/Noise Production		
Low	May 15 to September 15	No Restrictions <sup>*</sup>
Medium	May 15 to September 15	No Restrictions <sup>*</sup>
High	May 15 to September 15	No Restrictions <sup>*</sup>

Table III-1. Restrictions on Activities in Undeveloped Occupied

\*Noise or light production in the buffer is restricted if the activity would violate core area restriction on noise or light.

#### 4.6 *Protective Measures*

This section provides a list of management practices to apply in the AEI.

- No wetland vegetation will be removed outside of developed areas.
- Employ appropriate erosion and runoff controls to reduce soil loss.
- Avoid unnecessary disturbance to vegetation (e.g., excessive parking areas or equipment storage areas, off-road travel, materials storage areas, crossing of streams or washes).
- Avoid removal of vegetation along drainage systems and stream channels.
- Avoid all vegetation removals not absolutely necessary.
- Appropriate erosion controls must be put in place and periodically checked throughout the life of any projects.
- Revegetate all exposed soils as soon as feasible after disturbance to minimize erosion.

## 5.0 Southwestern Willow Flycatcher AEI Description

#### 5.1 Pajarito Canyon Southwestern Willow Flycatcher AEI

#### 5.1.1 Allowable Habitat Alteration in the Buffer Area

Because the purpose of the buffer area is to help maintain the core area as suitable Southwestern Willow Flycatcher habitat, habitat alteration in the buffer area will be extremely limited. Restrictions on habitat alteration are relaxed in two areas:

- The mesa top of Mesita del Buey. This mesa top can be developed if restrictions on impacts to the core area are met.
- Pajarito Road within the AEI. Mowing of upland vegetation is allowed up to 5 m (15 ft) from Pajarito Road or to the fence if the fence is within 9 m (30 ft). Vegetation must cover the roadsides to prevent sediment runoff, so mowed plants should be at least 5 cm (2 in) high. LANL biologists encourage the growth of willow throughout the AEI—even the area along Pajarito Road—to enhance habitat. If it is absolutely necessary to remove new willow growth (i.e., to improve visibility for human safety) within this area, LANL biologists recommend that only willows at or above the level of the roadway surface be mowed.

# IV. AREA OF ENVIRONMENTAL INTEREST SITE PLAN FOR THE JEMEZ MOUNTAINS SALAMANDER

## 1.0 Species Description—Jemez Mountains Salamander

#### 1.1 Status

In 2006, the Jemez Mountains Salamander was listed in New Mexico as endangered under the Wildlife Conservation Act of New Mexico (NMDGF 2006). In September 2012, the USFWS proposed the Jemez Mountains Salamander as endangered under the ESA (77 FR 56481), and the final listing as endangered occurred on September 10, 2013 (78 FR 55599).

## 1.2 General Biology

The Jemez Mountains Salamander is endemic to the Jemez Mountains of north-central New Mexico and is found in Los Alamos, Rio Arriba, and Sandoval counties (Stebbins and Riemer 1950). It is one of two endemic plethodontid salamanders that occurs in New Mexico, predominantly at elevations between 2,130 to 3,430 m (6,988 to 11,254 ft) in mixed-conifer forest with greater than 50 percent canopy cover that consists mainly of Douglas fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii [Mirb.] Franco*), blue spruce (*Picea pungens Engelm.*), Engelmann spruce (*Picea engelmannii Parry ex Engelm.*), white fir (*Abies concolor [Gord. & Glend.] Lindl. ex Hildebr.*), limber pine (*Pinus flexilis James*), ponderosa pine, and quaking aspen (*Populus tremuloides Michx.*). The ground surface in forest areas has (a) moderate-to-high volumes of large fallen trees and other woody debris, especially coniferous logs at least 25 cm (10 in) in diameter, particularly Douglas fir, which are in contact with the soil in varying stages of decay from freshly fallen to nearly fully decomposed; or (b) structural features, such as rocks, bark, and moss mats, that provide the species with food and cover. Underground habitat in forest or meadow areas contains interstitial spaces provided by (a) igneous rock with fractures or loose rocky soils, (b) rotted tree root channels, or (c) burrows of rodents or large invertebrates (Degenhardt et al. 1996; 78 FR 9876).

Plethodontid salamanders, which lack both lungs and gills, breathe through the mucous membranes in their mouth and throat and through their moist skin. The Jemez Mountains Salamander is completely terrestrial and does not use standing surface water for any life stage (77 FR 56481). Present in its habitat year-round, the Jemez Mountains Salamander spends most of its life underground but can be found on the surface when conditions are warm and wet, approximately July through October. During this time, the Jemez Mountains Salamander can be found under rocks, bark, and moss mats, and inside and under logs (Ramotnik 1986, Everett 2003). The Jemez Mountains Salamander eats invertebrates, including ants, mites, and beetles, and is thought to lay its eggs underground (78 FR 9876).

## 1.3 Threats

Principal threats to habitat include historical fire exclusion and suppression and severe wildland fires; forest composition and structure conversions; post-fire rehabilitation; forest and fire management; roads, trails, and habitat fragmentation; recreation; and disease (77 FR 56482).

## 2.0 Impact of Human Activities

## 2.1 Introduction

Primary threats to the Jemez Mountains Salamander on LANL property are impacts to habitat quality or destruction of individual salamanders caused by LANL or Los Alamos County operations. Forested LANL property is also subject to impacts from severe wildland fire and wildfire suppression.

## 2.2 Impacts on Habitat Quality

#### 2.2.1 Development

Property at LANL varies from remote, isolated land to heavily developed and/or industrialized. Most of the large developed areas at LANL are found on mesa tops, generally in the northern and western portion of LANL. The areas of Jemez Mountains Salamander habitat currently most impacted by development occur in Los Alamos Canyon. A secondary paved road (West Road) in the bottom of the canyon exits the canyon on the north-facing slope through Jemez Mountains Salamander habitat. The canyon bottom also contains a recreational ice rink operated by Los Alamos County on an inholding owned by Los Alamos County. Development that reduces the occurrence of primary constituent elements of Jemez Mountains Salamander in core habitat would likely have a negative impact on the species.

#### 2.2.2 Pedestrians and Vehicles

Many canyon bottoms and mesa tops at LANL have dirt roads traversing them. Most of these roads are gated; however, many of these roads are accessible to LANL employees and the public on foot or by bicycle. Some areas, such as Los Alamos Canyon, are frequently used by hikers and dog owners on active and historic trails that traverse the canyon, through Jemez Mountains Salamander habitat in places. Maintenance of roads and trails in the habitat may have a negative impact on the species.

#### 2.2.3 Severe Wildland Fire and Wildfire Suppression

Stand-replacing wildfires significantly change forest composition and structure and reduce canopy cover. Even ground wildfires could reduce the volume of fallen logs and large woody debris. Large areas of historic Jemez Mountains Salamander habitat have been impacted by stand-replacing wildfires associated with current forest stocking conditions, drought, and high temperatures (77 FR 56482). Forested habitats on LANL property are also subject to severe wildland fires. To mitigate wildfire risks, some areas of LANL have been treated for fuels reduction and creation of fuel breaks both pre-emptively and during active wildfire suppression. Both wildfires and wildfire suppression activities can negatively impact the primary constituent elements of Jemez Mountains Salamander core habitat.

## 2.3 Impacts on Individual Salamanders

#### 2.3.1 Disease

The amphibian pathogenic fungus Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis (Bd) was found in a wild-caught Jemez Mountains Salamander in 2003 (Cummer et al. 2005) on the east side of the species' range and again in another Jemez Mountains Salamander in 2010 on the west side of the species' range (77 FR 56482). Bd causes the disease chytridiomycosis, whereby the Bd fungus attacks keratin in amphibians. In adult amphibians, keratin primarily occurs in the skin. The symptoms of chytridiomycosis can include

sloughing of skin, lethargy, morbidity, and death. Chytridiomycosis has been linked with worldwide amphibian declines, die-offs, and extinctions, possibly in association with climate change (Pounds et al. 2006). Chytridiomycosis could be a threat to the Jemez Mountains Salamander because this disease is a threat to many other species of amphibians, and the pathogen has been detected in the Jemez Mountains Salamander (77 FR 56482).

As part of a cooperative study with the New Mexico Department of Game and Fish between 2007 and 2013, various amphibian species, including the canyon tree frog (*Hyla arenicolor*), western chorus frog (*Pseudacris triseriata*), Woodhouse's toad (*Anaxyrus woodhousii*), tiger salamander (*Ambystoma tigrinum*), and Jemez Mountains Salamander were tested for Bd infection at LANL. To date, all sampling has been negative for Bd infection (Fresquez et al. 2013; B. Thompson, personal communication, January 2022).

## 2.3.2 Destruction of Individual Salamanders

During periods of the year when Jemez Mountains Salamanders are on the soil surface, when conditions are warm and wet (generally July to October), they are vulnerable to injury and mortality from soil-disturbing activities, including operation of heavy equipment in core habitat. They also are at risk to be found and collected by people.

## 3.0 AEI General Description for the Jemez Mountains Salamander

The AEI consists of two areas—a core area and a buffer area. The core habitat is defined as suitable habitat where the Jemez Mountains Salamander occurs or could occur at LANL. The core habitat consists of sections of north-facing slope that contain the required microhabitat to support the Jemez Mountains Salamander. The buffer area is 100 m (328 ft) wide, extending outward from the edge of the core area.

## 3.1 Method for Identifying a Jemez Mountains Salamander AEI

The first step in identifying potential Jemez Mountains Salamander AEIs at LANL was to use a GIS to model habitat. Early modeling efforts by Hathcock (2008) identified areas of potential habitat, and that model was further refined. The following parameters were modeled in the GIS:

- Elevation: 2,150 m (7,000 ft) and above
- Slope: Greater than 20 degrees
- Aspect: north-facing +/- 20 degrees
- Land cover: Mixed conifer
- Land use: Undeveloped
- Modeled habitat is selected only if it is greater than five contiguous  $30 \times 30$  m (98 × 98 ft) pixels in size

Once this habitat layer was developed, a second layer was modeled that examined the level of shade in the habitat, also known as an illumination index. Because the Jemez Mountains Salamander needs cool moist conditions, an illumination index model would further highlight areas where this habitat type could occur or further reinforce the areas selected by the GIS modeling. The illumination index describes the amount and extent of solar radiation that reaches the Earth's surface at a given point, taking into account

the topography that could cast shadows. The illumination model was developed using the 5 m (16 ft) resolution digital elevation model hillshade and using the Surface toolbox in ArcToolbox (Environmental Science Research Institute, Redlands, California) using the highest height of the sun on June 21 at 1:00 p.m., altitude of 74.4 and Azimuth of 178.4, when the sun would be at its maximum height. These procedures were based on work done by Reilly et al. (2009).

Once this modeling was complete, LANL biologists performed field validation to verify the suitability of the modeled habitat. The goal was to verify that mixed conifer was still the dominant cover class in the selected area. The GIS analysis used data from a landcover map created by McKown et al. (2003). Changes in habitat from fire and extreme drought effects have occurred since this landcover map was published. Because LANL is on the extreme edge of Jemez Mountains Salamander lower elevational range, a key component in this part of its range is soil moisture content. During field validation, evidence of a moist mixed conifer habitat versus a dry mixed conifer habitat was noted. One of the key indicators used to delimit areas of moist versus dry mixed conifer during the field validation was the presence of white fir (Evans et al. 2011) combined with a high canopy cover.

Field validation of the model occurred in May 2013, or decisions were based on earlier field visits to the sites from other projects. Each field validation consisted of LANL biologists walking down all of the modeled habitat polygons to look for the presence of indictor features. If a polygon of modeled habitat contained white fir, indicating a moist, wet conifer–type habitat, a high canopy closure, and other signs of high habitat quality such as dead logs, moss, or other areas that could be used as cover by the Jemez Mountains Salamander, then the polygon was marked for retention in the final core habitat. Polygons that did not contain the necessary habitat requirements were omitted.

After the field validation was complete, the final core habitat boundaries were hand-digitized using ArcGIS (Environmental Science Research Institute, Redlands, California) by LANL biologists in and around the validated modeled polygon and areas between polygons, if appropriate. The final identified core habitat at LANL occurs on the north-facing slopes of canyons. Toward the rim of the canyon, the core boundaries end where the mixed conifer ends. In the canyon bottoms, the core boundary extends to the edge of the stream channel. The upstream and downstream core boundaries end where the mixed conifer ends. A buffer habitat was extended around the core to a distance of 100 m (328 ft) outward. The LANL Fenton Hill satellite facility in the Jemez Mountains off New Mexico Highway 126 is on land leased to DOE by the Santa Fe National Forest. The entire footprint is considered to be developed core habitat for the Jemez Mountains Salamander because proposed critical habitat is adjacent to the facility.

## 3.2 Location and Number of Jemez Mountains Salamander AEIs

The identified Jemez Mountains Salamander core habitats were grouped by canyon system into AEIs, which contain contiguous and noncontiguous habitat areas. The largest contiguous section of habitat at LANL is in Los Alamos Canyon. Two noncontiguous areas of habitat are located in Two-mile Canyon, four in Pajarito Canyon, one contiguous area in Cañon de Valle, and the entire Fenton Hill footprint.

## 4.0 AEI Management

## 4.1 Overview

This AEI management section provides guidelines for LANL operations to reduce or eliminate the threats to the Jemez Mountains Salamander from habitat alterations that reduce habitat quality. Habitat

alterations are considered for all AEIs and for both core and buffer areas. Developed areas that have ongoing baseline levels of activities and are not suitable habitat for Jemez Mountains Salamander have different restrictions than undeveloped core or buffer areas. AEIs for different species may overlap, and an activity must meet the guidelines of all applicable site plans to be allowable. Protective measures are described as management practices that should be followed when working in AEIs.

## 4.2 Definition and Role of Occupancy in AEI Management

Occupancy simply refers to whether an AEI is occupied by the Jemez Mountains Salamander. The Los Alamos Canyon AEI is known to be occupied based on past surveys. Surveys for the Jemez Mountains Salamander are known to have a very low detection rate for occupied areas, so at LANL, all AEIs are assumed to be occupied at all times. If needed, site-specific surveys will be conducted by federally permitted LANL biologists.

## 4.3 Definition and Role of Developed Areas in AEI Management

Developed areas include all building structures, paved roads, improved gravel roads, and paved and unpaved parking lots. The majority of Jemez Mountains Salamander core habitat is in undeveloped areas except for the satellite facility at Fenton Hill and a small amount of habitat in Los Alamos Canyon where West Road crosses the habitat. Generally, developed areas will not have restrictions; however, some of the undeveloped sections within the footprint of Fenton Hill may have restrictions because they could contain Jemez Mountains Salamanders when they move to the surface between July and October. Any project that occurs within developed core habitat will be evaluated by LANL biologists for ESA compliance.

## 4.4 General Description of Core and Buffer Areas and Allowable Area Development

The purpose of buffer areas is to protect core areas from habitat degradation. The current levels of development in buffer and core areas represent baseline conditions for this site plan. No further development is allowed in the core area under the guidelines of this site plan. Any development in a buffer area will be reviewed by LANL biologists to ensure that there are no impacts to the core habitat.

## 4.5 *Emergency Actions*

Managers may activate emergency actions if safety and/or property is immediately threatened by something occurring within an AEI (e.g., wildfire, water line breakage). Contact a LANL biologist (<u>https://int.lanl.gov/environment/bio/index.shtml</u>), the Environmental Stewardship Group (505-665-8855), or the DOE/NNSA Los Alamos Field Office (505-667-7014) as soon as possible. If the emergency occurs outside of regular business hours, contact the Emergency Operations Support Center (505-667-2400); this office will then communicate with the appropriate LANL and DOE/NNSA Field Office personnel.

## 4.6 Introduction to AEI Management Guidelines

Section 4.7 provides the guidelines for habitat alterations and allowable activities in AEI core and buffer areas. It describes what and where habitat alterations are allowed under the guidelines of this site plan. If an activity does not meet the restrictions given in the guidelines, the activity must be individually reviewed for ESA compliance. This site plan provides only guidelines for the Jemez Mountains

Salamander AEIs. If an activity is desired in an area that has overlapping AEIs, all applicable site plans must be consulted. AEI maps show the location of all AEIs in an area. LANL biologists are available to help interpret site plans and answer questions (<u>https://int.lanl.gov/environment/bio/index.shtml</u>).

## 4.7 Definition of and Restrictions on Habitat Alterations

#### 4.7.1 Definition of Habitat Alterations

Habitat alteration includes any action that alters the soil structure, vegetative components necessary to the species, water quality, or hydrology in undeveloped areas of an AEI. An actual activity may take place outside of the AEI and will be considered habitat alteration if consequences of the activity have effects inside the AEI core. Habitat alterations would also include soil pits for soil samples deeper than 15 cm (6 in.) using either hand or mechanized augers. Any activity that might disturb the soil will need to be reviewed by LANL biologists.

The habitat components most important to the Jemez Mountains Salamander include soil structure and vegetative structure. The forest structure within an area designated as a Jemez Mountains Salamander AEI is important because it provides the necessary moist, cool microclimate.

#### 4.7.2 Fuels Management Practices to Reduce Wildfire Risk

One of the primary threats to the Jemez Mountains Salamander is wildfire (77 FR 56482), but they also require habitat with a high canopy cover, which makes fuels reduction challenging. Within undeveloped core areas, thinning trees to a level of 80 percent canopy cover or higher is approved. Trees may not be thinned below 80 percent canopy cover without further ESA review by LANL biologists. Large logs on the ground should be left in place and not chipped. Understory thinning that does not reduce total canopy cover below 80 percent is permitted. Large trees that are felled should be left as large logs on the ground. Smaller trees and understory shrubs that may be thinned should be dispersed and left onsite to aid in soil moisture retention. Thinning activities should not occur during the rainy season between July to October when the Jemez Mountains Salamander is found on the surface.

In buffer areas, thinning of trees may occur to the current LANL-approved prescription level (LAAO 2000). LANL biologists are available to provide guidance and mark trees for thinning (https://int.lanl.gov/environment/bio/index.shtml).

#### 4.7.3 Utility Corridors

Habitat alterations, such as cutting down trees that threaten power lines, are allowed within 8 m (26 ft) of either side of an existing electrical utility line at LANL under existing guidelines and engineering controls (LANL 2019). This level is approved in all areas of an AEI. New utility lines and utility lines that require clearance of a right-of-way greater than 16 m (52 ft) total in core habitat must be individually reviewed for ESA compliance.

#### 4.7.4 Restrictions on Habitat Alterations

Habitat alterations other than the fuels management practices and utility corridor maintenance described above are not allowed in undeveloped core areas under the guidelines of this site plan. If a project or activity is planned that would alter habitat in an undeveloped core area, it must be individually evaluated

for ESA compliance. Habitat alterations in buffer areas must be reviewed by LANL biologists to ensure that there are no impacts to core habitat.



#### V. ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

Acronym	Definition
ac	acre
AEI	area of environmental interest
Bd	Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis (Chytrid Fungus)
BMP	Best management practices
cm	centimeter
DARHT	Dual-Axis Radiographic Hydrodynamic Test Facility
dB	decibel
dB(A)	A-weighted decibel
dB(C)	C-weighted decibel
DDT	(dichloro-diphenyl-trichloroethane)
DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
ESA	Endangered Species Act of 1973
fc	foot -candles
FR	Federal Register
ft	feet
g	gram
GIS	geographic information system
ha	hectare
HMP	Threatened and Endangered Species Habitat Management Plan
HVAC	heating, ventilation, and air conditioning
in.	inch
kg	kilogram
LANL	Los Alamos National Laboratory
m	meter
mi	mile
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NNSA	National Nuclear Security Administration
OZ	ounce
PCBs	polychlorinated biphenyls
TNT	trinitrotoluene(2,4,6-)
USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

#### VI. REFERENCES CITED

- Allison, L. J., C. E. Paradzick, J. W. Rourke, and T. D. McCarthey. 2003. A characterization of vegetation in nesting and non-nesting plots for southwestern willow flycatchers in central Arizona. *Studies in Avian Biology* 26:81–90.
- Brown, B. T., G. S. Mills, C. Powels, W. A. Russell, G. D. Therres, and J. J. Pottie. 1999. The influence of weapons-testing noise on bald eagle behavior. *Journal of Raptor Research* 33:227–32.
- Brown, B. T. and L. E. Stevens. 1997. Winter bald eagle distribution is inversely correlated with human activity along the Colorado River, Arizona. *Journal of Raptor Research* 31:7–10.
- Burns, M. J. 1995. White Rock noise measurements during PHERMEX tests, 11 March 1995. Los Alamos National Laboratory Memorandum DX-DO:DARHT-95-31 and 35.
- Cain, B. W. 1988. The impact of environmental contaminants on Southwestern USA raptors. *Proceedings* of the Southwest Raptor Management Symposium and Workshop (ed) by R.L. Glinski, B.G. Pendleton, M.B. Moss, M.N. LeFranc Jr., B.A. Millsap & S.W. Hoffman, 348–54. Tucson, AZ, USA, May 21–24, 1986: National Wildlife Federation, Washington, D.C., USA.
- Cummer, M. R., D. E. Green, and E. M. O'Neill. 2005. Aquatic chytrid pathogen detected in terrestrial plethodontid salamander. *Herpetological Review* 36(3):248–249.
- Degenhardt, W. G., C. W. Painter, and A. H. Price. 1996. Amphibians and reptiles of New Mexico. University of New Mexico Press, Albuquerque, New Mexico.
- Delaney, D. K., T. G. Grubb, P. Beier, L. L. Pater, and M. H. Reiser. 1999. Effects of helicopter noise on Mexican spotted owls. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 63:60–76.
- Department of Energy (DOE). 1996. Final environmental impact statement for the dual-axis radiographic hydrodynamic test facility mitigation action plan. DOE/EIS-0228.
- Durst, S. L., M. K. Sogge, H. C. English, S. O. Williams, B. E. Kus, and S. J. Sferra. 2006. Southwestern Willow Flycatcher breeding site and territory summary – 2005. USGS Southwest Biological Science Center report to the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation.
- Durst, S. L., T. C. Theimer, E. H. Paxton, and M. K. Sogge. 2008. Age, habitat, and yearly variation in the diet of a generalist insectivore, the southwestern willow flycatcher. *Condor* 110:514–25.
- Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 2010. National pollutant discharge elimination system storm water individual permit number NM0030759.
- Evans, A. M., R. G. Everett, S. L. Stephens, and J. A. Youtz. 2011. Comprehensive fuels treatment practices guide for mixed conifer forests: California, Central and Southern Rockies, and the Southwest. Forest Guild, Santa Fe, NM.
- Everett, E. 2003. Habitat Characterization and Environmental Influences of the Jemez Mountains Salamander (*Plethodon neomexicanus*). M.S. Thesis, New Mexico State University, Las Cruces, New Mexico, 55pp.
- Finch, D. M. and J. F. Kelly. 1999. Status and migration of the southwestern willow flycatcher in New Mexico, *In* Rio Grande Ecosystems: Linking Land, Water, and People: Toward a Sustainable Future for the Middle Rio Grande Basin (ed.) D.M. Finch, J.C. Whitney, J.F. Kelly & S.R. Loftin, 197–203, Rocky Mountain Research Station Proceedings P-7, Albuquerque, New Mexico.
- Fresquez, P. R., C. Hathcock, D. Keller, and J. Fair. 2013. Foodstuffs and Biota Monitoring, *In* the 2012 Annual Site Environmental Report 2012. Los Alamos National Laboratory report LA-UR-13-27065.

- Gallegos, A., G. Gonzales, K. Bennett, and L. Pratt. 1997. Preliminary risk assessment of the Mexican Spotted Owl under a spatially-weighted foraging regime at the Los Alamos National Laboratory. Los Alamos National Laboratory report LA-13259-MS.
- Ganey, J. L. and R. P. Balda. 1994. Habitat selection by Mexican spotted owls in northern Arizona. *Auk* 111:162–69.
- Gonzales, G. J., A. F. Gallegos, K. D. Bennett, M. A. Mullen, and T. S. Foxx. 1998. Preliminary risk assessment of the Southwestern Willow Flycatcher (*Empidonax traillii extimus*) at the Los Alamos National Laboratory. Los Alamos National Laboratory report LA-13508-MS.
- Gonzales, G., R. Ryti, P. Newell, A. Gallegos, and S. Sherwood. 2004. Modeled ecological risk to the Deer Mouse, Mexican Spotted Owl, and Western Bluebird at the Los Alamos National Laboratory using ECORSK.7. Los Alamos National Laboratory report LA-14118.
- Gonzales, G., P. Gallegos, A. Gallegos, and K. Bennett. 2009. Site-wide application of ECORSK.9 at the Los Alamos National Laboratory. Los Alamos National Laboratory report LA-UR-09-02833.
- Grubb, T. G. and R. M. King. 1991. Assessing human disturbance of breeding bald eagles with classification tree models. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 55:500–11.
- Grubb, T. G. and W. W. Bowerman. 1997. Variations in breeding bald eagle responses to jets, light planes, and helicopters. *Journal of Raptor Research* 31:213–22.
- Hansen, L. A. 2004. Sigma Mesa Construction Debris Recycling Project. Los Alamos National Laboratory Memorandum RRES/Ecol-04-0049.
- Hansen, L. A. 2005. A biological assessment of the potential effects of the operation of an asphalt batch plant and a rock crusher at Sigma Mesa on federally listed threatened and endangered species. Los Alamos National Laboratory report LA-CP-05-0293.
- Hansen, L. A. 2009. Sound studies of the biosciences laboratory (BSL) in TA-3. Los Alamos National Laboratory report LA-UR-09-05482.
- Hathcock, C. D. and T. K. Haarmann. 2008. Development of a predictive model for habitat of the Mexican spotted owl in Northern New Mexico. *Southwestern Naturalist* 53:34–38.
- Hathcock, C. D., L. A. Hansen, and D. C. Keller. 2010. Occupancy of habitats by Mexican spotted owl in relation to explosives noise and recreational access at Los Alamos National Laboratory. Western Birds 41:102–06.
- Hatten, J. R. and C. E. Paradzick. 2003. A multiscaled model of southwestern willow flycatcher breeding habitat. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 67:774–88.
- Holthuijzen, A. M. A., W. G. Eastland, A. R. Ansell, M. N. Kochert, R. D. Williams, and L. S. Young. 1990. Effects of blasting on behavior and productivity of nesting prairie falcons. *Wildlife Society Bulletin* 18:270–81.
- Huchton, K., S. W. Koch, and R. J. Robinson. 1997. An analysis of background noise in selected canyons of Los Alamos County. Los Alamos National Laboratory report LA-13372-MS.
- Johnson, J. A. and T. H. Johnson. 1985. Timber type model of spotted owl habitat in northern New Mexico. New Mexico Department of Game and Fish report, Santa Fe, New Mexico.
- Johnson, T. H. 1994. Peregrine falcon habitat management in the national forests of New Mexico. USDA Forest Service unpublished report.
- Johnson, T. H. 1998. Topographic-Landsat model of suitable spotted owl habitat around Los Alamos National Laboratory. Los Alamos National Laboratory unpublished report.

- Keller, D. C. 2015. Biological assessment for the addition of the western distinct population segment of the Yellow-billed Cuckoo and the New Mexico Meadow Jumping Mouse to the Los Alamos National Laboratory Habitat Management Plan. Los Alamos National Laboratory report LA-UR 15-23445.
- Keller, D. C. and D. Risberg. 1995. Biological and floodplain/wetland assessment for the Dual-Axis Radiographic Hydrodynamics Test Facility (DARHT). Los Alamos National Laboratory report LA-UR-95-647.
- Keller, D. C. and T. S. Foxx. 1997. Biological assessment for threatened and endangered species at the DP Road Tract land transfer. Los Alamos National Laboratory unpublished report.
- Knight, J. L. and S. S. Vrooman. 1999. A study of construction machinery noise levels at Los Alamos National Laboratory. Los Alamos National Laboratory report LA-UR-99-5740.
- Los Alamos Area Office (Department of Energy) (LAAO). 2000. Environmental assessment for the Wildfire Hazard Reduction and Forest Health Improvement Program at Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico. DOE-EA-1329.
- Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL). 2019. Section G4010 Site Electrical Distribution. LANL Engineering Standards Manual STD-342-100.
- Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL). 2020. Biological assessment of the potential effects from the construction of two office buildings within Technical Area 35 at Los Alamos National Laboratory. Los Alamos National Laboratory report LA-CP-20-20513.
- Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL). 2021. Environmental Management System, Los Alamos National Laboratory System Description 400, Revision 5.
- McKown, B., S. W. Koch, R. G. Balice, and P. Neville. 2003. Land Cover Classification Map for the Eastern Jemez Region. Los Alamos National Laboratory report LA-14029.
- New Mexico Department of Game and Fish (NMDGF), April 2006. Threatened and Endangered Species of New Mexico—2006 Draft Biennial Review and Recommendations.
- Paakkonen, R. 1991. Low-frequency noise impulses from explosions. *Journal of Low Frequency Noise & Vibration* 10:78–82.
- Pounds, J. A., M. R. Bustamante, L. A. Coloma, J. A. Consuegra, M. P. L. Fogden, P. N. Foter, E. La Marca, K. L. Masters, A. Merino-Viteri, R. Puschendorf, S. R. Ron, G. A. Sanchez-Azofeifa, C. J. Still, and B. E. Yound. 2006. Widespread amphibian extinctions from epidemic disease driven by global warming. *Nature* 439(7073):161–167.
- Ramotnik, C. A. 1986. Status Report: *Plethodon neomexicanus* Jemez Mountains Salamander. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Report.
- Reilly, E. C., D. Clayton, R. S. Nauman, D. H. Olson, H. H. Welsh Jr, B. Devlin. 2009. Spatial model of optimal habitat for the Siskiyou Mountains Salamander (*Plethodon stormi*) north of the Siskyou Crest. Chapter 2. *In:* Olson, D. H., D. Clayton, R. S. Nauman, and H. H. Welsh Jr (Editors). 2009. Conservation of the Siskiyou Mountains Salamander (*Plethodon stormi*). *Northwest Fauna* 6:1– 73.
- Stebbins, R. C. and W. J. Riemer. 1950. A new species of Plethodontid Salamander from the Jemez Mountains of New Mexico. *Copeia* 1950(2):73–80.
- Steidl, R. J. and R. G. Anthony. 2000. Experimental effects of human activity on breeding bald eagles. *Ecological Applications* 10:258–68.

- Swarthout, E. C. H. and R. J. Steidl. 2001. Flush responses of Mexican spotted owls to recreationists. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 65:312–17.
- Swarthout, E. C. H. and R. J. Steidl. 2003. Experimental effects of hiking on breeding Mexican spotted owls. *Conservation Biology* 17:307–15.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 1995. Recovery plan for the Mexican spotted owl. Albuquerque, New Mexico.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 2002. Southwestern willow flycatcher recovery plan. Albuquerque, New Mexico.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 2012. Recovery plan for the Mexican Spotted Owl, First Revision. Albuquerque, New Mexico.
- Vigil, E. A. 1995. Noise measurements at State Road 4 and Bandelier turn-off at State Road 4 during PHERMEX test on March 11, 1995. Los Alamos National Laboratory Memorandum ESH-5:95-11825.
- Vrooman, S. S., S. W. Koch, and J. L. Knight. 2000. Temporal and spatial variation in background noise levels at Los Alamos National Laboratory. Los Alamos National Laboratory report LA-13684-MS.
- Wiesenborn, W. D. and S. L. Heydon. 2007. Diets of breeding southwestern willow flycatchers in different habitats. *Wilson Journal of Ornithology* 119:547–57.
- Willey, D. W. 2013. Diet of Mexican Spotted Owls in Utah and Arizona. *The Wilson Journal of Ornithology* 125(4):775–781.
- Yong, W. and D. M. Finch. 1997. Migration of the willow flycatcher along the middle Rio Grande. *Wilson Bulletin* 109:253–68.



#### **Appendix A:** Supplemental Information

Table A-1. Percentage of Each Food Type Found in Mexican Spotted Owl Food Remains at LANL

Species	Relative Abundance
Neotoma spp.	26.22
Peromyscus spp.	10.22
Microtus spp.	4.44
Gophers	4.89
Bats	5.78
Chipmunks	0.89
Rabbits	12.89
Shrews	1.33
Small Mammal	1.33
Medium Mammal	1.78
Medium Bird	8.00
Small Bird	4.89
Nocturnal Birds	0.89
Reptiles	4.89
Arthropods	11.56

Table A-2. Preliminary Light Measurements (in fc) for the Mexican Spotted Owl Site Plan

		Distance from Source			
	Source (street light)	5 m	10 m	15 m	20 m
fc	3.70	2.28	1.20	0.62	0.32




Figure A-1. Most recent map of all AEIs at LANL

ATTACHMENT 14: MSGP IPAC TRUST RESOURCES REPORT

### **IPaC** Information for Planning and Consultation U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service

# IPaC resource list

This report is an automatically generated list of species and other resources such as critical habitat (collectively referred to as *trust resources*) under the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's (USFWS) jurisdiction that are known or expected to be on or near the project area referenced below. The list may also include trust resources that occur outside of the project area, but that could potentially be directly or indirectly affected by activities in the project area. However, determining the likelihood and extent of effects a project may have on trust resources typically requires gathering additional site-specific (e.g., vegetation/species surveys) and project-specific (e.g., magnitude and timing of proposed activities) information.

Below is a summary of the project information you provided and contact information for the USFWS office(s) with jurisdiction in the defined project area. Please read the introduction to each section that follows (Endangered Species, Migratory Birds, USFWS Facilities, and NWI Wetlands) for additional information applicable to the trust resources addressed in that section.

### Location

Los Alamos, Sandoval, and Santa Fe counties, New Mexico



### Local office

New Mexico Ecological Services Field Office

**६** (505) 346-2525 **(505) 346-2542**

2105 Osuna Road Ne Albuquerque, NM 87113-1001

http://www.fws.gov/southwest/es/NewMexico/ http://www.fws.gov/southwest/es/ES\_Lists\_Main2.html

NOTFORCONSULTATION

# Endangered species

# This resource list is for informational purposes only and does not constitute an analysis of project level impacts.

The primary information used to generate this list is the known or expected range of each species. Additional areas of influence (AOI) for species are also considered. An AOI includes areas outside of the species range if the species could be indirectly affected by activities in that area (e.g., placing a dam upstream of a fish population even if that fish does not occur at the dam site, may indirectly impact the species by reducing or eliminating water flow downstream). Because species can move, and site conditions can change, the species on this list are not guaranteed to be found on or near the project area. To fully determine any potential effects to species, additional site-specific and project-specific information is often required.

Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act **requires** Federal agencies to "request of the Secretary information whether any species which is listed or proposed to be listed may be present in the area of such proposed action" for any project that is conducted, permitted, funded, or licensed by any Federal agency. A letter from the local office and a species list which fulfills this requirement can **only** be obtained by requesting an official species list from either the Regulatory Review section in IPaC (see directions below) or from the local field office directly.

For project evaluations that require USFWS concurrence/review, please return to the IPaC website and request an official species list by doing the following:

- 1. Draw the project location and click CONTINUE.
- 2. Click DEFINE PROJECT.
- 3. Log in (if directed to do so).
- 4. Provide a name and description for your project.
- 5. Click REQUEST SPECIES LIST.

Listed species<sup>1</sup> and their critical habitats are managed by the <u>Ecological Services Program</u> of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and the fisheries division of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA Fisheries<sup>2</sup>).

Species and critical habitats under the sole responsibility of NOAA Fisheries are **not** shown on this list. Please contact <u>NOAA Fisheries</u> for <u>species under their jurisdiction</u>.

- Species listed under the <u>Endangered Species Act</u> are threatened or endangered; IPaC also shows species that are candidates, or proposed, for listing. See the <u>listing status</u> <u>page</u> for more information. IPaC only shows species that are regulated by USFWS (see FAQ).
- 2. NOAA Fisheries, also known as the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), is an

office of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration within the Department of Commerce.

The following species are potentially affected by activities in this location:

### Mammals

NAME	STATUS
New Mexico Meadow Jumping Mouse Zapus hudsonius luteus Wherever found There is final critical habitat for this species. The location of the critical habitat is not available. https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/7965	Endangered
Birds NAME	STATUS
Mexican Spotted Owl Strix occidentalis lucida Wherever found There is final critical habitat for this species. Your location overlaps the critical habitat. https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/8196	Threatened
Southwestern Willow Flycatcher Empidonax traillii extimus Wherever found There is final critical habitat for this species. The location of the critical habitat is not available. https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/6749	Endangered
Yellow-billed Cuckoo Coccyzus americanus There is proposed critical habitat for this species. The location of the critical habitat is not available. <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/3911</u>	Threatened
Amphibians	
NAME	STATUS

Endangered

Jemez Mountains Salamander Plethodon neomexicanus Wherever found There is final critical habitat for this species. The location of the critical habitat is not available. <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/4095</u>

### Fishes

NAME	STATUS
Rio Grande Silvery Minnow Hybognathus amarus There is final critical habitat for this species. The location of the critical habitat is not available. https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/1391	Endangered

### Critical habitats

Potential effects to critical habitat(s) in this location must be analyzed along with the endangered species themselves.

This location overlaps the critical habitat for the following species:

NAME

Mexican Spotted Owl Strix occidentalis lucida https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/8196#crithab Final

TYPE

# Migratory birds

Certain birds are protected under the Migratory Bird Treaty  $Act^1$  and the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection  $Act^2$ .

Any person or organization who plans or conducts activities that may result in impacts to migratory birds, eagles, and their habitats should follow appropriate regulations and consider implementing appropriate conservation measures, as described <u>below</u>.

- 1. The Migratory Birds Treaty Act of 1918.
- 2. The <u>Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act</u> of 1940.

Additional information can be found using the following links:

• Birds of Conservation Concern http://www.fws.gov/birds/management/managed-

<u>species/</u>

birds-of-conservation-concern.php

- Measures for avoiding and minimizing impacts to birds <u>http://www.fws.gov/birds</u> /management/project-assessment-tools-and-guidance/ conservation-measures.php
- Nationwide conservation measures for birds <u>http://www.fws.gov/migratorybirds</u> /pdf/management/nationwidestandardconservationmeasures.pdf

The birds listed below are birds of particular concern either because they occur on the USFWS Birds of Conservation Concern (BCC) list or warrant special attention in your project location. To learn more about the levels of concern for birds on your list and how this list is generated, see the FAQ below. This is not a list of every bird you may find in this location, nor a guarantee that every bird on this list will be found in your project area. To see exact locations of where birders and the general public have sighted birds in and around your project area, visit the <u>E-bird data mapping tool</u> (Tip: enter your location, desired date range and a species on your list). For projects that occur off the Atlantic Coast, additional maps and models detailing the relative occurrence and abundance of bird species on your list are available. Links to additional information about Atlantic Coast birds, and other important information about your migratory bird list, including how to properly interpret and use your migratory bird report, can be found <u>below</u>.

For guidance on when to schedule activities or implement avoidance and minimization measures to reduce impacts to migratory birds on your list, click on the PROBABILITY OF PRESENCE SUMMARY at the top of your list to see when these birds are most likely to be present and breeding in your project area.

NAME

BREEDING SEASON (IF A BREEDING SEASON IS INDICATED FOR A BIRD ON YOUR LIST, THE BIRD MAY BREED IN YOUR PROJECT AREA SOMETIME WITHIN THE TIMEFRAME SPECIFIED, WHICH IS A VERY LIBERAL ESTIMATE OF THE DATES INSIDE WHICH THE BIRD BREEDS ACROSS ITS ENTIRE RANGE. "BREEDS ELSEWHERE" INDICATES THAT THE BIRD DOES NOT LIKELY BREED IN YOUR PROJECT AREA.) Bald Eagle Haliaeetus leucocephalus Breeds Dec 1 to Aug 31 This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities. https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/1626 Black-chinned Sparrow Spizella atrogularis Breeds Apr 15 to Jul 31 This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska. https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/9447 Breeds May 15 to Aug 10 Brewer's Sparrow Spizella breweri This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) only in particular Bird Conservation Regions (BCRs) in the continental USA https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/9291 Golden Eagle Aquila chrysaetos Breeds Jan 1 to Aug 31 This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) only in particular Bird Conservation Regions (BCRs) in the continental USA https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/1680 Grace's Warbler Dendroica graciae Breeds May 20 to Jul 20 This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) only in particular Bird Conservation Regions (BCRs) in the continental USA Gray Vireo Vireo vicinior Breeds May 10 to Aug 20 This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska. https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/8680 Breeds elsewhere Lesser Yellowlegs Tringa flavipes This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska. https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/9679 Lewis's Woodpecker Melanerpes lewis Breeds Apr 20 to Sep 30 This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska. https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/9408

Long-billed Curlew Numenius americanus This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska. <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/5511</u>	Breeds Apr 1 to Jul 31
Long-eared Owl asio otus This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska. <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/3631</u>	Breeds Mar 1 to Jul 15
Olive-sided Flycatcher Contopus cooperi This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska. https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/3914	Breeds May 20 to Aug 31
Pinyon Jay Gymnorhinus cyanocephalus This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska. <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/9420</u>	Breeds Feb 15 to Jul 15
Rufous Hummingbird selasphorus rufus This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska. https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/8002	Breeds elsewhere
Virginia's Warbler Vermivora virginiae This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska. https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/9441	Breeds May 1 to Jul 31
Willet Tringa semipalmata This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.	Breeds elsewhere
Willow Flycatcher Empidonax traillii This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) only in particular Bird Conservation Regions (BCRs) in the continental USA <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/3482</u>	Breeds May 20 to Aug 31
Probability of Presence Summary	

The graphs below provide our best understanding of when birds of concern are most

likely to be present in your project area. This information can be used to tailor and schedule your project activities to avoid or minimize impacts to birds. Please make sure you read and understand the FAQ "Proper Interpretation and Use of Your Migratory Bird Report" before using or attempting to interpret this report.

#### Probability of Presence (

Each green bar represents the bird's relative probability of presence in the 10km grid cell(s) your project overlaps during a particular week of the year. (A year is represented as 12 4-week months.) A taller bar indicates a higher probability of species presence. The survey effort (see below) can be used to establish a level of confidence in the presence score. One can have higher confidence in the presence score if the corresponding survey effort is also high.

How is the probability of presence score calculated? The calculation is done in three steps:

- 1. The probability of presence for each week is calculated as the number of survey events in the week where the species was detected divided by the total number of survey events for that week. For example, if in week 12 there were 20 survey events and the Spotted Towhee was found in 5 of them, the probability of presence of the Spotted Towhee in week 12 is 0.25.
- 2. To properly present the pattern of presence across the year, the relative probability of presence is calculated. This is the probability of presence divided by the maximum probability of presence across all weeks. For example, imagine the probability of presence in week 20 for the Spotted Towhee is 0.05, and that the probability of presence at week 12 (0.25) is the maximum of any week of the year. The relative probability of presence on week 12 is 0.25/0.25 = 1; at week 20 it is 0.05/0.25 = 0.2.
- 3. The relative probability of presence calculated in the previous step undergoes a statistical conversion so that all possible values fall between 0 and 10, inclusive. This is the probability of presence score.

To see a bar's probability of presence score, simply hover your mouse cursor over the bar.

#### Breeding Season ()

Yellow bars denote a very liberal estimate of the time-frame inside which the bird breeds across its entire range. If there are no yellow bars shown for a bird, it does not breed in your project area.

#### Survey Effort ()

Vertical black lines superimposed on probability of presence bars indicate the number of surveys performed for that species in the 10km grid cell(s) your project area overlaps. The number of surveys is expressed as a range, for example, 33 to 64 surveys.

To see a bar's survey effort range, simply hover your mouse cursor over the bar.

No Data (–)

A week is marked as having no data if there were no survey events for that week.

#### Survey Timeframe

Surveys from only the last 10 years are used in order to ensure delivery of currently relevant information. The exception to this is areas off the Atlantic coast, where bird returns are based on all years of available data, since data in these areas is currently much more sparse.

Tell me more about conservation measures I can implement to avoid or minimize impacts to migratory birds.

<u>Nationwide Conservation Measures</u> describes measures that can help avoid and minimize impacts to all birds at any location year round. Implementation of these measures is particularly important when birds are most likely to occur in the project area. When birds may be breeding in the area, identifying the locations of any active nests and avoiding their destruction is a very helpful impact minimization measure. To see when birds are most likely to occur and be breeding in your project area, view the Probability of Presence Summary. <u>Additional measures</u> or <u>permits</u> may be advisable depending on the type of activity you are conducting and the type of infrastructure or bird species present on your project site.

#### What does IPaC use to generate the migratory birds potentially occurring in my specified location?

The Migratory Bird Resource List is comprised of USFWS <u>Birds of Conservation Concern (BCC)</u> and other species that may warrant special attention in your project location.

The migratory bird list generated for your project is derived from data provided by the <u>Avian Knowledge</u> <u>Network (AKN)</u>. The AKN data is based on a growing collection of <u>survey</u>, <u>banding</u>, <u>and citizen science</u> <u>datasets</u> and is queried and filtered to return a list of those birds reported as occurring in the 10km grid cell(s) which your project intersects, and that have been identified as warranting special attention because they are a BCC species in that area, an eagle (<u>Eagle Act</u> requirements may apply), or a species that has a particular vulnerability to offshore activities or development.

Again, the Migratory Bird Resource list includes only a subset of birds that may occur in your project area. It is not representative of all birds that may occur in your project area. To get a list of all birds potentially present in your project area, please visit the <u>AKN Phenology Tool</u>.

## What does IPaC use to generate the probability of presence graphs for the migratory birds potentially occurring in my specified location?

The probability of presence graphs associated with your migratory bird list are based on data provided by the <u>Avian Knowledge Network (AKN</u>). This data is derived from a growing collection of <u>survey</u>, <u>banding</u>, and citizen science datasets.

Probability of presence data is continuously being updated as new and better information becomes available. To learn more about how the probability of presence graphs are produced and how to interpret them, go the Probability of Presence Summary and then click on the "Tell me about these graphs" link.

#### How do I know if a bird is breeding, wintering, migrating or present year-round in my project area?

To see what part of a particular bird's range your project area falls within (i.e. breeding, wintering, migrating or year-round), you may refer to the following resources: <u>The Cornell Lab of Ornithology All</u> <u>About Birds Bird Guide</u>, or (if you are unsuccessful in locating the bird of interest there), the <u>Cornell Lab</u> <u>of Ornithology Neotropical Birds guide</u>. If a bird on your migratory bird species list has a breeding season associated with it, if that bird does occur in your project area, there may be nests present at some point within the timeframe specified. If "Breeds elsewhere" is indicated, then the bird likely does not breed in your project area.

#### What are the levels of concern for migratory birds?

Migratory birds delivered through IPaC fall into the following distinct categories of concern:

- 1. "BCC Rangewide" birds are <u>Birds of Conservation Concern</u> (BCC) that are of concern throughout their range anywhere within the USA (including Hawaii, the Pacific Islands, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands);
- 2. "BCC BCR" birds are BCCs that are of concern only in particular Bird Conservation Regions (BCRs) in the continental USA; and
- 3. "Non-BCC Vulnerable" birds are not BCC species in your project area, but appear on your list either because of the <u>Eagle Act</u> requirements (for eagles) or (for non-eagles) potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities (e.g. offshore energy development or longline fishing).

Although it is important to try to avoid and minimize impacts to all birds, efforts should be made, in particular, to avoid and minimize impacts to the birds on this list, especially eagles and BCC species of rangewide concern. For more information on conservation measures you can implement to help avoid and minimize migratory bird impacts and requirements for eagles, please see the FAQs for these topics.

#### Details about birds that are potentially affected by offshore projects

For additional details about the relative occurrence and abundance of both individual bird species and groups of bird species within your project area off the Atlantic Coast, please visit the <u>Northeast Ocean</u> <u>Data Portal</u>. The Portal also offers data and information about other taxa besides birds that may be helpful to you in your project review. Alternately, you may download the bird model results files underlying the portal maps through the <u>NOAA NCCOS Integrative Statistical Modeling and Predictive</u> <u>Mapping of Marine Bird Distributions and Abundance on the Atlantic Outer Continental Shelf</u> project webpage.

Bird tracking data can also provide additional details about occurrence and habitat use throughout the year, including migration. Models relying on survey data may not include this information. For additional information on marine bird tracking data, see the <u>Diving Bird Study</u> and the <u>nanotag studies</u> or contact <u>Caleb Spiegel</u> or <u>Pam Loring</u>.

#### What if I have eagles on my list?

If your project has the potential to disturb or kill eagles, you may need to <u>obtain a permit</u> to avoid violating the Eagle Act should such impacts occur.

#### Proper Interpretation and Use of Your Migratory Bird Report

The migratory bird list generated is not a list of all birds in your project area, only a subset of birds of priority concern. To learn more about how your list is generated, and see options for identifying what other birds may be in your project area, please see the FAQ "What does IPaC use to generate the migratory birds potentially occurring in my specified location". Please be aware this report provides the "probability of presence" of birds within the 10 km grid cell(s) that overlap your project; not your exact project footprint. On the graphs provided, please also look carefully at the survey effort (indicated by the black vertical bar) and for the existence of the "no data" indicator (a red horizontal bar). A high survey effort is the key component. If the survey effort is high, then the probability of presence score can be viewed as more dependable. In contrast, a low survey effort bar or no data bar means a lack of data and, therefore, a lack of certainty about presence of the species. This list is not perfect; it is simply a starting point for identifying what birds of concern have the potential to be in your project area, when they might be there, and if they might be breeding (which means nests might be present). The list helps you know what to look for to confirm presence, and helps guide you in knowing when to implement. conservation measures to avoid or minimize potential impacts from your project activities, should presence be confirmed. To learn more about conservation measures, visit the FAQ "Tell me about conservation measures I can implement to avoid or minimize impacts to migratory birds" at the bottom of your migratory bird trust resources page.

# Facilities

### National Wildlife Refuge lands

Any activity proposed on lands managed by the <u>National Wildlife Refuge</u> system must undergo a 'Compatibility Determination' conducted by the Refuge. Please contact the individual Refuges to discuss any questions or concerns.

THERE ARE NO REFUGE LANDS AT THIS LOCATION.

### Fish hatcheries

THERE ARE NO FISH HATCHERIES AT THIS LOCATION.

# Wetlands in the National Wetlands Inventory

ILTATIO

Impacts to <u>NWI wetlands</u> and other aquatic habitats may be subject to regulation under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act, or other State/Federal statutes.

For more information please contact the Regulatory Program of the local <u>U.S. Army Corps</u> <u>of Engineers District</u>.

Please note that the NWI data being shown may be out of date. We are currently working to update our NWI data set. We recommend you verify these results with a site visit to determine the actual extent of wetlands on site.

This location overlaps the following wetlands:

FRESHWATER EMERGENT WETLAND PEM1C

FRESHWATER FORESTED/SHRUB WETLAND

PSS1A

RIVERINE

R4SBA R4SBC

A full description for each wetland code can be found at the <u>National Wetlands Inventory</u> <u>website</u>

#### Data limitations

The Service's objective of mapping wetlands and deepwater habitats is to produce reconnaissance level information on the location, type and size of these resources. The maps are prepared from the analysis of high altitude imagery. Wetlands are identified based on vegetation, visible hydrology and geography. A margin of error is inherent in the use of imagery; thus, detailed on-the-ground inspection of any particular site may result in revision of the wetland boundaries or classification established through image analysis.

The accuracy of image interpretation depends on the quality of the imagery, the experience of the image analysts, the amount and quality of the collateral data and the amount of ground truth verification work conducted. Metadata should be consulted to determine the date of the source imagery used and any mapping problems.

Wetlands or other mapped features may have changed since the date of the imagery or field work. There may be occasional differences in polygon boundaries or classifications between the information depicted on the map and the actual conditions on site.

#### Data exclusions

Certain wetland habitats are excluded from the National mapping program because of the limitations of aerial imagery as the primary data source used to detect wetlands. These habitats include seagrasses or submerged aquatic vegetation that are found in the intertidal and subtidal zones of estuaries and

nearshore coastal waters. Some deepwater reef communities (coral or tuberficid worm reefs) have also been excluded from the inventory. These habitats, because of their depth, go undetected by aerial imagery.

#### Data precautions

Federal, state, and local regulatory agencies with jurisdiction over wetlands may define and describe wetlands in a different manner than that used in this inventory. There is no attempt, in either the design or products of this inventory, to define the limits of proprietary jurisdiction of any Federal, state, or local government or to establish the geographical scope of the regulatory programs of government agencies. Persons intending to engage in activities involving modifications within or adjacent to wetland areas NOTFORCONSULTATION should seek the advice of appropriate federal, state, or local agencies concerning specified agency regulatory programs and proprietary jurisdictions that may affect such activities.

ATTACHMENT 15: EPC-CP-PIP-2101, NPDES MULTI-SECTOR GENERAL PERMIT PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

EPC-CP-PIP-2101

Revision: 2



Effective Date: 04/26/2023

#### Environment, Safety, Health, and Quality Directorate

**Environmental Protection and Compliance Division – Compliance Programs Group** 

**Program Implementation Plan (PIP)** 

### NPDES Multi-Sector General Permit

Name:	Organization:	Signature:	Date:
Holly L. Wheeler	EPC-CP	Signature on File	04-20-2023
		·	·
Derivativ	e Classifier: 🛛	Unclassified or 🗌	
Name:	Organization:	Signature:	Date:
Steven E. Wolfel	EPC-CP	Signature on File	04-20-2023
	Approv	val Signatures:	
EPC-CP Reviewer:	Organization:	Signature:	Date:
LFC-CF REVIEWEI.	0.8000000	0.8.000	Date.
Alethea Banar	EPC-CP	Signature on File	04-20-2023
	Ũ	5	
Alethea Banar	EPC-CP	Signature on File	04-20-2023
Alethea Banar Responsible Line Manager:	EPC-CP Organization:	Signature on File Signature:	04-20-2023 Date:

Document Owner/Subject Matter Expert:

*Users are responsible for ensuring they work to the latest approved version. To document a required read, Login to UTrain, and go to the Advanced Search.* 

NPDES Multi-Sector General	No: EPC-CP-PIP-2101	Page 2 of 34
Permit	Revision: 2	Effective Date: 04/26/2023

#### **REVISION HISTORY**

Document Number and Revision	Effective Date	Description of Changes
ENV-RCRA-QAPP-MSGP, R0	06/03	New Document.
ENV-RCRA-QAPP-MSGP, R1	12/05	Annual review and revision.
ENV-RCRA-QAPP-MSGP, R2	07/07	Annual review, incorporated organizational restructure changes.
ENV-RCRA-QAPP-MSGP, R3	07/09	Biennial Review and Revision.
ENV-RCRA-QAPP-MSGP, R4	07/09	Biennial Review and Revision.
ENV-CP-QAPP-MSGP, R5	10/13	Biennial Review and Revision. New format implemented.
EPC-CP-PIP-2101, R0	01/19/2021	Initial issue under this document number. It supersedes/replaces ENV-CP-QAPP-MSGP, R5. Changes include revision to the document template, addition of MLs, software requirements, and detail to Section 4.5.
EPC-CP-PIP-2101 R1	10/20/2021	Update to procedure numbers and Attachment 2. Deletion of Appendices B, C and D with associated update to text in Section 3.3.2.
EPC-CP-PIP-2101 R2	04/26/2023	This revision includes reintegration of training and qualifications into PIP. Expanded Section 4.2 to add subsections 4.2.1 MSGP Program Training with Table 4.2.1, 4.2.2 MSGP Program Qualifications, and 4.2.3 Certifications. Removed Appendix A. This document, EPC-CP-PIP-2101 R2, supersedes/replaces EPC-CP-PIP- 2101 R1, EPC-CP-QS-2005, EPC-CP-QS-006, and EPC- CP-QS-2007.

Revision: 2

Page 3 of 34

#### **Table of Contents**

	Tabl	e of Con	tents		3		
1.0	Purp	ose			5		
2.0	Auth	ority an	d Applical	pility	5		
	2.1	Author	ity		5		
	2.2	•					
3.0	Program Scope						
	3.1	Requir	ements		5		
	3.2	Descrip	otion of W	/ork Activities	6		
	3.3	Gradeo	d Approac	h	6		
		3.3.1	Manage	ment Level Determination	6		
		3.3.2	Software	e Risk Levels	6		
4.0	Prog	Program-Specific Quality Assurance Requirements and Implementing Work Activities			6		
	4.1	Criterio	on 1 – Ma	nagement/Program	6		
		4.1.1	Program	n Goals	6		
		4.1.2	Roles an	d Responsibilities	7		
			4.1.2.1	Group Leader	7		
			4.1.2.2	Stormwater Permitting/Compliance Team Leader	7		
			4.1.2.3	MSGP Program Lead	8		
			4.1.2.4	Storm Water Tracking System/Discharge Monitoring Report Manager			
			4.1.2.5	MSGP Personnel	9		
			4.1.2.6	EIM Database Administrator	10		
			4.1.2.7	Corrective Action Reporting Database Administrator			
			4.1.2.8	Maintenance Connection Database Administrator			
		4.1.3	Internal	Interfaces	11		
			4.1.3.1	Facility Operations Directors	11		
			4.1.3.2	Permitted Industrial Activity Facility Owner/Operator			
			4.1.3.3	Deployed Environmental Professional			
			4.1.3.4	Sample Management Office (SMO)	11		
		4.1.4	External	Interfaces	12		
			4.1.4.1	Environmental Protection Agency	12		
			4.1.4.2	New Mexico Environmental Department	12		
			4.1.4.3	National Nuclear Safety Administration/Los Alamos Field Office			
			4.1.4.4	Analytical Laboratory Contractors	12		
	4.2	Criterion 2 – Management/Personnel Training and Qualification			13		
		4.2.1	MSGP Program Training1				
		4.2.2	MSGP P	rogram Qualifications	14		
		4.2.3		tions			
	4.3	Criterio	on 3 – Ma	nagement/Quality Improvement	16		
		4.3.1		ance Reporting			
		4.3.2		ve Actions			
	4.4	Criterio	on 4 – Ma	nagement/Documents and Records	17		

		4.4.1	Document Control		
		4.4.2	Procedures		
		4.4.3	Electronic Media		
		4.4.4	Records Management		
	4.5				
		4.5.1	Work Processes		
		4.5.2	Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plans	20	
		4.5.3	Inspections		
		4.5.4	Stormwater Corrective Actions		
			4.5.4.1 Permit Limit Exceedances	21	
		4.5.5	Stormwater Monitoring	21	
			4.5.5.1 Quality Control Samples	23	
		4.5.6	Reporting	24	
			4.5.6.1 Discharge Monitoring Reports	24	
			4.5.6.2 Annual Reports	25	
	4.6	Criterio	n 6 – Performance/Design	25	
	<ul> <li>4.7 Criterion 7 – Performance/Procurement</li></ul>				
	4.11 Suspect/Counterfeit Items Prevention				
	4.12	Safety S	Software Quality Assurance Requirements for Nuclear Facilities	27	
5.0	Implementation		on	27	
6.0	Train	ing		27	
7.0		-	nd Records		
8.0	Defin	itions ar	nd Acronyms	27	
9.0			·		
10.0					
11.0					
12.0					
	Attachment 1: Summary of QA Requirements and Program-Level (Local) Work Practices				
	Attachment 2: MSGP Facilities Associated with Industrial Activities				
	Attachment 2. Moor Facilities Associated with industrial Activities				

#### 1.0 PURPOSE

This document describes the Program Implementation Plan (PIP) for the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Multi-Sector General Permit (MSGP) Program at Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL or the Laboratory). Performance of the processes and procedures described herein, are in accordance with EPC-CP-QAP-001, *Environmental Compliance Programs Quality Assurance Plan*. This PIP provides detail and context regarding the implementation of those work activities generally described in EPC-CP-QAP-001. Work conducted under this program ensures compliance with the MSGP and the Clean Water Act.

#### 2.0 AUTHORITY AND APPLICABILITY

#### 2.1 Authority

This document is issued under the authority of the Environmental Protection and Compliance Division's Compliance Programs Group Leader to direct the management and operation of the MSGP Program.

#### 2.2 Applicability

This PIP applies to personnel performing work by or for the MSGP Program, including but not limited to Triad National Security, LLC (Triad) employees, subcontractors, and suppliers at all tiers (in accordance with subcontract documents), students, guests, and associates.

#### 3.0 PROGRAM SCOPE

The MSGP Program is responsible for compliance oversight of Triad's NPDES MSGP, coordination and performance of institutional MSGP stormwater compliance activities, and developing and implementing institutional standards and policies regarding MSGP stormwater management. EPC-CP is the institutional point of contact regarding MSGP environmental compliance interactions with entities outside of LANL (i.e., regulatory agencies, stakeholders, and the public).

#### 3.1 Requirements

The MSGP Program satisfies requirements contained in the following documents:

- EPC-CP-QAP-001, Section 3.3
- NPDES MSGP
- Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 136, *Guidelines Establishing Test Procedures for the Analysis of Pollutants*
- Title 20, Chapter 6, Part 4 of the New Mexico Administrative Code (NMAC), *Standards for Interstate and Intrastate Surface Waters*

#### **3.2** Description of Work Activities

Triad will implement the monitoring requirements specified by the most current NPDES MSGP for industrial activities at LANL. The EPC-CP Stormwater Permitting/Compliance Team oversees institutional stormwater compliance related activities at the Laboratory.

#### 3.3 Graded Approach

The following sections provide reference to the applicable Management Level Determinations and Software Risk Level forms.

#### 3.3.1 Management Level Determination

The following Management Level Determinations are applicable to equipment and/or work activities for the MSGP Program:

• ML-4, per MLDS No.: MLDS-TA-60-324, Revision 0.

#### 3.3.2 Software Risk Levels

The Environmental Information Management (EIM), Maintenance Connection, and MSGP Corrective Action Oracle APEX software do not trigger any of the Reasonable Probability Criteria from P1040, Section 3.3.1. Therefore, the completion of a Safety/Non-Safety Determination, Categorization, and Software Risk Level (SRL) (Form 2033) is not required, and the software is considered Non-Safety/Commercially Controlled.

### 4.0 PROGRAM-SPECIFIC QUALITY ASSURANCE REQUIREMENTS AND IMPLEMENTING WORK ACTIVITIES

Based on the Graded Approach results referenced above, this PIP is determined to be consistent with the work activity types covered by EPC-CP-QAP-001, Section 3.3. Attachment 1 presents a summary of the work practices (procedures, instructions, etc.,) that EPC-CP uses to meet the quality assurance (QA) requirements of SD300/Department of Energy (DOE) Order 414.1D, Chg. 2.

#### 4.1 Criterion 1 – Management/Program

#### 4.1.1 Program Goals

The MSGP Program supports EPC Division efforts to provide leadership in environmental protection and compliance services and compliance support to anticipate and manage environmental risk in support of Triad's mission.

Triad complies with the monitoring requirements, such as parameters, frequency of sampling, reporting, etc., set forth in the NPDES MSGP for industrial point source discharges through the Laboratory's MSGP Program. Compliance is demonstrated through the successful implementation of this PIP and applicable procedures.

#### 4.1.2 Roles and Responsibilities

EPC-CP is responsible for the Laboratory's MSGP Program and a description of the group organization, levels of authority, and lines of communication are found within this PIP. EPC-CP group is organized by program teams under the line management direction of the Group Leader. Teams are cross-functional and focus on specific Program responsibilities, deliverables, or products. Program teams are guided by Team Leaders who have the responsibility to assure that the program is properly implemented. The following sections identify the roles and responsibilities for EPC-CP personnel, contractors, and program interfaces.

#### 4.1.2.1 Group Leader

- Assure that the program has adequate resources (e.g., budget, staffing, etc.,) and that qualified staff properly gather and evaluate information submitted to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) as required by the MSGP Program.
- Sign Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMR), Annual Reports, Quarterly Visual Assessment Certifications, prior to submittal to the EPA.
- Ensure that program personnel conduct procurements in accordance with P840-1, *Quality Assurance for Procurements*.
- Plan, conduct, and document periodic management assessments and Management Observation and Verifications (MOVs) of MSGP Program activities as required by P328-3 and P328-4.

#### 4.1.2.2 Stormwater Permitting/Compliance Team Leader

- Ensure that program personnel perform work associated with the MSGP Program in accordance with the processes, procedures, and requirements specified in this plan.
- Ensure all MSGP Program personnel have the appropriate level of education, experience, and training to perform their job duties.
- Ensure that the most recent versions of the quality-related documents are used for all activities.
- Monitor and trend MSGP Program performance and track deficiencies.
- Support Facility Operations Directors (FODs) and Deployed Environmental Professionals (DEPs) with the implementation of corrective actions in a timely manner.
- Sign/submit DMRs, Annual Reports, Quarterly Visual Assessment Certifications, etc.
- Ensure PIP meets minimum specifications for documentation and records required by EPC-CP-QAP-001, *Environmental Compliance Programs Quality Assurance Plan*.
- Conduct periodic reviews of records and documentation for accuracy, applicability, and compliance.

- Provide oversight and ensure that monitoring requirements are followed in accordance with the MSGP Program.
- Ensure that all required compliance documents are submitted to EPA in accordance with the MSGP.
- Recommend to Group Leader contracting items and services.
- Assist the Group Leader in planning and implementing management assessments and MOVs.
- Identify issues, concerns, or problems that warrant management assessment.
- Oversee resolution and correction of all problems found during management assessments.

#### 4.1.2.3 MSGP Program Lead

- Perform MSGP Program related activities as assigned by the Stormwater Permitting/Compliance Team Leader.
- Engage other team members to support implementation of the MSGP Program.
- Support DEPs and permitted industrial facility owners with the implementation of corrective actions in a timely manner.
- Ensure analytical instruments used in the field are calibrated as per Institutional Procedure P330-2, *Control and Calibration of Measuring and Test Equipment (M&TE)*. Periodically review and update the calibration procedures to ensure permit requirements are met.
- Identify opportunities for process improvement, health and safety enhancement, environmenal protection, or other improvements of the program's operations.
- Ensure deficiencies are reported to the Stormwater Permitting/Compliance Team Leader in a timely manner.
- Implement a monitoring program as required by the MSGP.
- Ensure DMRs are prepared and submitted as required by the MSGP Program.
- Review documents for accuracy and completeness to assure that the requirements of the MSGP are met.
- Oversee data quality assessments prior to submittal of monthly, quarterly, and annual DMRs.
- Ensure procedures for sample handling and control during sample preparation, retrieval and analysis are followed.
- Identify issues, concerns, or problems that warrant management assessment.
- Periodically evaluate corrective actions to determine if there are issues that need to be entered into the Issues Management Tool.

- Oversee preparation, conduct quality review, and submit all required compliance documents (e.g., NOI/Notice of Termination (NOT), DMRs, Annual Reports, and correspondence) to EPA.
- Oversee preparation and conduct quality review of Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plans (SWPPP) coordinated with the responsible organization.

#### 4.1.2.4 Storm Water Tracking System/Discharge Monitoring Report Manager

- Perform MSGP Program related activities as assigned by the Stormwater Permitting/Compliance Team Leader.
- Serve as database administrator for the Storm Water Tracking System (SWTS) and Discharge Monitoring Report modules in EIM.
- Maintain current MSGP station and monitoring requirement configuration content in SWTS.
- Ensure all results from sampling are returned and are eligible for reporting.
- Assist MSGP Program Lead in conducting data quality assurance review.
- Conduct data quality assessments prior to submittal of monthly, quarterly, and annual DMRs.
- Ensure compliance reports (NOI/NOT, DMRs, and Annual Reports) are prepared as required by the MSGP.
- Prepare stormwater DMRs for the MSGP Program.

#### 4.1.2.5 MSGP Personnel

- Perform MSGP Program related activities as assigned by the Stormwater Permitting & Compliance Team Leader.
- Implement approved processes and procedures for any equipment and instrumentation used to collect field data (i.e., visual assessment parameters, temperature, and pH).
- Mentor and train new personnel, as needed.
- Conduct sampling activities in accordance with approved processes and procedures.
- Perform sample handling and control during sample preparation, retrieval, and analysis in accordance with approved processes and procedures.
- Notify the MSGP Program Lead immediately upon discovery of field parameter(s) (visual assessment parameters, temperature, and/or pH) exceedances.
- Conduct QA check of methods/equipment.
- Procure sampling equipment (i.e., bottles, standards, preservatives) in accordance with P840-1, *Quality Assurance for Procurements*. Order materials and supplies in accordance with LANL protocol.

#### 4.1.2.6 EIM Database Administrator

- Coordinate with the Subcontract Technical Representative (STR) to ensure that formal contracts are in place to support MSGP Program compliance activities.
- Coordinate with the STR to oversee contract analytical laboratories and ensure they follow the DOE Analytical Services Program.
- Coordinate with the STR to ensure that the off-site laboratory participates in the DOE Consolidated Audit Program and that the analytical laboratory has been audited on an annual basis.
- Administer and maintain the database.
- Provide role-related database access.
- Maintain facility and personnel configuration content, permit-defined lists of limited values (LLVs), and e-mail notification distribution lists.
- Oversee shipping/transport of samples to the correct off-site analytical laboratory for analysis.
- Oversee administration and maintenance of sampling plans and sample documentation.
- Load analytical data into the EIM database and run auto-validation checks.
- Oversee management of analytical laboratory data packages.

#### 4.1.2.7 Corrective Action Reporting Database Administrator

- Administer and maintain the database.
- Provide role-related database access.
- Maintain facility and personnel configuration content, permit defined LLVs, and e-mail notification distribution lists.

#### 4.1.2.8 Maintenance Connection Database Administrator

- Administer and maintain the database.
- Provide role-related database access.
- Maintain facility and personnel configuration content.
- Extract data to support preparation of the MSGP Annual Report.

#### 4.1.3 Internal Interfaces

#### 4.1.3.1 Facility Operations Directors

The FOD provides organizational leadership to ensure that all facility and programmatic activities under their authority are performed in compliance with the MSGP. The FOD is also responsible for establishing an environmental compliance envelope. It is the FOD's responsibility to maintain trained and qualified DEPs and Waste Management Coordinators on staff under their authority.

#### 4.1.3.2 Permitted Industrial Activity Facility Owner/Operator

The permitted industrial activity facility owner/operator is the organization or individual(s) designated by management to oversee the day-to-day operation and maintenance of each regulated facility and its associated stormwater control measures (SCMs) and outfalls. The designated owner/operator may be the FOD, Facility Operations Manager, Maintenance Manager, or Group Leader responsible for the buildings, facilities, and areas where the SCMs and outfalls are located. The MSGP Program interfaces with the owners/operators to assist in determining appropriate maintenance, corrective actions, inspections, site walks, and monitoring.

#### 4.1.3.3 Deployed Environmental Professional

DEPs are embedded within FODs as assigned by the Deployed Environment Professionals Team Leader. DEPs provide daily environmental oversight, guidance, and support to the FOD and each designated permitted industrial activity facility owner/operator. The MSGP Program interfaces with DEPs regularly to coordinate outfall surveys, inspections, site walks, and monitoring. The DEPs perform the following MSGP activities.

- Act as a liaison between the permitted industrial activity operating facilities, the FOD, and EPC-CP.
- Write and update the facility specific MSGP SWPPP.
- Conduct Routine Facility Inspections.
- Document, update, and coordinate correction of identified conditions requiring corrective actions.
- Identify personnel within industrial operating facilities requiring training.
- Update MSGP facility-specific training and present the training annually.

#### 4.1.3.4 Sample Management Office (SMO)

The EPC-CP SMO is the central point for all analytical laboratory selection, evaluations, sample submittals, and data returns. The SMO performs the following activities.

• Evaluates potential analytical laboratories, prepares analytical statements of work that include requirements, and arrange contracts with selected laboratories for analysis of all samples.

- Accepts samples from sample collection personnel, prepares the sample for shipment, ships the samples to the off-site analytical laboratories, and receives the data packages from the laboratories.
- Analytical data is received from analytical laboratories in electronic format and uploaded into a database. Received data is checked for completeness and adherence to contract requirements. After uploading, data undergoes verification and validation for evidence of laboratory contamination, improper analytical method, and other analytical issues, which could potentially affect data quality.
- Field data collected by sample collection personnel is verified and is entered into EIM by SMO personnel when sample collection personnel deliver samples to the SMO.
- If significant verification and validation issues are identified, results are forwarded to, and discussed with, the responsible program lead.
- Data issues that result from procedural failures, personnel errors, or other failures to follow requirements are documented and corrected according to P322-4, *Issues Management*.

#### 4.1.4 External Interfaces

#### 4.1.4.1 Environmental Protection Agency

EPA Region 6 authorizes coverage under the MSGP in the State of New Mexico. The MSGP Program interfaces with the EPA, as needed, to submit public comment on draft permits, submit permit required reports, plans and other documentation, and to ensure compliance with the NPDES MSGP.

#### 4.1.4.2 New Mexico Environmental Department

The New Mexico Environmental Department (NMED) Surface Water Quality Bureau assists the EPA with compliance evaluations, monitoring and Section 401, Clean Water Act certification through a joint federal and state agreement. Section 401 requires all federally issued permits to be certified by the state in which the discharge occurs and requires effluent limitations, other limitations and monitoring requirements set forth in the permit adhere to state water quality standards. The MSGP Program interfaces with the NMED as needed to ensure compliance with the Permit.

#### 4.1.4.3 National Nuclear Safety Administration/Los Alamos Field Office

The National Nuclear Safety Administration (NNSA)/Los Alamos Field Office is the LANL facility owner and is responsible for providing oversight of LANL operations. It is the responsibility of the Los Alamos Field Office to ensure that LANL operates in compliance with all state and federal regulations. The MSGP Program interfaces with the Los Alamos Field Office as needed to ensure compliance with the Permit.

#### 4.1.4.4 Analytical Laboratory Contractors

An independent off-site analytical laboratory performs analytical services for the MSGP Program. The analytical laboratory is required to participate in the DOE Consolidated Audit Program, maintain

NPDES Multi-Sector General	No: EPC-CP-PIP-2101	Page 13 of 34
Permit	Revision: 2	Effective Date: 04/26/2023

positive control of samples, perform analyses for samples received, and report sample results as specified in statements of work and internal procedures. The STR and SMO personnel interface with the off-site analytical laboratory. Interface between MSGP Program personnel and the analytical laboratory is conducted with STR and SMO oversight, as needed, to ensure that samples are handled correctly and that analytical results are received per the contract requirements.

## 4.2 Criterion 2 – Management/Personnel Training and Qualification

The Stormwater Permitting/Compliance Team Leader shall determine skills, knowledge, and abilities required to perform MSGP Program work. Program personnel will be qualified and trained in accordance with P781-1, *Conduct of Training*. All personnel performing program related work are required to obtain appropriate training before preforming work governed by a procedure. The Stormwater Permitting/Compliance Team Leader assigns minimum training requirements using a training plan which will be assigned and tracked using the training management system, UTrain. The Triad Human Resources Division maintains documentation of education qualifications.

## 4.2.1 MSGP Program Training

Table 4.2.1 Management/Personnel Training		
Key Personnel/Role	Task Description	Curriculum or Course Number
Stormwater Program	Duty Area: NPDES Permit Knowledge	
Lead and MSGP	EPC-CP-PIP-2101, NPDES Multi-Sector General Permit	Course 28267
Inspectors	EPC-CP-QAP-001, EPC-CP Quality Assurance Plan	Course 44842
	Duty Area: Inspection Procedures	
	EPC-CP-QP-0903, Environmental Reporting Requirements for Releases or Events	Course 42415
	EPC-CP-TP-2102, Installing, Setting Up, and Operating ISCO Samplers	Course 55962
	EPC-CP-TP-2103, Inspecting ISCO Stormwater Runoff Samplers and Retrieving Samples	Course 56594
	EPC-CP-QP-2104, Installing, Inspecting, and Maintaining MSGP Single Stage Samplers	Non_Train 42638
	EPC-CP-QP-2105, MSGP Stormwater Visual Assessments	Course 50493
	EPC-CP-QP-2106, Processing MSGP Stormwater Samples	Course 56595
	EPC-CP-QP-2108, MSGP Routine Facility Inspections	Course 42609
	EPC-CP-QP-2109, MSGP Corrective Actions	Course 54892
	EPC-CP-QP-3020, Sample Control and Field Documentation	Course 47729

Table 4.2.1 provides a summary of training requirements for MSGP Program personnel.

NPDES Multi-Sector General	No: EPC-CP-PIP-2101	Page 14 of 34
Permit	Revision: 2	Effective Date: 04/26/2023

	EPC-CP-QP-3027, Sample Containers, Preservation, and Field Quality Control Samples	Course 48007
	Chemical Hazard Communication	Course 25997
	Excavation/Fill/Soil Disturbance Self-Study	Course 31419
	LANL Excavation/Fill/Soil Disturbance (EXID) Permit Process Using the EXID Request System	Course 47420
	IRT GIS Mapping Training	Course 46181
	Duty Area: General Field Safety	
	EPC-DO-QP-100, General Field Safety	Course 45777
	P300 Integrated Work Management	Course 48233
	P101-7 Vehicle and Pedestrian Safety	Course 57060
	Duty Area: Electrical Safety Field	
	Electrical Safety Program at LANL	Course 33215
	R&D Electrical Safety - Energized	Course 38710
	Battery Safety	Course 16745
	First Aid: Standard	Course 3574
	CPR/AED: LANL Workplace	Course 43562
Stormwater Pollution	Duty Area: NPDES Permit Knowledge	
Prevention Plan	EPC-CP-PIP-2101, NPDES Multi-Sector General Permit	Course 28267
Preparer	EPC-CP-QAP-001, EPC-CP Quality Assurance Plan	Course 44842
	Duty Area: Knowledge of applicable EPC-CP Plans and Procedures	
	EPC-CP-QP-2110, MSGP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Preparation and Maintenance	RR 48269
Storm Water Tracking	Duty Area: NPDES Permit Knowledge	
System/Discharge	EPC-CP-PIP-2101, NPDES Multi-Sector General Permit	Course 28267
Monitoring Report	EPC-CP-QAP-001, EPC-CP Quality Assurance Plan	Course 44842
Manager	Duty Area: Knowledge of applicable EPC-CP Plans and Procedures	
	EPC-CP-QP-2107, Preparing Discharge Monitoring Reports for the NPDES Multi-Sector General Permit	Course 56593

## 4.2.2 MSGP Program Qualifications

#### **General Qualifications**

- Experience in the principles and practices of industrial stormwater controls and pollution prevention.
- Two years of experience completing MSGP inspections <u>**OR**</u> one year inspection experience with a Certified Professional in Erosion and Sediment Control (CPESC) certification.
- Certified Inspector of Sediment and Erosion Control (CISEC) certification.

Page 15 of 34

#### MSGP Inspector Qualifications

- Demonstrated ability, as determined by the Program Lead and/or Stormwater Permitting and Compliance Team Leader, to successfully and effectively evaluate, and identify the following at permitted sites:
  - Appropriate and correct site stabilization measures,
  - o Appropriate SCM selection to manage erosion and sedimentation,
  - Effectiveness of stormwater control measures selected and installed to meet the requirements of the permit,
  - o Inadequate or ineffective SCMs,
  - o Required modification or maintenance of existing SCMs,
  - o Locations requiring new or additional SCMs,
  - All industrial, construction, or pollutant generating activity areas identified in the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan; and required to be evaluated by the MSGP,
  - o Conditions and activities that may impact stormwater quality at a permitted site,
  - o Potential pollutant sources, and
  - o Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan and supporting documentation.
- Demonstrated ability, as determined by the Program Lead and/or Stormwater Permitting and Compliance Team Leader, to properly and effectively complete inspection reports, including the following:
  - o Conduct inspections in a professional manner,
  - Provide recommendations for new controls, or replacement or modification of existing controls,
  - Prepare reports, describe site conditions and issues, and document conditions requiring corrective action clearly and accurately,
  - Use proper spelling and grammar and write legibly,
  - o Complete inspection form(s) accurately, and
  - Accurately enter findings into the appropriate programmatic database.

#### MSGP SWPPP Preparer Qualifications

- Demonstrated ability, as determined by the Program Lead and/or Stormwater Permitting and Compliance Team Leader to:
  - Prepare SWPPPs per LANL format and in compliance with MSGP requirements.
  - Assess conditions at an industrial facility that could impact stormwater quality.

- Identify and specify appropriate SCMs and stabilization measures.
- Assess the effectiveness of stormwater controls selected and installed to meet the requirements of the permit.
- o Perform necessary calculations to meet regulatory requirements.
- Prepare a site map.
- o Identify potential pollutant sources associated with a site.

## MSGP Stormwater Design Reviewer Qualifications

Must meet one of the following:

- Education in civil or environmental engineering.
- Experience with design reviews with a demonstrated background in stormwater management, sediment and erosion control, regulatory compliance, and experience with the LANL engineering standards and related engineering calculations.
- CPESC professional certification and experience reviewing design packages.

## 4.2.3 Certifications

MSGP personnel will, at a minimum, obtain a CISEC certification. CISEC and CPESC certifications are obtained from an entity outside of LANL. Each certification involves the successful completion of a classroom training and exam. CISEC and CPESC certifications will be recertified using the process and frequency determined by the entity the certification was obtained from (e.g., annually with completed professional development hours).

## 4.3 Criterion 3 – Management/Quality Improvement

The MSGP Program adheres to the EPC-CP-QAP-001 principles of problem prevention and continuous improvement. The MSGP Program Lead will evaluate improvement opportunities identified by trending and reporting.

## 4.3.1 Performance Reporting

Personnel involved in activities associated with the MSGP Program are encouraged to provide periodic updates, either verbal or written, to the MSGP Program Lead. The program uses these updates to determine areas that require attention and corrective actions.

## 4.3.2 Corrective Actions

Corrective actions for all EPC-CP programs and projects are initiated, tracked, corrected, and documented according to P330-6, *Nonconformance Control and Reporting*, P322-4, *Issues Management*, EPC-CP-QAP-001, *EPC-CP Quality Assurance Plan*, and Group procedures. A condition requiring corrective action that meets any of the following criteria is entered into the Issues Management Tool and will be screened as high, medium, or low.

- Corrective action was not completed by the expected completion date.
- A schedule is sent to the EPA Region 6 requesting an extension of the 45-day or 90-day timeframe to complete a corrective action and corrective action was not completed by the required completion date provided in the letter or as approved by EPA.
- All benchmark Additional Implementation Measure (AIM) level 2 or 3 exceedances.
- Repeat corrective actions or trends identified by EPC-CP personnel.
- Conditions requiring immediate action, where failure to take action, would result in pollutants being released to a water body of the State or an immediate non-compliance with the MSGP.
- Violations identified by the regulatory authority.
- Other issues as deemed necessary by EPC-CP personnel.

## 4.4 Criterion 4 – Management/Documents and Records

## 4.4.1 Document Control

Procedures, permits, NOIs, NOTs, reports, and quality affecting correspondence are controlled by the organization's document control policies and practices (P1020-2, *Laboratory Document Control*). As a Best Management Practice (BMP), EPC-CP keeps an approved hard copy of the MSGP as well as all of the reapplication materials associated with the permit.

Controlled copies of EPC documents are located on the Internet:

Electronic Document and Records Management System

Phone calls or emails are documented and controlled if the content provides direction or results in clarification of permit requirements or decisions.

# 4.4.2 Procedures

Procedures that implement the work scope identified in this PIP are developed and controlled, as needed, in accordance with EPC-CP-QAP-001, *EPC-CP Quality Assurance Plan*, ESHQSS-AP-007, *ESHQSS Document Control Procedure*, and EPC-CP-QP-0901, *EPC-CP Quality Procedure to Supplement ESHQSS-AP-007, ESHQSS Document Control Procedure*.

# 4.4.3 Electronic Media

The MSGP utilizes electronic means, as necessary, to maintain data. Databases used to hold data and generate reports used in demonstrating compliance are maintained on a common drive of a server or on a cloud-based platform. These databases are backed-up daily to minimize potential loss of data. The database administrator(s) control access to these databases, allowing only trained authorized personnel access to them.

NPDES Multi-Sector General	No: EPC-CP-PIP-2101	Page 18 of 34
Permit	Revision: 2	Effective Date: 04/26/2023

EIM (<u>https://www.locusfocus.com/eim/eim.cfm</u>) is a cloud-based database information system designed in part to support the information management needs of the Laboratory's MSGP. MSGP support includes analytical data management, stormwater discharge monitoring reporting, Geographic Information System (GIS) development, and other information management activities as needed.

## Maintenance Connection

(<u>https://www.maintenanceconnection.com/mcv18/online/mc\_login\_form.asp</u>) is a cloud-based computerized maintenance management system, or CMMS, used to manage MSGP field activities such as monitoring station installation and removal, inspections, maintenance, sample collection and retrieval, visual inspections, and information management change controls for data stored in Maintenance Connection and in the SWTS Module in EIM.

The MSGP Corrective Action Report (MSGP CAR) database (<u>https://epc.lanl.gov</u>) is a Laboratorymanaged Oracle APEX database and associated administration module that tracks corrective action data.

## 4.4.4 Records Management

Records are maintained and available for auditing in accordance with P1020-1, *Laboratory Records Management* and ESH-AP-006, *Records Management Procedure*. The Stormwater Permitting/Compliance Team generates and retains records to ensure compliance with monitoring and recordkeeping requirements as specified by the Laboratory, DOE, and the EPA. Records kept by the MSGP Program include the following:

- Copy of the MSGP
- Annual Reports
- Discharge Monitoring Reports
- Corrective Action Reports
- NOIs and NOTs
- Reports and certifications required by the MSGP
- Data used for compliance purposes
- Inspection forms
- Logbook entries and/or field forms to document inspection and monitoring activity
- Equipment and instrument calibration and maintenance records
- QA documents
- General correspondence that affects the program (e.g., phone calls, emails, and log entries that provide directions or results in decisions)
- Applicable Integrated Work Documents (IWDs)

• General MSGP compliance documents (correspondence with regulators and stakeholders, notice of change conditions, etc.)

Analytical data packages are stored in the Electronic Document and Records Management system (EDRMS) and are available for public viewing on the Intellus New Mexico website.

The DEPs, assigned to the FOD in which a permitted industrial activity facility resides, keep the following records within the facility-specific Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan.

- Reports and certifications required by the MSGP
- Routine Facility Inspection forms
- Visual Assessment forms
- Corrective Action Reports
- Discharge Monitoring Reports
- Annual Reports

All monitoring data is collected in accordance with the requirements specified in the MSGP. Triad submits monitoring results to EPA within 60 days of the end of the monitoring period, or in the case of no discharge (NODI) DMRs, within 30 days of the end of the monitoring period. The NOI or change NOIs, Annual Reports and DMRs are submitted electronically in accordance with the MSGP. These documents are submitted via EPA's electronic reporting site called the <u>Central Data Exchange</u> (CDX) website unless the permit states otherwise or unless a waiver has been granted.

Triad keeps copies of the following documentation for a period of at least 3 years from the date its coverage under the MSGP expires or is terminated.

- SWPPP (including any modifications made during the term of the MSGP)
- Additional documentation requirements as identified in Section 6.5 of the MSGP
- All reports and certifications required by the MSGP
- Monitoring data
- Records of all information used to complete the NOI.

## 4.5 Criterion 5 – Performance/Work Processes

Work that contributes to achieving the quality specifications of the MSGP deliverables is planned and documented as described in this document and implementing procedures.

Work is performed according to applicable plans and implementing procedures. The Program Lead provides first line supervision of personnel assigned to program tasks to ensure work is performed to achieve program quality specifications. Before changing a work process that affects the program quality specifications, the Program Lead ensures the same level of planning and review as used in the initial program planning steps.

NPDES Multi-Sector General	No: EPC-CP-PIP-2101	Page 20 of 34
Permit	Revision: 2	Effective Date: 04/26/2023

## 4.5.1 Work Processes

All work should be regarded as a process. Each process consists of a series of actions and is planned and carried out by qualified workers using specified work processes and equipment under administrative, technical, and environmental controls established by management to achieve an end-result. Workers are the best resource to contribute ideas for improving work processes and are involved in work process design, process evaluation, and providing the feedback necessary for improvement.

Work is planned and performed using the principles of Integrated Safety Management and is in compliance with P300, *Integrated Work Management for Work Activities*.

## 4.5.2 Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plans

SWPPP development and implementation by the permitted industrial activity facility is required for MSGP compliance (refer to Sections 6.0 and 8.0 of the MSGP for general SWPPP requirements and Sector-Specific Requirements for Industrial Activity, and Attachment 2, *MSGP Facilities Associated with Industrial Activity*). The SWPPP is intended to document the selection, design, and installation of SCMs. Additional documentation requirements are intended to document the implementation (including inspection, maintenance, monitoring, and corrective action) requirements identified in the MSGP. The SWPPP is a written assessment of potential sources of pollutants in stormwater runoff and it identifies SCMs implemented at the specific permitted industrial activity facility to minimize the discharge of pollutants in runoff from the site. These SCMs include site-specific stormwater controls, inspections, employee training, and reporting. The plans and procedures detailed in the SWPPP are implemented by the facility and updated as necessary, with a copy of the SWPPP kept on-site.

The SWPPP development process involves evaluating regulated industrial activities and requires FOD and Operational support for implementation, improvement, and revision of the plans. EPC-CP personnel follow guidance in EPC Division and Group documents including the most current revision of EPC-CP-QP-2110, *MSGP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Preparation and Maintenance*.

## 4.5.3 Inspections

The MSGP requires periodic inspection of industrial processes and maintenance of SCMs to ensure their effectiveness. Triad has implemented a routine inspection process (e.g., monthly, or quarterly) of industrial activity facilities permitted under the MSGP to support this determination. For information about how to perform a Routine Facility Inspection and how to complete the associated form, refer to the most current revision of EPC-CP-QP-2108, *MSGP Routine Facility Inspections*.

Visual assessments are also required by the MSGP as an important tool for collecting information to determine the effectiveness of controls in preventing potential contaminants from migrating off Laboratory property. Accordingly, field personnel conduct visual assessments for stormwater collected at the monitoring stations or discharged through substantially identical discharge points associated with permitted industrial activity facilities located throughout the Laboratory. Information recorded documents all observations that are required by the MSGP. For information

NPDES Multi-Sector General	No: EPC-CP-PIP-2101	Page 21 of 34
Permit	Revision: 2	Effective Date: 04/26/2023

about how to perform a Visual Assessment and how to complete the associated form, refer to the most current revision of EPC-CP-QP-2105, *MSGP Stormwater Visual Assessments*.

## 4.5.4 Stormwater Corrective Actions

It is critical that Triad be able to effectively inspect and maintain the SCMs that have been installed at various locations. Quarterly inspections are completed and provided to the Program Lead for inclusion into the records system. In addition, the Program Lead accompanies the DEPs on the last Routine Facility Inspection of the year. All identified conditions requiring corrective action are summarized in an Annual Report submitted to EPA each year. Triad management has made an investment in time and materials, in addition to a commitment to minimize potential migration of pollutants in stormwater. Report findings are evaluated, and in conjunction with facility personnel, SCMs are modified, installed, or removed as necessary. EPC-CP personnel follow guidance in EPC Division and Group level documents including EPC-CP-QP-2109, *MSGP Corrective Actions*.

# 4.5.4.1 Permit Limit Exceedances

Federal stormwater regulations implemented under the Laboratory's MSGP require corrective action to be taken when an exceedance of permit limits (i.e., numeric effluent limitations, or an AIM triggering event) occurs. The identification of a pollutant source(s) contributing to a permit limit exceedance is addressed through the creation of a condition requiring corrective action entered into the MSGP CAR database in accordance with EPC-CP-QP-2109, *MSGP Corrective Actions*. Corrective actions are typically accomplished by modifying, as appropriate, existing SCMs and SWPPPs or installing new SCMs.

When a permit limit exceedance occurs, the Storm Water Tracking System/Discharge Monitoring Report Manager assures the analytical data is reviewed and submitted on the required DMR. The Program Lead enters exceedances in the MSGP CAR database. DEPs, and other SWPPP team members then investigate the occurrence, implement corrective action and document all corrective actions taken.

Impaired waters constituents and indicator parameters are documented on DMRs as report only.

## 4.5.5 Stormwater Monitoring

The MSGP requires stormwater monitoring to address four separate criteria: quarterly benchmark, indicator parameters, numeric effluent limitations, and impaired waters. Refer to Attachment 2, *MSGP Facilities Associated with Industrial Activity* for a list of Laboratory permitted facilities that have monitoring requirements. Stormwater monitoring is conducted by EPC-CP personnel in accordance with the MSGP, EPC-CP procedures, and the current year MSGP Sampling and Analysis Plan. Considerations to be used for MSGP stormwater monitoring include, but may not be limited to, MSGP requirements, State water quality standards, and Administrative Authority requests.

Quarterly benchmark and indicator parameter monitoring is used to determine the effectiveness of stormwater controls. Four benchmark stormwater samples per year are required under the MSGP, but it is not necessary to collect them in consecutive quarters if climatic conditions preventing

NPDES Multi-Sector General	No: EPC-CP-PIP-2101	Page 22 of 34
Permit	Revision: 2	Effective Date: 04/26/2023

quarterly collection are documented (see *Adverse Weather Conditions* in Part 4.1.5 of the MSGP). Indicator parameter monitoring is conducted quarterly throughout the permit term for pH, Chemical Oxygen Demand and Total Suspended Solids. For a Sector D facility (i.e., the Asphalt Batch Plant) Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons, which are also considered indicator parameters, are monitored semi-annually in year 1 and year 4 of permit coverage. Stormwater monitoring results are used to demonstrate compliance with water quality standards and to meet the requirement to evaluate results against benchmark parameter permit limits.

Annual Impaired Waters stormwater discharge monitoring of all pollutants for which a waterbody is impaired and for which a standard analytical method exists (see 40 CFR Part 136) is required. The canyons within and surrounding the Laboratory are declared as impaired waters by NMED. Impaired waters pollutants vary from canyon to canyon and are evaluated and published biannually by NMED in the Clean Water Act §303(d)/305(b) Integrated Report. The pollutants may be discontinued in subsequent annual monitoring if the concentration is below background levels in stormwater or if the constituent is not detected in year 1 or year 4 of the permit.

Effluent limitations monitoring is required annually where effluent limitation guidelines have been established for select regulated activities. Exceedance of an effluent limitation is a permit violation.

MSGP analytical methods applicable to LANL are consistent with the requirements of 40 CFR Part 136, *Guidelines Establishing Test Procedures for the Analysis of Pollutants.* 

Triad monitors for four quarters as follows for each calendar year.

- January 1-March 31
- April 1-June 30
- July 1-September 30
- October 1-December 31

Documentation of the rationale for no monitoring or inspections due to adverse weather conditions must be included in the facility specific SWPPP. Adverse weather conditions are those that are dangerous or create inaccessibility for personnel, such as local flooding, high winds, or electrical storms, or situations that otherwise make sampling impractical, such as drought or extended frozen conditions.

Compliance is tracked by performing inspections of samplers and other associated equipment and inspecting SCMs. Adequate records are maintained to demonstrate the operating history of essential instrumentation and equipment.

Triad operates and maintains systems of monitoring, control, and related equipment that are installed or used to achieve compliance with the MSGP and the SWPPP. Backup instrumentation and equipment will be timely deployed in the event of equipment failure.

Instrument calibration is essential for documenting the quality of data obtained with the instrument. Technical work that depends upon the accuracy of data is performed using equipment for which the calibration status and limits of accuracy are known and controlled.

NPDES Multi-Sector General	No: EPC-CP-PIP-2101	Page 23 of 34
Permit	Revision: 2	Effective Date: 04/26/2023

Field team personnel calibrate and perform maintenance procedures on all monitoring and analytical field instruments to ensure accuracy of measurements and maintain appropriate records of such activities. Calibrations are documented as prescribed by procedures or manufacturer's instructions.

Any person involved in the preparation, retrieval, and analysis will maintain positive control of samples at all times until sample disposal. Chain of custody responsibilities are provided in EPC-CP-QP-3020, *Sample Control and Field Documentation* and Table 4.5.5-1. EPC-CP personnel follow guidance in EPC Division documents including the most current revision of:

- EPC-CP-TP-2102, Installing, Setting Up, and Operating ISCO Samplers,
- EPC-CP-TP-2103, Inspecting Stormwater Runoff Samplers and Retrieving Samples,
- EPC-CP-QP-2104, Installing, Inspecting, and Maintaining MSGP Single Stage Samplers, and
- EPC-CP-QP-2106, Processing MSGP Stormwater Samples.

Table 4.5.5-1. Chain of Custody	
Activity	Responsibility
Sample collection and preparation	All persons (other than analytical personnel) performing sample preparation and collection are trained to sample collection procedures and adhere to the chain of custody requirements therein.
Analysis	Analytical laboratories performing sample analysis maintain sufficient procedures to ensure positive control of samples as specified in the existing Statement of Work.
Storage/Disposal	Analytical laboratories maintain/retained samples and/or sample portions under chain of custody until reanalysis, or ultimate disposal.

Table 4.5.5-1 Chain of Custody

The EPC-CP SMO is the central point of contact for analytical laboratory selection, evaluations, sample submittal, and data return. See Section 4.1.3.4 for SMO roles and responsibilities.

## 4.5.5.1 Quality Control Samples

The planning and coordination of each sampling event and/or monitoring period may include the following quality control (QC) samples to detect potential sources of sample contamination or to track analytical laboratory performance:

• Equipment Rinsate Blank: A sample of analyte-free water that is prepared in the field using the appropriate sampling equipment with an aliquot of deionized (DI) or certified contaminant-free water that is processed using applicable field equipment in the same manner as the samples.

- Field Duplicates: Two samples taken from, and representative of, the same population and carried through all steps of the sampling and analytical procedures in an identical manner. Duplicate samples are used to assess variance of the total method including sampling and analysis.
- **Trip Blank:** Samples of analyte-free water that are prepared in the laboratory using DI or certified contaminant-free water and preserved as required. Trip blanks are used for volatile organic compound (VOC) samples only. Trip blanks are transported, unopened, to the field with other sample containers, handled like environmental samples and shipped to the analytical laboratory for analysis with the collected samples. VOC samples are not a requirement of the MSGP.
- **Field Blank:** A sample of analyte-free water that is prepared in the field using a clean sample container.

The MSGP Program Lead shall consider and include, at a minimum, the collection of QC samples at the frequencies identified in Table 4.5.5.1-1.

Table 4.5.5.1-1. Quality Control Sampling Requirements		
Sample Type Analysis Frequency		
Field Blank and/or Field Duplicate	Includes all analytical groups	10% of samples or a minimum of
	includes an analytical groups	one per calendar year.

All QC samples shall be collected in accordance with procedures provided in EPC-CP-QP-3027, *Sample Containers, Preservation, and Field Quality Control Samples.* 

# 4.5.6 Reporting

# 4.5.6.1 Discharge Monitoring Reports

DMRs are prepared in accordance with the most recent version of the procedure for generating DMRs using the DMR module in EIM. The DMR module is used to prepare the DMR in two formats: a paper form (EPA Form 3320-1) which may be printed as a hard copy or saved as a PDF, and an electronic comma-separated value file for import into the NetDMR electronic reporting system. The Laboratory is required to submit DMRs to EPA electronically using the NetDMR system and to keep a printed copy with the facility specific SWPPP.

DMRs are due in the NetDMR system no later than 60 days following each monitoring period. NetDMR is accessed via EPA's CDX website (<u>https://cdx.epa.gov/</u>). The DMR manager may import DMRs into NetDMR; however, only a designated EPC Signatory Official or Authorized Representative may submit the DMRs for NPDES Permits. NetDMR roles and permissions for these functions are described on the EPA NetDMR Streamlined Registration (<u>https://npdes-ereporting.epa.gov/netnetdmr</u>/).

## 4.5.6.2 Annual Reports

The Laboratory is required to submit an annual report electronically to the EPA by January 30<sup>th</sup> for each year of permit coverage that includes a summary of the findings from inspections and corrective action documentation. The documentation includes the following:

- Permit information,
- Facility information,
- A summary of the past year's routine facility inspection documentation (see Part 3.1.6 of the MSGP),
- A summary of your past years quarterly visual assessment documentation (see Part 3.2.3 of the MSGP),
- A summary of the corrective action and/or AIM documentation over the past year (see Parts 5.1.3 and 5.3 of the MSGP), and
- Certification information.

The annual report is submitted electronically via the NetMSGP program service on EPA's CDX website. The annual report may be submitted on a paper form (EPA Form 6100-28) if the Laboratory has been granted a waiver from electronic reporting by the applicable EPA Regional Office.

## 4.6 Criterion 6 – Performance/Design

Design activities are conducted and reviewed in accordance with:

- PD340, Conduct of Engineering and Configuration Management for Facility Work
- P341, Facility Engineering Processes Manual
- P342, Engineering Standards

Design standards under this program include, but are not limited to temporary and permanent SCMs, conditions requiring corrective action, and stormwater monitoring support.

Design inputs are specified and approved on a timely basis for making design decisions. Inputs contain the level of detail required to permit the performance of design activities correctly.

Formal design reviews, including design verifications and evaluation of design changes, are conducted to ensure that the design input is correctly incorporated into the design output. Changes to design will undergo the same review as the original design. A Professional Engineer must stamp engineered designs.

Verification and validation of the adequacy of designs are conducted before relying on the performance of the design function. Verification and validation are conducted in accordance with implementing procedures.

Page 26 of 34

## 4.7 Criterion 7 – Performance/Procurement

Items and services required to perform the scope for the MSGP Program are commercial grade in nature and no special procurement requirements or needs are necessary. All procurements of equipment, supplies, and/or services are made in accordance with P840-1, *Quality Assurance for Procurements*.

# 4.8 Criterion 8 – Performance/Inspection and Acceptance Testing

Materials and services used in this program will be inspected and/or tested prior to acceptance in accordance with P330-8, *Inspection and Test* as applicable. Most supplies used during performance of program activities are commercial grade in nature and require no special acceptance practices or procedures.

## 4.9 Criterion 9 – Assessment/Management Assessment

The EPC-CP Group Leader conducts management assessments and/or MOV assessments of the MSGP Program in accordance with P328-3, *Management Assessment* and *P328-4, Management Observation and Verification*. Assessments are documented and filed as records in accordance with ESH-AP-006, *Records Management Procedure*. Violations of requirements and/or findings from management assessments and/or MOVs initiate a nonconformance report in accordance with P330-6 *Nonconformance Control and Reporting*. Corrective actions to resolve the nonconforming services or processes are tracked and documented in accordance with P322-4, *Issues Management*.

# 4.10 Criterion 10 – Assessment/Independent Assessment

Independent assessments are those assessments conducted by organizations external to EPC-CP. As required by the SD330, *Los Alamos National Laboratory Quality Assurance Program*, this program may be assessed by outside organizations in accordance with P328-2, *Independent Assessment*.

Annual audits/assessments will be conducted, with input from the Stormwater Permitting/Compliance Team Leader identifying one or more areas of the program to be audited each year. If a violation of requirements is found during an independent audit/assessment, a nonconformance report is initiated in accordance with P330-6, *Nonconformance Control and Reporting*. Corrective actions are tracked and documented in accordance with P322-4, *Issues Management*.

# 4.11 Suspect/Counterfeit Items Prevention

Suspect/Counterfeit items (S/CI) are prevented from being purchased by Triad at LANL. Potential S/CI are prevented, detected, reported and investigated in accordance with the procedures defined in the LANL procedure P330-9, *Suspect/Counterfeit Items (S/CI)*.

## 4.12 Safety Software Quality Assurance Requirements for Nuclear Facilities

This section is only applicable for nuclear facilities in accordance with DOE Order 414.1D, Chg.2, Attachment 1 *Contractor Requirements Document* (CRD), Section 1.b. As such, this section is not applicable to the NPDES MSGP Program.

## 5.0 IMPLEMENTATION

The requirements of this document are effective on the date provided on the cover page.

## 6.0 TRAINING

Training for EPC-CP MSGP employees, DEPs, and subcontractors is assigned and tracked using UTrain, the institutional training management system. The required training associated with this document is as follows.

• Self-study of this procedure (required reading) is required for all MSGP Program employees, including subcontractors, and some DEPs depending on their assigned job duties.

## 7.0 DOCUMENTS AND RECORDS

EPC-CP is the Office of Record for this document that must be maintained in accordance with PD1020, *Document Control and Records Management;* ESHQSS-AP-007, *ESHQSS Document Control Procedure;* P1020-1, *Laboratory Records Management,* and ESH-AP-006, *Records Management Procedure.* 

## 8.0 DEFINITIONS AND ACRONYMS

Use the LANL Definition of Terms and those in SD330.

AIM	Additional Implementation Measure
BMP	Best Management Practice
CDX	Central Data Exchange
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CISEC	Certified Inspector of Sediment and Erosion Control
CPESC	Certified Professional in Erosion and Sediment Control
CRD	Contractor Requirements Document
DEP	Deployed Environmental Professional
DI	Deionized
DMR	Discharge Monitoring Report
DOE	Department of Energy
EIM	Environmental Information Management
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency

Use the LANL Acronym Master List.

NPDES Multi-Sector General	No: EPC-CP-PIP-2101	Page 28 of 34	
Permit	Revision: 2	Effective Date: 04/26/2023	

EPC-CP	Environmental Protection and Compliance-Compliance Programs	
FOD	Facility Operations Director	
LANL or Laboratory	Los Alamos National Laboratory	
LLV	List of Limited Value	
MOV	Management Observation and Verification	
MSGP	Multi-Sector General Program	
NeT	NPDES eReporting Tool	
NMAC	New Mexico Administrative Code	
NMED	New Mexico Environmental Department	
NNSA	National Nuclear Safety Administration	
NOI	Notice of Intent	
NOT	Notice of Termination	
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System	
PIP	Program Implementation Plan	
QA	Quality Assurance	
QC	Quality Control	
S/CI	Suspect/Counterfeit Items	
SCM	Stormwater Control Measure	
SMO	Sample Management Office	
STR	Subcontract Technical Representative	
SWPPP	Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan	
SWTS	Storm Water Tracking System Module	
VOC	Volatile organic compound	

#### 9.0 REFERENCES

The latest document revision, available through Triad's Electronic Document and Records Management System, shall be used unless otherwise specified.

Prime Contract

DOE Order 414.1D, Chg. 2, Quality Assurance

NPDES MSGP

40 CFR Part 136, Guidelines Establishing Test Procedures for the Analysis of Pollutants

Clean Water Act, Title 33 U.S.C. 1251

20.6 Part 4 NMAC, Standards for Interstate and Intrastate Surface Waters

## LANL Documents:

SD330, Los Alamos National Laboratory Quality Assurance Program

- P101-17, Excavation/Fill/Soil Disturbance
- P300, Integrated Work Management
- P322-4, Issues Management
- P328-2, Independent Assessment
- P328-3, Management Assessment
- P328-4, Management Observation and Verification
- P330-2, Control and Calibration of Measuring and Test Equipment (M&TE)
- P330-6, Nonconformance Control and Reporting
- P330-8, Inspection and Test
- P330-9, Suspect/Counterfeit Items (S/CI)
- P340, Conduct of Engineering and Configuration Management for Facility Work
- P341, Facility Engineering Processes Manual
- P342, Engineering Standards
- EPC-ES-FSD-001, Implementing Environmental Requirements
- EPC-CP-FSD-001, Water Quality
- P781-1 Conduct of Training
- P840-1, Quality Assurance for Procurements
- P1040, Software Quality Management
- PD1020, Document Control and Records Management
- P1020-1, Laboratory Records Management

# EPC Documents:

- ESH-AP-006, Records Management Procedure
- ESHQSS-AP-007, ESHQSS Document Control Procedure
- EPC-DO-QP-100, General Field Safety
- EPC-CP-QAP-001, EPC-CP Quality Assurance Plan
- EPC-CP-QP-0901, EPC-CP Quality Procedure to Supplement ESHQSS-AP-007, ESHQSS Document Control Procedure
- EPC-DO-TP-120, Project Review Process
- EPC-CP-QP-2109, MSGP Corrective Actions

NPDES Multi-Sector General	No: EPC-CP-PIP-2101	Page 30 of 34
Permit	Revision: 2	Effective Date: 04/26/2023

EPC-CP-QP-2104, Installing, Inspecting, and Maintaining MSGP Single Stage Samplers

EPC-CP-QP-2105, MSGP Stormwater Visual Assessments

EPC-CP-QP-2106, Processing MSGP Stormwater Samples

EPC-CP-QP-2107, Preparing Discharge Monitoring Reports for the NPDES Multi-Sector General Permit

EPC-CP-QP-2108, MSGP Routine Facility Inspections

EPC-CP-QP-2110, MSGP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Preparation and Maintenance

EPC-CP-TP-2102, Installing, Setting Up, and Operating ISCO Samplers

EPC-CP-TP-2103, Inspecting Stormwater Runoff Samplers and Retrieving Samples

## **10.0 APPENDICES**

None.

## 11.0 ATTACHMENTS

Attachment 1: Summary of QA Requirements and Program-Level (Local) Work Practices

Attachment 2: MSGP Facilities Associated with Industrial Activity

## 12.0 CONTACT INFORMATION

Entity: EPC-CP Stormwater Permitting/Compliance Team Leader Name: Terrill W. Lemke Telephone: (505) 665-2397 E-mail: tlemke@lanl.gov

NPDES Multi-Sector General	No: EPC-CP-PIP-2101	Page 31 of 34
Permit	Revision: 2	Effective Date: 04/26/2023

# Attachment 1: Summary of QA Requirements and Program-Level (Local) Work Practices

Summary of QA Requirements and Program-Level (Local) Work Practices				
DOE Order 414.1D/SD 330 Requirements	LANL Work Practice	Local Implementing Procedure or QAP section (if applicable)		
CRD Attach. 2, 1. Criterion 1 – Management/Program	LANL organization chart SD100, Integrated Safety Management System Description PD100, DOE/NNSA Approved Los Alamos National Laboratory 10 CFR 851, Worker Safety and Health Program	EPC-CP organization chart EPC-DO-QP-100 EPC-CP-IWD-2102		
CRD Attach. 2, 2. Criterion 2 – Management/Personnel Training and Qualification	PD781, Training Program Management P1040, Software Quality Management P781-1, Conduct of Training	EPC-CP-QAP-001		
CRD Attach. 2, 3. Criterion 3 – Management/Quality Improvement	P101-18, Procedure for Pause/Stop Work PD322-4, Issues Management PD324, LANL Metrics Program P330-6, Nonconformance Control and Reporting	EPC-CP-QAP-001		
CRD Attach. 2, 4. Criterion 4 – Management/Document and Records	PD1020, Document Control and Records Management P1020-1, Laboratory Records Management P1020-2, Laboratory Document Control	EPC-CP-QAP-001 ESH-AP-006 ESHQSS-AP-007 EPC-CP-QP-0901		
CRD Attach. 2, 5. Criterion 5 – Performance/Work Processes	<ul> <li>SD100, Integrated Safety Management</li> <li>System Description Document with</li> <li>embedded 10 CFR 851 Worker Safety and</li> <li>Health Program</li> <li>PD100, DOE/NNSA Approved Los Alamos</li> <li>National Laboratory</li> <li>10 CFR 851 Worker Safety and Health</li> <li>Program Description</li> <li>P151-1, LANL Packaging and Transportation</li> <li>Program Procedure</li> <li>PD311, Requirements System and Hierarchy</li> <li>SD330, Los Alamos National Laboratory</li> <li>Quality Assurance Program</li> <li>PD340, Conduct of Engineering for Facility</li> <li>Work;</li> </ul>	EPC-CP-PIP-2101, NPDES Multi-Sector General Permit Program Implementation Plan EPC-CP-TP-2102, Installing, Setting Up, and Operating ISCO Samplers EPC-CP-TP-2103, Inspecting ISCO Stormwater Runoff Samplers and Retrieving Samples EPC-CP-QP-2104, Installing, Inspecting, and Maintaining MSGP Single Stage Samplers		

Page 32 of 34

Summary of QA Requirements and Program-Level (Local) Work Practices			
DOE Order 414.1D / SD 330 Requirements	LANL Work Practice	Local Implementing Procedure or QAP section (if applicable)	
	<ul> <li>P315, Conduct of Operations Manual</li> <li>P330-2, Control and Calibration of</li> <li>Measuring and Test Equipment (M&amp;TE)</li> <li>SD601, Conduct of Research and</li> <li>Development</li> <li>PD781, Training Program Management</li> <li>P1040, Software Quality Management</li> </ul>	EPC-CP-QP-2105, MSGP Stormwater Visual Assessments EPC-CP-QP-2106, Processing MSGP Stormwater Samples EPC-CP-QP-2107, Preparing Discharge Monitoring Reports for the NPDES Multi- Sector General Permit EPC-CP-QP-2108, MSGP Routine Facility Inspections EPC-CP-QP-2109, MSGP Corrective Actions EPC-CP-QP-2110, MSGP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Preparation and Maintenance	
CRD Attach. 2, 6. Criterion 6 – Performance/Design	For Facility Work:PD340, Conduct of Engineering and Configuration Management for Facility WorkP341, Facility Engineering Processes ManualP342, Engineering Standards; Engineering Standards Manual; Functional Series documents; Engineering Administrative ProceduresFor R&D: PD370, Conduct of Engineering for Research and Development (R&D)	No local implementing procedures, LANL Work Practices apply.	
CRD Attach. 2, 7. Criterion 7 – Performance/Procurement	P840-1, Quality Assurance for Procurements <sup>1</sup>	No local implementing procedures, LANL Work Practices apply.	
CRD Attach. 2, 8. Criterion 8 – Performance/Inspection and Acceptance Testing	P330-8, Inspection and Test <sup>3</sup> P330-2, Control and Calibration of Measuring and Test Equipment (M&TE)	No local implementing procedures, LANL Work Practices apply.	

Page 33 of 34

DOE Order 414.1D / SD 330 Requirements	LANL Work Practice	Local Implementing Procedure or QAP section (if applicable)
CRD Attach. 2, 9. Criterion 9 – Assessment/Management Assessment	PD328, LANL Assessment Program P328-3, Management Assessment P328-4, Management Observation and Verification	EPC-CP-QAP-001
CRD Attach. 2, 10. Criterion 10 – Assessment/Independent Assessment	PD328, LANL Assessment Program P328-2, Independent Assessment P328-4, Management Observation and Verification	No local implementing procedures, LANL Work Practices apply.
CRD Attach. 3, Suspect/Counterfeit Items Prevention	P330-9, Suspect/Counterfeit Items (S/CI) <sup>1</sup>	No local implementing procedures, LANL Work Practices apply.
CRD Attach. 4, Safety Software Quality Assurance Requirements for Nuclear Facilities <sup>2</sup>	P1040, Software Quality Management <sup>2</sup> Form 2033, Safety Non-Safety Software Determination, Categorization, and Software Risk Level	No local implementing procedures, LANL Work Practices apply.

<sup>1</sup> S/CI prevention is also integrated into other listed work processes. Application of the S/CI oversight and prevention process is commensurate with the facility/activity hazards and mission impact. The extent of applicability of S/CI prevention for ML-4 items is as described in P840-1, *Quality Assurance for Procurements*, and P330-9, *Suspect/Counterfeit Items (S/CI)*.

<sup>2</sup> DOE Order 414.1D, Chg 1, *Quality Assurance*, Attachment 1 requires that all software meet the applicable quality assurance requirements in Attachment 2 of DOE Order 414.1D, Chg 1, using a graded approach. LANL uses risk levels to grade safety software and risk significant non-safety software. See P1040, Software Quality Management, for additional detail.

<sup>3</sup> For ML-4 items and activities, inspections and tests are performed to extent required by the applicable codes and/or standards.

<sup>4</sup> Core work practices applicable to R&D are described in SD601, *Conduct of Research and Development*.

NPDES Multi-Sector General	No: EPC-CP-PIP-2101	Page 34 of 34	
Permit	Revision: 2	Effective Date: 04/26/2023	

# Attachment 2: MSGP Facilities Associated with Industrial Activities

(Page 1 of 1)

MSGP Facilities Associated with Industrial Activities						
Location	Permitted Facility	Operation	Activity	Sector	Assessment Unit	Canyon
TA-3- 0038	TA-3-0038 Metals Fab Shop	Metal Shop	Fabricated metal products	AA1	NM-9000.A_047	Sandia
TA-09- 0214	TA-09-0214 Metal Fabrication Shop	Metal Shop	Fabricated metal products	AA1	NM-128.A_16	Arroyo de la Delfe
TA-16	Stockpile Area	Materials Storage	Materials storage	P1	NM-128.A_01	Canyon de Valle
TA-60	TA-60 Asphalt Batch Plant	Asphalt Batch Plant	Asphalt paving	D1	NM-9000.A_042	Mortandad
TA-60	TA-60 MRF	Materials Recycling Facility	Scrap recycling	N2	NM-9000.A_047	Sandia
TA-60	TA-60 Roads and Grounds	Roads and Grounds Facility	Vehicle maintenance and storage	P1	NM-9000.A_042 NM-9000.A_047	Mortandad Sandia
TA-60- 0001	TA-60-0001 Heavy Equipment Yard	Motor Pool and Metal Shop	Vehicle maintenance and fabricated metal products	P1 and AA1	NM-9000.A_047	Sandia
TA-60- 0002	TA-60-0002 Warehouse	Warehousing	Vehicle fueling	P1	NM-9000.A_047	Sandia

ATTACHMENT 16: EPC-CP-QP-2108, MSGP ROUTINE FACILITY INSPECTIONS

EPC-CP-QP-2108		Revision: <b>1</b>		Los Alamos	
Effective Date: 11/21/2023		Next Review Da	ate: 11/21/2026	NATIONAL LABORATORY	
Environmen	t, Safety, Hea	lth, and Qu	uality Directorate		
Environmen	t Protection a	and Compli	ance – Compliance	Programs Group	
Quality Proc	cedure				
	MSGP	Routine	Facility Inspect	ions	
Hazard Grading: Usage Level:					
Status: Safety Basis:	Review w/No C	Major Revision       Minor Revision         hanges       Other:         USQ       USI Number:			
	Do	cument Autho	r/Subject Matter Expert:		
Name:Organization:Signature:Holly L. WheelerEPC-CPSignature on File		Date: 11-06-2023			
	Derivative	Classifier: 🔀	Unclassified or		
Name:		Organization:	Signature:	Date:	
Steven E. Wolfel		EPC-CP	Signature on File	11-06-2023	
		Approv	val Signatures:		
EPC-CP Reviewer:		Organization:	Signature:	Date:	
Alethea Banar		EPC-CP	Signature on File	11-06-2023	
		Organization:	Signature:	Date:	
Terrill W. Lemke, Team Leader EPC-		EPC-CP	Signature on File	11-20-2023	
		Organization:	Signature:	Date:	
Sarah Holcomb, Acting Group Leader EPC-CP		EPC-CP	Signature on File	11-21-2023	
This copy is uncontrolled. Users are responsible for ensuring they work to the latest approved version. To document a required read, Login to <u>UTrain</u> , and go to the Advanced Search.					

MSGP Routine Facility Inspections	No: EPC-CP-QP-2108	Page 2 of 19
	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 11/21/2023

## **REVISION HISTORY**

<b>Document Number and Revision</b> [Include revision number, beginning with Revision 0]	Effective Date [Document Control Coordinator inserts effective date]	<b>Description of Changes</b> [List specific changes made since the previous revision]
EPC-CP-QP-023 R0	05/17/2018	New Document. Process formerly part of procedure ENV-RCRA-QP-022 R2, <i>MSGP Corrective Actions</i> .
EPC-CP-QP-023 R1	03/07/2019	Added question to inspection form, associated text to document, and renumbered steps. Removed reference to Los Alamos National Security, LLC. Added reference to LANL BMP Manual. Minor edits made.
EPC-CP-QP-2108, R0	07/09/2020	Supersedes EPC-CP-QP-023 R1. Reformat to new EPC-CP template, re-number procedure and forms to new EPC-CP procedure numbering system, and other edits.
EPC-CP-QP-2108 R1	11/21/2023	This document supersedes EPC-CP-QP-2108 R0. Reviewed and revised to the new 2021 MSGP language and requirements throughout procedure. Updated Attachment 1 w/ screen shots from ECMS software platform. Revised all Sections to match new inspection form and changed all references from Maintenance Connection to ECMS. Removed Section 2.5 DESH Manager (roles and responsibilities), removed Section 3.2 Limitations, and updated EPC-CP-QP-022 to EPC-CP-QP-2109.

MSCD Pouting Eacility Increations	No: EPC-CP-QP-2108	Page 3 of 19
MSGP Routine Facility Inspections	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 11/21/2023

# **Table of Contents**

Revis	ion Hi	story	.2
1.0	Intro	duction	.4
	1.1	Purpose	.4
	1.2	Scope	.4
	1.3	Applicability	.4
2.0	Roles	and Responsibilities	.4
	2.1	EPC-CP MSGP Stormwater Permitting and Compliance Team	.5
	2.2	Deployed Environmental Professionals	.5
	2.3	EPC-CP Stormwater Permitting and Compliance Team Leader	.6
	2.4	EPC-CP Group Leader	.6
3.0	Preca	autions and Limitations	.6
4.0	Prere	equisite Actions	.7
	4.1	Planning and Coordination	.7
	4.2	Special Tools, Equipment, Parts, and Supplies	.7
5.0	MSG	P Routine Facility Inspections	.8
	5.1	Conducting the Inspection	.9
	5.2	Completing the Inspection Form	11
	5.3	Completing the Certification Statement	11
6.0	Train	ing	12
7.0	Reco	rds	13
8.0	Definitions and Acronyms1		13
	8.1	Definitions	13
	8.2	Acronyms	13
9.0	Refe	rences	14
10.0	Attac	hments	14
	Attac	hment 1: MSGP Routine Facility Inspection in ECMS	15

MSGD Pouting Eacility Increations	No: EPC-CP-QP-2108	Page 4 of 19
MSGP Routine Facility Inspections	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 11/21/2023

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

The National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Multi-Sector General Permit (MSGP), also referred to as the permit, contains specific environmental requirements for inspecting areas of Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) covered by the permit. This includes areas where industrial materials or activities are exposed to stormwater, areas identified as potential pollutant sources, areas where leaks and spills have occurred in the past three years, discharge points, and control measures used to comply with the effluent limits of the MSGP.

LANL inspectors and facility personnel are required to perform routine facility inspections for industrial stormwater discharge on LANL areas covered by the MSGP at least quarterly and document observations. Conditions (as described by the MSGP) found during an inspection, requiring a corrective action(s), are managed through EPC-CP-QP-2109, *MSGP Corrective Actions*.

## 1.1 Purpose

Part 3.1 of the MSGP contains specific requirements for conducting and documenting periodic industrial routine facility inspections. This procedure governs the activities of personnel involved in conducting industrial routine facility inspections. It also contains information and specific steps to be used for identifying and documenting conditions to meet the permit requirements.

## 1.2 Scope

Requirements set forth in this document apply to personnel responsible for meeting the permit conditions on behalf of Triad National Security LLC (Triad), the management and operating contractor of LANL industrial facilities covered by the MSGP. The MSGP requires periodic inspection of facilities and identification, documentation, and reporting of conditions, including those requiring corrective actions.

Inspections conducted under this procedure are documented using the Environmental Compliance Management System (ECMS) web application on a mobile phone, tablet, notebook, or desktop computer.

# 1.3 Applicability

This procedure applies to Environmental Protection and Compliance–Compliance Programs (EPC-CP) technical staff, Deployed Environmental Professionals (DEPs), and other LANL staff who conduct inspections and monitoring activities at MSGP regulated LANL facilities managed and operated by Triad.

## 2.0 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

Specific roles and responsibilities for implementation of requirements contained in this procedure are provided below.

MSCD Bouting Escility Inspections	No: EPC-CP-QP-2108	Page 5 of 19
MSGP Routine Facility Inspections	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 11/21/2023

#### 2.1 EPC-CP MSGP Stormwater Permitting and Compliance Team

EPC-CP MSGP Stormwater Permitting and Compliance personnel are fully knowledgeable of the specific regulatory requirements identified in the MSGP and are responsible for the following:

- Implementing this procedure,
- Performing routine facility inspections, the last month or quarter of the year at regulated sites [depending on inspection frequency identified in site-specific Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plans (SWPPs)],
- Performing "no exposure" site inspections once a year to ensure conditions of the "no exposure" exclusion is met,
- Performing routine facility inspections at inactive sites once a year,
- Identifying issues requiring a corrective action during any of the above inspections or assessments,
- Determining a condition of non-compliance,
- Notifying managers or legal counsel of non-compliances,
- Modifying the site-specific MSGP Routine Facility Inspection forms (e.g., add or remove Stormwater Control Measures (SCMs)),
- Training personnel to use ECMS main application and MyECMS mobile application,
- Performing a quality review of routine facility inspections and "no exposure" site inspections, and
- Assisting customers with issues associated with ECMS main application and MyECMS mobile application.

#### 2.2 Deployed Environmental Professionals

DEPs are responsible for the following:

- Implementing this procedure,
- Being knowledgeable of the requirements contained in site-specific SWPPPs within their assigned Facility Operations Directorate (FOD),
- Meeting qualification requirements identified in EPC-CP-PIP-2101, NPDES *Multi-Sector General Permit Program Implementation Plan*,
- Being trained on EPC-CP-QP-2109, MSGP Corrective Actions,
- Being familiar with industrial site and facility operations assigned to them so that they minimize sources of pollutants and pro-actively maintain controls to prevent issues that require corrective action,

- Performing routine facility inspections throughout the year at regulated sites within their FOD (depending on inspection frequency identified in site-specific SWPPPs) and documenting results clearly and accurately,
- Acting as liaison between the FOD and facility/operations personnel to ensure corrective actions are addressed appropriately by overseeing maintenance and/or installation of additional controls,
- Educating appropriate facility/operations personnel on the MSGP and site-specific SWPPPs so they successfully implement the conditions of the permit, and
- Notifying EPC-CP MSGP stormwater personnel when additional or substitute SCMs have been installed or old SCMs have been removed so the site-specific MSGP Routine Facility Inspection form can be modified.

# 2.3 EPC-CP Stormwater Permitting and Compliance Team Leader

The EPC-CP Stormwater Permitting and Compliance Team Leader is responsible for compliance oversight relative to the MSGP. The Team Leader works with the EPC-CP Group Leader to ensure adequate resources needed to implement the regulatory requirements identified in the MSGP are identified and environmental risks are assessed. The Team Leader will notify upper management of these required resources or environmental risks, as deemed necessary. In the event there is a dispute regarding the regulatory requirements contained in the MSGP, the Team Leader makes the final determination of the required action. The Team Leader notifies upper management of instances of non-compliance with the permit.

# 2.4 EPC-CP Group Leader

The EPC-CP Group Leader or designee is responsible for ensuring there are adequate resources (e.g., budget, staffing, etc.,) and that qualified staff properly gather and evaluate information submitted to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) as required by the MSGP Program. The Group Leader or designee (i.e., Team Lead) may act as the duly authorized signatory that certifies the Annual Report and MSGP Routine Facility Inspections conducted by EPC-CP personnel. The Group Leader notifies upper management of instances of non-compliance with the permit or other identified environmental risk.

# 3.0 PRECAUTIONS AND LIMITATIONS

The hazard rating for the activities described in this procedure is <u>LOW</u> and therefore, does not require an Integrated Work Document (IWD).

Personnel must wear appropriate clothing (e.g., boots, long pants, etc.) to perform work in the field.

Work may be discontinued during periods or conditions that make sites dangerous for worker safety or prevent personnel from safely accessing sites (e.g., weather-related events such as flash floods, flooding, lightning, wildfires, hail, icy roads, deep snow, or LANL operations such as firing shots or burns).

MSCD Pouting Escility Increations	No: EPC-CP-QP-2108	Page 7 of 19
MSGP Routine Facility Inspections	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 11/21/2023

If conditions prevent fieldwork, document the conditions on the inspection form. Multiple attempts can be documented on the original form. If the due date cannot be met, the field personnel must contact the Program Lead no less than 24 hours before the due date for guidance.

## 4.0 PREREQUISITE ACTIONS

#### 4.1 Planning and Coordination

- 1. Schedule work to be completed by the due date associated with the inspection form or as requested by the MSGP Program Lead if an inspection form is not assigned.
- 2. Inform (e.g., by e-mail) facility contacts (as needed) of the schedule for facility inspection work and locations up to a week (preferred) before but no later than the day before (for minor changes) to be added to the appropriate plan of the day (as necessary).
- 3. Gather the necessary equipment (see Section 4.2) for the work to be done.
- 4. Using the Chrome or Firefox web browser on a mobile phone, tablet or notebook style computer, log into the MyECMS application (http://ecms-prod.lanl.gov/MyECMS/) OR the ECMS main application (http://ecms-prod.lanl.gov/ecms/) and confirm that the inspection list displayed matches your sites. If the inspection list does not match, contact EPC-CP Data Management personnel for clarification.
- 5. Click on an inspection to open the inspection form.
- 6. Click Save to save work in progress and final work. Do not click Submit in MyECMS until all entries have been checked for completeness and accuracy.
- 7. Always log out when you have finished work OR if work is interrupted.

## 4.2 Special Tools, Equipment, Parts, and Supplies

Ensure the following equipment is available.

- Sturdy hiking boots or steel-toed shoes with soles that grip.
- Facility-specific PPE as required by IWD Part II.
- Cell phone (Cell phones are not allowed in Limited Areas or higher. See <a href="https://int.lanl.gov/policy/documents/P217.pdf">https://int.lanl.gov/policy/documents/P217.pdf</a> for requirements for using portable electronic devices on Laboratory property.)
- LANL issued tablet, notebook or desktop computer with Chrome web browser and Blackberry work applications (see <a href="https://int.lanl.gov/policy/documents/P217.pdf">https://int.lanl.gov/policy/documents/P217.pdf</a> for requirements for using portable electronic devices on Laboratory property)
- Copy of this procedure.
- Copy of facility specific map(s).
- Current inspection form(s).
- Necessary access keys.

<b>MSGP Routine Facility Inspections</b>	<b>MSGP</b>	Routine	Facility	<b>Inspections</b>
--	-------------	---------	----------	--------------------

Page 8 of 19

## 5.0 MSGP ROUTINE FACILITY INSPECTIONS

MSGP routine facility inspections are conducted by the DEP or other qualified facility personnel (as defined in the MSGP or as determined by MSGP Program Lead) during periods when the facility is in operation and during standard operating hours. Results of visual and analytical monitoring for the past year must be considered when planning and conducting an inspection. The inspections are performed on the following facility areas:

- Areas where industrial materials or activities are exposed to stormwater,
- Areas identified in the SWPPP and those that are potential pollutant sources,
- Areas where spills and leaks have occurred in the past,
- Discharge points, and
- Control measures used to comply with the effluent limits contained in the MSGP.

Routine facility inspections are conducted at least quarterly; however, most facilities conduct monthly inspections (as specified in the facility specific SWPPP). At least once each calendar year, the routine facility inspections must be conducted during a period when stormwater discharge (either rain or snow) is occurring. During the inspection, you must look for the following:

- Industrial materials, residue, or trash that may have or could come into contact with stormwater,
- Leaks or spills from industrial equipment, drums, tanks, and other containers,
- Offsite tracking of industrial or waste materials, or sediment where vehicles enter or exit the site,
- Tracking or blowing of raw, final, or waste materials from areas of "no exposure" to exposed areas,
- Control measures that need replacement, maintenance, or repair,
- Non-authorized non-stormwater discharges, and
- Erosion of soils at the site, channel and streambank erosion and scour in the immediate vicinity of discharge points.

Conditions requiring corrective action identified during an inspection, monitoring, or other means must be entered into the MSGP Corrective Action Report database by the DEP(s), EPC-CP stormwater personnel and/or other qualified facility personnel (as defined in the MSGP or as determined by MSGP Program Lead). Follow the process in EPC-CP-QP-2109, *MSGP Corrective Actions* to address issues found during an inspection.

If the industrial facility is inactive and unstaffed and there are no industrial materials or activities exposed to stormwater, routine inspections may not be required. A determination of whether a facility is inactive or unstaffed is made in coordination with stormwater personnel from EPC-CP, as there are specific documentation and certification requirements that must be met prior to

MSCD Pouting Escility Inspections	No: EPC-CP-QP-2108	Page 9 of 19
MSGP Routine Facility Inspections	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 11/21/2023

discontinuing routine inspections. Such a facility is only required to conduct an annual site inspection.

If the industrial facility is eligible for a "no exposure" exclusion, routine inspections are no longer required. A condition of "no exposure" exists when all industrial materials and activities are protected by a storm-resistant shelter (e.g., moved to an indoor location) to prevent exposure to rain, snow, snowmelt, and/or runoff. A determination of whether a facility is eligible for "no exposure" status is made in coordination with stormwater personnel from EPC-CP, as there are specific documentation and certification requirements that must be met prior to discontinuing routine inspections. Such a facility is only required to conduct an annual site evaluation and recertification every five years.

## 5.1 Conducting the Inspection

See Attachment 1 for screen shot examples of EPC-CP-QP-2108 R1 Form 1, *MSGP Routine Facility Inspection* in ECMS. The form can be filled out in the ECMS mobile application (http://ecms-prod.lanl.gov/MyECMS/) or the ECMS main application (http://ecms-prod.lanl.gov/ecms/). Work can be saved at any time by clicking the "Save" button at the top of the inspection form.

- **NOTE:** Each item number listed in red font below corresponds to a red numbered box on the screenshot examples.
  - [1] **ITEM 1**: Observe the weather at time of inspection. Document the weather and temperature (e.g., Temp. 78°F, sunny, wind less than 5mph) in the text field.
  - [2] **ITEM 2**: Observe and document the facility is free of **previously** unidentified discharges from and/or pollutants that have occurred **since the last inspection**. Describe any new discharges and the specific location in the Comment field.
  - [3] **ITEM 3**:

<u>IF</u> the response to ITEM 2 is "Yes" THEN answer this question as "N/A"

OR

IF the response to ITEM 2 is "No"

<u>THEN</u> answer this question as "Yes" and document the corrective action previously initiated for the discharge.

- [4] **ITEM 4**: Check the facility is free of discharges of pollutants at the time of inspection. Describe any pollutant discharge and the specific location in the Comment field.
- [5] **ITEM 5**: Check the facility is free of evidence of pollutants entering the drainage system OR the potential for pollutants entering the drainage system. Describe any discharge or potential discharge and the specific location in the Comment field.
- [6] **ITEM 6**: Check the outfall for each of the following:

Page 10 of 19

- Does not have any new evidence of erosion since the last inspection,
- Free of evidence of pollutants in the discharges and/or the Receiving Water,
- Free of unauthorized non-stormwater discharges.

Describe any observations in the Comment field.

- [7] **ITEM 7**: Check all flow dissipation devices are operating effectively and are not in need of repair. Describe any non-functional status of devices in the Comment field (e.g., repair berm, replace rip rap, etc.).
- [8] Repeat Steps 6 through 7 for each outfall shown on the work order if the location has more than one outfall.
- [9] ITEM 8: By comparing the MSGP site map to each SCM, click the box next to each control measure inspected. Check each control measure is operating effectively. Describe any non-operational condition of the control measure (e.g., erosion, damage, etc.,) and if the control measure needs maintenance, repair, or replacement in the Comment field.
  - [a] Determine if additional controls are necessary, or that existing controls are insufficient and require replacement with a different type of control.
  - [b] The DEPs are responsible for the selection and oversight of proper installation of appropriate control measures per guidance provided in the LANL Stormwater BMP Manual.
- [10] **ITEM 9**: Check each sector of NPDES specified industrial area/activity is inspected for exposure to stormwater (e.g., metal fabrication; foundry operations; asphalt production; material recycling; warehouse and transportation activity; treatment and storage of hazardous waste).
  - [a] Determine if the control measures associated with each industrial area/activity are appropriate for the activity, effectively controlling stormwater exposure, and operating.
  - [b] Describe any non-operational condition of the control(s) and needed maintenance or a description of corrective actions in the Comment field of the task line.
  - [c] For industrial activities that do not occur at the facility, select "N/A".

**NOTE:** Unless the facility is entirely paved, it may have dust generation or tracking issues.

[11] Repeat Step 10 for each industrial area/activity shown on the work order if the facility has more than one sector of NPDES specified industrial area/activity.

Page 11 of 19

- [12] **ITEM 10**: Check the facility is free of any incidence of non-compliance not documented elsewhere on the inspection questionnaire. Describe any additional incidences of non-compliance in the Comment field of the task line.
- [13] **ITEM 11**: Check the facility meets the MSGP requirements with existing control measures. Describe any additional control measures needed to comply with the Permit.
- [14] **ITEM 12**: Additional notes, observations, or site conditions not documented in a question field above can be documented in the "Additional information" field.
- [15] After all questions have been completed, click the "Save" button at the top of the page.

## 5.2 Completing the Inspection Form

See Attachment 1 for a screen shot example of completing EPC-CP-QP-2108 R1 Form 1 in ECMS.

- [1] Ensure the inspection form has been filled out completely.
- [2] **ITEM 13**: Click the Date Selector icon **on** the far right of the question. Select the date the **inspection was conducted** and **not** the date the form was filled out.
  - [a] <u>IF</u> work needs to be performed over multiple days, <u>THEN</u> note the date and time the work began in the Additional information field (ITEM 12).
- [3] **ITEM 14**: Document the time the inspection was conducted in the text field.
- [4] **ITEM 15**: Click the magnifying glass icon  $\bigcirc$  on the far right of the question and select the name of the inspector conducting the inspection from the list.
- [5] **ITEM 16**: Capture an electronic signature by drawing inside the dotted line box with a computer mouse on a desktop screen OR a finger on a tablet screen.
- [6] Ensure the inspection form has been filled out completely.
- [7] After all fields have been completed, make sure you have clicked the "Save" button at the top of the page.
  - [a] <u>IF</u> the inspection is filled out in the ECMS mobile application (MyECMS), <u>THEN</u> also click the Submit button at the top of the page.

# 5.3 Completing the Certification Statement

The inspection form can be certified with signatures on a paper copy OR it can be certified with electronic signatures. See Attachment 1 for a screen shot example of the Certification Statement in EPC-CP-QP-2108 R1 Form 1.

[1] Open the completed inspection in the **ECMS main application** (http://ecmsprod.lanl.gov/ecms/).

- [2] Print the complete inspection to a PDF format and save on the computer desktop.
  - [a] <u>IF</u> the certification will be signed on a paper copy, <u>THEN</u> print the PDF and proceed to Step 4.
  - [b] <u>IF</u> the certification will be signed electronically, <u>THEN</u> proceed to Step 3.
- [3] Open the document in Adobe Acrobat Pro.
  - [a] Using the Text Box icon, add a text box on the "Print name and title" line.
  - [b] Using the Signature icon, add an electronic signature box on the "Signature" line.
  - [c] Using the Date icon, add a date box on the "Date" line.
- [4] **ITEM 17**: Obtain a printed/typed name and title, signature, and date on the certification statement.

# The certification statement will be signed no more than 14 days after completion of the inspection and a copy sent to the EPC-CP Program Lead or designee.

- [a] The routine facility inspection form must be certified with a signature from a manager that meets the definition of a signatory in MSGP Permit Section
   B.11.A (e.g., FOD, Operations Manager, EPC-CP Group Leader, or EPC-CP Team Leader) or as identified as duly authorized signatories in the SWPPP.
- [b] The manager is certifying the information submitted is "true, accurate, and complete" by signing the inspection form.
- [5] Attach the completed, signed, and certified inspection form to the facility SWPPP.
- [6] Submit a copy of the completed form to the MSGP Program Lead and/or ECMS database administrator.

## 6.0 TRAINING

The following personnel require training before implementing this procedure.

- EPC-CP MSGP stormwater compliance personnel,
- DEPs,
- Other LANL personnel identified as being required to conduct routine facility inspections as part of their job duties.

All EPC-CP personnel that execute the activities specified in this procedure must meet the minimum qualification and training requirements for their position as identified EPC-CP-PIP-2101, NPDES *Multi-Sector General Permit Program Implementation Plan*. This will include "self-study" (required reading) for this procedure. Other participating LANL groups may require training documentation

MSCD Pourting Easility Inspections	No: EPC-CP-QP-2108	Page 13 of 19	
MSGP Routine Facility Inspections	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 11/21/2023	

pursuant to local procedures. All training will be assigned and tracked using the LANL training management system, UTrain.

Contract personnel that execute the activities specified in this procedure will be qualified and trained as required by the Exhibit D and Exhibit F. In addition, contract personnel will be required to complete "self-study" (required reading) of this procedure.

## 7.0 RECORDS

MSGP Routine Facility Inspections are signed and certified by individual LANL facilities. The completed forms are maintained in the facility's SWPPP and managed by the facility's document management system. Records must be maintained in accordance with <u>P1020-1</u>, *Laboratory Records Management*. The MSGP team may retain a copy for reference purposes.

Records generated as a result of implementing this procedure are identified by title and type below.

Record Title	QA Record	Non-QA Record
EPC-CP-QP-2108 R1 Form 1, MSGP Routine Facility Inspection	$\square$	

## 8.0 DEFINITIONS AND ACRONYMS

#### 8.1 Definitions

See LANL *Definition of Terms*.

**Control Measure** – Any stormwater control or other method (including narrative effluent limitations) used to prevent or reduce the discharge of pollutants to waters of the United States.

#### 8.2 Acronyms

See LANL Acronym Master List.

ВМР	Best Management Practice
ECMS	Environmental Compliance Management System
EPC-CP	Environmental Protection and Compliance – Compliance Programs
DEP	Deployed Environmental Professional
FOD	Facility Operations Director
LANL or Laboratory	Los Alamos National Laboratory
MSGP or Permit	Multi-Sector General Permit
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
SCM	Stormwater Control Measure
SWPPP	Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan

MSGP Routine Facility Inspections	No: EPC-CP-QP-2108	Page 14 of 19
	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 11/21/2023

## 9.0 REFERENCES

Unites States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Multi-Sector General Permit for Stormwater Discharges Associated With Industrial Activity (MSGP), March 1, 2021.

Federal Register, National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) 2021 Issuance of the Multi-Sector General Permit for Stormwater Discharges Associated With Industrial Activity. Federal Register: February 19, 2021, Volume 86, Number 32.

Los Alamos National Laboratory Storm Water BMP Manual

P1020-1, Laboratory Records Management

P217, Controlled Portable Electronic Devices

EPC-CP-QP-2109, MSGP Corrective Actions

EPC-CP-PIP-2101, NPDES Multi-Sector General Permit Program Implementation Plan

#### **10.0 ATTACHMENTS**

Attachment 1: Screenshot Examples of EPC-CP-QP-2108 R1 Form 1, *MSGP Routine Facility Inspection* in ECMS

MSGP Routine Facility Inspections	No: EPC-CP-QP-2108	Page 15 of 19	
	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 11/21/2023	

(Page 1 of 5)

Inspection Questions - Weather (Section 5.1, Step 1)

1	1. Describe the weather at time of inspection and document the temperature (F).

Inspection Questions - Within the Facility Boundary (Section 5.1, Steps 2-5)

-		
3. Is the facility free of pr	reviously unidentified discharges from and/or pollutants that have occurred since the last inspection	1? If No, desc
Yes		
No		
Common to		
Comment		
4. Has a CAR been previo	ously initiated for discharge identified in the previous question?	
Yes	and the second	
N/A		
No		
Comment		
5. Is the facility free of di	scharge of pollutants at the time of inspection? If No, describe.	
Yes		
165		
Ale		
No		
O No		
Comment	vidence of, or the potential for, pollutants entering the drainage system. If No, describe.	
Comment	idence of, or the potential for, pollutants entering the drainage system. If No, describe.	
Comment 6. Is the facility free of en	idence of, or the potential for, pollutants entering the drainage system. If No, describe.	
Comment	vidence of, or the potential for, pollutants entering the drainage system. If No, describe.	

MSGP Routine Facility Inspections	No: EPC-CP-QP-2108	Page 16 of 19
	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 11/21/2023

(Page 2 of 5)

Inspection Questions - Outfalls (Section 5.1, Steps 6-8)

	OUTFALL INSPECTION (identify needed maintenance and repairs, failed control measures that need replacement, or a description of corrective actions in relevant question comment).
6	<ul> <li>8. Monitored Outfall 079: Is the outfall free of evidence of erosion; free of evidence of pollutants in discharges and/or Receiving Water; AND free of any unauthorized non-stormwater discharges?</li> <li>No</li> <li>Yes</li> </ul>
	Comment
7	9. Monitored Outfall 079: Are flow dissipation devices operating effectively?
	_ N/A
	No
	Comment

Inspection Questions – Control Measures (Section 5.1, Step 9)

	<ol> <li>Select control measures inspected. (identify needed maintenance, repairs, failed control measures that need replacement, or a description of corrective action in text box below.)</li> </ol>
	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx 0900103200005
	EnviroSoxx w/ MetalLoxx 0900103200006
	Erosion Control Blanked 0900101060004
	Gravel Mulch 0900101050002
	Riprap 0900104060003
	Vegetative Buffer Strip 0900102030001
1	Comment

MSGP Routine Facility Inspections	No: EPC-CP-QP-2108	Page 17 of 19	
	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 11/21/2023	

(Page 3 of 5)

Inspection Questions – Areas/Activities Exposed to Stormwater (Section 5.1, Steps 10-11)

	AREA/ACTIVITY EXPOSED TO STORMWATER (identify needed maintenance or a description of corrective actions in relevant question comment).
	3. Material loading/unloading and storage areas: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" descri
-	Yes
	N/A
	No
(	Comment
10	14. Transfer areas for substances in bulk: controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" describe.
	Yes
	N/A
	No
(	Comment
_	
1	15. Product/chemical storage areas (raw material): controls adequate (appropriate, effective, and operating)? If "No" desc
	Yes
	N/A
	No

MSGP Routine Facility Inspections	No: EPC-CP-QP-2108	Page 18 of 19
	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 11/21/2023

(Page 4 of 5)

Inspection Questions – Facility and Additional Information (Section 5.1, Steps 12-14)

Yes				
No				
Comment				
h				
31. Are permit requi	ements satisfied with existi	ing control measure(s)? If "No	describe additional contr	rol measures ne
Yes				
No				
Comment				

MSGP Routine Facility Inspections	No: EPC-CP-QP-2108	Page 19 of 19
	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 11/21/2023

(Page 5 of 5)

Inspection Questions –Completing the inspection form (Section 5.2, Steps 2-5)

13	33. Date inspection completed.	
	mm/dd/yyyy	
14	34. Time Inspection Completed.	
15	35. Select inspector name.	
		Q
16	36. Signature/Name I confirm the information as recorded is true, accurate and complet	te.
	J.Doe Clear	

Inspection Questions - Certification Statement (Section 5.3, Step 4)

-	Certification Statement
	"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inqui of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations." (Signatory must meet definition in Section B.11.A, e.g., FOD, Ops Mgr, EPC Group or Team Leader)
	38. Print name and title:
	39. Signature:
	40. Date:

ATTACHMENT 17: EPC-CP-QP-2109, MSGP CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

EPC-CP-QP-2109		Revision: <b>0</b>		LOS Alamos		
Effective Date: 06/02/2022		Next Review Date: 06/02/2025		NATIONAL LABORATORY		
Environme	ent, Safety, Health	, and Qualit	y, Safeguards and	Security Directorate		
Environme	ntal Protection a	nd Complian	ice Division – Con	pliance Programs		
Quality Procedure						
MSGP Corrective Actions						
Hazard Grading:	🛛 Low	Moderate	High/Complex			
Usage Level:	Reference			ctions:		
Status:	New	🖂 Major Revisio				
	Review w/No Changes		Other:			
Safety Basis:	🖂 N/A	🗌 usq				
Document Owner/Subject Matter Expert:						
Name:		Organization:	Signature:	Date:		
Holly L. Wheeler		EPC-CP	Signature on File	05-05-2022		
Derivative Classifier: 🖂 Unclassified or 🗌						
Name:		Organization:	Signature:	Date:		
Steven E. Wolfe	9	EPC-CP	Signature on File	05-06-2022		
Approval Signatures:						
EPC-CP Reviewer	:	Organization:	Signature:	Date:		
Leslie J. Dale		EPC-CP	Signature on File	05-06-2022		
EPC-CP RLM:		Organization:	Signature:	Date:		
Terrill W. Lemke	e, Team Leader	EPC-CP	Signature on File	05-20-2022		
EPC-CP RLM		Organization	Signature:	Date:		
Steven L. Story,	Group Leader	EPC-CP	Signature on File	06-02-2022		
This copy is uncontrolled. Users are responsible for ensuring they work to the latest approved version. To document a required read, Login to <u>UTrain</u> , and go to the Advanced Search.						

MSGP Corrective Actions	EPC-CP-QP-2109	Page 2 of 39
	Revision: 0	Effective Date: 06/02/2022

# **Revision History**

<b>Document Number and Revision</b> [Include revision number, beginning with Revision 0]	<b>Effective Date</b> [Document Control Coordinator inserts effective date]	<b>Description of Changes</b> [List specific changes made since the previous revision]
0	08/10	New Document.
1	11/10	Incorporated EPC-CP-QP-062 <i>MSGP Routine Inspections</i> into this document.
2	01/13	Biennial revision, new template implemented.
EPC-CP-QP-022 R3	12/20/2018	Revision to reflect new 2015 MSGP requirements. New procedure format was used, and organizational changes made. This document replaces ENV-RCRA-QP-022, R2, which was split into EPC-CP-QP-023, R0, MSGP Industrial Stormwater Routine Facility Inspections, and EPC-CP-QP-022, R3, MSGP Corrective Actions.
EPC-CP-QP-2109 R0	06/02/2022	This document replaces/supersedes EPC-CP-QP-022 R3. This Revision incorporates new 2021 MSGP permit requirements, a new document number, and other organizational updates.

MSGP Corrective Actions	MSGP	Corrective	Actions
-------------------------	------	------------	---------

# **Table of Contents**

Revis	Revision History2				
Table	ble of Contents				
1.0	Intro	duction	5		
	1.1	Purpose	5		
	1.2	Scope	5		
	1.3	Applicability	5		
2.0	Preca	utions and Limitations	5		
3.0	Prere	quisite Actions	6		
	3.1	Planning and Coordination	6		
	3.2	Tools and Equipment	6		
4.0	Roles	and Responsibilities			
	4.1	EPC-CP MSGP Stormwater Personnel	6		
	4.2	Deployed Environmental Professionals	7		
	4.3	EPC-CP Storm Water Permitting/Compliance Team Leader	8		
	4.4	EPC-CP Group Leader	8		
	4.5	DEP Team Leader			
	4.6	Facilities Operations Director			
5.0	Proce	ess Description	9		
	5.1	Identifying Conditions Requiring Corrective Actions			
	5.2	Corrective Action and AIM Level Deadlines and Documentation			
		5.2.1 Immediate Action			
		5.2.2 Subsequent Action			
		5.2.3 AIM Level 2			
		5.2.4 AIM Level 3			
		5.2.5 AIM Exceptions			
	5.3	Effect of Corrective Action			
	5.4	Substantially Identical Discharge Points			
	5.5	Spills			
	5.6	Allowable Non-Stormwater Discharges			
	5.7	Entering a Condition Requiring Corrective Action			
	5.8	Updating Corrective Actions			
	5.9	Validation of Corrective Actions			
		Issues Management			
		Automatic Notifications			
6.0		ing			
7.0		rds			
8.0		itions and Acronyms			
	8.1	Definitions			
	8.2	Acronyms			
9.0		ences			
10.0		hments			
		hment 1: Screenshot Example of CAR Database			
	Attac	hment 2: List of Limited Values in the CAR Database	35		

MSGP Corrective Actions	EPC-CP-QP-2109	Page 4 of 39
	Revision: 0	Effective Date: 06/02/2022

Attachment 3: Example New Corrective Action Finding Notification	36
Attachment 4: Example Weekly Notification of Outstanding Corrective Action Findings	38
Attachment 5: Example Outstanding Corrective Action Report	39

# 1.0 INTRODUCTION

The National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Multi-Sector General Permit (MSGP) contains specific environmental requirements for identifying, implementing, documenting, and reporting conditions requiring corrective actions. Laboratory personnel [the Deployed Environmental Professionals (DEPs)] and the Environmental Protection and Compliance Division – Compliance Programs (EPC-CP) Storm Water Permitting/Compliance Team (also referred to as EPC-CP MSGP stormwater personnel) are required to perform routine facility inspections and document all identified conditions requiring corrective actions on an inspection form (see EPC-CP-QP-2108). Conditions requiring corrective actions can be identified during facility walk-downs, normal daily operations, and/or analytical data evaluations, and can be identified by facility personnel, the DEP, or EPC-CP MSGP stormwater personnel.

# 1.1 Purpose

This procedure governs the activities of Laboratory personnel working for Triad National Security, LLC (Triad) at Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) involved in identifying, implementing, documenting, and entering a condition requiring corrective action. This includes entering a permit limit exceedance or Additional Implementation Measures (AIM) level into the MSGP Corrective Action Report (CAR) database. Part 5.3 of the MSGP permit contains specific documentation requirements relative to corrective actions and AIM. This procedure satisfies these requirements.

# 1.2 Scope

Requirements set forth in this document apply to personnel responsible for meeting the permit conditions on behalf of LANL industrial sites covered by the MSGP. This permit requires periodic inspection of sites and identification, implementation, documentation, tracking and reporting of conditions requiring corrective actions.

# 1.3 Applicability

This procedure applies to EPC-CP MSGP stormwater personnel and DEPs who conduct stormwater inspections and monitoring activities at permitted MSGP sites within LANL.

# 2.0 PRECAUTIONS AND LIMITATIONS

Actions specified within this procedure, unless preceded with "should" or "may," are to be considered mandatory guidance (i.e., "shall").

The hazard level for field activities and office work described in this procedure is a **LOW hazard** rating and does not require an Integrated Work Document (IWD).

Inspections or walk-downs may be discontinued during periods or conditions that make sites dangerous for worker safety or prevent personnel from safely accessing sites (e.g., weather-related events such as flash floods, flooding, lightning, wildfires, hail, icy roads, deep snow, or LANL operations such as firing shots or open burning).

## 3.0 PREREQUISITE ACTIONS

## 3.1 Planning and Coordination

DEPs and EPC-CP MSGP stormwater personnel require a CAR database user account (<u>MSGP-CAR</u>). Facility Operations Directors (FODs), Operations (Ops) Managers and other managers can request a read-access account by contacting the EPC-CP MSGP data administrator for access.

# 3.2 Tools and Equipment

Tools and equipment for documenting inspections and updating the CAR database include the following:

- LANL issued desktop computer, tablet or notebook style computer with Safari web browser and Blackberry UEM<sup>™</sup>app. (See <u>https://int.lanl.gov/policy/documents/P217.pdf</u> for requirements on using portable electronic devices (PEDs) on Laboratory property); and
- Access to the CAR database.

Tools and equipment for field work associated with performing inspections and site walk-downs are listed below.

- Sturdy hiking boots or steel or composite toed shoes with soles that grip.
- Safety glasses, if required by site.
- Government issued cell phones are not allowed in secure spaces. Government or privately
  owned vehicles located inside a LANL secure area but outside any Secure Space boundaries
  (e.g., the outside of a building) serve as approved storage containers for controlled PEDs.
  See <a href="https://int.lanl.gov/policy/documents/P217.pdf">https://int.lanl.gov/policy/documents/P217.pdf</a> for requirements on using PEDs on
  Laboratory Property.)
- Copy of this procedure.
- Copy of facility specific Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) and map(s) (as needed).
- Necessary access.
- Stockpile of temporary and permanent stormwater control measures (SCMs), e.g., inlet protection, absorbent pads for spills, gravel bags, S-Fence, wattles, etc.

# 4.0 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

Specific roles and responsibilities for implementation of requirements contained in the MSGP are provided below.

# 4.1 EPC-CP MSGP Stormwater Personnel

EPC-CP MSGP stormwater personnel are fully knowledgeable of the specific regulatory requirements identified in the MSGP. Additional responsibilities are listed below.

- Implement this procedure.
- Oversee the corrective action process.
- Identify an AIM triggering condition or other conditions requiring corrective action during internal routine facility inspections, "no exposure" assessments, and/or facility walk-downs performed by them, or during evaluation of monitoring data when permit limits are exceeded.
- Perform a quality review of conditions requiring corrective action submitted in the CAR database.
- Notify managers and/or legal counsel of non-compliances.
- Assist DEPs and other customers with issues associated with the CAR database.
- Prepare and submit 45-day or 90-day exceedance notifications to Region 6, Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) containing information provided by the DEP.
- Prepare and submit the Annual Report summarizing all conditions requiring corrective action for the year in EPA's electronic NPDES eReporting tool (NeT).
- Prepare management requested metrics relative to conditions requiring corrective action.
- Provide information to the Issues Management Coordinator (IMC) for entering permit limit exceedances and other permit violations into the Issues Management (IM) tool; and
- Train personnel to use the CAR database.

# 4.2 Deployed Environmental Professionals

DEPs will be fully knowledgeable of the site-specific SWPPP for their assigned sites and corrective action requirements identified in the MSGP. As part of training, they will conduct an MSGP Routine Facility Inspection (RFI) with the MSGP Program Lead, or other designee, a minimum of once per year. During this activity, the MSGP Program Lead will determine if additional joint inspections are needed and will coordinate any additional inspections with the DEP, as appropriate. Further, they shall be familiar with facility operations and stormwater control measures to minimize potential pollutant sources in stormwater discharge from the site, and proactively maintain control in an attempt to prevent conditions that require corrective action.

The DEPs are responsible for implementing this procedure. They will identify conditions requiring corrective actions observed at their industrial sites and enter them into the CAR database. DEPs act as a liaison between the FOD, EPC-CP, DEP Team Leader, Operations Manager, and facility/operations personnel to ensure all corrective actions and AIM triggering conditions are addressed appropriately by overseeing maintenance and/or installation of additional controls, as needed. DEPs are responsible for ensuring a corrective action is completed per MSGP requirements and the corrective action timeline (see Parts 5.1.3, 5.2, and 5.2.2 of the MSGP). They also provide timely updates to the CAR database for closure or update of corrective actions as they are implemented.

MSGP Corrective Actions	EPC-CP-QP-2109	Page 8 of 39
	Revision: 0	Effective Date: 06/02/2022

When permit limits are exceeded, DEPs facilitate review of the condition requiring corrective action by the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Team and coordinate the effort to identify the source and maintain existing controls, as well as implement additional controls, to prevent further exceedances.

If the DEP or EPC-CP MSGP stormwater personnel determine that additional controls are necessary, or that existing controls are insufficient and require replacement with a different type of control, the DEPs are responsible for the selection and oversight of proper installation of appropriate control measures per guidance provided in the <u>LANL Stormwater BMP Manual</u>.

# CAUTION

# Failure to appropriately control pollutant discharges can result in fines and penalties.

Implementing the same SCM numerous times without an improvement in minimization of off-site pollutants is an indication that the control measure is not stringent enough to meet Technology-Based or Water Quality-Based effluent limits or AIM triggering conditions identified in the MSGP. AIM level triggering conditions require sequential and increasingly robust responses when a benchmark exceedance occurs and require additional SCMs to be implemented.

DEPs will notify the EPC-CP MSGP data administrator or MSGP Program Lead of key personnel changes (FOD, Ops Manager, additional DEP, or other key managers) to ensure automated CAR status notifications are distributed to appropriate personnel.

# 4.3 EPC-CP Storm Water Permitting/Compliance Team Leader

The EPC-CP Storm Water Permitting/Compliance Team Leader (or team leader) is responsible for compliance oversight relative to the MSGP. The team leader will ensure resources needed to implement the regulatory requirements identified in the MSGP are identified and environmental risks are assessed. Upper management will be notified of these resources or environmental risks, as deemed necessary. The team leader may certify MSGP discharge monitoring reports or RFIs. In the event there is a dispute regarding the regulatory requirements contained in the MSGP, the team leader will make the final determination of the required action. The team leader notifies upper management of instances of non-compliance with the permit.

# 4.4 EPC-CP Group Leader

The EPC-CP Group Leader or designee is responsible for ensuring there are adequate resources to implement the regulatory requirements identified in the MSGP. The group leader may also act as the duly authorized signatory that certifies the Annual Report. The group leader notifies upper management of instances of non-compliance with the permit or other identified environmental risk.

# 4.5 DEP Team Leader

The DEP Team Leader works with programmatic entities and the FOD to identify resources for their industrial sites to ensure permit requirements are implemented. The team leader is responsible for

MSGP Corrective Actions	EPC-CP-QP-2109	Page 9 of 39
	Revision: 0	Effective Date: 06/02/2022

the performance of DEPs. The team leader also provides oversight for ensuring that industrial sites are complying with the MSGP and is responsible for coordinating with the EPC-CP Storm Water Permitting/Compliance Team Leader and MSGP Program Lead and/or upper management for instances of non-compliance with the permit or other identified environmental risk the team leader becomes aware of. In addition, the DEP Team Leader may certify MSGP SWPPPs.

# 4.6 Facilities Operations Director

The FOD provides organizational leadership to ensure that all facility and programmatic activities under their authority are performed in compliance with the MSGP. The FOD is also responsible for establishing an environmental compliance envelope. The FOD is responsible for developing, implementing, enforcing, and maintaining the SWPPP, and is accountable for SWPPP requirements applicable to their facility. In addition, they are responsible for maintaining trained and qualified DEPs and Waste Management Coordinators (WMCs) on staff.

# 5.0 PROCESS DESCRIPTION

Requirements regarding corrective actions are described in Part 5 of the MSGP. These requirements and conditions are summarized in this section and directly correspond to data fields and lists of values available in the CAR database.

# 5.1 Identifying Conditions Requiring Corrective Actions

# DEP

[1] **IF** any of the following conditions are identified,

**THEN** review and revise, as appropriate, the selection, design, installation, and implementation of control measures in the SWPPP to eliminate the condition and prevent recurrence in the future so LANL's effluent limits are met, and pollutant discharges are minimized.

- An unauthorized release or discharge (e.g., spill, leak, or discharge of nonstormwater not authorized by the MSGP [see Section 5.6 of this procedure for a description of allowable discharges]).
- A discharge violates a numeric effluent listed in Table 2-1 of the MSGP and/or sector-specific requirements identified in Part 8 of the permit.
- SCMs are not stringent enough for stormwater discharge to be controlled as necessary such that the receiving water of the United States will meet applicable water quality standards or to meet the non-numeric effluent limits in the permit.
- It is observed during the routine facility inspection, facility walk-down, and/or the quarterly visual assessment that the control measures are not being properly operated and maintained.
- An AIM triggering condition occurred (i.e., the average of four quarterly sampling results exceeds an applicable benchmark).

- A visual assessment shows evidence of stormwater pollution.
- Construction or a change in design, operation, or maintenance at the facility significantly changes the nature of pollutants discharged in stormwater from the facility, or significantly increases the quantity of pollutants discharged.

## DEP and/or EPC-CP MSGP stormwater personnel

[2] Enter all conditions triggering the need for corrective action review into the EPC-CP MSGP CAR database within 24 hours of becoming aware of such condition.

## DEP and/or Facility Personnel (e.g., the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Team)

- [3] Take immediate action to mitigate the condition requiring a corrective action.
- [4] If needed, follow the permit timeline and process for an individual corrective action or AIM level triggering condition that requires maintenance or installation of additional SCMs.
- [5] Any person authorized to conduct work at LANL can identify a potential stormwater issue. If this occurs, they will:
  - [a] Contact the DEP or EPC-CP MSGP stormwater personnel.
  - [b] The DEP or EPC-CP MSGP stormwater personnel will determine if a condition exists that requires a corrective action or AIM level triggering condition.

## 5.2 Corrective Action and AIM Level Deadlines and Documentation

Specific deadlines for taking corrective action or additional implementation measures, as well as required documentation are provided in the subsections below.

## 5.2.1 Immediate Action

## DEP and/or Facility Personnel (e.g., the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Team)

- [1] **IF** a condition exists that requires corrective action, as described in Section 5.1 [1], **THEN** take the following action immediately (on the same day the condition is found):
  - [a] Minimize or prevent the discharge of pollutants, taking all reasonable steps necessary, until a permanent solution is installed and made operational.
  - [b] Clean up any contaminated surfaces so that material will not discharge during subsequent storm events.

#### NOTE

For minor conditions, immediate action is often sufficient, and no additional action is necessary.

MSGP Corrective Actions	EPC-CP-QP-2109	Page 11 of 39
WISGP COTrective Actions	Revision: 0	Effective Date: 06/02/2022

- [2] <u>IF</u> a condition is identified at a time in the workday when it is too late to initiate corrective action (i.e., 3:00 pm or later), <u>THEN</u>:
  - [a] Corrective action will begin no later than the following workday morning.
  - [b] Implement the requirements identified in Section 5.2.1 [1] above.
  - [c] Enter the following information in the MSGP CAR database:
    - For spills or leaks provide a description of the incident and include material, date/time, amount, location, why the spill occurred and whether it resulted in the discharge of pollutants to waters of the U.S., through stormwater discharge or otherwise.
    - Date the condition was identified; and
    - Description of immediate actions taken (see Part 5.1.3.1) to minimize or prevent the discharge of pollutants. For spills or leaks, include the response actions, date/time clean-up was completed, notification made, and the staff involved. Include any measures taken to prevent the reoccurrence of such releases.

## CAUTION

Solely calling or e-mailing personnel to request action to be taken is not considered taking immediate action. Entering a Facility Service Request (FSR) is appropriate if it formally starts the work process to address the condition. Temporary Best Management Practices (BMPs) still need to be put in place to minimize or prevent off-site migration of pollutants, especially if a storm event is likely.

## 5.2.2 Subsequent Action

## DEP and/or Facility Personnel (e.g., the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Team)

- [1] IF additional action is required, THEN:
  - [a] Complete the corrective action (e.g., install a new, or modify an existing stormwater control and make it operational, or complete a repair) <u>before the next</u> <u>storm event or within 14 calendar days</u> from the time of discovery.
  - [b] For an AIM Level 1 exceedance, review the SWPPP and implement additional measures (considering good engineering practices) that will bring the exceedance below the benchmark threshold.
  - [c] When a determination is made that no additional action is required, document in the CAR database, why existing control measures will bring the exceedance (for AIM Level 1) below the benchmark threshold for the next 12 months.

MSGP Corrective Actions	EPC-CP-QP-2109	Page 12 of 39
WISGP Corrective Actions	Revision: 0	Effective Date: 06/02/2022

- [d] Enter the dates when each condition was completed (or is expected to be completed) in the CAR database.
- [2] **IF** completion of the corrective action is <u>infeasible</u> within the 14-day timeframe, **THEN**:
  - [a] Document the rationale and a schedule for completion of the corrective action in the CAR database.
  - [c] Complete the corrective action within 45 days of discovery.
  - [d] Modify the SWPPP (within 14 calendar days of completing corrective action work) to add changes to controls or administrative procedures.
- [3] **IF** completion of the corrective action will not occur within the 45-day timeframe, **THEN**:
  - [a] On day 40, notify the EPC-CP Program Lead that the 45-day timeframe will be exceeded. Provide a schedule for completion of the corrective action and rationale for the extension. <u>An extension beyond 45 days is not permitted for an AIM Level 1</u> <u>exceedance.</u>

#### NOTE

These time intervals are not grace periods, but are schedules considered reasonable for documenting findings and for making repairs and improvements. They are included in the MSGP to ensure that the conditions prompting the need for these repairs and improvements do not persist indefinitely (see Part 5.1.3.2 of the MSGP).

## **EPC-CP MSGP stormwater personnel**

- [b] Prepare and submit 45-day exceedance notification to EPA Region 6 by day 45 based on information entered into the CAR database by the DEPs.
- [4] In the case of an AIM Level 1 exceedance, send out notification to EPC-CP stormwater field personnel to stop monitoring at the outfall for the parameter that exceeded benchmark.
  - [a] Once the condition requiring corrective action has been closed, send notification to EPC-CP stormwater field personnel to start monitoring at the outfall for the parameter that exceeded benchmark.
- [5] Continue quarterly benchmark monitoring for the next four quarters for the parameter(s) that caused the AIM triggering condition, beginning no later than the next full quarter after completion of additional measures.

- [6] <u>IF</u> continued quarterly benchmark monitoring results indicate an AIM triggering condition has not occurred after four quarters of monitoring, <u>THEN</u>:
  - [a] Discontinue benchmark monitoring for that parameter until monitoring resumes in year 4 of the permit or discontinue monitoring for the remainder of the permit term (if after year four).

# 5.2.3 AIM Level 2

# DEP and/or Facility Personnel (e.g., the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Team)

- [1] IF an AIM Level 2 exceedance occurs, THEN:
  - [a] Review the SWPPP, and
  - [b] Implement additional pollution prevention/good housekeeping SCMs (considering good engineering practices) within 14 calendar days of identification, <u>beyond what</u> <u>was implemented for the AIM Level 1 response</u>. This action is expected to be sufficient to bring the exceedance below the benchmark threshold.
- [2] **IF** completion of the corrective action is <u>infeasible</u> within the 14-day timeframe, **THEN**:
  - [a] Document the rationale and provide a schedule for completion of the corrective action in the CAR database.
  - [b] Complete the corrective action within 45 days of identification of the condition.
  - [c] Update the CAR database to include:
    - Actions taken and/or outstanding, and
    - Date and time the corrective action was completed.
- [3] **IF** completion of the corrective action will not occur within the 45-day timeframe, **THEN**:
  - [a] On day 40, notify the EPC-CP Program Lead that the 45-day timeframe will be exceeded. Provide a schedule for completion of the corrective action and rationale for the extension.

# EPC-CP MSGP stormwater personnel

- [b] Prepare and submit the 45-day exceedance notification to EPA Region 6 based on information entered into the CAR database by the DEP.
- [4] Send out notification to EPC-CP stormwater field personnel to stop monitoring at the outfall for the parameter that exceeded benchmark.

- [a] Once the condition requiring corrective action has been closed, send notification to EPC-CP stormwater field personnel to start monitoring at the outfall for the parameter that exceeded benchmark.
- [5] Continue quarterly benchmark monitoring for the next four quarters for the parameter(s) that caused the AIM triggering condition, beginning no later than the next full quarter after completion of additional measures.
- [6] <u>IF</u> continued quarterly benchmark monitoring results indicate an AIM triggering condition has not occurred after four quarters of monitoring, <u>THEN</u>:
  - [a] Discontinue benchmark monitoring for that parameter until monitoring resumes in year 4 of the permit or discontinue monitoring for the remainder of the permit term (if after year four).

# 5.2.4 AIM Level 3

# DEP and/or Facility Personnel (e.g., the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Team)

- [1] IF an AIM Level 3 exceedance occurs, THEN:
  - [a] Identify the schedule for installing the appropriated structural source and/or treatment SCMs within 14 days.
  - [b] Install structural source controls (e.g., permanent controls such as permanent cover, berms, and secondary containment), and/or treatment controls (e.g., sand filters, hydrodynamic separators, oil-water separators, retention ponds, and infiltration structures) within 60 days.
  - [c] Controls, treatment technologies, or treatment train will be appropriate for the pollutants that triggered the AIM Level 3 and must be <u>more rigorous that the</u> <u>control measures implemented for the AIM Level 1 and 2 responses</u>.
- 2] **IF** completion of the corrective action is <u>infeasible</u> within the 60-day timeframe, **THEN**:
  - [a] Document the rationale and provide a schedule for completion of the corrective action in the CAR database.
  - [b] Complete corrective action within 90 days of identification of the condition.
  - [c] Update the CAR database to include:
    - Actions taken and/or outstanding, and
    - Date and time the corrective action was completed.
- [3] **IF** completion of the corrective action will not occur within the 90-day timeframe, **THEN**:

[a] On day 85, notify the EPC-CP Program Lead that the 90-day timeframe will be exceeded. Provide a schedule for completion of the corrective action and rationale for the extension.

## **EPC-CP MSGP stormwater personnel**

- [b] Prepare and submit the 90-day exceedance notification to EPA Region 6 by day 90 based on information entered into the CAR database by the DEP.
- [4] Send out notification to EPC-CP stormwater field personnel to stop monitoring at the outfall for the parameter that exceeded benchmark.
  - [a] Once the condition requiring corrective action has been closed, send notification to the EPC-CP stormwater field personnel to start monitoring at the outfall for the parameter that exceeded benchmark.
- [5] Continue quarterly benchmark monitoring at all affected outfalls for the next four quarters for the parameter(s) that caused the AIM triggering condition, beginning no later than the next full quarter after completion of additional measures.
- [6] <u>IF</u> continued quarterly benchmark monitoring results indicate an AIM triggering condition has not occurred after four quarters of monitoring, <u>THEN</u>:
  - [a] Discontinue benchmark monitoring for that parameter until monitoring resumes in year 4 of the permit or discontinue monitoring for the remainder of the permit term (if after year four).
- [7] <u>IF</u> continued quarterly benchmark monitoring results indicate an AIM triggering event has occurred after four quarters of monitoring, <u>THEN</u>:
  - [a] EPA may require the operator to apply for an individual permit.

# 5.2.5 AIM Exceptions

An AIM Level exceedance may qualify for an exception from specific AIM requirements and continued benchmark monitoring, provided the requirements to demonstrate qualification of the exception are followed (see Parts 5.2.6.1 through 5.2.6.5 of the permit). These exceptions include the following:

- Solely attributable to natural background pollutant levels;
- Due to run-on;
- Due to an abnormal event;
- Demonstrated to not result in an exceedance to facility-specific value using the national recommended water quality criteria in-lieu of the applicable MSGP benchmark threshold (for aluminum and copper benchmark parameters only); or
- Demonstrated to not result in any exceedance of water quality standards.

MSGP Corrective Actions	EPC-CP-QP-2109	Page 16 of 39	
WisdP corrective Actions	Revision: 0	Effective Date: 06/02/2022	

There are very specific and complicated documentation requirements and time frames that have to be met to qualify for any of these exceptions. Therefore, any demonstration to qualify for an exception will be coordinated through a representative of the EPC-CP Storm Water Permitting/Compliance Team.

# 5.3 Effect of Corrective Action

When the condition requiring corrective action is a permit violation (e.g., non-compliance with an effluent limit or exceedance of a water quality standard), correcting it does not remove the original violation. Additionally, failing to take corrective action in accordance with Part 5 of the MSGP is an additional permit violation.

#### NOTE

The EPA will consider the appropriateness and promptness of corrective action in determining enforcement responses to permit violations (Part 5.1.4 of the MSGP).

# 5.4 Substantially Identical Discharge Points

When the condition requiring corrective action is associated with an outfall that has been identified as a "substantially identical discharge point" (see Parts 3.2.4.5 and 4.1.1 of the MSGP), a review will assess the need for corrective action for all related substantially identical discharge points. Any necessary changes to control measures that affect these other discharge points will be made before the next storm event (if possible), or as soon as practicable following that storm event. Any condition requiring corrective action(s) will be addressed within the timeframes set forth in Parts 5.1.3, 5.2.3.2, 5.2.4.2 and 5,2.5.2 of the MSGP (also see Section 5.2 of this procedure).

# 5.5 Spills

# DEP and/or Facility Personnel

- [1] Clean up all leaks or spills immediately and enter the condition requiring corrective action into the CAR database.
  - [a] If the spill is immediately cleaned up, and controls are implemented to prevent further leakage, the condition requiring corrective action can be closed.

# 5.6 Allowable Non-Stormwater Discharges

The following are allowable non-stormwater discharges authorized by the MSGP:

- Discharges from emergency/unplanned fire-fighting activities;
- Fire hydrant flushing;
- Potable water, including water line flushing;

MSGP Corrective Actions	EPC-CP-QP-2109	Page 17 of 39
WISGP COTrective Actions	Revision: 0	Effective Date: 06/02/2022

- Uncontaminated condensate from air conditioners, coolers/chillers, and other compressors and from the outside storage of refrigerated gases or liquids;
- Irrigation/landscape drainage, provided all pesticides, herbicides, and fertilizers have been applied in accordance with the approved labeling;
- Pavement wash waters, provided that detergents or hazardous cleaning products are not used (e.g., bleach, hydrofluoric acid, muriatic acid, sodium hydroxide, nonylphenols), and wash waters do not come into contact with oil and grease deposits, sources of pollutants associated with industrial activities (see Part 6.2.3 of the MSGP), or any other toxic or hazardous materials, unless residues are first cleaned up using dry clean-up methods (e.g., applying absorbent material and sweeping, using hydrophobic mops/rags) and appropriate control measures have been implemented to minimize discharges of mobilized solids and other pollutants (e.g., filtration, detention, settlement);
- External building/structure washdown / power wash water that does not use detergents or hazardous cleaning products (e.g., those containing bleach, hydrofluoric acid, muriatic acid, sodium hydroxide, nonylphenols) and control measures are in place to minimize discharge of mobilized solids and other pollutants (e.g., filtration, detention, settlement);
- Uncontaminated ground water or spring water;
- Foundation of footing drains where flows are not contaminated with process materials;
- Incidental windblown mist from cooling towers that collects on rooftops or adjacent portions of the facility, but not intentional discharges from the cooling tower (e.g., "piped" cooling tower blowdown or drains); and
- Any authorized non-stormwater discharge (see above bullets) or any stormwater discharge authorized by the permit mixed with a discharge authorized by a different NPDES permit and/or discharge that does not require NPDES permit authorization.

# 5.7 Entering a Condition Requiring Corrective Action

To enter a condition requiring corrective action into the CAR database, perform the steps in this section.

Enter clear, complete, and concise language. Correct grammar, punctuation, and spelling errors.

Select the appropriate value from each pull-down menu that applies to the condition requiring corrective action. This information is used to populate a report submitted to the EPA and is extracted from the database to populate automatic e-mail notifications to managers. Therefore, it is critical that all information entered into the CAR database is correct.

# DEP or EPC-CP MSGP stormwater personnel

- [1] Using Firefox, Chrome, or Edge, access the CAR database at epc.lanl.gov.
- [2] From the main screen, click on "Multi-Sector General Permit Corrective Action Report (MSGP-CAR)."

- [3] Click on "Enter/Edit CAR Data."
- [4] Click on the black box at the right of the screen "Create New CAR."
  - [a] Enter the following (refer to Attachment 1 for data entry screenshot cross reference to Item numbers in red listed below):
    - Item 1: Name of facility by clicking on the down indicator and selecting the relevant facility (e.g., TA-55-0005 Warehouse). If the correct facility does not show up, hit the "Load More Rows" button at the bottom of the screen.
    - Item 2: Provide information about the specific location where the condition requiring corrective action was found (e.g., the northeast corner of the TA-60 Material Recycling Facility).
    - Item 3: Date/Time the problem was identified (mm/dd/yyyy hh:mm) (*the inspection date or the date you first become aware of the issue*). Click on the calendar to the right of the screen and select the correct date. Change the gray buttons to indicate the correct time (hh:mm).

All dates and times will be entered as mm/dd/yyyy hh:mm in 24-hr (military time) format. Time is tracked to document whether immediate action was taken, whether the issue was documented within 24 hours, and the specific time interval before a corrective action is completed and closed (see Section 5.2 of this procedure for corrective action and AIM Level deadlines). Do not leave time as 00:00 (the system default) unless the action occurred at midnight.

- Item 4: FOD by clicking on the down button on the right of the screen and selecting the correct entity (e.g., WFO).
- Item 5: Date/Time of Notification to EPC-CP (mm/dd/yyyy hh:mm) (the date the condition is entered into the CAR database or verbal, or written notification is provided to the EPC-CP MSGP Program Lead. Conditions reported by verbal or written notification must still be entered into the CAR database.) Click on the calendar to the right of the screen and select the correct date. Change the gray buttons to indicate the correct time (hh:mm). All dates and times will be entered as mm/dd/yyyy hh:mm in 24-hr (military time) format.
- Item 6: Provide the Z number of the Inspector by typing in the actual Z number, if not already populated correctly. The Z number of the person logged into the database will populate this field.
- Item 7: Provide the Z number of the person that identified the condition requiring corrective action, if not already populated correctly. The Z number of the person logged into the database will populate this field.

Any person authorized to conduct work at LANL can identify a potential stormwater issue. If this occurs, they will contact the DEP or EPC-CP MSGP stormwater personnel who will determine if a condition exists that requires corrective action.

MSGP Corrective Actions	EPC-CP-QP-2109	Page 19 of 39	
WISGP Collective Actions	Revision: 0	Effective Date: 06/02/2022	

- Item 8: Report status defaults to "A new corrective action" without making a selection. In the event a condition is entered that is determined to not require corrective action, this status can be changed to "Void" by clicking in the box and selecting from the Status list. The decision to assign a status of "Void" is at the discretion of EPC-CP MSGP stormwater personnel and reserved for EPC-CP use.
- Item 9: If the Status is changed to "Void," enter a clear rationale for voiding the record.
- Item 10: Once all the above information is entered correctly, click "Save." Once the CAR is saved, the system will return to the CAR Data page, and your newly created CAR will be at the top of the list.

All boxes identified with a red triangle are "required fields" meaning the form cannot be saved unless these fields are completed. For the purpose of fulfilling corrective action documentation requirements, <u>all applicable fields are required fields</u>.

- [b] The system will automatically assign a Corrective Action Report identification (ID) number. Once the CAR is saved, the system will return to the CAR Data page, and your newly created CAR will be at the top of the list. Click on the pencil in the left part of the screen, then click "Create Finding Details" at the bottom right corner to enter finding information (see Attachment 1 page 4). Enter the following:
  - Item 11 (see Attachment 1 page 5 of 7): Identify the condition triggering the need for this review by clicking on the down indicator at the right of the screen and selecting the appropriate condition. Most conditions requiring corrective action will meet one of the listed options. If it does not, select "Other" and enter a description of the condition (refer to Attachment 2 for a list of available conditions/finding descriptions).

Qualified personnel (EPC-CP MSGP stormwater personnel and DEPs) must be knowledgeable of these conditions and select the correct one when entering an issue. If there is uncertainty about which condition applies, refer to the definitions in Section 8.1 of this procedure or contact the MSGP Program Lead at 667-1312 or <u>hbenson@lanl.gov</u> for clarification prior to selecting "Other."

- Item 12: If the condition in Item 11 (above) is set to "Other," enter a description of the condition in this field.
- Item 13: Enter "NA" (not applicable) for "outfall" unless the condition is an exceedance of a benchmark value, or numeric effluent limitation guideline (ELG), or the condition occurred at the MSGP outfall such as pollutants identified during a quarterly visual inspection (e.g., 022).
- Item 14: Briefly describe the nature of the problem identified during the inspection (e.g., erosion, damage to a SCM, trash, spill, etc.,) and the specific evaluation location (e.g., at TA-60 Roads and Grounds).

MSGP Corrective Actions	EPC-CP-QP-2109	Page 20 of 39
WISGP CONTECTIVE ACTIONS	Revision: 0	Effective Date: 06/02/2022

Spills or other emergency conditions meeting the criteria for corrective action (identified in Part 5.1 of the MSGP) will require documentation in the CAR database even though the condition was not identified during an inspection.

- Item 15: Enter "NA" for "AIM Level" unless an AIM triggering event has occurred. Only EPC-CP MSGP stormwater personnel enter this information.
- Item 16: Enter "NA" unless EPA Region 6 has approved documentation provided requesting the AIM exception. Only EPC-CP MSGP stormwater personnel enter this information.
- Item 17: Enter the inspection type by clicking on the downward arrow to the right of the screen and selecting the appropriated option. If none of the available options fit, selecting "Other."
- Item 18: If "Other" is selected for Item 17 (above), enter a description of how the problem was identified in this field.
- Item 19 (see Attachment 1 page 6 of 7): Enter a description of the condition
  requiring corrective action or identify action to be taken to eliminate or further
  investigate the problem (e.g., describe modifications or repairs to control
  measures, work conducted to address the condition or to be scheduled in the
  future, etc.,) or if no modifications are needed, the basis for that determination.
  Include relevant dates and facts when updating this field as the corrective action
  progresses.
- Item 20: Indicate whether the problem was identified at a Substantially Identical Discharge Point (SIDP) by typing "Y" for yes and "N" for no.
- Item 21: If the answer to Item 20 is "Y," enter the associated SIDP(s) in this field. If the answer to Item 20 is "N," leave this field blank. SIDPs are identified in the site-specific SWPPPs. For assistance with identifying SIDPs contact the MSGP Program Lead.
- Item 22: If the answer to Item 20 is "Y," describe how the corrective action taken is appropriate for all SIDPs, document any additional corrective action(s) needed for any of the SIDPs, or document why no additional action is needed for the SIDPs. If the answer to Item 20 is "N," leave this field blank.
- Item 23: Did/will the corrective action require modification to the SWPPP? Type in "Y" for yes and "N" for no.
- Item 24: Date/Time Corrective Action was initiated (mm/dd/yyyy hh:mm).

The duration between the Date/Time problem was identified and Date/Time corrective action was initiated is used to determine whether "immediate action" was taken (see Section 5.2.1 of this procedure). Immediate action is a requirement of the MSGP and therefore, is documented in accordance with permit requirements.

MSGP Corrective Actions	EPC-CP-QP-2109	Page 21 of 39
WISGP COTrective Actions	Revision: 0	Effective Date: 06/02/2022

- Item 25 (see Attachment 1 page 7 of 7): Date/Time corrective action was completed **OR** (see Item 26 below).
- Item 26: Expected completion Date/Time (mm/dd/yyyy hh:mm), if the corrective action has not been completed. Once corrective action is complete [(as identified in Item 25 (above)], delete expected completion date. The system will not allow entry of a date in both locations.

The duration between the Date/Time Problem was identified and Date/Time corrective action was completed, <u>or</u> the Date/Time Problem was identified and expected completion Date/Time is used to determine whether "subsequent action" timeframes and documentation requirements were/are being met. To forecast where a 45-day or 90-day (for AIM Level 3) exceedance notification to EPA is required (see Sections 5.2.2 and 5.2.4 of this procedure). When information is incorrect or not entered, the MSGP data administrator or Program Lead will contact the originator and request correction(s).

- Item 27: If the corrective action is not or will not be completed within 14 days (or 60 days for AIM Level 3), provide the status of the corrective action at the end of the 14- or 60-day timeframe. Include the rationale for why it is infeasible to complete the corrective action within 14 days, and a description of any remaining steps (including timeframe/schedule associated with each step) necessary to complete the corrective action.
- Item 28: Date EPA was notified of intent to exceed 45 Days (mm/dd/yyyy hh:mm) is to be completed by EPC-CP MSGP stormwater personnel to document submittal of notification letter.
- Item 29: Date EPA was notified of intent to exceed 90 Days (for AIM Level 3) (mm/dd/yyyy hh:mm) is to be completed by EPC-CP MSGP stormwater personnel to document submittal of notification letter.
- Item 30: Enter the date monitoring changed back to baseline status. This information is to be completed by EPC-CP MSGP stormwater personnel based on evaluation of benchmark monitoring.

Once all the above information is entered correctly, click "Save" in the lower right portion of the screen so the corrective action information is retained.

- [5] <u>IF</u> there are additional conditions to enter requiring corrective action, as described in Section 5.1 [1], <u>THEN</u> perform these steps:
  - [a] Click on the "Enter or Edit CAR Data" tab at the top of the screen.
  - [b] Start with Section 5.7, steps 3 and 4 above and enter the information for Items #1-30.

## 5.8 Updating Corrective Actions

#### DEP or EPC-CP MSGP stormwater personnel

- [1] Access the CAR database at epc.lanl.gov.
  - [a] On the Environmental Protection Compliance (EPC) Applications page click "Multi-Sector General Permit Corrective Action Report (MSGP-CAR)."
  - (b) Click "Enter/Edit CAR Data and scroll down to the corrective action number to be edited.
  - [b] Click on the pencil associate with the CAR # to be edited.
- [2] Navigate to the desired field and input the updated information. Most changes will occur relative to updating the status, schedule, and dates of corrective actions.
- [3] Click "Apply Changes" on the bottom right portion of the screen to save all changes to the information. If you do not want to save the change(s), hit the "Cancel" button on the bottom left side of the screen.

## 5.9 Validation of Corrective Actions

#### **EPC-CP MSGP stormwater personnel**

- [1] Access the CAR database at epc.lanl.gov.
- [2] Ensure information entered into the CAR database is correct.
  - [a] Check all entered fields for a condition requiring corrective action to ensure that information is clear, correct, and concise.
  - [b] <u>IF</u> not, <u>THEN</u> notify the DEP of the information that needs to be changed.
  - [c] The DEP is responsible for ensuring all information is validated before generating the annual report.
- [3] <u>IF</u> the identified condition requiring corrective action is a repeat of a previous condition or if it is determined not to be a condition requiring corrective action, <u>THEN</u>
  - [a] Under "Report Status," select "Void."
  - [b] Provide specific documentation as to why the CAR was voided.
  - [c] The "Void" designation allows MSGP stormwater personnel to manually exclude this information in the annual report.

MSGP Corrective Actions	EPC-CP-QP-2109	Page 23 of 39
NISGP COTTECTIVE ACTIONS	Revision: 0	Effective Date: 06/02/2022

## 5.10 Issues Management

EPC-CP MSGP stormwater personnel or DEPs use the IM tool as the institutional performance issues and tracking system for identified quality assurance (QA) affecting issues. A QA affecting issue includes, but is not limited to, the following conditions.

- Exceedance of a numeric ELG (i.e., at the Asphalt Batch Plant).
- Repeat conditions requiring corrective actions or trends identified by EPC-CP MSGP stormwater personnel.
- Conditions requiring immediate action, where failure to take action would result in pollutants released to waters of the state.
- Immediate non-compliance with the MSGP.
- Violations identified by the regulatory authority.

The MSGP Program Lead periodically evaluates a summary of open conditions requiring corrective actions in the CAR database. Using the above conditions, the MSGP Program Lead or DEP determines which corrective actions, if any, will be transferred into the IM tool.

## DEP or EPC-CP MSGP stormwater personnel

 IF an issue needs to be entered into the IM tool, THEN contact the EPC Division IMC for entry into the IM tool.

## 5.11 Automatic Notifications

- [1] When a new condition requiring corrective action is entered into the CAR database, the FOD, Ops Manager, inspector (usually the DEP) and EPC-CP MSGP stormwater personnel and managers are notified automatically by e-mail on the evening of the day the corrective action was entered.
- [2] Automated e-mail notifications is sent out during the corrective action process depending on the length of time it takes to close the action.
- [3] A notification is sent out when:
  - A new corrective action is entered into the database (see Attachment 3);
  - Weekly for outstanding (open) corrective actions (see Attachment 4;
  - A new AIM level (i.e., 1, 2 or 3) triggering event occurs;
  - A corrective action due to a permit limit exceedance is complete; and
  - An AIM level exceedance returns to baseline status.

For all notifications except the last two bullets above, a hyperlink is provided to a web-based report containing a list of all open issues and timeline status where final corrective actions have not been completed (see Attachment 5) by the FOD. The report contains the information:

- FOD,
- Facility,
- A unique Corrective Action identification number assigned by the CAR database,
- Name of the person identifying the condition,
- the date the problem was identified,
- the date the corrective action was initiated,
- the projected completion date,
- a color-coded count (corresponding to the Corrective Action deadlines in Section 5.2 et seq. of this procedure) of the number of days to take action,
- the number of days the issue has been open, and
- the problem description.

These notifications serve to apprise recipients of the status of conditions requiring corrective actions and provide sufficient time for MSGP stormwater personnel to provide documentation to EPA at the 45-day or 90-day deadline. These notifications also allow EPC-CP to manage monitoring status when AIM triggering events occur. In short, they assist the FOD, Ops Managers, DEPs, and EPC-CP stormwater personnel with keeping track of conditions requiring corrective actions.

## 6.0 TRAINING

The following personnel require training before implementing this procedure:

- EPC-CP Group Leader and Team Leader;
- EPC-CP MSGP stormwater personnel;
- DEPs; and
- Other LANL or subcontract personnel identified as being required to conduct stormwater inspections, or other assessments and enter conditions requiring corrective actions into the CAR database as part of their job duties.

The training method for this procedure is "self-study" (reading). Other participating groups may require training documentation pursuant to local procedures. All training must be assigned and tracked using the Laboratory training management system, UTrain.

Personnel performing this procedure will be familiar with the most current version of the following procedure:

• EPC-CP-PIP-2101, NPDES Multi-Sector General Permit Program Implementation Plan.

# 7.0 RECORDS

Conditions requiring corrective actions are contained within the CAR database. DEPs will retain documentation substantiating these conditions, corrective actions, and timelines reported in the CAR database (e.g., e-mails, FSRs, Work Orders, etc., as appropriate). These documents shall be made available to EPC-CP upon request.

All records generated as a result of implementing this procedure will be maintained in accordance with P1020-1, Laboratory Records Management.

# 8.0 DEFINITIONS AND ACRONYMS

See LANL Definition of Terms.

# 8.1 Definitions

**Best Management Practice (BMP)** — Schedules of activities, prohibitions of practices, maintenance procedures, and other management practices to prevent or reduce the pollution of "waters of the United States." BMPs also include treatment requirements, operating procedures, and practices to control plant site runoff, spillage or leaks, sludge or waste disposal, or drainage from raw material storage. (40 CFR Part 122.2)

**Control Measure** — Any BMP or other method (including effluent limitations) used to prevent or reduce the discharge of pollutants to waters of the United States.

**Numeric effluent limitation** — The degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available (see 40 CFR Part 443.12). For LANL, numeric effluent limitations apply only to the Asphalt Batch Plant (Sector D) (see Table 1-1 of the MSGP). Constituents with limitations for Sector D include Total Suspended Solids, pH, and oil and grease (see Table 8.D-3 of the MSGP).

## NOTE

Exceedance of a numeric effluent limitation is a violation of the MSGP (see Part 4.2.3.1 of the MSGP).

**Non-numeric effluent limitations** — Per Part 2.1.2 of the MSGP, these include minimizing exposure, good housekeeping, maintenance, spill prevention and response, erosion and sediment controls, management of runoff, salt storage controls, employee training, elimination of non-stormwater discharges, and minimizing dust generation and vehicle tracking of industrial materials.

**Unauthorized release or discharge** — The release of any liquid or solid substance (within the boundary of an MSGP site) that is not an allowable non-stormwater discharge (see Section 5.6). Examples are hydraulic oil, gasoline, diesel, powdered concrete, concrete washout, steam condensate line leaks, etc.

MSGP Corrective Actions	EPC-CP-QP-2109	Page 26 of 39
	Revision: 0	Effective Date: 06/02/2022

#### 8.2 Acronyms

See LANL Acronym Master List.

AIM	Additional Implementation Measures
BMP	Best Management Practice
CAR	Corrective Action Report
ELG	Effluent Limitation Guideline
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
EPC-CP	Environmental Protection and Compliance-Compliance Programs
DEP	Deployed Environmental Professional
ID	Identification
IM	Issues Management
IMC	Issues Management Coordinator
IWD	Integrated Work Document
FOD	Facility Operations Director
FSR	Facility Service Request
LANL or Laboratory	Los Alamos National Laboratory
MSGP	Multi-Sector General Permit
N	No
NA	Not Applicable
NeT	EPA's NPDES eReporting Tool
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
Ops	Operations
Р	Procedure
PD	Program Description
PED	Portable Electronic Device
RFI	Routine Facility Inspection
QA	Quality Assurance
QP	Quality Procedure
SCM	Stormwater Control Measure
SD	System Description
SIDP	Substantially Identical Discharge Point
SWPPP	Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan
Triad	Triad National Security, LLC
WMC	Waste Management Coordinator
40 CFR	Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations

MSGP Corrective Actions	EPC-CP-QP-2109	Page 27 of 39
MSGP Corrective Actions	Revision: 0	Effective Date: 06/02/2022

Υ	Yes

#### 9.0 REFERENCES

Final National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) General Permit for Stormwater Discharges from Industrial Activities. Federal Register: June 16, 2015, Volume 80, Number 115.

<u>United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) National Pollutant Discharge Elimination</u> <u>System (NPDES) Multi-Sector General Permit For Stormwater Discharges Associated With Industrial</u> <u>Activity</u>

Los Alamos National Laboratory Storm Water BMP Manual

PD100, DOE/NNSA Approved Los Alamos National Laboratory 10 CFR 851 Worker Safety and Health <u>Program Description</u>

SD100, Integrated Safety Management System

P101-18, Procedure for Pause/Stop Work

P1020-1, Laboratory Records Management

EPC-CP-QP-2108, MSGP Routine Facility Inspections

#### **10.0 ATTACHMENTS**

Attachment 1: Screenshot Examples of CAR Database

Attachment 2: List of Limited Values in the CAR Database

Attachment 3: Example New Corrective Action Finding Notification

Attachment 4: Example Weekly Notification of Outstanding Corrective Action Findings


**Attachment 5:** Example Outstanding Corrective Action Report

MSCD Corrective Actions	EPC-CP-QP-2109	Page 28 of 39
MSGP Corrective Actions	Revision: 0	Effective Date: 06/02/2022

#### Attachment 1: Screenshot Example of CAR Database

Page 1 of 7

MSGP-CAR is accessed from the EPC Application page at epc.lanl.gov. To get started, click on "Multi-Sector General Permit Corrective Action Report (MSGP-CAR)" (see yellow highlight below).



MSGP Corrective Actions	EPC-CP-QP-2109	Page 29 of 39
	Revision: 0	Effective Date: 06/02/2022

# Attachment 1: Screenshot Example of CAR Database (cont.)

Page 2 of 7



# There are two basic functional areas in the system for most users: **Enter/Edit CAR Data** and **Reports.**

Ś	LOS	S AI	amos					₽ ⊚~	R 118432
ितो Home			Ente	ror Edit CAR Data Reports	94 Administration				
CA	R Da	ata							
Q	×			Go	Actions ~			Crea	te New CAR
	<b>CA#</b> ↓=	FOD	Msgp Facility	Inspection Date	Inspector Name	Problem Description	Corrective Action Initiate Date	Corrective Action Complete Date	Report Status
,	2023	U	TA-60 Roads and Grounds	19- OCT-2021 08:30	SANDOVAL LEONARD F	At approximately 8:30 a.m. a John Deere 310 SE Turbo 4 X 4 backhoe with BC # 804058 leaked less than 1/2 a gallon of diesel huel on asphalt from a fuel line on the left hand side of the paved road just past the clean fill yard at TA-63 Gigma Mesa. At 9:11 am the backhoe was loaded unto a trailer with a drip pan underneath it and delivered to TA-60 HEY to fix the fuel leak.	19-0CT-21	19-OCT-21	A new corrective action
,	2022	Û	TA-60 Roads and Grounds	19- OCT-2021 08:12	SANDOVAL LEONARD F	There's a Porta John next to some trees that needs to be anchored with rope and gravel bags so the wind doesn't blow it over.	19-0CT-21	20-OCT-21	A new corrective action
1	2021	UI	TA-60-1 Heavy Equipment Yard	15- OCT-2021 11:15	KNIGHT JACOB L	A LANL dump truck was delivered after being repaired and parked on the west side sloped area. The tank for the diesel exhaust fluid (2/3 water 1/3 urea - non toxic) was full and it leaked approximately 1 pint or less of fluid onto the pavement.	15-OCT-21	15-OCT-21	A new corrective action
,	2020	ų	TA-60-1 Heavy Equipment Yard	15- OCT-2021 11:15	KNIGHT JACOB L	Along the south perimeter of the upper yard at TA-60-1 Heavy Equipment there is a fencing replacement project underway. There is some cut metal and debris that needs to be picked up. Also as part of the project the area was cleared of vegetation and there is a lot of trash exposed now that needs cleanup. There was also trash in some drainage areas along the east perimeter of the upper yard.	15-0CT-21	19-OCT-21	A new corrective action

Click Create New CAR (see black button at the right of the screen shot in the example above).

MSGP Corrective Actions	EPC-CP-QP-2109	Page 30 of 39
WISGP COTTECTIVE ACTIONS	Revision: 0	Effective Date: 06/02/2022

Page 3 of 7

# **Corrective Action Details tab**

LOS Alamos			🖓 ଡି 🗸 א ג גע
යි Home	成 Enter or Edit CAR Data	बिर्वा Reports	9, Administration
eate/Edit CAR			
ISGP Facility			
escribe Specific Location where	Condition was Found		
ate/Time Problem Identifed	3		Ē
	4		
ate/Time EPC Notified	5		j.
ispector Zno	6		
erson Identifying Condition Zno	2 7		
eport Status 8			
oid Comments 9			
Fields with a red triangle are r	equired fields and must be filled out so the reco	ord can be created 10	
ancel			Sa

MSGP Corrective Actions	EPC-CP-QP-2109	Page 31 of 39
MSGP Corrective Actions	Revision: 0	Effective Date: 06/02/2022

Page 4 of 7

CA#	Problem Description	Inspection Type Other Notes	Corrective Action Desc	SWPPP	Corrective Action Initiate Date	Corrective Action Complete Date	Corrective Action Expected Date	Corrective Action Status	SIDP	SIDP Affected	SIDP Action Taken	EPA Notified Date 45d	Finding Other Desc	Outfall	EPA Notified Date 90d	Baseline Date	AIM Level	AIM Exceptio
2078	At the entrance to the TA-60 MRF next the Eco- Bloks there's staining on asphalt that needs to be sprayed with micro-blaze.	¢	At the entrance to the TA-60 MRF next the Eco-Bloks there's staining on asphalt that needs to be sprayed with micro-blaze.	Ν	02- MAR-2022 08:20	02- MAR-2022 14:30	15- MAR-2022 17:00	÷	N	e.			-	NA			NA	NA

Cancel

MSGP Corrective Actions	EPC-CP-QP-2109	Page 32 of 39
MSGP Corrective Actions	Revision: 0	Effective Date: 06/02/2022

Page 5 of 7

CA #			
2075			
Finding Type Control measures inadequate to meet non-n	meric effluent limitations		
If Other, (describe here): 12			
Outfall 13			
Problem Description			
There is a cut steel plate sitting just outside the canopy	used for metal storage in the SE corner of the upper yard	<sup>a</sup> 14	
AIM Level			
NA 15			
AIM Exception 16			
Inspection Type Routine facility inspection 17			
Routine facility inspection 17			
If Other, (describe here): 18			

MSGP Corrective Actions	EPC-CP-QP-2109	Page 33 of 39
MSGP Corrective Actions	Revision: 0	Effective Date: 06/02/2022

Page 6 of 7

Description of corrective action taken or to be taken or if no modifications are needed, the basis for that determination At the entrance to the TA-60 MRF next the Eco-Bloks there's staining on asphalt that needs to be sprayed with micro-blaze.	
Was the problem identified at an outfall that has associated SIDPs? 20	
Which SIDPs are affected? 21	
If yes, provide documentation of how corrective action taken is appropriate for all associated SIDPs 22	
Does this conective action require modification of your SWPPP? 23	×
Corrective Action Initiated Date/Time 02-MAR-2022 08:20 24	Ē

MSGP Corrective Actions	EPC-CP-QP-2109	Page 34 of 39
WisdP corrective Actions	Revision: 0	Effective Date: 06/02/2022

Page 7 of 7

Corrective Action Completed Date/Time 25	Ð
Corrective Action Expected Completion Date/Time 26 15-MAR-2022 17:00	Ē
If corrective action is/will not be completed within 14 days of discovery, describe any remaining steps and the formal schedule necessary to cor 27	nplete:
	A
Date EPA Notified to Exceed 45 Days 28	Ē
Date EPA Notified to Exceed 90 Days 29	目
Baseline Date 30	5
Fields with a red triangle are required fields and must be filled out so the record can be created	
NA = Not applicable	

MSGP Corrective Actions	EPC-CP-QP-2109	Page 35 of 39
MSGP Corrective Actions	Revision: 0	Effective Date: 06/02/2022

# Attachment 2: List of Limited Values in the CAR Database

Finding Type (Item 11 on Page 5 of Attachment 1 Screenshot)

effluent limitations
: effluent limitations ned n control measures

MSGP Corrective Actions	EPC-CP-QP-2109	Page 36 of 39	
WSGP Corrective Actions	Revision: 0	Effective Date: 06/02/2022	

#### Attachment 3: Example New Corrective Action Finding Notification

Page 1 of 2

owner-msgpcar_admin@maillist.lanl.gov on behalf of msgpcar_admin@lanl.gov
Vandenbusch, Steve; Martinez, Harold L; Powell, Mark E; Gorman, Bill; Wilburn, Dianne Williams; Caldwell, Jack
Andrew; Archuleta, Bernardo; Vargas, Andrew J; Baldonado, Richard; Herrera, Gabriel Clarence; Parrett, Dana; Ulibarri, Phillip Edward; Knight, Jacob Lamar; Diaz, Vanessa Blanca; Bruaw, Lacey Jo; McMillan, Gary Edward
msapcar: admin@lanl.gov
New Corrective Action finding relative to the NPDES MSGP Program
Friday, February 25, 2022 1:00:01 AM

This email is generated automatically by the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Multi-Sector General Permit (MSGP) Corrective Action Report (CAR) database to provide notification of discovery of a new condition requiring corrective action. As the recipient of this notification, you are responsible for immediately taking all reasonable steps necessary to minimize or prevent the discharge of pollutants until a permanent solution is installed and made operational.

"Immediately" requires initial action on the same day a condition is found. However, if a problem is identified at a time in the work day when it is too late to initiate corrective action (after 3 P.M.), the initiation must begin no later than the following work day morning.

At TA-60-2 Warehouse on 24-FEB-22, a condition requiring a corrective action was observed and a corrective action report was generated per the 2021 Multi-Sector General Permit requirements for stormwater controls at industrial sites. The condition(s) requiring a corrective action(s) is/are listed below.

CA #: 2076 located at TA-60-2 Warehouse

Person Identifying Condition: KNIGHT JACOB L

Description of finding: Control measures inadequate to meet non-numeric effluent limitations

**Condition requiring corrective action:** Metal recycle bins were uncovered. Recent wind damaged the bin cov ers beyond repair. New ones have been shipped to the facility for delivery soon. Bins will be hauled off for recycle.

**Description of the corrective action taken or to be taken to eliminate the condition or further investigation:** Replace bin covers as soon as practicable. Bins are scheduled to be hauled off to recycle in the next day or two.

Status: The Corrective Action was initiated on 24-FEB-22 and is expected to be completed by 10-MAR-22

Click <u>Here</u> to access the list of MSGP corrective action(s) not yet completed for IF Click <u>Here</u> to access the list of MSGP corrective action(s) not yet completed.

The Deployed Environmental Professional (DEP) assigned to your organization/area is KNIGHT JACOB L

The color legend on the linked reports corresponds to the following schedule for corrective action completion as required by the 2021 MSGP:

### Attachment 3: Example New Corrective Action Finding Notification

Page 2 of 2

You must complete the corrective action within 14 calendar days of discovery.

If completion of final corrective action within 14 days is not feasible, the reason(s) must be documented and a description of steps required, along with a formal schedule for completion (as soon as practicable). This documentation must be entered into the CAR database.

If the completion of corrective action will exceed the 45-day timeframe, you make take the minimum additional time necessary, provided that you notify Region 6 of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA):

- · of your intent to exceed 45 days
- your rationale for an extension
- and a completion date.

To assist the preparation of this notification, as a responsible individual, you must contact the EPC-CP Project Lead at 667-1312 for any corrective action that remains open 35 days or more, and provide a formal status of the progress for each corrective action. By day 40, the DEP must provide the EPC-CP Project Lead the rationale for potentially exceeding the required 45-day timeframe and a proposed completion date for each associated corrective action. The DEP must also amend the rationale and completion date in the CAR database.

An extension request must be submitted to Region 6 of the EPA by EPC-CP personnel prior to day 45 for final corrective actions not completed or estimated to be completed within 45 days of discovery.

The responsible individual must ensure compliance with the proposed completion schedule.

# These intervals are not considered grace periods, but are defined schedules to ensure the conditions requiring corrective action do not persist indefinitely.

Where corrective actions result in changes to controls or any procedures documented in the facility's Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP), the DEP must modify the SWPPP accordingly within 14 calendar days of completing corrective action work.

MSGP Corrective Actions	EPC-CP-QP-2109	Page 38 of 39
MSGP Corrective Actions	Revision: 0	Effective Date: 06/02/2022

#### Attachment 4: Example Weekly Notification of Outstanding Corrective Action Findings

Page 1 of 1

From:	owner-msgpcar_admin@maillist.lanl.gov on behalf of msgpcar_admin@lanl.gov
To:	Sandoval, Leonard Frank; Wilburn, Dianne Williams; Ulibarri, Phillip Edward; Chavez, Lawrence Valenzuela;
	McMillan, Gary Edward
Cc:	msopcar_admin@lanl.gov
Subject:	Weekly Notification of Outstanding NPDES MSGP Corrective Action finding(s)
Date:	Sunday, March 6, 2022 5:00:01 PM

This email is generated automatically by the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Multi-Sector General Permit (MSGP) Corrective Action Report (CAR) database to provide notification of outstanding corrective action finding(s).

At TA-60 Asphalt Batch Plant, 1 total MSGP stormwater corrective action(s) has (have) not been completed

Click <u>Here</u> to access the list of MSGP corrective action(s) not yet completed for UI Click <u>Here</u> to access the list of MSGP corrective action(s) not yet completed.

The Deployed Environmental Professional (DEP) assigned to your organization/area is SANDOVAL LEONARD F

The color legend on the linked reports corresponds to the following schedule for corrective action completion as required by the 2021 MSGP:

Finding Type	AIM Level	Days to Complete upon Discovery	Document Steps and Formal Schedule not to Exceed Max Days	Extension Beyond Max Days
Unauthorized release or discharge	NA	14	45	Notify EPA
Control measures inadequate to meet applicable water quality standards	NA	14	45	Notify EPA
Control measures inadequate to meet non- numeric effluent limitations	NA	14	45	Nouify EPA
Control measures not properly operated or maintained	NA	14	45	Nouty EPA
Change in facility operations necessitated change in control measures	NA	14	45	Nonty EPA
Other (describe) :	NA	14	45	Notify EPA
Numeric effluent limitation exceedance	NA	14	45	Nouty EPA
Average benchmark value exceedance	1	14	45	Not permitted
Average benchmark value exceedance	2	14	45	EPA Approval Required
Average benchmark value exceedance	3	14	45	EPA Approval Required

The responsible individual must ensure compliance with the proposed completion schedule.

These intervals are not considered grace periods, but are defined schedules to ensure the conditions requiring corrective action do not persist indefinitely.

Where corrective actions result in changes to controls or any procedures documented in the facility's Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP), the DEP must modify the SWPPP accordingly within 14 calendar days of completing corrective action work.

MSGP Corrective Actions	EPC-CP-QP-2109	Page 39 of 39
WISGP Corrective Actions	Revision: 0	Effective Date: 06/02/2022

# Attachment 5: Example Outstanding Corrective Action Report

NATIONAL L							Page 1 (	of 1				♥ ⑦ ♥ Å 11843
	<b>公</b> Home				Enter	D or Edit CAR Data				Reports		9, Administration
© utstanding	Corrective	e Ac	tion F	Report				1				
As Of: 0	03/17/2022 04:2	22PM										
Q~			Go F	Rows 50 ~	Actions ~							
DD RAD	MSGP Facility Desc	CA #	AIM Level	Person Identifying Condition	Date Problem Identified	Corrective Action Initiate Date	Days To Take Action	Projected Completion Date	Days Open	EPA Notified of Intent to Exceed 45 Days	EPA Notified of Intent to Exceed 90 Days	Problem Description
I SIMPKINS BRET E	TA-60 Roads and Grounds	2079	NA	SANDOVAL LEONARD F	16-MAR-2022 08:17	16-MAR-2022 08:17	0	29-MAR-2022 17:00		-	-	Wood pallet with bags of ice melt next to transportainer 60-0287 and several bags of ice melt on the ground next to the transportainer that need to be picked up and covered.
												1.
Actio	1, or 2 on Must Be Tak days of discove		1		r	ndicates Immediai iot taken (i.e, <= ; Between 35 and 4!	2 days of di cover	s- y) s-				
Actio	on Must Be Tak days of discove	ery	I		E	ot taken (i.e, <= ;	2 days of dis cover 5 days of dis cover	s- y) s- Ty				
Within 14 c	on Must Be Tak days of discove nd 34 days of d	ery	1		E	oot taken (i.e, <= ; Between 35 and 4	2 days of dis cover 5 days of dis cover	s- y) s- Ty				
Actio Within 14 o Between 15 an IM Level 3	on Must Be Tak days of discove nd 34 days of d	ery lis- ery	1			oot taken (i.e, <= 7 Between 35 and 4	2 days of dia cover 5 days of dia cover ery or greate te Action wa	s- s- ry er as 3				
Actio Within 14 d Between 15 an NM Level 3 Actio	on Must Be Tak days of discove nd 34 days of d cove	ery lis- ery xen	1			not taken (i.e, <= ; Between 35 and 4! 46 days of discove ndicates Immediat	2 days of dia cover 5 days of dia cover ery or greate te Action wa 2 days of dia	s- s- ry er as 3				

ATTACHMENT 18: EPC-CP-QP-2105, MSGP STORMWATER VISUAL ASSESSMENTS

EPC-CP-QP-2105	Revision: 2			<b>Los Alamos</b>			
Effective Date: 05/07/2024	Next Review Da	ate: 05/07	/2027	NATIONAL LABORATORY			
Environment, Safety, Health, and Quality Directorate							
Environmental Protection and Compliance – Compliance Programs Group							
Quality Procedure							
MSGP S	tormwate	er Vis	ual Asses	sments			
Hazard Grading: Low Usage Level: Status: Reference New Review w/No Characteristics Safety Basis: N/A	☐ Moderate ☐ UET ☑ Major Revi anges ☐ USQ	sion	Minor Revision     Other:	ctions:			
D	ocument Autho	or/Subjec	t Matter Exper				
Name:	Organization:	Signature	::	Date:			
Alethea Banar	EPC-CP Signature on File		e on File	04-24-2024			
Derivative	Classifier: 🔀	Unclassi	ified or 🗌				
Name:	Organization:	Signature	::	Date:			
Steven E. Wolfel	EPC-CP	Signatur	e on File	04-24-2024			
	Appro	val Signa	tures:				
EPC-CP Reviewer:	Organization:	Signature	::	Date:			
Jacob Knight, MSGP Program Lead	EPC-CP	Signatur	e on File	04-24-2024			
Responsible Line Manager:	Organization:	Signature:		Date:			
Terrill W. Lemke, Team Leader	EPC-CP	EPC-CP Signature on File		05-03-2024			
EPC-CP RLM:	Organization:	Organization: Signature:		Date:			
Sarah Holcomb, Group Leader	EPC-CP	Signatur	e on File	05-07-2024			
This copy is uncontrolled. Users are responsible for ensuring they work to the latest approved version. To document a required read, Login to <u>UTrain</u> , and go to the Advanced Search.							

MSGP Stormwater Visual	No: EPC-CP-QP-2105	Page 2 of 19
Assessments	Revision: 2	Effective Date: 05/07/2024

#### **REVISION HISTORY**

Document Number and Revision	Effective Date	Effective Date
ENV-RCRA-QP-064, R0	7/09	New document MSGP Storm Water Visual Inspections.
ENV-RCRA -QP-064, R1	3/10	Clarifications and added attachments.
ENV-RCRA -QP-064, R2	2/12	Biennial review/revision
EPC-CP-QP-064, R0	10/04/2017	This document replaces ENV-RCRA-QP-064 R2. Converted into new format, and new organization name, clarified steps, updated attachments.
EPC-CP-QP-064, R1	10/09/2018	Removed requirement to conduct visual assessment on filtered samples. Updated form to match text.
EPC-CP-QP-2105, RO	05/12/20	Supersedes EPC-CP-QP-064, R1. Reformat to new EPC-CP template. Re-number procedure and forms to new EPC-CP procedure numbering system.
EPC-CP-QP-2105, R1	09/08/2021	This document supersedes EPC-CP-QP-2105, RO. Updated LANL logo, changed "memorandum" to "cover sheet," changed "modified sampling quarters" to "MSGP monitoring quarters," "best management practice" to "stormwater control measure," and updated facility types and permit section reference to match new permit.
EPC-CP-QP-2105, R2	05/07/2024	This document supersedes EPC-CP-QP-2105 R1. Reviewed and revised this procedure to the 2021 MSGP language and requirements throughout procedure. Updated Attachment to reflect form from new database. Revised all Sections to match new inspection form, changed all references from Maintenance Connection to ECMS, and removed Section 2.2 Limitations.

# **Table of Contents**

Revis	ion H	istory	2
1.0	Intro	duction	4
	1.1	Purpose	4
	1.2	Scope	4
	1.3	Applicability	4
2.0	Prec	autions and Limitations	4
	2.1	Precautions	4
3.0	Prere	equisite Actions	5
	3.1	Planning and Coordination	5
	3.2	Performance Documents	5
	3.2	Special Tools, Equipment, Parts, and Supplies	6
4.0	Visua	ally Assessing Stormwater	6
	4.1	Documenting Sample Information	7
	4.2	Assessing Parameters	8
	4.3	Completing the Visual Assessment Form	10
	4.4	Completing the Certification Statement	11
5.0	Trair	ing	11
6.0	Reco	rds	11
7.0	Defir	nitions and Acronyms	12
	7.1	Definitions	12
	7.2	Acronyms	12
8.0	Refe	rences	13
9.0	Atta	chments	13
	Atta	chment 1: Screenshot Examples of EPC-CP-QP-2105 R2 Form 1,	14

### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) through Environmental Protection and Compliance – Compliance Programs (EPC-CP) conducts stormwater monitoring activities required pursuant to the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES), Multi-Sector General Permit (MSGP). The MSGP requires LANL to monitor stormwater runoff from industrial sites relative to potential pollutants.

### 1.1 Purpose

This procedure describes the process for conducting visual assessments of stormwater from permitted outfall locations where LANL conducts stormwater monitoring activities for compliance under the MSGP.

### 1.2 Scope

Requirements set forth in this document apply to active LANL industrial facilities covered by the MSGP. These facilities include, a warehouse, several metal fabrication areas/shops, a heavy equipment yard, an asphalt batch plant, roads and grounds, and a material recycling facility. Inspection waivers may be granted by EPC-CP for adverse weather conditions and unstaffed or inactive sites.

At least once each MSGP monitoring quarter, an unfiltered stormwater sample (e.g., rain or snowmelt) is collected from each discharge point covered by the MSGP and identified in the site-specific Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP). The sample must be visually inspected for water quality characteristics. Stormwater samples are collected with an automated sampler, single-stage sampler, or by taking a grab sample. Visual assessments are **not** performed on filtered stormwater.

# 1.3 Applicability

This procedure applies to the EPC-CP technical staff and subcontractor personnel (as applicable) who conduct stormwater visual assessments during or after measurable storm events at MSGP regulated outfalls at LANL facilities managed and operated by Triad.

A measurable storm event is identified in Part 4.1.3 of the MSGP as one that results in an actual discharge from the site that follows the preceding measurable storm event by at least 72 hours (three days) or in the case of snowmelt, at a time when a measurable discharge occurs.

# 2.0 PRECAUTIONS AND LIMITATIONS

# 2.1 Precautions

The hazard level for the activities described in this procedure is <u>LOW</u>, therefore an Integrated Work Document (IWD) Part I is not required. If required by a Facility Operations Directorate (FOD), an IWD Part II (2101 Form) will address any site-specific requirements and training for the FOD.

MSGP Stormwater Visual	No: EPC-CP-QP-2105	Page 5 of 19
Assessments	Revision: 2	Effective Date: 05/07/2024

Personnel will wear appropriate clothing (e.g., boots, long pants, etc.,) to perform work in the field.

Work may be discontinued during periods or conditions that make sites dangerous for worker safety or prevent personnel from safely accessing sites (e.g., weather-related events such as flash floods, flooding, lightning, wildfires, hail, icy roads, deep snow, or LANL operations such as firing shots or open burns).

If conditions prevent fieldwork, document these conditions on the work order. Multiple attempts can be documented on the original form. If the target date cannot be met, field personnel will contact the Program Lead no less than 24 hours before the target date for guidance.

#### 3.0 PREREQUISITE ACTIONS

#### 3.1 Planning and Coordination

- 1. Schedule work to be completed by the target date appearing on the work order(s) or as requested by the MSGP Program Lead if a work order is not issued.
- 2. As needed, inform (e.g., by e-mail) facility contacts and/or Deployed Environmental Professional of the schedule for sampler work and locations up to a week before (preferred), but no later than the day before (for minor changes) so work may be added to the appropriate plan of the day.
  - **NOTE:** For some FODs (e.g., Utilities and Institutional Facilities), MSGP stormwater monitoring activities are on a standing plan of the day. However, this must be requested each year.
- 3. Gather the required equipment (see Section 3.3) for the work to be done.
- 4. Using the Chrome or Firefox web browser (Chrome is preferable) on a mobile phone, tablet or notebook style computer, log into the MyECMS application (http://ecms-prod.lanl.gov/MyECMS/) **OR** the ECMS main application (http://ecms-prod.lanl.gov/ecms/) and confirm that the inspection list displayed matches your sites. If the inspection list does not match, contact EPC-CP Data Management personnel for clarification.
- 5. Click on an inspection to open the inspection form.
- 6. Click Save to save work in progress and final work. Do not click Submit in MyECMS until all entries have been checked for completeness and accuracy.
- 7. Always log out when you have finished work OR work is interrupted.

#### 3.2 Performance Documents

Personnel performing work to this procedure will be familiar with the most current version of the following plan. Copies of the following are not required to be on the job site.

• EPC-CP MSGP Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP) for the current monitoring year OR project specific monitoring plan

#### 3.2 Special Tools, Equipment, Parts, and Supplies

Ensure the following equipment is available in the field vehicle:

- Safety glasses
- Nitrile gloves
- Sturdy hiking boots or steel toed shoes with soles that grip
- Other facility specific personal protective equipment as required by the FOD
- Cell phone (Cell phones are not allowed in Limited Areas or higher. See <u>https://int.lanl.gov/policy/documents/P217.pdf</u> for requirements for using portable electronic devices on Laboratory property.)
- Current copy of this procedure
- Current copy of the IWD(s) Part II (as needed)
- Site map(s) (as needed)
- Current inspection or other form(s)
- LANL issued tablet, notebook or desktop computer with Chrome web browser and Blackberry work applications (see <a href="https://int.lanl.gov/policy/documents/P217.pdf">https://int.lanl.gov/policy/documents/P217.pdf</a> for requirements for using portable electronic devices on Laboratory property)
- Necessary access and station keys
- Access to accurate time measurement
- Clean replacement sample bottles (clear glass or clear poly)
- Paper towels

#### 4.0 VISUALLY ASSESSING STORMWATER

Stormwater visual assessments are determined at a sampling station based on the current year SAP. See Attachment 1 for screen shot examples of EPC-CP-QP-2105 R2 Form 1, *MSGP Visual Assessment* in ECMS. The form can be filled out in the ECMS main application (<u>http://ecms-prod.lanl.gov/ecms/</u>) or the ECMS mobile application (http://ecms-prod.lanl.gov/MyECMS/). Work can be saved at any time by clicking the "Save" button at the top of the inspection form.

**NOTE:** Each item number listed in red below corresponds to a red numbered box on the screenshot examples.

MSGP Stormwater Visual	No: EPC-CP-QP-2105	Page 7 of 19
Assessments	Revision: 2	Effective Date: 05/07/2024

#### 4.1 Documenting Sample Information

- [1] Take the sample bottle with water out of the automated sampler or single stage jar off the ground or fill a clear sample bottle with a grab sample and wipe off exterior.
  - [a] Grab samples are collected during daylight hours in a wide-mouth clear glass or plastic container within 30 minutes of discharge from a storm event.
- [2] **ITEM 1**: In the inspection form header, click the calendar icon next to Date of Response. Select the date the **inspection was conducted** and *not* the date the form was filled out.
- [3] Click the "Save" button at the top of the page to open the inspection form.
- [4] **ITEM 2**: Document the monitoring period by entering Jan-Mar, Apr-Jun, Jul-Sep, or Oct-Dec.
  - [a] <u>IF</u> the stormwater discharge collected is from a rain event from the previous monitoring period and the visual assessment is made in the following monitoring period,

<u>THEN</u> document monitoring period on the inspection to correspond to the period in which the rain event took place.

- [5] **ITEM 3**: Check the date and time stormwater discharge began and document by entering the date in the following formats: MM/DD/YY or MM-DD-YY. Time must be entered in 24-hour format.
  - [a] <u>IF</u> the discharge is snowmelt, <u>THEN</u> enter N/A.
  - [b] <u>IF</u> the discharge date/time is not available (e.g., precipitation report) when the visual is performed in the field,
     THEN leave this Task Line incomplete and complete when the information is

<u>THEN</u> leave this Task Line incomplete and complete when the information is available.

- [6] **ITEM 4**: Check the date and time the sample was collected and document by entering the date in the following formats: MM/DD/YY or MM-DD-YY. Time must be entered in 24-hour format.
  - [a] <u>IF</u> the discharge is snowmelt, <u>THEN</u> enter N/A.
  - [b] <u>IF</u> the collection date/time is not available (e.g., precipitation report) when the visual is performed in the field,

<u>THEN</u> leave this Task Line incomplete and complete when the information is available.

- [7] **ITEM 5**: Check the date and time stormwater was visually assessed and document by entering the date in the following formats: MM/DD/YY or MM-DD-YY. Time must be entered in 24-hour format.
- [8] **ITEM 6**: Describe the nature of the discharge (e.g., rain, snowmelt, hail) and the TOTAL amount of precipitation in inches from the event.
  - [a] <u>IF</u> the discharge is snowmelt, <u>THEN</u> DO NOT record total amount of precipitation.
  - [b] <u>IF</u> the total amount of precipitation is not available (e.g., precipitation report) when the visual is performed in the field, <u>THEN</u> leave this Task Line incomplete and complete when the information is available.
- [9] **ITEM 7**: Check that the sample was collected in the first 30 minutes of discharge and document.
  - [a] IF it is not possible to collect the sample within the first 30 minutes of discharge,

<u>THEN</u> the sample must be collected as soon as practicable after the first 30 minutes.

[b] The field inspector will document the reason a sample could not be collected within the first 30 minutes (e.g., lightning hazard, flooding).

# 4.2 Assessing Parameters

While conducting the visual assessment, personnel will attempt to relate any evidence of stormwater pollution that is observed in the sample to a pollutant source on the site. A cleanup of the site can be conducted if the pollutant source is known and well defined. Refer to EPC-CP-QP-2109, *MSGP Corrective Actions,* for specific steps to document, track, and report conditions of potential stormwater pollution.

- [1] **ITEM 8**: Observe the color of the discharge in the sample container. Document by describing the color.
- [2] **ITEM 9**: Observe any odors detected from the sample. Document by describing the odor (e.g., musty, sewage, sulfur, sour, solvents, petroleum/gas).
- [3] **ITEM 10**: Observe the clarity of the discharge. Document by describing the clarity (e.g., slightly cloudy, cloudy, opaque).
  - **NOTE 1:** Clarity is described as the depth in which you can look into or through water. For example, an individual can see through a clear glass of clean water in daylight. Generally, the clarity of the water is a good visual indicator of the purity of water. If the water is poor in clarity there is most likely suspended solids throughout the water.

- [4] **ITEM 11**: Observe any floating solids in the discharge. Document by describing the floating solids.
  - **NOTE 2**: Careful examination will determine whether the solids are raw materials (e.g., product used to fabricate something, or ingredients used in a formulation) or waste materials (e.g., shavings, woodchips and sawdust, trash).
- [5] **ITEM 12**: Observe any settled solids in the sample. Document by describing the settled solids (e.g., sediment, vegetation, fine, course).
  - **NOTE 3:** Settled solids may be an indicator of unstable ground cover combined with a high-intensity stormwater runoff event.
- [6] **ITEM 13**: Observe any suspended solids in the sample. Document by describing the suspended solids (e.g., vegetation, ash, sediment, fine, course).
  - **NOTE 4:** Most often suspended solids include fine sediment. This may be an indication of an unstable channel with eroding banks. Some water may appear to be colored because of relatively fine particulate material in suspension such as sediment.
- [7] **ITEM 14**: Check to see whether the sample is free of foam. Gently shake the sample container. Document by describing any bubbles in or on the surface of the water and the color of the foam.
  - [a] <u>IF</u> it is determined that foam is caused by a pollutant, <u>THEN</u> complete the visual assessment and contact the EPC-CP MSGP Program Lead <u>immediately</u> following completion of the visual assessment.
  - [b] Follow-up action is required within 24 hours (see EPC-CP-QP-2109).
- [8] **ITEM 15**: Check to see whether the sample is devoid of any oil sheen. Document by describing the thickness and consistency (e.g., flecks, globs).
  - [a] <u>IF</u> an oil sheen is present, <u>THEN</u> contact the EPC-CP MSGP Program Lead <u>immediately</u> following completion of the visual assessment.
  - [b] Document the source of the oil sheen, if existing stormwater control measures (SCMs) are effective in mitigation of potential pollutants, and if a new SCM needs to be installed.
  - [c] Follow-up action is required within 24 hours (see EPC-CP-QP-2109).
- [9] **ITEM 16**: Check to see whether the discharge is free of any other indicators of stormwater pollution not described in any other task line above.
  - [a] <u>IF</u> there are any potential sources of pollutants observed on site,

MSGP Stormwater Visual	No: EPC-CP-QP-2105	Page 10 of 19
Assessments	Revision: 2	Effective Date: 05/07/2024

<u>THEN</u> document the following and contact the EPC-CP MSGP Program Lead within 24 hours of identification:

- Potential sources,
- Indicate if there are SCMs on site,
- Evaluate whether the SCMs are working correctly or need maintenance,
- Evaluate whether implementation of additional SCMs is needed to address the observed contaminant.
- [10] Contact the FOD, DEP, and EPC-CP MSGP representative to inform them of the situation.

**NOTE 5:** Refer to EPC-CP-QP-2109, *MSGP Corrective Actions,* for specific steps to document, track, and report conditions of potential stormwater pollution.

[11] **ITEM 17**: Additional notes, observations, or site conditions not documented in a question field above can be documented in the "Additional information" field.

#### 4.3 Completing the Visual Assessment Form

- [1] **ITEM 18**: Click the calendar icon on the far right of the question. Select the date the **inspection was conducted** and *not* the date the form was filled out.
  - [a] <u>IF</u> work needs to be performed over multiple days, <u>THEN</u> note the date and time the work began in the Additional information field (ITEM 17).
- [2] **ITEM 19**: Document the time the inspection was conducted in the text field.
- [3] **ITEM 20**: Click the magnifying glass icon  $\bigcirc$  on the far right of the question and select the name of the inspector conducting the inspection from the list.
  - [a] By signing the inspection form, field personnel certifies that the information submitted is "true, accurate, and complete."
- [4] **ITEM 21**: Capture an electronic signature by drawing inside the dotted line box with a computer mouse on a desktop screen OR a finger on a tablet screen.
- [5] Ensure the form has been filled out completely.
- [6] After all fields have been completed, make sure you have clicked the "Save" button at the top of the page.
  - [a] <u>IF</u> the inspection is filled out in the ECMS mobile application (MyECMS), <u>THEN</u> also click the Submit button at the top of the page.

MSGP Stormwater Visual	No: EPC-CP-QP-2105	Page 11 of 19
Assessments	Revision: 2	Effective Date: 05/07/2024

#### 4.4 Completing the Certification Statement

The visual assessment form(s) must be certified with a signature from a manager that meets the definition of a signatory in MSGP Permit Section B.11.A (e.g., FOD, Operations Manager, EPC-CP Group Leader, or EPC-CP Team Leader) or as identified as duly authorized signatories in the SWPPP. The manager is certifying the information submitted is "true, accurate, and complete" by signing the form(s).

The EPC-CP MSGP Program Lead or designee will send completed visual assessment form(s) to the DEPs at the end of each monitoring quarter that will contain a certification statement in a cover sheet. The duly authorized signatory may sign and date this certification statement rather than the certification line associated with each attached form. The cover sheet and associated completed form(s) must remain together.

### 5.0 TRAINING

All EPC-CP personnel that execute the activities specified in this procedure must meet the minimum qualification and training requirements for their position as identified in EPC-CP-PIP-2101, *NPDES Multi-Sector General Permit Program Implementation Plan*. This will include "self-study" (required reading) for this procedure. Other participating LANL groups may require training documentation pursuant to local procedures. All training will be assigned and tracked using the LANL training management system, UTrain.

Contract personnel that execute the activities specified in this procedure will be qualified and trained as required by the Exhibit D and Exhibit F. In addition, contract personnel are required to complete "self-study" (required reading) of this procedure.

#### 6.0 RECORDS

The completed signed MSGP Visual Assessment forms are maintained in the facility's SWPPP and managed by the facility's document management system. The MSGP team may retain a copy for reference purposes. Records must be maintained in accordance with P1020-1, Laboratory Records Management.

Below are records generated as a result of implementing this procedure that are identified by title and type.

Record Title	QA Record	Non-QA Record
EPC-CP-QP-2105 R2 Form 1, MSGP Visual Assessment	$\square$	

Page 12 of 19

#### 7.0 DEFINITIONS AND ACRONYMS

#### 7.1 Definitions

See LANL *Definition of Terms*.

Adverse weather conditions – Weather that prohibits collection of samples such as local flooding, high winds, hurricanes, tornadoes, electrical storms, etc. Could also include drought, extended frozen conditions, etc.

**Clarity** – Clearness or cleanness of appearance. This includes the visual observation of suspended sediment.

**Color** – Unpolluted water will be clear and colorless. Color must not be confused with clarity.

**Control Measure** – Refers to any stormwater control or other method (including narrative effluent limitations) used to prevent or reduce the discharge of pollutants to waters of the United States.

**Floating solids** – Particulate material floating on the surface of the water. Examples include raw or waste materials and common trash.

**Foam** – An accumulation of fine frothy bubbles formed in or on the surface of water. A mass of bubbles of air in a matrix of liquid film.

**Measurable storm event** – Precipitation that results in an actual discharge from the site that follows the preceding measurable storm event by at least 72 hours (3 days).

**Odor** – The property or quality of waters that affects or stimulates the sense of smell. Examples of odors that may be present are burnt oil, petroleum hydrocarbon, sewage, diesel, sulfuric, or detergent odors.

**Oil sheen** – The presence of rainbow-like colors glistening on the surface of a liquid. The color of oil sheen will vary dependent on thickness and consistency.

**Settled solids** – Settled particulate material i.e., heavier than water. Examples include sand, gravel, metal turnings, and glass.

**Suspended solids** – Particulate materials that are floating between the bottom of the sample and the surface of the water.

**Unstaffed and Inactive Sites** – A facility maintaining certification within the SWPPP that it is inactive and unstaffed and visual examinations are not required.

#### 7.2 Acronyms

See LANL Acronym Master List.

DEP	Deployed Environmental Professional	
EPC-CP	Environmental Protection and Compliance – Compliance Programs	
FOD	Facility Operations Directorate	

MSGP Stormwater Visual	No: EPC-CP-QP-2105	Page 13 of 19
Assessments	Revision: 2	Effective Date: 05/07/2024

IWD	Integrated Work Document			
LANL or Laboratory	Los Alamos National Laboratory			
MC	Maintenance Connection			
MC Express	Maintenance Connection MC Express web application			
MSGP	Multi-Sector General Permit			
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System			
SAP	Sampling and Analysis Plan			
SCM	Stormwater Control Measure			
SWPPP	Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan			

### 8.0 **REFERENCES**

P1020-1, Laboratory Records Management

P217, Controlled Portable Electronic Devices

EPC-CP-QP-2109, MSGP Corrective Actions

EPC-CP-PIP-2101, NPDES Multi-Sector General Permit Program Implementation Plan

#### 9.0 ATTACHMENTS

Attachment 1: Screenshot Examples of EPC-CP-QP-2105 R2 Form 1, MSGP Visual Assessment

MSGP Stormwater Visual	No: EPC-CP-QP-2105	Page 14 of 19
Assessments	Revision: 2	Effective Date: 05/07/2024

(Page 1 of 6)

# Section 4.1, Steps 2 and 3

Environment Audit Profile / Environment Audit Profile MSGP 2024 VA JanMar / Completion/History Sublist / Environmental Questionnaire Response Header MSGP 2024 VA JanMar

										100	
New Save Delete (	Cancel	Lock	Reco	rd l	Jnlock	k Reco	rd Actions *			Layouts	MSGP Record
Details	1										
Date Of Response *	03/22	2/2024						<b>D</b> (	Questionnaire *	MSGP Visual Assessment (MSGP-VISUAL)	
Source		Mar		~ 20	24	*		(	QRHId	1087	
In Progress	Su	Мо	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa		Source Id	MSGP 2024 VA JanMar	
						1	2				
Location	3	4	5	6	7	8	9				
Modified By	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	)	Modified Date	03/21/2024 2:50 PM	
	17	18	19	20	21	22	23				
Locked Unlocked	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	l	Locked By		
Lock Date Time	31							(	Cancelled	D	
Signed copy returned to MSGP Program?								(	Cancelled Date	mm/dd/yyyy	

MSGP Stormwater Visual	No: EPC-CP-QP-2105	Page 15 of 19
Assessments	Revision: 2	Effective Date: 05/07/2024

(Page 2 of 6)

# Section 4.1, Steps 4-9

2	Document the monitoring period (e.g., Jan-Feb-Mar).
3	Document the Date/Time Discharge began (mm/dd/yy hh:mm).
4	Document the Date/Time sample collected (mm/dd/yy hh:mm).
5	Document the Date/Time sample visually assessed (mm/dd/yy hh:mm).
6	Document the nature of discharge (e.g., rain, snowmelt) and the TOTAL amount (in).
7	Sample collected in first 30 minutes of discharge? If No or unknown, provide a reason.
	Yes Unknown
	omment

MSGP Stormwater Visual	No: EPC-CP-QP-2105	Page 16 of 19
Assessments	Revision: 2	Effective Date: 05/07/2024

(Page 3 of 6)

# Section 4.2, Steps 1-4

Yes	
No	
Comment	
Odor in sample? If Yes, describe (e.g. musty, sewage, sulfur, sour, solvent, petroleum/ga	
	3S).
Yes	
No	
Comment	
Diminished clarity of sample? If Yes, describe (e.g., slightly cloudy cloudy onaque)	
Diminished clarity of sample? If Yes, describe (e.g., slightly cloudy, cloudy, opaque).	
Diminished clarity of sample? If Yes, describe (e.g., slightly cloudy, cloudy, opaque).	
Yes No	
Yes	
Yes No	
Ves No Comment	
Yes No Comment Floating solids in sample? If Yes, describe if raw or waste material(s).	
Ves No Comment	
Yes No Comment Floating solids in sample? If Yes, describe if raw or waste material(s).	

MSGP Stormwater Visual	No: EPC-CP-QP-2105	Page 17 of 19
Assessments	Revision: 2	Effective Date: 05/07/2024

(Page 4 of 6)

# Section 4.2, Steps 4-6

Yes		
No		
Comment		
1		
3 Suspended so	lids in sample? If Yes, describe (e.g., fine, course).	
Yes		
No		
Comment		
_		
	e after gently shaking? If Yes, describe foam color and location (e.g., on the su	Irfac
or 'in the sample').		
Yes		
No		
Comment		
0		
5 Oil sheen on s	ample? If Yes, describe color and thickness (e.g. flecks, globs).	
Yes		
Yes		

MSGP Stormwater Visual	No: EPC-CP-QP-2105	Page 18 of 19
Assessments	Revision: 2	Effective Date: 05/07/2024

(Page 5 of 6)

# Section 4.2, Steps 9 and 11. Section 4.3, Steps 1-3

Yes	
No	
Comment	
7 Additional information:	
8 Date inspection completed.	
B Date inspection completed.	Ċ
	Ċ
mm/dd/yyyy	
mm/dd/yyyy	
mm/dd/yyyy	
mm/dd/yyyy 9 Time Inspection Completed.	

MSGP Stormwater Visual	No: EPC-CP-QP-2105	Page 19 of 19
Assessments	Revision: 2	Effective Date: 05/07/2024

(Page 6 of 6)

#### Section 4.3, Step 4

Signature/Name	as recorded is true, acc	urate and complete.*	
ADre			
Clear			

#### 21.

#### **Certification Statement**

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

(Signatory must meet definition in Section B.11.A, e.g., FOD, Ops Mgr, EPC Group or Team Leader)

22. Print name and title: Terrill W. Lemke, EPC-CP Storm Water Permitting/Compliance Team Leader

23. Signature and Date: (See signature on file)

ATTACHMENT 19: EPC-CP-TP-2103, INSPECTING ISCO STORMWATER RUNOFF SAMPLERS AND RETRIEVING SAMPLES

EPC-CP-TP-2103		Revision: 1		Los Alamos		
Effective Date: 03/16/2023		Next Review [	Date: 03/16/2026	NATIONAL LABORATORY		
Environment, Safety, Health, and Quality Directorate						
Environment P	rotection an	d Complia	nce – Compliance P	rograms Group		
Technical Procedure						
Inspe	ecting ISCC	) Storm	vater Runoff Sa	mplers and		
		Retrievi	ng Samples			
Usage Level:	Reference L New X No Chan	Aajor Revision	_			
Safety Basis:	IN/A LIU	JSQ				
	Doc	ument Authoi	/Subject Matter Expert:			
Name:	0	rganization:	Signature:	Date:		
Alethea Banar	E	PC-CP	Signature on File	02-16-2023		
	Derivative Cl	assifier: 🔀	Unclassified or			
Name:	0	rganization:	Signature:	Date:		
Steven E. Wolfel	E	PC-CP	Signature on File	02-16-2023		
		Approv	al Signatures:			
EPC-CP Reviewer:	0	rganization:	Signature:	Date:		
Holly L. Wheeler	E	PC-CP	Signature on File	02-16-2023		
Responsible Line Manager	: 0	rganization:	Signature:	Date:		
Terrill W. Lemke, Team Lea	ader El	PC-CP	Signature on File	03-10-2023		
Responsible Line Manager	: 0	rganization:	Signature:	Date:		
Steve Story, Group Lead	ler E	РС-СР	Signature on File	03-16-2023		
This copy is uncontrolled. Users are responsible for ensuring they work to the latest approved version. To document a required read, Login to <u>UTrain</u> , and go to the Advanced Search.						

Inspecting ISCO Stormwater Runoff	No: EPC-CP-TP-2103	Page 2 of 27
Samplers and Retrieving Samples	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 03/16/2023

### **REVISION HISTORY**

Document Number and Revision	Effective Date [Document Control	
[Include revision number, beginning	Coordinator inserts	Description of Changes
with Revision 0]	effective date]	[List specific changes made since the previous revision]
ENV-RCRA-QP-047, Rev. 0	03/11	New Document.
ENV-RCRA-QP-047, Rev. 1	02/13	Annual Review and Revision
EPC-CP-QP-047, Rev. 2	09/06/2017	Review and revision. Updated document to new template and new group name. Clarified steps. Modified inspection form EPC-CP-Form-1010. Added crosswalk to electronic form in MC Express.
EPC-CP-TP-2103 RO	02/24/2020	Supersedes EPC-CP-QP-047 R2. Reformat to new EPC-CP template. Re-number procedure and forms to new EPC-CP procedure numbering system. Minor edits.
EPC-CP-TP-2103 R1	03/16/2023	This document supersedes EPC-CP-TP-2103 R0. Modified pH measurement process, revised Form 1 and instructions, and updated references.

# **Table of Contents**

Revis	ion Hi	istory	2
1.0	Intro	duction	4
	1.1	Purpose	4
	1.2	Scope	4
	1.3	Applicability	4
2.0	Preca	autions and Limitations	5
	2.1	Precautions	5
	2.2	Limitations	5
3.0	Prere	equisite Actions	6
	3.1	Planning and Coordination	
	3.2	Performance Documents	7
	3.3	Special Tools, Equipment, Parts, and Supplies	7
4.0	Inspe	ecting the Sampler and Sample Retrieval	8
	4.1	Inspecting the Sampler	8
		4.1.1 On Arrival	
		4.1.2 Water Collection Information	9
		4.1.3 Water Retrieval Information	10
		4.1.4 On Departure	.10
		4.1.5 Equipment Specific Tasks	.11
		4.1.6 Maintenance Information	
		4.1.7 Bottle Information	.12
	4.2	Retrieving Samples	
	4.3	Removing Stormwater Samples from the field	.15
	4.4	Completing the Inspection Form	
5.0	Train	ning	.16
6.0		ords	
7.0	Defir	nitions and Acronyms	
	7.1	Definitions	
	7.2	Acronyms	
8.0	Refe	rences	.17
9.0		chments	
		chment 1: ISCO Sampler Inspection and Sample Retrieval in MC Express	
		chment 2: ISCO Sampler Inspection and Sample Retrieval Hard Copy Example	
	Atta	chment 3: Sample Retrieval Flow Diagram	.27

Inspecting ISCO Stormwater Runoff	No: EPC-CP-TP-2103	Page 4 of 27
Samplers and Retrieving Samples	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 03/16/2023

### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) through Environmental Protection and Compliance– Compliance Programs (EPC-CP) conducts stormwater monitoring activities required pursuant to the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES), Multi-Sector General Permit (MSGP) at LANL. The MSGP requires LANL to monitor stormwater runoff from industrial sites relative to potential pollutants.

# 1.1 Purpose

This procedure describes the process for inspecting ISCO automated samplers and retrieving stormwater runoff samples from outfall locations where LANL conducts stormwater monitoring pursuant to NPDES MSGP requirements. This procedure may also be used for other Associate Laboratory Directorate of Environment, Safety, Health, and Quality (ESHQ) stormwater monitoring activities as needed.

### 1.2 Scope

The discharge of stormwater from specified industrial sites at LANL is regulated under the NPDES MSGP. The Laboratory's MSGP requires qualitative and quantitative stormwater monitoring (e.g., sample collection) to evaluate the effectiveness of control measures. Automated ISCO samplers coupled with liquid level actuators are used at MSGP monitoring stations and in support of other stormwater monitoring programs. Refrigerated (Avalanche<sup>®</sup>) and/or non-refrigerated (Model 3700) samplers are deployed and configured with multi-battery arrays, solar panels, and surge protectors.

Field personnel are required to inspect the sampling station while retrieving water samples during MSGP stormwater monitoring periods and at other intervals determined by the program or as directed by the MSGP Program Lead.

Inspections and sample retrieval conducted under this procedure should be documented using the Maintenance Connection Express<sup>™</sup> (MC Express) web application on a tablet or notebook style computer. (In the event of electronic hardware or web application failure, personnel may use a printed hard copy to conduct inspection and sample retrieval.)

# 1.3 Applicability

This procedure applies to the EPC-CP technical staff and subcontractor personnel (as applicable) conducting activities at automated stormwater sampling stations used for monitoring industrial stormwater discharge under the MSGP or other stormwater monitoring programs.

The MSGP Program Lead is primarily responsible for this procedure. EPC-CP personnel are appointed responsibility for a subset of sampling stations. Other stormwater monitoring programs or projects utilizing this procedure will refer to program or project specific roles and responsibilities.

### 2.0 PRECAUTIONS AND LIMITATIONS

#### 2.1 Precautions

The hazard level of the activities in this procedure is <u>MODERATE</u>. Hazards in the work described in this procedure are controlled thorough a site-specific Integrated Work Document (IWD) Part I. The IWD Part II (Form 2101) addresses site specific requirements and training by the Facility Operations Division (FOD).

Personnel performing steps in this procedure that involve electrical equipment **MUST** be trained to LANL electrical safety standards as prescribed in the IWD before performing those steps.

Personnel must wear appropriate clothing (e.g., boots, long pants, etc.) to perform work in the field.

Work may be discontinued during periods or conditions that make sites dangerous for worker safety or prevent personnel from safely accessing sites (e.g., weather-related events such as flash floods, flooding, lightning, wildfires, hail, icy roads, deep snow, or LANL operations such as firing shots or open burns).

In the event of pest infestation (e.g., wasp or rat nests), do not attempt to remove the pest yourself. Call LANL Pest Control to coordinate the removal of the pest(s).

If conditions prevent field work, document the conditions in the Labor Report Update field on the form and notify the Program Lead or designee within 24 hours. Multiple attempts can be documented on the original form. If the target date cannot be met, the field personnel must contact the Program Lead no less than 24 hours before the target date for guidance.

# 2.2 Limitations

In MC Express, document responses to each question on a work order by clicking the expand arrow located on the right side of the task line and changing the "Complete" or "Failed" or "N/A" line to "Yes". When using a hard copy form, mark the appropriate check box.

Throughout this process, the field personnel will document comments and notations in the "Reading" field of the associated task line. Additional comments not documented in a "Reading" field can be entered in the "Comments" field of the same task line. If field personnel need more space, additional comments can be entered in the "Labor Report Update" field (see Section 4.4) when the work order is updated to "Complete" status. When using a hard copy form, document comments on the corresponding task line. If additional space is needed, comments can be entered in the "Labor Report" section at the bottom of the form.

Some terminology varies between the MC Express software and the Maintenance Connection desktop software.

• The "Reading" field in MC Express is the same field as "Reading Final" in Maintenance Connection desktop and "Meas." on a hard copy (printed) work order.

• The "Complete" option in MC Express is the same as a "Yes" answer; the "Failed" option in MC Express is the same as a "No" answer. Maintenance Connection desktop and hard copy (printed) work orders use "Yes" and "No" terminology.

## 3.0 PREREQUISITE ACTIONS

### 3.1 Planning and Coordination

- 1. Ensure that field personnel have access to accurate time measurement at the site. When at the site, the clock time on the ISCO sampler must be set to Mountain Standard Time (MST), throughout the year with no daylight-saving time adjustment.
- 2. Schedule work to be completed by the target date appearing on the work order(s) or as requested by the MSGP Program Lead if a form is not issued.
- 3. Obtain any necessary additional paperwork before conducting this work, including IWD's, and excavation permits (as necessary).
- 4. As specified in the IWD, inform (e.g., by e-mail) facility contacts and/or Deployed Environmental Professional of the schedule for sampler work and locations up to a week before (preferred), but no later than the day before (for minor changes) so work may be added to the appropriate plan of the day.
  - **NOTE:** For some FODs like the Utilities and Institutional Facilities FOD, MSGP stormwater monitoring activities are on a standing plan of the day. However, this must be requested each year at the beginning of the monitoring season.
- 5. Gather the required equipment (see Section 3.3) for the work to be done.
- 6. Using the Safari or Chrome web browser on a tablet or notebook style computer, navigate to http://express.maintenanceconnection.com and select English from the available dropdown menu.
- 7. Log into the MC Express application (http://express.maintenanceconnection.com) and confirm that the work order list displayed matches your sites. If the work order lists do not match, contact EPC-CP Data Management personnel for clarification.
- 8. In MC Express, click on the appropriate work order number to open the work order. The work order will open in the display to the Work Order Summary page.
- 9. Click on the "Tasks" bar to navigate to the work order Tasks page. See MC Express screen shot examples in Attachment 1.
- 10. Always log out of MC Express when you have finished work OR if work is interupted.

### 3.2 Performance Documents

Personnel performing this procedure will be familiar with the most current versions of the following plans and operation manuals if this equipment is utilized. Copies of the following are not required to be on the job site.

- EPC-CP MSGP Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP) most recent revision for the current monitoring year OR project specific monitoring plan,
- ISCO 3700 Portable Samplers Installation and Operation Guide,
- ISCO Avalanche<sup>®</sup> Installation and Operation Guide, or
- HACH SensION<sup>™</sup> + Portable Meter User Manual.

## 3.3 Special Tools, Equipment, Parts, and Supplies

Ensure the following equipment is available.

- Safety glasses,
- Sturdy hiking boots or steel toe shoes (as needed) with soles that grip and other required facility specific Personal Protective Equipment,
- Nitrile gloves,
- Leather gloves,
- Cell phone. (See <a href="https://int.lanl.gov/policy/documents/P217.pdf">https://int.lanl.gov/policy/documents/P217.pdf</a> for requirements for using portable electronic devices on Laboratory property),

- Copy of this procedure,
- Copy of the IWD,
- EPC-CP MSGP SAP most recent revision for the current monitoring year OR project specific monitoring plan,
- Site Map(s) (as needed),
- Current electronic or paper inspection form EPC-CP-TP-2103 Form 1, *MSGP ISCO Sampler Inspection and Sample Retrieval,*
- Government issued electronic tablet with Safari or Chrome web browser and Blackberry UEM<sup>™</sup> app. (See <u>https://int.lanl.gov/policy/documents/P217.pdf</u> for requirements for using portable electronic devices on Laboratory property,
- Water Sample Collection and Processing Log/Field Chain of Custody (SCPL) (see EPC-CP-QP-2106),

**NOTE:** Mobile devices (e.g., LANL iPhones, LANL iPads, smart phones tablets, etc.,) cannot be carried into areas that are identified as LANL Secure Spaces.

Page 8 of 27

- Access to accurate time measurement,
- Necessary access and station keys,
- Insulated hand tools,
- Charged spare battery(s),
- Battery voltage tester,
- Clean spare tubing (pump, suction, discharge types, sampler specific),
- Certified clean replacement sample bottles (glass and poly),
- Spare/replacement sampler parts (liquid level actuator, distributor arm),
- Shovel,
- Wooden stakes,
- Plastic wire "zip" ties,
- Coolers with ice or Blue Ice<sup>®</sup>,
- Paper towels,
- Marker pen (permanent, waterproof),
- Ball point pen,
- Re-sealable zipper storage bags (e.g., Ziploc<sup>®</sup>),
- Custody seals, and
- 0.45-micron filter (where applicable).

## 4.0 INSPECTING THE SAMPLER AND SAMPLE RETRIEVAL

Inspection of ISCO samplers is performed weekly during the sampling season. Samples retrieved are determined at a sampling station based on the current year SAP. See Attachment 1 for screen shot examples of EPC-CP-TP-2103 R1 Form 1, *ISCO Sampler Inspection and Sample Retrieval* in MC Express. See Attachment 2 for an example of the form in hard copy format.

**NOTE:** Each ITEM number listed in red font below corresponds to a red numbered box on both screenshots (Attachment 1) and hard copy format (Attachment 2).

## 4.1 Inspecting the Sampler

## 4.1.1 On Arrival

- [1] Remove the top cover from the sampler.
- [2] **ITEM 1**: Check and document the sampler is ON and its condition upon arrival. Explain any non-functional status.

- [a] <u>IF</u> a sampler has been inactivated (e.g., sample collection completed) prior to this inspection but continues to appear on the inspection form, THEN answer this task line question "N/A."
- [b] Subsequent questions regarding the inactive sampler may be left unanswered in this section.
- [3] **ITEM 2**: Check and document the ISCO programming displays the following.
  - [a] ISCO 3700 sampler display should indicate "Sampler Inhibited"
  - [b] Avalanche sampler display should indicate "Program Disabled"
  - [c] Document messages other than those in [a] and [b] (e.g., "Done X samples," "sampler off," etc.).
- [4] <u>IF</u> there is no indication of flow and the sampler triggered due to a non-flow event, <u>THEN</u> describe why the sampler triggered (e.g., animal, tumbleweed, etc.).
- [5] **ITEM 3**: Check and document the sampler is set to the correct MST +/- no more than 1 minute. Do **NOT** use Daylight Savings Time.
  - [a] <u>IF</u> the sampler is set incorrectly, <u>THEN</u> reprogram for the correct MST.
  - [b] Describe the work performed and correction applied (e.g., "ISCO clock was X minutes slow").
- [6] If the location has more than one sampler, complete Steps 1 through 5 for each sampler.

### 4.1.2 Water Collection Information

- [1] Don nitrile gloves and safety glasses.
- [2] Remove the center section from the sampler.
- [3] **ITEM 4**: Document evidence of stormwater flow at the sampling location by describing the evidence of flow (e.g., sediment or vegetation movement, erosion, standing water).
  - [a] <u>IF</u> the sampler did not trip but there is evidence of flow, <u>THEN</u> document the date and time stormwater discharge began from the precipitation report.
  - [b] <u>IF</u> the sampler tripped or collected stormwater, <u>THEN</u> document the date/time stamp from the sampler (or from the precipitation report if the sampler did not record a date/time stamp).
- [4] **ITEM 5**: Document that stormwater is collected.
  - [a] Document if the water is taken by grab sample.

- [b] Complete the Bottle Information (**ITEM 19**) in Section 4.1.7.
- [c] Follow the steps in Section 4.2 through Step 16 to retrieve samples.
- [5] **ITEM 6**: For Avalanche samplers only, record the current refrigerator temperature in degrees Celsius (°C) when water is collected.
  - [a] <u>IF</u> unable to review the temperature, <u>THEN</u> check "No" and describe the condition (e.g., dead battery, electrical short).

## 4.1.3 Water Retrieval Information

- [1] **ITEM 7**: Check and document whether a sample volume was retrieved from the sampler and taken off site.
  - [a] Record the estimated total volume in liters (L) or milliliters (ml) taken off-site.
- [2] **ITEM 8**: Check and document whether a visual assessment of the water was performed (refer to EPC-CP-QP-2105).
  - [a] Do **NOT** conduct a visual assessment on a filtered sample. Record "Filtered sample."

## 4.1.4 On Departure

### WARNING

You MUST be trained to LANL electrical safety standards as prescribed in the IWD before performing Steps 2 and 3.

- [1] Prepare yourself in accordance with the IWD for electrical work (e.g., wear safety glasses and leather gloves, use insulated tools, no jewelry or anything metal hanging from body, etc.)
- [2] **ITEM 9**: Check that all cable and electrical connections are attached and firmly tightened (not loose) upon departure.
  - **NOTE:** Connections may work loose over time due to temperature changes and if there are dis-similar metals at the connection points. The loose connections can introduce voltage spikes, which inherently cause current spikes that may result in blown fuses.
  - [a] <u>IF</u> the cables require replacement, connections require tightening, or other maintenance performed, <u>THEN</u> describe the work performed (e.g., "tightened connectors on battery).
  - [b] <u>IF</u> maintenance cannot be completed at the time of inspection, <u>THEN</u> describe the condition (e.g., cables chewed through by animal) and follow-up work needed (e.g., replace cables).

- [3] **ITEM 10**: Use a voltage meter to check the power supply.
  - [a] Record the voltage of the battery(ies) in volts (V).
  - [b] Document if battery voltage is acceptable upon departure from the site (≥11.7 for non-floating charged batteries at ISCO 3700 samplers and ≥11.0 for floating-charged batteries at Avalanche samplers).
  - [c] Replace a battery with a charged battery when the voltage is not acceptable.
  - [d] Check the voltage of the solar panel if access can be gained to the weather protected terminal covers on the back of the panel.
  - [4] Contact the program Electrical Safety Officer if any issues with wiring or batteries cannot be resolved on site.

### 4.1.5 Equipment Specific Tasks

- [1] **ITEM 11**: Check and document the sampler passes the diagnostic test. (Refer to EPC-CP-TP-2102 or sampler Operator's Guide for instructions on running a diagnostics test.)
  - [a] <u>IF</u> a sampler has been inactivated (e.g., sample collection completed) prior to this inspection but continues to appear on the inspection form,

<u>THEN</u> answer this task line question as "N/A." Subsequent questions regarding this sampler may be left unanswered in this section.

### CAUTION

Only reset the pump counts after replacing the internal pump tubing.

- [2] <u>IF</u> the internal pump tubing has reached or exceeded the preset pump counts (500,000 for ISCO 3700s, 1,000,000 for Avalanches),
   THEN replace the pump tubing and reset the pump counts.
- [3] **ITEM 12**: Check and document the sample tubing is free or clear of debris.
  - [a] Clear obstructions, as needed, and document maintenance performed.
- [4] Check the physical condition of sample tubing and vent tubing.
  - [a] Replace tubing as needed and document maintenance performed.
- [5] **ITEM 13**: Check and document the sample tubing has passed a suction test.
- [6] **ITEM 14**: Check and document the sampler is ON prior to departing the site.
- [7] **ITEM 15**: Check and document the liquid level actuator has been set to "Latch" prior to departing the site.
  - [a] IF the sampler tripped and requires reset of the sampling program,

THEN reset the actuator by toggling the switch to "Reset" and back to "Latch."

- [8] **ITEM 16**: Check and document the ISCO programming displays the following.
  - [a] ISCO 3700 sampler display should indicate "Sampler Inhibited."
  - [b] Avalanche sampler display should indicate "Program Disabled."
  - [c] Reprogram the sampler as needed and document maintenance performed.
- [9] Replace and secure the sampler top cover and secure the sampler shelter (if sampler is in a shelter).
- [10] If the location has more than one sampler, complete Steps 1 through 9 for each sampler.

## 4.1.6 Maintenance Information

- [1] **ITEM 17**: Document maintenance completed while on-site that is not documented elsewhere on the work order by describing the work performed.
  - **NOTE**: Maintenance items may include (but are not limited to) site clearing, installing new or additional equipment, removing equipment, animal/pest mitigation, problems with equipment location, etc.
- [2] <u>IF</u> a battery was replaced, <u>THEN</u> record the voltage of the new battery and the battery identification number or manufacture date.
- [3] **ITEM 18**: Document if maintenance is needed that was not completed while on site and that is not documented elsewhere on the work order.
  - [a] Describe on the work order the follow-up maintenance needed.
  - [b] When the maintenance has been complete, describe the actions taken to complete the work on the original work order.
  - [c] Record the maintenance completion date and time on the original work order.

## 4.1.7 Bottle Information

- [1] **ITEM 19**: Document water collected by recording the following information for each bottle by position number in the carousel.
  - Date (MM/DD/YY or MM-DD-YY) and time the ISCO collected water,
  - Volume (L or ml) of water in the bottle,
  - Type of bottle (e.g., G for glass, P for poly),
  - Specific ISCO displayed message if present.

- [2] <u>IF</u> the sampler(s) did not trigger,
   <u>THEN</u> answer the task line question as "N/A" for Bottle #1 of each sampler and leave the other Bottle task lines unanswered.
- [3] <u>IF</u> a sampler has been inactivated (e.g., sample collection completed) prior to this inspection but continues to appear on the inspection form,

<u>THEN</u> answer the task line question as "N/A". Subsequent questions regarding this sampler may be left unanswered in this section.

[4] Proceed to Section 4.4 if no water was collected.

## 4.2 Retrieving Samples

Refer to the flow diagram in Attachment 3 as an aid in determining sample retrieval.

- [1] Don nitrile gloves and safety glasses.
- [2] Add up the estimated volume of water collected in the sampler.
- [3] Check that the estimated total volume of water in glass and poly matches the required volume for the specific location identified in the MSGP SAP.
  - **NOTE 1:** The volume of water required to complete analysis may vary by monitored location.
  - [a] <u>IF</u> the sample volume is sufficient to fulfill all analytical requirements, <u>THEN</u> continue to Step 4.
  - [b] <u>IF</u> the sample volume is sufficient to fulfill part of the analytical requirements, <u>THEN</u> consult the prioritization order on the MSGP SAP to determine which analysis to fulfill,

 $\underline{\text{OR}}$  contact the MSGP Data Manager. Continue to Step 4 but retrieve only the volume needed.

[c] <u>IF</u> the collected sample will NOT fulfill the minimum required volume for any analysis,

THEN:

- Complete a Visual Assessment if the sample is not filtered (refer to EPC-CP-QP-2105),
- Record estimated total volume (L or ml) retrieved as "0" in ITEM 7,
- Return all water to the ground at the sampling location,
- Skip to Step 11.

### CAUTION

ISCO Avalanche samplers are programmed to cool samples to 4°C. If water is collected and the refrigerator temperature reads higher than 6°C, **do not** retrieve samples that require ICE preservation. Samples do not meet preservation requirements.

- [4] Remove filled and partially filled bottles from the carousel one at a time.
- [5] For samples to be retrieved,
  - [a] Immediately place lids onto the sample bottles.
  - [b] Securely seal the lids.
  - [c] Place a custody seal on each bottle.
- [6] Write the following on each retrieved sample bottle.
  - Date and time collected (e.g., recorded by the ISCO sampler)
  - Sampler Location number
- [7] Conduct a Visual Assessment on a non-filtered sample (refer to EPC-CP-QP-2105).
- [8] Record estimated total volume (L or ml) retrieved in ITEM 7.
- [9] Place retrieved sample bottles in a cooler with blue ice (or equivalent).
- [10] Return any excess stormwater collected that exceeds the amount required for analysis to the ground at the location collected.
- [11] Install new certified clean sample bottles in the carousel to replace retrieved bottles.
  - [a] The number and type of bottles may vary. Ensure bottles match the configuration specified in the MSGP SAP.
- [12] Replace the 0.45-micron filter as needed.

**NOTE 2:** Consult the most current revision of the MSGP SAP for specifics.

[13] <u>IF</u> the sampler is turned OFF for the quarter but new certified clean sample bottles and/or the filter have not been replaced,

THEN note this as follow-up maintenance required in **ITEM 18**.

- [14] Replace and secure the center section of the sampler.
- [15] If the location has more than one sampler, complete Section 4.1.7 thru Section 4.2 for each sampler.
- [16] Return to Section 4.1.2, Step 5.

### 4.3 Removing Stormwater Samples from the field

- [1] Transport retrieved samples and corresponding SCPL (see EPC-CP-QP-2106) to the EPC-CP Stormwater Program Laboratory at TA-59-1.
- [2] Take a pH measurement.
- [3] Sign and date/time the SCPL and place it with the samples in the refrigerator.
- [4] Ensure custody seal is intact on each sample bottle.
- [5] Refer to EPC-CP-QP-2106, *Processing MSGP Stormwater Samples* for processing and submitting samples for shipping to the SMO.
- [6] Ensure the EPC-CP Stormwater Program Laboratory door is locked upon exit.

### 4.4 Completing the Inspection Form

See Attachment 1 for completing the form in MC Express and Attachment 2 for a hard copy example.

- [1] After all task lines have been completed, make sure you have clicked the "Save" bar at the bottom of the page.
- [2] Click the "Back" arrow button in the upper left hand corner to exit the work order Tasks page and return to the Work Order Summary page.
- [3] Click the checkered flag in the upper right corner of the Work Order Summary page to open the Work Order Status Update page. MC Express auto-populates the date and time fields.

#### CAUTION

MC Express automatically changes the work order status to "Closed."

- [4] **ITEM 20:** Click on the expand arrow located on the right side of the "New Status" field and select "Completed" from the available dropdown menu.
  - [a] Ensure the date and time auto populated are the date and time the **work was** completed and *not* the date/time the form was filled out.
  - [b] <u>IF</u> work is performed over multiple days, <u>THEN</u> note the date and time the work began in the Labor Report field.
  - [c] To update the date or time, click the "Date" field and make necessary adjustments using the available timestamp application. Click "Set" to apply changes.
  - [d] <u>IF</u> using a hard copy form, <u>THEN</u> write the date and time the work was completed.

- [5] **ITEM 21:** The field personnel must type or write his/her name in the "Labor Report Update" field.
- [6] Additional notes, observations, or site conditions not documented in a task line "Reading" or "Comments" field can be documented in the "Labor Report Update" field.
- [7] Scroll down the page to the "Signature" bar and click the expand arrow on the left side of the bar to open the "Signature" field.
  - [a] **ITEM 22**: Capture an electronic signature by drawing with a finger on the tablet screen.
    - **NOTE:** The mouse must be used to sign electronically when using MC Express on a desktop screen (not a tablet).
  - [b] If using a hard copy form, the field personnel will sign his/her name and date when the form is signed.
  - [c] Field personnel are certifying that the information submitted is "true, accurate, and complete" by electronically signing the work order.
- [8] Click on the "Save" bar at the bottom of the page to close the "Signature" field.
- [9] <u>IF</u> completing a hard copy, <u>THEN</u> return the form to the MSGP Program Lead.

# 5.0 TRAINING

Personnel performing steps in this procedure that involve electrical equipment **MUST** be trained to LANL electrical safety standards as prescribed in the IWD before performing those steps.

All EPC-CP personnel that execute the activities specified in this procedure must meet the minimum qualification and training requirements for their position as identified in EPC-CP-PIP-2101, NPDES Multi-Sector General Permit Program. This will include "self-study" (required reading) for this procedure. Other participating LANL groups may require training documentation pursuant to local procedures. All training will be assigned and tracked using the LANL training management system, UTrain.

Contract personnel that execute the activities specified in this procedure will be qualified and trained as required by the Exhibit D and Exhibit F. In addition, contract personnel will be required to complete "self-study" (required reading) of this procedure.

# 6.0 RECORDS

EPC-CP is the Office of Record for this document, that must be maintained in accordance with <u>P1020-1</u>, *Laboratory Records Management* and ESH-AP-006, *Records Management Procedure*. Records generated by this document will be submitted to the Records Management designated point of contact or document manager for document management.

Inspecting ISCO Stormwater Runoff	No: EPC-CP-TP-2103	Page 17 of 27
Samplers and Retrieving Samples	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 03/16/2023

As a result of implementing this procedure, below are the records generated that are identified by title and type.

Record Title	QA Record	Non-QA Record
EPC-CP-TP-2103 R1 Form 1, ISCO Sampler Inspection and Sample Retrieval	$\square$	

### 7.0 DEFINITIONS AND ACRONYMS

#### 7.1 Definitions

See LANL *Definition of Terms*.

#### 7.2 Acronyms

#### See LANL Acronym Master List.

°C	Degrees in Celsius
EPC-CP	Environmental Protection and Compliance – Compliance Programs
FOD	Facility Operations Division
IWD	Integrated Work Document
L	Liter
LANL or Laboratory	Los Alamos National Laboratory
MC Express	Maintenance Connection MC Express web application
ml	Milliliter
MSGP	Multi-Sector General Permit
MST	Mountain Standard Time
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
рН	Potential Hydrogen
SAP	Sampling and Analysis Plan
SCPL	Sample Collection and Processing Log/Field Chain of Custody
V	Volts

### 8.0 **REFERENCES**

EPC-CP-QP-2105, MSGP Stormwater Visual Assessments

EPC-CP-QP-2106, Processing MSGP Stormwater Samples

EPC-CP-TP-2102, Installing, Setting Up, and Operating ISCO Samplers

Inspecting ISCO Stormwater Runoff	No: EPC-CP-TP-2103	Page 18 of 27	1
Samplers and Retrieving Samples	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 03/16/2023	1

EPC-CP-PIP-2101, NPDES Multi-Sector General Permit Program Implementation Plan

ESH-AP-006, Records Management Plan

P1020-1, Laboratory Records Management

### 9.0 ATTACHMENTS

**Attachment 1:** Screenshot Examples of EPC-CP-TP-2103 R1 Form 1, ISCO Sampler Inspection and Sample Retrieval in MC Express

**Attachment 2:** EPC-CP-TP-2103 R1 Form 1, ISCO Sampler Inspection and Sample Retrieval Hard Copy Example

Attachment 3: Sample Retrieval Flow Diagram

Inspecting ISCO Stormwater Runoff	No: EPC-CP-TP-2103	Page 19 of 27
Samplers and Retrieving Samples	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 03/16/2023

(Page 1 of 6)

Work Order Summary Page (Section 3.1, Steps 8 and 9)

-	N	C Express	
WORK ORDER Summary	R: MSGP-59941		№ ⊙
TA	<mark>SGP05101] MSGP0510</mark> - <i>54 Area G</i> Jed	1	
EXAMPLE	ISCO Sampler Installat	ion	
Tasks	)		25
Assign	iments		0
Labor			0
Parts			0
Other	Costs		0
() Attac	hments		0
Asset	History		142
More Work	Order Detail		0
0	Refresh		List

Inspecting ISCO Stormwater Runoff	No: EPC-CP-TP-2103	Page 20 of 27
Samplers and Retrieving Samples	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 03/16/2023

(Page 2 of 6)

Work Order Tasks page - On Arrival (Section 4.1.1, Steps 2-5)

RX CORDER: MSGP-59941     A RATIVAL     20   1 Sampler ON and functioning property upon arrival?   20   20   20   20   20   20   20   20   20   20   20   20   21   20   20   20   20   21   20   20   20   21   20   22   20   23   24   25   25   26   26   27   28   29   20   20   20   20   21   20   22   20   21   20   20   21   20   21   20   21   20   21   22   23   24   25   25   26   26   27   28   21   20   20   21   22   23   24   25   25   26   26   27   28   29   29   20   20   20   20   20 <th>4</th> <th>MC Express</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>	4	MC Express			
NARRIVAL 20 13 Sampler ON and functioning property upon arrival? 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20		RDER: MSGP-59941	P	0	
Is sampler ON and functioning property upon arrival? 30 30 30 30 40 31 40 41 42 43 40 45 45 46 46 47 48 48 48 48 49 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 41 42 42 43 40 44 44 45 46 47 48 48 48 49 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 41 42 42 42 43 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 45 46 47 48 48 48 49 40		RIVAL		-	
Desc the sampler display "Sampler Inhibited" if No, record specific message(s).   Asset: [210001437] ISCO 3700 Sampler     1     2     2     2     2     2     2     3     3     3     3     3     3     3     3     3     3     3     3 <td>1</td> <td>Is sampler ON and functioning properly upon arrival?</td> <td>1</td> <td>0</td> <td></td>	1	Is sampler ON and functioning properly upon arrival?	1	0	
Is sampler time defta < 1 min (MST)? If No, record adjustment: Asset: [210001437] ISC0 3700 Sampler 50 50 50 50 60 Dees the Avalanche display "Program Disabled? If No, record specific message(s). Asset: [210001522] ISC0 Avalanche Sampler 60 Dees the Avalanche display "Program Disabled? If No, record specific message(s). Asset: [210001522] ISC0 Avalanche Sampler 70 8 sampler time defta < 1 min (MST)? If No, record adjustment Asset: [210011522] ISC0 Avalanche Sampler 70 8 sampler time defta < 1 min (MST)? If No, record adjustment Asset: [210011522] ISC0 Avalanche Sampler 70 8 sampler time defta < 1 min (MST)? If No, record adjustment Asset: [210011522] ISC0 Avalanche Sampler 70 8 sampler time defta < 1 min (MST)? If No, record adjustment Asset: [210011522] ISC0 Avalanche Sampler 8 def resh 10 time defta < 1 min (MST)? If No, record adjustment Asset: [210011522] ISC0 Avalanche Sampler 10 time defta < 1 min (MST)? If No, record adjustment Asset: [210011522] ISC0 Avalanche Sampler 10 time defta < 1 min (MST)? If No, record adjustment Asset: [210011522] ISC0 Avalanche Sampler 10 time defta < 1 min (MST)? If No, record adjustment Asset: [210011521] ISC0 Avalanche Sampler 10 time defta < 1 min (MST)? If No, record adjustment Asset: [210011521] ISC0 Avalanche Sampler 10 time defta < 1 min (MST)? If No, record adjustment 10 time defta < 1 min (MST)? If No, record adjustment 10 time defta < 1 min (MST)? If No, record adjustment 10 time defta < 1 min (MST)? If No, record adjustment 10 time defta < 1 min (MST)? If No, record adjustment 10 time defta < 1 min (MST)? If No, record adjustment 10 time defta < 1 min (MST)? If No, record adjustment 10 time defta  10 time defta <p< td=""><td>2</td><td>Does the sampler display "Sampler Inhibited"? If No, record specific message(s).</td><td></td><td>0</td><td></td></p<>	2	Does the sampler display "Sampler Inhibited"? If No, record specific message(s).		0	
b sampler ON and functioning property upon arrival? Asset: [210,001522] ISCO Avaianche Sampler:	3	Is sampler time delta < 1 min (MST)? If No, record adjustment	-	0	
Does the Avalanche display "Program Disabled? If No, record specific message(s).   Asset: [210,01522] ISCO Avalanche Sampler     70   Is sampler time delta < 1 min (MST)? If No, record adjustment.	-	Is sampler ON and functioning properly upon arrival?		0	
Is sampler time delta < 1 min (MST)? If No, record adjustment Asset: [210J01522] ISCO Avalanche Sampler Refresh EList          NCE Express       Image: NSGP-59941         VOR KORDER: MSGP-59941       Image: NSGP-59941         Image: Sampler ON and functioning property upon arrival?       Image: NSGP-59941         Reading       Image: Nocked over by bear, power disconnected       Image: Nocked over by bear, power disconnected         Imitials       Imitials       Imitials         Tot Applicable?       Image: Nock Applicable?       Image: Nocked over by bear, power disconnected         Not Applicable?       No       Image: Nock Applicable?         No       Image: Nock Applicable?       Image: Nock Applicable?       Image: Nock Applicable?         No       Image: Nock Applicable?       Image: Nock Applicable?       Image: Nock Applicable?       Image: Nock Applicable?         No       Image: Nock Applicable?       Image: Nock Applicable?       Image: Nock Applicable?       Image: Nock Applicable?	-	Does the Avalanche display "Program Disabled"? If No, record specific message(s).	1	0	
NC Express     WORK ORDER: MSGP-59941   Ent Task     20   Is sampler ON and functioning properly upon arrival?   [10001437] ISCO 3700 Sampler     Reading   Sampler knocked over by bear, power disconnected   Initials   Failed?   Yes   Not Applicable   No   Comments				~	
WORK ORDER: MSGP-59941   Edit Task     20   Is sampler ON and functioning properly upon arrival?   [210C01437] ISCO 3700 Sampler     Reading   Sampler knocked over by bear, power disconnected   Initials   [ailed?]   Ves   Not Applicable   No   Comments	4			0	
Edit Task  20 Is sampler ON and functioning properly upon arrival? [21001437] ISCO 3700 Sampler  Reading Sampler knocked over by bear, power disconnected  Initials  Failed?  Yes Not Applicable? No Complete? No Complete? No Comments		Asset: [210J01522] ISCO Avalanche Sampler		C	I
Sampler knocked over by bear, power disconnected Initials Failed? Yes Ves Not Applicable? No Complete? No Complete? No Comments		Asset: [210J01522] ISCO Avalanche Sampler Refresh III List MC Express		Q	I
Failed? Yes Not Applicable? No Complete? No Comments		Asset: [210J01522] ISCO Avalanche Sampler           Refresh         List           WORK ORDER: MSGP-59941         MC Express           Edit Task         20         Is sampler ON and functioning properly upon arrival?           Image: State	<ul> <li>O</li> </ul>	0	
Ves O Not Applicable? No O Complete? No O Comments		Asset: [210J01522] ISCO Avalanche Sampler  Refresh  List  List  WORK ORDER: MSGP-59941  Edit Task  20 Is sampler ON and functioning properly upon arrival? [210C01437] ISCO 3700 Sampler  Reading	<ul> <li>■</li> <li>■</li></ul>	0	
Yes O		Asset: [210J01522] ISCO Avalanche Sampler           Refresh         List           MC Express         Edit Task           WORK ORDER: MSGP-59941         Edit Task           20         Is sampler ON and functioning properly upon arrival? [210C01437] ISCO 3700 Sampler           Reading         Sampler knocked over by bear, power disconnected	<ul> <li>O</li> <li>A</li> </ul>	0	
Not Applicable? No Complete? No Comments		Asset: [210J01522] ISCO Avalanche Sampler  Refresh  List  List  MC Express  WORK ORDER: MSGP-59941  Edit Task  20 Is sampler ON and functioning properly upon arrival? [210C01437] ISCO 3700 Sampler  Reading  Sampler knocked over by bear, power disconnected Initials	<ul> <li></li> <li><!--</td--><td>0</td><td> </td></li></ul>	0	
No O Complete? No O Comments		Asset: [210J01522] ISCO Avalanche Sampler           Refresh         List           MC Express         Edit           WORK ORDER: MSGP-59941         Edit Task           Z0         Is sampler ON and functioning properly upon arrival? [210C01437] ISCO 3700 Sampler           Reading         Sampler knocked over by bear, power disconnected           Initials         Edit Task	<ul> <li>O</li> <li>O&lt;</li></ul>	0	
Ne Comments		Asset: [210J01522] ISCO Avalanche Sampler           Refresh         List           MC Express         WORK ORDER: MSGP-59941           Edit Task         20         Is sampler ON and functioning properly upon arrival?           Reading         Sampler Knocked over by bear, power disconnected         Initials           Failed?         Yes	<ul> <li></li> <li></li></ul>	Ð	
Comments		Asset: [210J01522] ISCO Avalanche Sampler  Refresh  List  List  MC Express  WORK ORDER: MSGP-59941 Edit Task  Z0 Is sampler ON and functioning properly upon arrival? [210C01437] ISCO 3700 Sampler  Reading  Sampler knocked over by bear, power disconnected Initials  Failed? Yes  Not Applicable?	<ul> <li>○</li> <li>○</li></ul>	Đ	
		Asset: [210J01522] ISCO Avalanche Sampler           Refresh         List           VORK ORDER: MSGP-59941         MC Express           WORK ORDER: MSGP-59941         Edit Task           Z0         Is sampler ON and functioning properly upon arrival?           Reading         Sampler Knocked over by bear, power disconnected           Initials         Failed?           Ves         No	<ul> <li></li> <li></li></ul>	Đ	
Cancel Sava		Asset: [210J01522] ISCO Avalanche Sampler          Refresh       III         MC Express         WORK ORDER: MSGP-59941         Edit Task         20         Is sampler ON and functioning property upon arrival?         III Task         20         Is sampler ON and functioning property upon arrival?         Reading         Sampler knocked over by bear, power disconnected         Initials         Failed?         Yes         No         Complete?		C	
Cancel Sava		Asset: [210J01522] ISCO Avalanche Sampler          Refresh       List         Not       Applicable?         No       No	<ul> <li></li> <li></li></ul>	C	
		Asset: [210J01522] ISCO Avalanche Sampler   Refresh		C	


Inspecting ISCO Stormwater Runoff	No: EPC-CP-TP-2103	Page 21 of 27
Samplers and Retrieving Samples	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 03/16/2023

(Page 3 of 6)

Work Order Task Page – Water Collection Information and Water Retrieval Information (Sections 4.1.2, Steps 3-5 and 4.1.3, Steps 1 and 2)

E	MC Express	
WORK ORDER: MSGP-599 Tasks	941 P	$\odot$
Water Collection infor	mation	
90 4 Is there evidence of	flow? If YES (but no water collected), describe and record date/time of discharge.	+
100 5 Is any water collect	ed? If YES, complete Bottle Information section.	*
	ed, record current refrigerator temperature (C). ISCO Avalanche Sampler	4
Water Retrieval inform	nation	
130 7 Was sample volume	RETRIEVED? If Yes, record total volume retrieved.	*
140 B Was a Visual Assessm	nent performed? If Yes, complete the MSGP Visual Assessment form (EPC-CP-QP-2105).	<u>+</u>

Work Order Task Page – On Departure (Sections 4.1.4, Steps 2 and 3)



Inspecting ISCO Stormwater Runoff	No: EPC-CP-TP-2103	Page 22 of 27
Samplers and Retrieving Samples	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 03/16/2023

(Page 4 of 6)

# Work Order Task Page – Equipment Specific Tasks (Sections 4.1.5, Steps 1-8)

( <del>c</del>	MC Express	
WORK ORDER: MSGP-59941 Fasks		P 🔊
Equipment specific tasks		
190 Does the sampler pass the ISCO of Asset: [209H01285] ISCO 3700 Sa		4
200 Is intake tubing free/clear of det Asset: [209H01285] ISCO 3700 Sa		4
210 Does sample tubing pass suction Asset: [209H01285] ISCO 3700 Sa		4
220 Is sampler on upon departure? Asset: [209H01285] ISCO 3700 Sa	mpler	4
230 Has the actuator switch been res Asset: [209H01285] ISCO 3700 Sa		*
240 Does ISCO display "Sampler Inhib Asset: [209H01285] ISCO 3700 Sa	ited" on departure? mpler	+


### Work Order Task Page – Maintenance Information (Sections 4.1.6, Steps 1-3)

( <del>C</del>	MC Express				
WORK ORDER: MSGP-5994 Tasks	1	P	$\odot$		
Maintenance information	n				
320 17 Is any maintenance no	t described above completed during inspection? If Yes, describe.		+		
330 18 Is any follow-on mainte	enance not described above required? If Yes, describe.		<u>*</u>		

Inspecting ISCO Stormwater Runoff	No: EPC-CP-TP-2103	Page 23 of 27
Samplers and Retrieving Samples	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 03/16/2023

(Page 5 of 6)

Work Order Task Page – Bottle Information (Sections 4.1.7, Step 1)



Inspecting ISCO Stormwater Runoff	No: EPC-CP-TP-2103	Page 24 of 27
Samplers and Retrieving Samples	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 03/16/2023

(Page 6 of 6)

Work Order Status Update Page (Section 4.4, Steps 4 and 5)

<b>(</b> -	MC Express	
WORK ORDER: MS tatus Update	GP-59941	
Issued New Status	/ Completed	
Completed		O
Date		
1/11/2023 8:0	0 AM	
Percent Com	plete 100%	
0		
Labor Report	Update	
Select Comme	nts to Add	O
<b>_</b>		
Existing Labo	r Report 21	1
Jane Adm		

Work Order Status Update Page (Section 4.4, Step 7)

+		MC Express	
WORK ORD Status Updat	ER: MSGP-59941	2	
O Signa	ature 22		
(Remov	<u>e)</u>		
1	euro Admin	~	
0	Cancel		Save

Inspecting ISCO Stormwater Runoff	No: EPC-CP-TP-2103	Page 25 of 27
Samplers and Retrieving Samples	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 03/16/2023

## Attachment 2: ISCO Sampler Inspection and Sample Retrieval Hard Copy Example EPC-CP-TP-2103 R1 Form 1

(Page 1 of 2)

os A	os Alamos National Laboratory		Work Or	MSGP	Monitori	ng Statio		
Mainte	enance Details			A. Manie	S. all and a state	Serve of	an is the	
Reque Procec Last P	Inspection and Sample Retrieval (EPC-CP- TP-2103 R1 Form 1)	Department:	1/31/2023 / Inspection Weapons Facilities Operations	Gontact:	P Program 33 9-0214 Meta Admin, Jani 123-4567		cation	Shop
Projec	t: ISCO Sampler Inspections wk 3/28/2 (P-MSGP-5564)	2						
Reaso	n: EXAMPLE MSGP ISCO San	npler Inspection and	Sample Retrieval					
Tasks	<del>4</del>					_		_
#	Description				Meas.	No	N/A	Yes
ONAF	RRIVAL							
20	ISCO 3700 Sampler [209H01						П	<b>D</b>
30	ISCO 3700 Sampler [209H01 record specific message(s).		an and the second fit and	1001014-11-04		Π		
40	ISCO 3700 Sampler [209H01 adjustment					Π	п	
ISCO Avalanche Sampler [210J01236] Is sampler ON and functioning properly upon arrival?				п	П	П		
ISCO Avalanche Sampler [210J01236] Does the Avalanche display "Program     Disabled"? If No, record specific message(s).			-		E.			
ISCO Avalanche Sampler [210J01236] Is sampler time delta < 1 min (MST)? If No. record adjustment					Π			
Water	Collection information							
90	Is there evidence of flow? If Y of discharge.	ES (but no water co	llected), describe and rec	ord date/time		÷.	-	-
100		complete Bottle Int	formation section		·	1	-	-
Intersection         Is any water collected? If YES, complete Bottle Information section.           ISCO Avalanche Sampler [210J01236] If water was collected, record current refrigerator           110         temperature (C).				-	E	-		
						-		
Water 130	Retrieval information Was sample volume RETRIE	VED? If Yes, record	total volume retrieved.					
Was a Visual Assessment performed? If Yes, complete the MSGP Visual Assessment form (EPC-CP-QP-2105).								
ON DE	EPARTURE							
160	Are electrical connections sec	ure?				E	E	
170								
Equip	ment specific tasks							
190	ISCO 3700 Sampler [209H01	285] Does the samp	oler pass the ISCO diagno	ostics test?				
200	ISCO 3700 Sampler [209H01285] Is intake tubing free/clear of debris?						E	
210								1
220	ISCO 3700 Sampler [209H01	285] is sampler on	upon departure?					
230	ISCO 3700 Sampler [209H01	285] Has the actuat	or switch been reset to "L	atch"?				П
240	ISCO 3700 Sampler [209H01	285] Does ISCO dis	play "Sampler Inhibited"	on departure?	-			
	ISCO Avalanche Sampler [2	10J01236] Does the	sampler pass the ISCO	diagnostics				1
	Panet C						E	
250 260	test? ISCO Avalanche Sampler [2			17.				

Inspecting ISCO Stormwater Runoff	No: EPC-CP-TP-2103	Page 26 of 27
Samplers and Retrieving Samples	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 03/16/2023

# Attachment 2: ISCO Sampler Inspection and Sample Retrieval Hard Copy Example (cont.) EPC-CP-TP-2103 R1 Form 1,

(Page 2 of 2)


270	ISCO Avalanche Sampler [210J01236] Does sample tubing pass suction test?			
280	ISCO Avalanche Sampler [210J01236] Is sampler on upon departure?			Г
290	ISCO Avalanche Sampler [210J01236] Has the actuator switch been reset to "Latch"?			Г
300	ISCO Avalanche Sampler [210J01236] Does Avalanche display "Program Disabled" on departure?		D	
Mainte	nance information			
320	Is any maintenance not described above completed during inspection? If Yes, describe		E.	10
330	Is any follow-on maintenance not described above required? If Yes, describe.			Г
Bottle	information: IF bottle collected record bottle type (P or G), collection date & time, volume, a	and/or any Is	SCO	
messa				
350	ISCO 3700 Sampler [209H01285] Bottle #1?			
360	ISCO 3700 Sampler [209H01285] Bottle #2?			Г
370	ISCO 3700 Sampler [209H01285] Bottle #3?			Г
380	ISCO 3700 Sampler [209H01285] Bottle #4?			Г
390	ISCO 3700 Sampler [209H01285] Bottle #5?	17	П	_
400	ISCO 3700 Sampler [209H01285] Bottle #6?			Г
410	ISCO 3700 Sampler [209H01285] Bottle #7?			
420	ISCO 3700 Sampler [209H01285] Bottle #8?			Г
430	ISCO 3700 Sampler [209H01285] Bottle #9?			Г
440	ISCO 3700 Sampler [209H01285] Bottle #10?			Г
450	ISCO 3700 Sampler [209H01285] Bottle #11?			Г
460	ISCO 3700 Sampler [209H01285] Bottle #12?			Г
470	ISCO Avalanche Sampler [210J01236] Bottle #1?			Г
480	ISCO Avalanche Sampler [210J01236] Bottle #2?			F
490	ISCO Avalanche Sampler [210J01236] Bottle #3?	E		Г
500	ISCO Avalanche Sampler [210J01236] Bottle #4?	, E		Г
abor	Report			
Comp	1/11/2023 leted: 8:00:00 AM			
Repor	L Jane Admin			_
	Demo Adrin 1/11/2023			
	<sup>g</sup> Signature / Name Date Signature / Name		Date	

EPC-CP-TP-2103 R1 Form 1

Inspecting ISCO Stormwater Runoff	No: EPC-CP-TP-2103	Page 27 of 27
Samplers and Retrieving Samples	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 03/16/2023

#### Attachment 3: Sample Retrieval Flow Diagram

(Page 1 of 1)



ATTACHMENT 20: EPC-CP-QP-2106, PROCESSING MSGP STORMWATER SAMPLES

EPC-CP-QP-2106 Effective Date: 11/28/2022		Revision: <b>1</b>		Los Alamos
		Next Review Date	e: 11/28/2025	NATIONAL LABORATORY
Environment, Safety, Health, Quality, Safeguards, and Security Directorate				
Environment	<b>Protection</b>	and Complia	nce – Complianc	e Programs Group
Quality Proc	edure			
	Process	ing MSGP	Stormwater S	Samples
Hazard Grading:	🛛 Low	Moderate	High/Complex	
Usage Level:	🔀 Reference	UET	Mixed: UET Sections:	
Status:	New	🔀 Major Revision	Minor Revision	
	Review w/No	Changes	Other:	
Safety Basis:			_	
	D	ocument Author/	Subject Matter Exper	t:
Name:		Organization:	Signature:	Date:
Alethea Banar		EPC-CP	Signature on File	10-26-2022
	Derivative	Classifier: 🔀 U	nclassified or	
Name:		Organization:	Signature:	Date:
Steven Wolfel		EPC-CP	Signature on File	10-26-2022
		Approva	I Signatures:	
Subject Matter Expert	:	Organization:	Signature:	Date:
Holly Wheeler		EPC-CP	Signature on File	11-01-2022
Responsible Line Man	ager:	Organization:	Signature:	Date:
Terrill Lemke, Team	Leader	EPC-CP	Signature on File	11-16-2022
Responsible Line Man	ager:	Organization:	Signature:	Date:
Steve Story, Group I	Leader	EPC-CP	Signature on File	11-28-2022
This copy is uncontrolled. Users are responsible for ensuring they work to the latest approved version. To document a required read, Login to <u>UTrain</u> , and go to the Advanced Search.				

Processing MSGP Stormwater	No: EPC-CP-QP-2106	Page 2 of 19
Samples	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 11/28/2022

#### **REVISION HISTORY**

Document Number and Revision	Effective Date	Description of Changes	
ENV-RCRA-QP-048, Rev. 0	07/2011	New document	
ENV-CP-QP-048, Rev. 1	09/2013	Annual Review and Revision, new format, process change, and new organization name.	
EPC-CP-QP-048, Rev. 2	06/05/2017	Review and Revision, new format, and new organization name, clarified steps, updated attachments.	
EPC-CP-QP-048 R3	10/05/2017	Updated Sample Collection Log instructions, added a step describing evidence of flow, and added section for addressing excess stormwater material.	
EPC-CP-QP-048 R4	01/31/2019	Sample Collection Log form and associated text updated. Added text for collecting quality control samples.	
EPC-CP-QP-2106 R0	10/18/2019	Supersedes EPC-CP-QP-048 R4. New EPC-CP procedure format and numbering system. Minor editorial updates.	
EPC-CP-QP-2106 R1	11/28/2022	Supersedes EPC-CP-QP-2106 R0. Review and revise to update to the 2021 MSGP.	

## **Table of Contents**

Revis	ion Hi	istory	.2		
1.0	Intro	duction	.4		
	1.1	Purpose	.4		
	1.2	Scope	.4		
	1.3	Applicability	.4		
2.0	Preca	autions and Limitations	.4		
3.0	Prere	equisite Actions	.5		
	3.1	Planning and Coordination			
	3.2	Performance Documents	.5		
	3.3	Special Tools, Equipment, Parts and Supplies			
	3.4	Equipment Calibration	.6		
4.0	Proc	essing Samples	.6		
	4.1	Preparation for Processing Samples			
	4.2	Filtering Samples			
	4.3	Preserving Unfiltered and Filtered Samples1	10		
	4.4	Quality Control Samples1			
		4.4.1 Field Blank Samples1			
		4.4.2 Field Duplicate Samples1			
	4.5	Handling Excess Stormwater1			
	4.6	Submit Samples for Shipping to Offsite Analytical Laboratory1			
5.0		ning1			
6.0		rds1			
7.0	Defir	nitions and Acronyms1			
	7.1	Definitions1			
	7.2	Acronyms1			
8.0		rences1			
9.0	0 Attachments				
Attachment 1: EPC-CP-QP-2106 R1 Form 1, MSGP pH Probe Calibration Log					
		Attachment 2: Water Sample Collection and Processing Log/Field Chain of Custody Example			
Attachment 3: Sample Container Labels Example					

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Triad National Security LLC, the operator for Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL or the Laboratory), conducts stormwater monitoring activities pursuant to the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES), Multi-Sector General Permit (MSGP). As part of this monitoring, Environmental Protection and Compliance, Compliance Programs (EPC-CP) personnel collect stormwater discharge samples from discharge points at industrial sites and prepare them for analysis.

## 1.1 Purpose

This procedure describes the process for filtering, preserving and preparing stormwater samples for shipment to an analytical laboratory from locations where EPC-CP conducts stormwater monitoring activities required pursuant to the NPDES MSGP. This procedure may also be used for other Associate Laboratory Directorate for Environment, Safety, Health, Quality, Safeguards, and Security (ALDESHQSS) stormwater monitoring activities as needed.

## 1.2 Scope

Stormwater samples are collected in the field with either a refrigerated Avalanche<sup>®</sup> or ISCO 3700 automated sampler, single stage sampler, or by hand. When in-line filtration is not possible, sample filtration, along with chemical preservation (as required) is conducted immediately following sample retrieval in the field or in the EPC-CP Stormwater Laboratory (TA-59-0001).

Sample collection, submission, and analysis is conducted using Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission guidelines. MSGP monitoring samples are collected and analyzed according to test procedures approved under Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations Part 136 unless other test procedures have been specified in the MSGP. Quantitation limits associated with these test procedures are sufficiently sensitive to meet MSGP limits.

# 1.3 Applicability

This procedure applies to EPC-CP technical staff and subcontractor personnel (as applicable) who conduct processing and chemical preservation of stormwater samples either in the EPC-CP Stormwater Laboratory or in the field.

The MSGP Program Lead is the primary person responsible for this procedure. EPC-CP personnel are appointed responsibility for a subset of sampling stations. Other stormwater monitoring programs or projects utilizing this procedure will refer to program or project specific roles and responsibilities.

# 2.0 PRECAUTIONS AND LIMITATIONS

The hazard level for the activities in this procedure is <u>LOW</u>. An Integrated Work Document Part II (2101 Form) will address any site-specific requirements and training for Facility Operations Divisions (FOD) if required by the FOD.

Processing MSGP Stormwater	No: EPC-CP-QP-2106	Page 5 of 19
Samples	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 11/28/2022

Use only sample containers that are documented to meet or exceed "US EPA Specification and Guidance for Contaminant-Free Sample Containers" (Publication 9240.05A, EPA/540/R-93/051, December 1992). Never clean or re-use sample containers. Keep containers in a clean, dry place until a sample is ready for processing and transfer to the appropriate container(s).

## 3.0 PREREQUISITE ACTIONS

## 3.1 Planning and Coordination

Refer to the most current revision of the MSGP or program/project specific Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP) to determine the need for collecting quality control samples. Collect the types and quantities of quality control samples at the locations specified.

Schedule and complete stormwater processing to meet the analytical holding time requirements identified in the MSGP SAP or as requested by the MSGP Program Lead. Other stormwater monitoring programs or projects utilizing this procedure will refer to their program or project specific SAP.

The MSGP Data Manager will generate Water Sample Collection and Processing Log/Field Chain of Custody (SCPL) form(s) at the beginning of the MSGP monitoring year and/or the beginning of each MSGP monitoring quarter from the Environmental Information Management (EIM) database. If the MSGP Data Manager is not available, forms will be obtained from the EPC-CP Sample Management Office (SMO). The SMO will generate Chain of Custody/Analysis Request form(s) as samples are submitted for shipment to an analytical laboratory.

## 3.2 Performance Documents

Personnel performing this procedure will be familiar with the most current versions of the following documents if the equipment or chemicals are utilized.

- EPC-CP MSGP SAP for the current monitoring year
- Peristaltic Pump User Manual (e.g., GeoTech<sup>®</sup>)
- pH meter and probe user manual (e.g., HACH sensION<sup>®</sup> + Portable Meter, HACH 50 50 T<sup>®</sup> probe)
- Material Safety Data Sheet or Safety Data Sheet for preservation chemicals

# 3.3 Special Tools, Equipment, Parts and Supplies

Ensure the following equipment is available:

- Safety glasses with side shields
- Nitrile gloves
- Lab coat
- Eyewash in Stormwater Lab (or portable eyewash in the field)

- Water SCPL form
- EPC-CP MSGP SAP most recent revision for the current monitoring year OR project specific monitoring plan
- Sample containers (glass and poly bottles)
- Sample container lids
- pH meter and probe
- Acid and base preservatives
- Clean silicon (e.g., Tygon) tubing
- Portable peristaltic pump (e.g., Geopump or equivalent)
- 0.45 micron (μm) and/or 0.10 μm cartridge filters (where applicable)
- Deionized water (where applicable)
- Paper towels
- Coolers with ice, Blue Ice<sup>®</sup>, or equivalent
- Ball point pen
- Permanent marker
- Chain-of-custody seals/tape
- Copy of this procedure
- Cell phone (only government cell phones are allowed in secure areas) (See <a href="https://int.lanl.gov/policy/documents/P217.pdf">https://int.lanl.gov/policy/documents/P217.pdf</a> for requirements for using portable electronic devices on Laboratory property.

## 3.4 Equipment Calibration

Some analyses specified in the program or project SAP require recording field parameters such as pH. If a pH meter and probe are used, the equipment will be calibrated once before each use. Follow the instructions in the equipment manufacturer's manual to perform a three-point calibration with certified pH buffers 4.00, 7.00, and 10.00. Record the calibration results in a dedicated calibration notebook or on EPC-CP-QP-2106 R1 Form 1, *MSGP pH Probe Calibration Log* (see Attachment 1).

## 4.0 PROCESSING SAMPLES

In this procedure, sample collection bottles are the bottles in which the sample was collected in the field. Sample containers are containers into which the original sample is transferred (as necessary) during processing and shipped to the analytical laboratory.

**NOTE:** Prior to performing any of the steps in the following sub-sections, ensure that you are wearing the proper clothing. Don nitrile gloves, safety glasses with side shields, and a lab coat. Confirm that the eyewash station is operational prior to processing samples.

## 4.1 Preparation for Processing Samples

#### Sample Retriever

[1] Arrange sample collection bottles on the workbench in order by MSGP sampling location, ensuring to distinguish bottles collected via in-line filtration from non-filtered bottles, where applicable.

#### CAUTION

Process only one sample set (i.e., samples listed on one SCPL form or samples from one location) at a time to ensure stormwater from different locations is not co-mingled.

- [2] Cross-check the Location ID (e.g., MSGP00201) on the sample bottles with the LOCATION ID on the SCPL form (see example in Attachment 2).
- [3] Ensure the pre-populated information on the SCPL form is correct. Document any changes [e.g., change FIELD MATRIX code from rain (WT) to snowmelt (WM)].
- [4] Write the following information on the SCPL.
  - [a] Sampler Inspection and Sample Retrieval form (refer to EPC-CP-TP-2103) identification number (e.g., Work Order: MSGP-xxxx);
  - [b] Date/time the sample was collected in the field (e.g., date/time automated sampler filled the sample bottles or a grab sample was taken);
  - [c] Date/time the sample was retrieved from the field;
  - [d] "Not Applicable" (N/A) in the LOCATION SYNONYM(S) field unless the information is required by the SAP;
  - [e] N/A in the PRIORITY box if box is not pre-populated;
  - [f] Any pertinent information regarding sample collection and/or retrieval in the SAMPLE COMMENTS field (e.g., grab sample collected by hand, recent erosion observed up-gradient of sampler) or N/A;
  - [g] N/A for FIELD PARAMETER Sample Time (this is documented at the top of the form as COLLECTION TIME);
  - [h] pH measurement taken at the time the sample was collected in the field OR time sample was received at the EPC-CP Stormwater Laboratory (if applicable) or N/A;
  - [i] Indicate if a visual assessment was performed.

- <u>IF</u> a visual assessment <u>WAS</u> <u>NOT</u> performed, <u>THEN</u> write N or No in the Visual Inspection space.
- IF a visual assessment WAS performed, <u>THEN</u> write Y or Yes in the Visual Inspection space and the identification number from the MSGP Visual Assessment form (refer to EPC-CP-QP-2105) (e.g., MSGP-xxxx).
- [j] The printed name and signature of the person who retrieved the sample in the COLLECTED BY box and date/time the sample was retrieved from the field.
- [5] <u>IF</u> the person who retrieved the sample is processing, <u>THEN</u> write N/A in the first RELINQUISHED BY and RECEIVED BY boxes.
- [6] <u>IF</u> the person who retrieved the sample is NOT processing, <u>THEN</u>
  - [a] He/she will print and sign his/her name and the date/time samples are relinquished to the processor in the RELINQUISHED BY box.
  - [b] The processor will print and sign his/her name and the date/time samples are received in the first RECEIVED BY box.

### Sample Processor

- [7] Ensure the following information is correct for the analysis requested on the SCPL.
  - [a] Sample container volume and type [e.g., 500 milliliter (mL) POLY].
  - [b] Preservation type (e.g., ICE).
  - [c] Note any deviation from the planned sample container volume, type, or preservation on the SCPL.
- [8] Determine which samples require filtration and chemical preservation as requested on the SCPL.
  - [a] Match each sample container with the SCPL(s) on the workbench.

**NOTE 2:** Requirements are also identified in the most current SAP revision.

- [9] For split samples, follow these steps:
  - [a] Turn the sample collection bottle upside down multiple times to ensure sediment is loose from the bottom of the bottle.
  - [b] Pour sample into sample containers ensuring the sample remains homogenized throughout the transfer.
- [10] Refer to Section 4.2 Filtering Samples, Section 4.3 Preserving Unfiltered and Filtered Samples, and Section 4.4 Quality Control Samples as needed.

- [11] Indicate if each sample on the SCL was collected by writing Y for Yes or N for No in the COLLECTED Y/N box.
- [12] IF the SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS box is not pre-populated, THEN write N/A in the box.
- [13] Document any other deviations from the planned sample processing on the SCPL (e.g., turbid sample required extra filtration step, used standard deionized water in lieu of ultrapure water for field blank) under PROCESSING COMMENTS or SAMPLING COMMENTS,

<u>OR</u> write N/A.

- [14] <u>IF</u> no further processing is required (e.g., chemical preservation), <u>THEN</u> apply a chain-of-custody seal/tape around the bottle and lid and sign and date the seal/tape.
- [15] The person processing the sample will print and sign his/her name and indicate the date/time samples were processed in the PROCESSED BY box.
- [16] Proceed to Section 4.5.

## 4.2 Filtering Samples

Filter samples if specified on the SCPL or if an in-line filter was not used during sample collection.

- [1] Select the appropriate-sized cartridge filter (e.g., 0.10µm or 0.45µm).
- [2] Set up the filter assembly.
  - [a] Attach an appropriate amount of silicone tubing to both ends of the cartridge filter.
  - [b] Place the filter upstream of the peristaltic pump to prevent overpressurization.
  - [c] <u>IF</u> the sample contains a significant amount of sediment, <u>THEN</u> a pre-filter of the same size or larger micron capacity may be used.
- [3] For split filtered samples, follow these steps:
  - [a] Move the intake tube up and down through the sample during filtration.

**NOTE 1:** A sample collected solely for filtration can be filtered without being homogenized by gently shaking.

- [4] Replace the filter if any of the following conditions occur:
  - flow diminishes,
  - the pump begins to make a grinding sound, or
  - the tubing is forced off the filter by backpressure.

- [5] Place the lid on the container.
  - [a] Ensure the lid is securely affixed to the container.
  - [b] Add a check mark next to the filtered requirement previously marked on the lid to indicate that filtration has been completed.
  - [c] Clean and dry the exterior of sample container.
  - [d] Check sample container for leakage and breakage.
- [6] Remove and dispose of filter and tubing when filtration of one sample set (location) has been completed.

**NOTE 2:** A new filter must be used with each new sample set.

[7] Return to Section 4.1, Step 11.

## 4.3 Preserving Unfiltered and Filtered Samples

Preservation entails the addition of acid or base to a sample. Acids currently used include hydrochloric acid (HCl), nitric acid (HNO<sub>3</sub>), and sulfuric acid (H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>). Bases currently used in preservation include sodium hydroxide (NaOH). Review the appropriate Material Safety Data Sheet or Safety Data Sheet for specific guidelines prior to preserving samples. Specific acids/bases used depend on the required monitored parameters and are subject to change (e.g., biennial Clean Water Act §303(d)/305(b) Integrated Report updates).

## WARNING

Preservatives are strong acids and bases that can cause severe burns. Take extreme care when using these acids and bases.

- [1] Review the analysis requested on the SCPL or SAP.
- [2] Select the pre-measured preservative type and size that matches the sample container size.
  - [a] <u>IF</u> you only have one size pre-measured preservative that does not match the sample container size, <u>THEN</u> you will use more than one. For example, if you have a 1-liter sample container and 500 mL pre-measured preservative vial, you will need to add two preservative vials to the sample container.
  - **NOTE:** Never "split" a larger volume pre-measured vial to preserve a smaller volume container (e.g., do not pipette from a 1-liter, pre-measured preservative vial to preserve a 500 mL sample). Error in measurement precision may lead to a risk of violating Department of Transportation shipping requirements.
- [3] Add the preservative (acid or base) to the sample.
  - [a] Securely affix the lid to the container.

- [b] Agitate the preserved sample by turning the container upside down two to three times.
- [4] Add a check mark next to the preservation type previously marked on the lid to indicate that preservation has been completed.
  - [a] Clean and dry the exterior of sample container.
  - [b] Check sample container for leakage and breakage.
- [5] Return to Section 4.1, Step 11.

## 4.4 Quality Control Samples

Refer to the SCPL or the program specific SAP for the types and quantities of quality control samples and the locations where these samples will be collected.

## 4.4.1 Field Blank Samples

- [1] Review the analysis requested on the SCPL or SAP.
  - [a] Ensure the sample container volume, type, and preservation type is correct for the analysis requested (e.g., 500 mL POLY, HNO<sub>3</sub>).
  - [b] Note any deviation from the planned sample container volume or type on the SCPL.

## CAUTION

**DO NOT** use tap, distilled, or drinking water purchased from a local store. These sources may not meet the water quality standards specified in the New Mexico Administrative Code (Title 20, Chapter 6, Part 4).

- [2] Obtain analyte free water (e.g., High Performance Liquid Chromatography grade ultrapure in amber glass sealed bottles) or water from the TA-59-0001 deionized water system in sufficient quantity to fulfill the analysis requested.
- [3] Select another empty sample container(s) of the same type and volume for the analysis requested.
- [4] Mark the bottle and container lids with the 3-digit outfall ID and "Field Blank".
- [5] Transport both the field blank bottle(s) and container(s) to the sampling location.
- [6] During retrieval of samples, open the field blank bottle(s) and pour the analyte free water into the field blank sample container(s).
- [7] Securely affix the lid(s) to the container(s).
- [8] Replace the lid on the analyte free water bottle.

- [a] <u>IF</u> 500 mL or greater remain in the bottle, <u>THEN</u> replace lid and mark the bottle with the date it was opened and "For Decon Use Only".
- [b] <u>IF</u> less than 500 mL remain in the bottle, <u>THEN</u> dispose of water in the EPC-CP Stormwater Laboratory sink and dispose of the bottle.
- [9] Return the field blank containers with retrieved samples to the EPC-CP Stormwater Laboratory (TA-59-0001) for any further required processing.
- [10] Return to Section 4.1, Step 11 to complete sample processing.

## 4.4.2 Field Duplicate Samples

- [1] Review the analysis requested on the SCPL or SAP.
  - [a] Ensure the sample container volume, type, and preservation type is correct for the analysis requested (e.g., 500 mL POLY, HNO<sub>3</sub>).
  - [b] Note any deviation from the planned sample container volume, type, or preservation on the SCPL.
- [2] Field duplicate samples must be samples collected from the same location, at the same time, and in the same manner:
  - Select two sample collection bottles next to each other in the automated sampler carousel.

<u>OR</u>

- Select one sample collection bottle to split into separate sample containers
- [3] For split samples, follow these steps:
  - [a] Turn the sample collection bottle upside down multiple times to ensure sediment is loose from the bottom of the bottle.
  - [b] Pour sample into sample containers ensuring the sample remains homogenized throughout the transfer.
- [4] Return to Section 4.1, Step 11 to complete sample processing.

### 4.5 Handling Excess Stormwater

Minimize the amount of stormwater sample brought into the EPC-CP Stormwater Laboratory. Field personnel will attempt to retrieve only the volumes needed to fulfill the requested analyses from the current MSGP SAP or program/project specific SAP.

#### Sample Processor

- [1] IF any excess stormwater sample exists after processing has been completed, THEN
  - Return to site of origin

• Select one sample collection Form.

### 4.6 Submit Samples for Shipping to Offsite Analytical Laboratory

#### Sample Processor

- [1] Ensure the sample containers are securely sealed and wiped dry.
- [2] Compare the information from the SCPL and lid of each container and apply the correct labels to the sample containers. Refer to Attachment 2 for an example of sample container labels.
- [3] Write the date and time the sample was collected on each label.
- [4] <u>IF</u> the person who processed the sample is NOT submitting the samples to the SMO, <u>THEN</u>
  - [a] He/she will print and sign his/her name and the date/time samples are relinquished to the submitter in the second RELINQUISHED BY box.
  - [b] The submitter will print and sign his/her name and the date/time samples are received in the second RECEIVED BY box.

### **EPC-CP** technical staff

- [5] Transport samples from the EPC-CP Stormwater Laboratory (TA-59-0001) to the SMO (TA-59-0001).
  - [a] Deliver samples during SMO business hours by 2pm for same day shipping.
  - [b] Coordinate with the SMO for delivery during other times or for delivery of samples that have limited holding times.
  - [c] If delivery of samples to the SMO will be delayed, place sample containers with SCPL(s) in the EPC-CP Stormwater Laboratory refrigerator and ensure EPC-CP Stormwater Laboratory door is locked.
- [6] Complete the SCPL form as follows:
  - [a] Ensure all fields are filled out with sample information or N/A. Do not leave blank fields.
  - [b] In the RELINQUISHED BY box, the person submitting the sample(s) will sign and print his/her name.

- [7] [c] The SMO personnel accepts the sample(s) by signing and printing his/her name and recording the date/time in the RECEIVED BY box. Ensure the following steps are taken:
  - [a] SMO keeps the original SCPL(s) to accompany the samples.
  - [b] Keep a copy of the signed SCPL(s) for the MSGP Program.
- [8] Deliver the copy of the signed SCPL(s) to the MSGP Data Manager.

## **MSGP Data Manager**

- [9] Process the sample information in the EIM system.
  - [a] Capture any documented deviations from planned conditions (as noted on the SCPLs).

## 5.0 TRAINING

All EPC-CP personnel that execute the activities specified in this procedure must meet the minimum qualification and training requirements for their position as identified in EPC-CP-PIP-2101, NPDES Multi-Sector General Permit Program. This will include "self-study" (required reading) for this procedure as assigned and documented in accordance with ADESH-TPP-301, *ADESH Training Program Plan*. Other participating LANL groups may require training documentation pursuant to local procedures.

Contract personnel that execute the activities specified in this procedure will be qualified and trained as required by the Exhibit D and Exhibit F. In addition, contract personnel will be required to complete "self-study" (required reading) of this procedure. All training must be assigned and tracked using the Laboratory training management system, UTrain.

## 6.0 RECORDS

EPC-CP is the Office of Record for this document, that must be maintained in accordance with <u>P1020-1</u>, *Laboratory Records Management* and ESH-AP-006, *Records Management Plan*. Records generated by this document will be submitted to the Records Management designated point of contact or document manager for document management.

Below are records generated as a result of implementing this procedure identified by title and type.

Record Title	QA Record	Non-QA Record
EPC-CP-QP-2106 R1 Form 1, MSGP pH Probe Calibration Log	$\boxtimes$	
*Water Sample Collection and Processing Log/Field Chain of Custody	$\boxtimes$	
Copy of logbook entry(s) (if a logbook is used)	$\boxtimes$	
Other pertinent field or lab notes (if additional notes are required)	$\square$	

\*The original document is part of the data package QA records for the SMO. MSGP retains a copy for tracking purposes only.

#### 7.0 DEFINITIONS AND ACRONYMS

#### 7.1 Definitions

See LANL *Definition of Terms*.

#### 7.2 Acronyms

#### See LANL Acronym Master List.

EIM	Environmental Information Management
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
EPC-CP	Environmental Protection and Compliance – Compliance Programs
FOD	Facility Operations Director
LANL	Los Alamos National Laboratory
μm	Micron
mL	Milliliter
MSGP	Multi-Sector General Permit
N/A	Not Applicable
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
рН	Potential of Hydrogen
SAP	Sample Analysis Plan
SCPL	Water Sample Collection and Processing Log/Field Chain of Custody
SMO	Sample Management Office

#### 8.0 REFERENCES

Code of Federal Regulation Title 40 Part 136, *Guidelines Establishing Test Procedures for the Analysis of Pollutants* 

ESH-AP-006, Records Management Plan

P1020-1, Laboratory Records Management

P217, Controlled Portable Electronics Devices

New Mexico Administrative Code Title 20, Chapter 6, Part 4, *Standards for Interstate and Intrastate Surface Waters*.

US EPA Publication 9240.05A, EPA/540/R-93/051, *Specification and Guidance for Contaminant-Free Sample Containers*, December 1992

Processing MSGP Stormwater	No: EPC-CP-QP-2106	Page 16 of 19
Samples	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 11/28/2022

#### 9.0 ATTACHMENTS

Attachment 1: EPC-CP-QP-2106 R1 Form 1, MSGP pH Probe Calibration Log

Attachment 2: Water Sample Collection and Processing Log/Field Chain of Custody Example

Attachment 3: Sample Container Labels Example

Processing MSGP Stormwater	No: EPC-CP-QP-2106	Page 17 of 19
Samples	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 11/28/2022

## Attachment 1: EPC-CP-QP-2106 R1 Form 1, MSGP pH Probe Calibration Log

(Page 1 of 1)

Date Linie	pH Probe #
ocation:	Analyst :
Calibration Certified Buffers Used (units = S.U.)	pH Probe Stabilization Reading (S.U.)*
4.00 Expiration Date:	
7.00 Expiration Date	
10.00 Expiration Date:	
Reading must be within +/- 0.50 S.U. for valid cali	bration. If unachievable, explain:
Date: Time:	pH Probe #:
ocation	Analyst :
Calibration Certified Buffers Used (units = S.U.)	pH Probe Stabilization Reading (S.U.)*
4.00 Expiration Date	
7.00 Expiration Date	5
10.00 Expiration Date:	
Reading must be within +/- 0.50 S.U. for valid cali Date:Time:	bration. If unachievable, explain:
ocation	Analyst
	pH Probe Stabilization Reading (S.U.)*
4.00 Expiration Date	A set of the set of th
7.00 Expiration Date:	
7.00 Expiration Date:     10.00 Expiration Date:	

## Attachment 2: Water Sample Collection and Processing Log/Field Chain of Custody Example (Page 1 of 1)

Los Alamos National Laboratory WATER SAMPLE COLLECTION AND PROCESSING LOG/FIELD CHAIN OF CUSTODY EVENT ID: 11743 EVENT NAME: MSGP 2018 SAMPLE ID: MSGP-18-153015 WORK ORDER: MSGP- 12345 COLLECTION RETRIEVAL 07/03/18 09:25 DATE/TIME: 07/01/18 16:03 DATE/TIME: LOCATION ID: MSGP04301 SAMPLER TYPE: APS-R LOCATION TYPE: WCS SAMPLE PREP: UF LOCATION SYNONYM(S): NA FIELD QC TYPE: REG FIELD MATRIX: WT SAMPLE USAGE: COMP COLLECTED SPECIAL PROCESSING PRIORITY ORDER CONTAINER PRESERVATIVE # INSTRUCTIONS COMMENTS Y/N 500 ML POLY MSGP-TSS ICE NA 1 NIA NIA γ allele a SAMPLE COMMENTS: NA FIELD PARAMETERS: Sample Time NA HH:MM PH 6.2 SU SIL Visual Inspection Visual Inspection WO# MSGP- G7890 COLLECTED BY Date/Time Jane Doc 07/03/18 (Printed Name) (Signature) Qu 09:25 RELINQUISHED BY Date/Time RECEIVED BY Date/Time John Smith Jane Doe (Printed Name) 07/03/18 07/03/18 (Printed Name) (Signature) (Signature) Anul 10:05 10:05 PROCESSED BY Date/Time John Smith 07/03/18 13:00 (Printed Name) (Signature) **RELINQUISHED BY** Date/Time RECEIVED BY Date/Time John Smith See COC# 07/04/18 (Printed Name) (Printed Name) Bon (Signature) 08:35 (Signature) 2017-1326 Date/Time Date/Time **RELINQUISHED BY RECEIVED BY** (Printed Name) NA (Printed Name) Ala (Signature) (Signature) Report Date: 08/01/2018

Processing MSGP Stormwater	No: EPC-CP-QP-2106	Page 19 of 19
Samples	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 11/28/2022

## Attachment 3: Sample Container Labels Example

(Page 1 of 1)

1.

Los Alamos National La Sample ID: MSGP-17-131786	aboratory	Sample ID: MSGP-17-13	nos National Laboratory
Container: 500 ML POLY	1 of 1	Container: 500 ML POL	1
Preservative: HNO3 ICE		Preservative: HNO3 IC	E,
Analysis: NPDES-AI-Total Recoverable		Analysis: NPDES-Al-Tota	al Recoverable
Date/ Time:	-	Date	Time:
	IV		1. A.
1	t		

ATTACHMENT 21: EPC-CP-QP-0903, ENVIRONMENTAL REPORTING REQUIREMENTS FOR RELEASES OR EVENTS

		1		1		
EPC-CP-QP-0903		Revision: <b>1</b>		Los Alamos		
Effective Date: 03/0	09/2022	Next Review Date: 03/09/2025		NATIONAL LABORATORY		
Environmen	t, Safety, Hea	lth, Quality,	, Safeguards, and	Security Dire	ctorate	
Environmen	tal Protection	and Compl	iance – Complian	ce Programs	Group	
Quality Proc	edure					
Enviroi	nmental Rep	oorting Red	quirements for l	Releases or	Events	
Hazard Grading:	Low	Moderate	High/Complex			
Usage Level:	Reference			tions:		
Status:	New					
Status:       New       Major Revision       Minor Revision         Review w/No Changes       Other:						
Safety Basis:	🖂 N/A		USI Number: _			
	De	ocument Autho	r/Subject Matter Exper	t:		
Name:		Organization:	Signature:		Date:	
Steve Pearson		EPC-CP	Signature on File		02-28-2022	
	Derivative	Classifier:	Unclassified or 🗌			
Name:		Organization:	Signature:		Date:	
Steve Wolfel		EPC-CP	Signature on File		03-02-2022	
Approval Signatures:						
EPC-WMP Reviewer:		Organization:	Signature:		Date:	
Patrick L. Padilla, Te	eam Leader	EPC-WMP	Signature on File		03-09-2022	
EPC-CP RLM:		Organization:	Signature:		Date:	
Steven L. Story, Gro	oup Leader	EPC-CP	Signature on File		03-09-2022	
		-	ponsible for ensuring they required read, Login to <u>UTI</u>	work to the latest a		

Environmental Reporting	EPC-CP-QP-0903	Page 2 of 27
Requirements for Releases or Events	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 03/09/2022

#### **REVISION HISTORY**

Document Number and Revision [Include revision number, beginning with Revision 0]	Effective Date [Document Control Coordinator inserts effective date]	<b>Description of Changes</b> [List specific changes made since the previous revision]
0	02/09	New document
1	4/10	Revision and update
ENV-DO-QP-101 R2	6/12	Biennial Review/Revision, new template implemented.
EPC-DO-QP-101 R3	08/07/17	Revision and update. This document replaces ENV-DO- QP-101 R2. New document number reflects organizational name change.
EPC-CP-QP-0903 R0	08/10/2021	This document replaces EPC-DO-QP-101, R3. This update includes updating appropriate sections to reflect regulations and organizational changes. Implements new EPC-CP template and document number.
EPC-CP-QP-0903 R1	03/09/2022	This update includes clarification regarding 20.6.2.1203 NMAC reporting and conditions necessary for reporting of unplanned releases of potable water and steam condensate (Section 4.5.3). This revision supersedes EPC- CP-QP-0903 R0.

Environmental Reporting	EPC-CP-QP-0903	Page 3 of 27
Requirements for Releases or Events	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 03/09/2022

## **Table of Contents**

Revis	ion Hi	istory		2
1.0	Intro	duction		4
	1.1	Purpos	e	4
	1.2	Scope.		4
	1.3	Applica	bility	4
2.0	Preca	autions a	and Limitations	4
3.0	Prere	equisite <i>i</i>	Actions	4
	3.1	Plannin	g and Coordination	4
	3.2	Collabo	ration with other Subject Matter Experts (SMEs)	5
4.0	Proce	ess Desc	ription	5
	4.1	•	ng Releases to Pueblo Environment Departments	
	4.2	Respon	sibility of On-Call Representatives	6
	4.3	Follow-	up Reporting	6
	4.4		ry of Policy Reporting	
	4.5	Using t	his procedure	
		4.5.1	Determining if a Release is Reportable under RCRA	8
		4.5.2	Determining if a Release is Reportable under TSCA	
		4.5.3	Determining if a Release is reportable under the CWA NMWQA, and NMWQCC	9
			4.5.3.1 Reporting Requirements for Petroleum Storage Tanks	
			4.5.3.2 Reporting Requirements under the NPDES Pesticide General Permit	
		4.5.4	Determining if a Release is Reportable under CERCLA or EPCRA	
			4.5.4.1 Regulatory Classification of the Released Material	
		4.5.5	Determining Release Impacts to Biological or Cultural Resources	
		4.5.6	Reporting a Release or Event	16
		4.5.7	Steps to Notify LANL Management, the Laboratory Tribal Liaison, and Department	
			Energy Los Alamos Field Office (NA-LA)	
5.0	Train	ing		18
6.0	Reco	rds		18
7.0	Defir	nitions a	nd Acronyms	19
	7.1	Definiti	ons	19
	7.2	Acrony	ms	20
8.0	Refe	rences		22
9.0	Appe	endices .		23
10.0				
			L: Emergency Notification Requirements for RCRA	
	Attac	chment 2	2: Summary of Emergency Release or Event Reporting Requirements	25

Environmental Reporting	EPC-CP-QP-0903	Page 4 of 27
Requirements for Releases or Events	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 03/09/2022

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

This Environmental Protection and Compliance – Compliance Programs (EPC-CP) procedure describes how to determine whether an unplanned release, spill, fire, or other event needs to be reported under environmental regulations and how to fulfill all immediate reporting requirements (within the first 24 hours). Emergency and abnormal event notification requirements for reporting to Laboratory and DOE management are specified in PD1200, *Emergency Management Program*, and P322-3, *Performance Improvement from Abnormal Events*. Environmental reporting requirements regarding releases or other events are included in this procedure.

## 1.1 Purpose

This procedure describes the actions that must be performed within the first 24-hours of the release. This procedure does **not** cover the response procedures for "continuous releases" under Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) and Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act (EPCRA) (see definitions) nor the follow-up notifications and reports.

## 1.2 Scope

This procedure describes the separate environmental pathway processes that determine if a release or event at Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL or the Laboratory) is reportable.

## 1.3 Applicability

This procedure applies to EPC-DO on-call representatives and subject matter experts (SMEs) who must respond to any release, spill, or event at the Laboratory that may require immediate notification to local, state or federal regulatory agencies.

## 2.0 PRECAUTIONS AND LIMITATIONS

The work described in this procedure includes fieldwork that does not require an Integrated Work Document (IWD), has a **LOW hazard** rating and has been analyzed by an SME, the EPC-CP group leader and the responsible line manager (RLM), and is consistent with LANL P300, *Integrated Work Management* (IWM).

Actions specified within this procedure, unless preceded with "should" or "may," are to be considered mandatory (i.e., "shall", "will", "must").

## 3.0 PREREQUISITE ACTIONS

None.

## 3.1 Planning and Coordination

Events covered by this procedure include detonation or burns of unstable material, leaking or compromised gas cylinders, puncturing of bulging containers, fires, explosions, chemical or

radiological spills, wastewater spills, potable water discharges, and other unplanned releases at the Laboratory.

On a quarterly basis, EPC-CP will prepare a list of individuals designated as on-call representatives and will designate the week each will be on-call. This list will be distributed to on-call representatives and Laboratory managers including Deputy Directorate for Operations (DDOPS), Associate Directorate for Environment, Safety, and Health, Quality, Safeguards & Security (ESHQSS), Emergency Operations Center (EOC), Environmental Protection and Compliance Division – Compliance Programs Group (EPC-CP), and the Environmental Stewardship Group (EPC-ES).

**Note:** the on-call list should also be available on the LANL internal website. Environmental Home page, Environmental Contacts – On-Call Schedule. The on-call representative can be reached by pager at 505-664-7722.

## 3.2 Collaboration with other Subject Matter Experts (SMEs)

If needed, coordinating with other on-call SMEs and the EOC to ensure the required notifications for environmental reporting and abnormal events are being addressed for the Laboratory.

## 4.0 PROCESS DESCRIPTION

## 4.1 Reporting Releases to Pueblo Environment Departments

The Memorandum of Agreement between the U.S. Department of Energy through the Los Alamos Field Office of the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA), the Office of Environmental Management (EM), and the Pueblo de San Ildefonso strengthens the existing relationship between the parties as evidenced in the Restatement of 2005 Accord (MOA). It provides the foundation and framework for the parties to address and resolve specific issues of mutual concern. This MOA requires both DOE field offices (NNSA and EM) and its contractors to follow the protocols between the parties.

The Cooperative Agreements between the Pueblos of Cochiti, Jemez, and Santa Clara and the Los Alamos National Laboratory establish trust relationships with the Pueblos to resolve issues of mutual concern. To the extent funding is available and as otherwise agreed to in writing by Triad and the Pueblos, Triad will provide in-kind technical assistance to the Pueblos in areas of economic development, education, cultural resources, the environment, and emergency preparedness and response.

In the event of a release that impacts or may potentially impact Pueblo lands, notification to the impacted Pueblo Environment Department will be coordinated through the Laboratory's Tribal Liaison (505-629-2198) who will contact and notify the Department of Energy (DOE) Los Alamos Field Office (NA-LA) Intergovernmental Specialist to notify the Pueblos pursuant to protocols. If the release is identified to be an emergency where activation of the EOC is necessary, the LANL Emergency Response Organization will be responsible for contacting the affected Pueblos in accordance with PD1200, Emergency Management Program.

A list of Pueblo contacts is kept at the Laboratory's Tribal Liaison's office.

Environmental Reporting	EPC-CP-QP-0903	Page 6 of 27
Requirements for Releases or Events	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 03/09/2022

#### 4.2 Responsibility of On-Call Representatives

#### The EPC on-call representative is the party primarily responsible for:

- 1) Responding to any notifications received on the Spills/Unplanned Release pager.
- 2) Determining if the incident will require immediate notification to external agencies in accordance with LANL, state, and federal regulatory reporting requirements.
- 3) Notifying EPC Division management of immediate reporting requirements.
- 4) In the event that the release is a non-emergency and Pueblo lands are impacted, notification to the impacted Pueblo Environment Department will be coordinated through the Laboratory's Tribal Liaison (505-629-2198) who will contact and notify the Department of Energy (DOE) Los Alamos Field Office (NA-LA) Intergovernmental Specialist to notify the Pueblos and the Office of Environmental Management if necessary.

# The EPC on-call representative is not responsible for the following and EOC will make these determinations:

- 1) If the Resource Conservation Recovery Act (RCRA) Contingency Plan must be implemented.
- 2) If a shock-sensitive material, leaking, or compromised gas cylinder constitutes an emergency.
- 3) If the release is associated with an emergency where activation of the EOC is necessary, and if so, contacting the affected Pueblos in accordance with PD1200, *Emergency Management Program*.

However, in order to ensure that the appropriate expertise is available for the affected media, the EPC on-call representative may immediately confer with an SME of the EPC group that has programmatic responsibility. If an SME from the responsible group is able to respond to the event, the <u>remaining steps in this procedure may be passed to that person</u>. See the link for EPC Contacts: <u>Environmental Protection and Waste Management Contacts list</u>.

**Note:** The Pueblo Environmental Department(s) notification process will be implemented in parallel with regulatory- or permit-driven reporting. In the event of a conflict between the two reporting needs, this process is second priority.

## 4.3 Follow-up Reporting

This procedure describes the initial external notifications (within the first 24 hours) to regulatory agencies. After completion of the steps in this procedure, the EPC group or team specifically responsible for compliance with the relevant regulations will complete the required notifications and reports, as applicable under the appropriate regulations, according to established procedures.

Environmental Reporting	EPC-CP-QP-0903	Page 7 of 27
Requirements for Releases or Events	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 03/09/2022

#### 4.4 Summary of Policy Reporting

The EPC on-call representative and spill response SMEs have the authority and responsibility for deciding when to report an event and for making notifications to regulatory agencies within the applicable regulatory deadlines.

LANL management and Department of Energy (DOE) Los Alamos Field Office (NA-LA) must be informed as soon as possible that a report was or will be made, but their approval is not required prior to the report being made to the regulatory agency. LANL Facility Operations Director (FOD) management, with input from EPC SMEs, will determine if an Occurrence Reporting Processing System (ORPS) report or other type of Lessons Learned will be necessary.

**NOTE:** EOC maintains a current list of on-call LANL managers.

#### 4.5 Using this procedure

This procedure has seven separate paths (and corresponding sections) to follow for determining if a release or event is reportable. Follow each of these paths to determine if one or more are applicable:

- [1] Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA)
- [2] Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA)
- [3] Clean Water Act (CWA), New Mexico Water Quality Act (NMWQA), and New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission (NMWQCC) Regulations
- [4] Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) and Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act (EPCRA)
- [5] Clean Air Act (CAA)
- [6] Biological
  - [a] Endangered Species Act
  - [b] Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act
  - [c] Migratory Bird Treaty Act
  - [d] New Mexico Wildlife Conservation Act
- [7] Cultural
  - [a] National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)
  - [b] National Historic Preservation Act
  - [c] Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act
  - [d] Archaeological Resources Protection Act

Environmental Reporting	EPC-CP-QP-0903	Page 8 of 27
Requirements for Releases or Events	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 03/09/2022

Each release needs to be evaluated for all potential reporting requirements. For example, a Reportable Quantity (RQ), defined under CERCLA or EPCRA may not be met, **but the release may be reportable** under RCRA, New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission (NMWQCC), and/or Clean Water Act (CWA) requirements.

**NOTE:** The 24-hour deadline (immediate in some cases) applies regardless of whether it occurs during business hours, after business hours or on non-business days.

## 4.5.1 Determining if a Release is Reportable under RCRA

Follow the flow chart in Attachment 1 to determine if an event is reportable under RCRA regulations.

Under the RCRA permit No. NM0890010515-1 requirements, the EOC manager determines if the "RCRA Contingency Plan" provisions should be implemented. The EPC on-call representative or an EPC Waste Management Programs (EPC-WMP) RCRA SME performs notifications that may be required.

The EOC Manager will normally attempt to contact an EPC-WMP SME for guidance in making this decision. If the EPC-WMP SME is successfully contacted, the remaining steps for determining if a release is reportable under RCRA may be passed on to this individual.

The EPC on-call representative makes the determination that one or more of these conditions occurred through consultation with EPC-WMP and appropriate SMEs. The 24-hour notification can be made by the EPC on-call representative or by an EPC SME.

The EOC manager makes the determination that unstable chemicals, leaking, or compromised gas cylinders represent an emergency situation. The EOC manager works with EPC-WMP to ensure that 24-hour notifications are made by the on-call representative or EPC-WMP SME.

If a release/event is reportable under RCRA rules, determine if the release/event is reportable under other rules and proceed to Section 4.4.5 Reporting a Release or Event.

## 4.5.2 Determining if a Release is Reportable under TSCA

In practice, only spills of Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) or PCB-suspect untested mineral oil to the environment (generally outdoors or with the potential to reach the outdoors) are reportable. Spills that are contained indoors are generally not reported.

A discharge of PCBs is reportable to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under TSCA if 1 pound of PCBs by weight is released in accordance with 40 CFR 761.125(a)(1), *Requirements for PCB spill cleanup*. Notify the EPA regional office and proceed with the immediate clean-up requirements noted in 40 CFR 761.125(a)(1) in the shortest possible time after discovery, but in no case later than 24-hours after discovery. Additionally, reporting requirements are triggered if over 270 gallons of untested mineral oil suspected of containing PCBs has been spilled.

Follow the steps in Section 4.5.4, *Determining if a Release is Reportable under CERCLA, EPCRA, or Other Regulations* to determine if the RQ for PCBs has also been exceeded.

Environmental Reporting	EPC-CP-QP-0903	Page 9 of 27
Requirements for Releases or Events	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 03/09/2022

There are six items containing PCBs that are out of service at the Chemistry and Metallurgy Research (CMR) Building. They are scheduled for removal within the next year or so. All other known PCB equipment at the Laboratory have been taken out-of-service and disposed of in accordance with TSCA regulations.

If a release is reportable under TSCA, continue through the next sections to determine if the release/event is reportable under other rules and proceed to *Reporting a Release or Event* and determine if additional reporting is necessary.

If the spill is	Then
equal to or over 1 pound by weight of PCBs (TSCA) or greater than 270 gallons of untested mineral oil suspected of containing PCBs	Report to the National Response Center (1-800-242-8802) immediately (within 15 minutes of discovery). Additionally, contact EPA Region 6 (Office of Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances Branch) through EPA's 24-hour spill response number 866-372-7745 as soon as possible after discovery, but no later than 24-hours after discovery.

## 4.5.3 Determining if a Release is reportable under the CWA NMWQA, and NMWQCC

## 20.6.2.1203 New Mexico Administrative Code (NMAC) Reporting

The NM Water Quality Act (NMWQA) does not use Reportable Quantities (as described in the next section). Instead, the NM Water Quality Control Commission (NMWQCC) regulations state, "With respect to any discharge from any facility of oil or other water contaminant, in such quantity as may with reasonable probability injure or be detrimental to human health, animal or plant life, or property, or unreasonably interfere with the public welfare or the use of property, notifications (to the New Mexico Environment Department (NMED)) and corrective actions are required."

The above rule requires the use of professional judgment to determine the magnitude and extent of the release and ultimately if external reporting is required. While no quantifiable metric is available to assist in making this determination, in general if any of the following three conditions are met external reporting will be completed:

- 1) more than 10-gallons of oil or other liquid is released,
- 2) if any volume of oil or other liquid reaches a watercourse, or
- 3) if it adversely impacts a Solid Waste Management Unit (SWMU) or Area of Concern (AOC), for example, requiring excavation or causing erosion.

The EPC on-call representative or SME has the authority and responsibility to make this determination.

Additionally, unplanned releases of potable water or steam condensate require reporting pursuant to 20.6.2.1203 NMAC as directed in the LANL Liquid Discharge Reporting Guidance (Decision Tree), dated March 10, 2009, if:

- 1) the release reaches a watercourse,
- 2) it adversely impacts a SWMU or AOC, or
- 3) a volume greater than 5,000 gallons is discharged (per location per day).

Consult with the Triad LLC, EPC-WMP Consent Order Site coordinator to confirm the location and to determine if there are potential impacts to SWMUs or AOCs from any releases that may occur.

#### Groundwater Discharge Permit Reporting

The Laboratory has three current or draft Groundwater Discharge Permits (DPs) that include notification and reporting requirements in the event of an unpermitted discharge. Spills of **any volume** associated with any of the Groundwater DPs require reporting to NMED pursuant to 20.6.2.1203 NMAC.

# 1) DP-857: Sanitary Waste Water System (SWWS) Plant, Sanitary Effluent Reclamation Facility (SERF), and Sigma Mesa Evaporation Basins. Permit Condition No. 44.

The unauthorized release of untreated and treated sanitary wastewater, reuse wastewater, blended wastewater, and reject wastewater would be subject to reporting under Condition No. 44.

#### 2) DP-1589: Septic Tank/Disposal Systems. Permit Condition No. 23.

The unauthorized release of untreated wastewater, septage, treated wastewater surfacing from failing disposal systems (leach fields), and treated wastewater surfacing from overflowing septic tanks would be subject to reporting under Condition No. 23.

## 3) DP 1132: Radioactive Liquid Waste Treatment Facility (RLWTF). Permit Condition No. 38.

In the event of a release unauthorized in this Discharge Permit, the Permittees shall take measures to mitigate damage from the unauthorized discharge and initiate the notification and corrective actions required in 20.6.2.1203 NMAC under Condition No. 38.

#### Clean Water Act Reporting

Oil discharges (film/sheen/discoloration) to water in stream channels must also be reported to the National Response Center (NRC) immediately (within 15 minutes of discovery) pursuant to 40 CFR 110.6, Discharge of Oil.

## National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Outfall Reporting

The EPC-DO on-call SME must provide notification to the NPDES Outfall Permit Program Lead and/or the EPC-CP Water Quality Team Leader in the event of a leak, unplanned release, overflow, or bypass of treatment from an NPDES permitted outfall and/or the sources upon discovery in order to meet applicable reporting requirements (i.e., 24-hr and 5-day written). Outfall sources include, but are not limited to, the following:

1) Sanitary Waste Water System (SWWS) equipment, tanks, lift stations, septic tanks, and piping.

- 2) Sanitary Effluent Reclamation Facility (SERF) equipment, tanks, lift stations, and piping.
- 3) Radioactive Liquid Waste Treatment Facility (RLWTF) equipment, tanks, lift stations, and piping.
- 4) High Explosives Waste Treatment Facility (HEWTF) equipment, tanks, and piping.
- 5) Cooling towers.
- 6) Storage tanks (i.e., influent, effluent, reuse tank).
- 7) Other water treatment equipment and piping.

## 4.5.3.1 Reporting Requirements for Petroleum Storage Tanks

As defined in 20.5.118 NMAC, Environmental Protection, Petroleum Storage Tanks - Reporting Investigations of Suspected and Confirmed Releases, the NMED requires verbal reporting within 24-hours of a petroleum product release from regulated tanks to the NMED Petroleum Storage Tank Bureau (PSTB) when there is:

- 1) any suspected or confirmed release of regulated substances
- 2) evidence of release of regulated substances
- 3) unusual operational conditions (that would cause concern about a release)
- 4) monitoring results that show loss from the system

Regulated tanks include those with a capacity between 1,320 gallons and 55,000 gallons. Regulated substances for Aboveground Storage Tanks (AST) includes, but is not limited to, petroleum and petroleum-based substances comprised of a complex blend of hydrocarbons derived from crude oil through processes of separation, conversion, upgrading and finishing, such as motor fuels (including ethanol-based motor fuels), jet fuels, distillate fuel oils, residual fuel oils, lubricants, petroleum solvents, and used oils.

Notice of any suspected or confirmed release from a storage tank system needs to be completed within 24 hours. Contact the EPC-CP AST Program Leader and/or the EPC-CP Water Quality Team Leader prior to completing any external notifications. The PSTB can be reached at 505-476-4397 (Santa Fe PSTB District 2) during business hours and 505-827-9329 (NMED Emergency Spill Hotline) during non-business hours. The NRC must be contacted at (800) 424-8802 immediately if oil or a sheen of oil from a spill or release hits a watercourse. A written report describing the spill, release or suspected release and any investigation or follow-up action needs to be submitted to the PSTB within 7 days of the incident.

If a facility discharges greater than 1,000 gallons of oil in a single discharge or discharges more than 42-gallons of oil in each of two discharges, as described in 40 CFR 112.1(b) and occurring within any twelve month period, the facility shall submit a report to the EPA Regional Administrator within 60 days of the discharge per 40 CFR 112.4.

Environmental Reporting	EPC-CP-QP-0903	Page 12 of 27
Requirements for Releases or Events	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 03/09/2022

#### 4.5.3.2 Reporting Requirements under the NPDES Pesticide General Permit

Adverse incidents require reporting to the EPA under the NPDES Pesticide General Permit (PGP). An adverse incident is defined as an unusual or unexpected incident resulting from pesticide applications that an operator has observed upon inspection or of which the operator otherwise becomes aware, in that:

- 1) There is evidence that a person or non-target organism has likely been exposed to a pesticide residue, <u>and</u>
- 2) The person or non-target organism suffered a toxic or adverse effect.

The phrase <u>toxic or adverse effect</u> includes effects that occur within Waters of the United States on non-target plants, fish, or wildlife that are unusual or unexpected (e.g., effects are to organisms not otherwise described on the pesticide product label or otherwise not expected to be present) as a result of exposure to a pesticide residue, and may include:

- [a] Distressed or dead juvenile and small fishes
- [b] Washed up or floating fish
- [c] Fish swimming abnormally or erratically
- [d] Fish lying lethargically at water surface or in shallow water
- [e] Fish that are listless or nonresponsive to disturbance
- [f] Stunting, wilting, or desiccation of non-target submerged or emergent aquatic plants
- [g] Other dead or visibly distressed non-target aquatic organisms (amphibians, turtles, invertebrates, etc.)

The phrase <u>toxic or adverse effects</u> also includes any adverse effects to humans (e.g., skin rashes) or domesticated animals that occur either from direct contact with or as a secondary effect from a discharge (e.g., sickness from consumption of plants or animals containing pesticides) to Waters of the United States that are temporally and spatially related to exposure to a pesticide residue (e.g., vomiting, lethargy).

If an operator observes or otherwise becomes aware of an adverse incident due to pesticide application, the operator must notify the EPA Incident Reporting contact within 24 hours of the operator becoming aware of the adverse incident. EPA Incident Reporting Contacts are listed at <a href="https://www.epa.gov/npdes/pesticide-permitting">https://www.epa.gov/npdes/pesticide-permitting</a>.

If an operator becomes aware of an adverse incident affecting a federally listed threatened or endangered species or its federally designated critical habitat, that may have resulted from a discharge from the operator's pesticide application, the operator must <u>immediately</u> (within 15 minutes of discovery) notify the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. This notification must be made by phone to the contact listed on the EPA's website (<u>https://www.epa.gov/npdes/pesticide-permitting</u>).

Environmental Reporting	EPC-CP-QP-0903	Page 13 of 27
Requirements for Releases or Events	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 03/09/2022

## 4.5.4 Determining if a Release is Reportable under CERCLA or EPCRA

Under CERCLA or EPCRA, the RQ is the threshold that requires immediate regulatory notification of a release. An RQ is based on the quantity of chemical released within any 24-hour period. Information on the RQ program as implemented by the DOE is on the web at:

<u>https://www.energy.gov/ehss/services/environment/environmental-policy-and-</u> assistance/reportable-quantity-calculator

- 1) In the event of a release, determine the quantity released in pounds or kilograms for hazardous substances, or in curies for a release of radioactive material.
- 2) Compare the released value with the RQ threshold.
  - [a] CERCLA RQs of hazardous substances are listed in 40 CFR 302.4, Designation of Hazardous Substances. Hazardous substances and their RQs are listed in Table 302.4, and radionuclides are listed in 40 CFR 302.4, Appendix B. The DOE has also approved use of an on-line "Reportable Quantity Calculator" that can be used to assist in this determination. The RQ calculator is located at: https://rgcalculator.projectenhancement.com/
  - [b] If a hazardous material is not listed in the statute, the RQ has been set by Congress to be 100 pounds. For radionuclides, the RQ is 1 curie for radionuclides not otherwise listed.
  - [c] For mixtures of hazardous materials and/or radionuclides, or when the hazardous material table and radionuclide table are in conflict, the lowest RQ shall apply.
  - [d] If an RQ is met or exceeded, an immediate (within 15 minutes of discovery) notification must be made to the NRC (1-800-424-8802) pursuant to 40 CFR 302.6, *Notification of Requirements*.
  - [e] If a release of an airborne radionuclide exceeds an RQ listed in Appendix B to 40 CFR 302.4, verbally notify the EPA Region 6 Health Physicist after the NRC notifications have been completed. The EPA Region 6 Health Physicist can be reached at:

Office-(214) 665-8541; Mobile-(214) 755-1530; Home-(972) 937-1900.

The team leader for Radioactive Air Emissions Management (RAEM) in EPC-CP can provide assistance with determining RQs and releases for radioactive material releases and with notifying EPA Region 6.

- [f] If an RQ is not exceeded, notify the appropriate media SME so they can perform any required follow-up notification and documentation with the appropriate regulatory agency.
- 3) Additional notifications under EPCRA must be made if a release of a hazardous or extremely hazardous substance listed in 40 CFR 355 Appendices A and B occurs.

- [a] If an extremely hazardous substance is not listed in the statute, the RQ has been set by Congress to be 1 pound.
- [b] If the released quantity meets or exceeds the RQ established under EPCRA, in addition to notifying the NRC above, an immediate (within 15 minutes of discovery) notification must be made to the Local Emergency Planning Committee (LEPC) community emergency coordinator and to the State Emergency Response Commission (SERC) (see Attachment 2 for contact information).

The lists of CERCLA hazardous substances and EPCRA extremely hazardous substances are two separate lists that include a number of common substances. However, not all extremely hazardous substances are listed hazardous substances. In some instances, a release of an extremely hazardous substance may be reportable under EPCRA, but not reportable under CERCLA.

Releases that occur within a closed space with no emissions to the ambient environment are exempt from CERCLA and EPCRA reporting requirements.

**NOTE:** Response procedures for "Continuous Releases" are not covered in this procedure.

## 4.5.4.1 Regulatory Classification of the Released Material

The on-call EPC SME will determine the regulatory classification of the substance released with respect to the hazard classifications:

1) Extremely Hazardous Substance (EHS) and/or Hazardous Substance (HS)

Often during the course of an emergency, complete information will not be available regarding type and amount of material released. In this case, best professional judgment must be used to establish the level of confidence associated with the estimates. If the uncertainty is high enough that future estimates may require reporting, it is best to be conservative and report the release following the reporting requirements detailed in Section 4.5.6, *Reporting a Release or Event*.

After determining the RQ of a released material, the EPC on-call representative or SME will perform the following steps to determine if an RQ has been exceeded.

- 1) Obtain an estimate of the quantity and type of material released (e.g., 4 pounds of chlorine gas or 150 curies of tritium).
- 2) Compare this quantity against the RQs provided in 40 CFR Table 302.4 and 40 CFR 355, Appendices A and B.

If this is an airborne release of radioactive materials that meets or exceeds the RQ, immediate (within 15 minutes of discovery) reporting to the NRC and the EPA Region 6, Regional Health Physicist is required. Note that for radioactive materials, the RQ is provided in activity units (curies or becquerels). Also, note that some materials have an RQ value for both chemical exposure (Table 302.4) and for radiological exposure (Appendix B to 302.4). In these cases, the RQ applying to the smallest quantity of material will apply.

For all radioactive material releases, a radiological dose assessment must also be performed within 24-hours of the release. This dose assessment should be made by an environmental health physicist in EPC-CP or EPC-ES. The on-call individual should contact an EPC health physicist for this evaluation.

#### Immediate evaluation – RQ comparison (of a radioactive material release)

- [a] **If the release...** Is equal to or greater than the RQ then Proceed to Section 4.5.6 Reporting a Release or Event.
- [b] If the release...Is less than the RQ, then No immediate reporting is required. Contact an environmental health physicist in EPC-CP or EPC-ES to complete follow-up dose assessment.
- 4) If this is a release of non-rad material, it is reportable if the RQ is exceeded:
  - [a] **If** the **amount released is...**Equal to or greater than the RQ, then proceed to Section 4.5.6 Reporting a Release or Event.
- 5) Continue to re-evaluate the release as new data becomes available. Perform Steps 1 through 4 as necessary.

#### 4.5.5 Determining Release Impacts to Biological or Cultural Resources

There are laws and regulations related to the protection of biological and cultural resources that are applicable to the Laboratory. These laws and regulations include:

- 1) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)
- 2) Endangered Species Act
- 3) Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act
- 4) Migratory Bird Treaty Act
- 5) New Mexico Wildlife Conservation Act
- 6) National Historic Preservation Act
- 7) Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act
- 8) Archaeological Resources Protection Act

The EPC-CP SME is responsible for contacting a biological resources SME and a cultural resources SME within one business day from when a release/event occurs. This allows biological and cultural resources staff to report to their regulators within the required timeframe, identify if additional requirements are necessary for clean-up activities, and complete any other associated compliance regulations. The cultural resources SME will identify if there are impacts from the release/event to archaeological sites or historic buildings/structures.

Environmental Reporting	EPC-CP-QP-0903	Page 16 of 27
Requirements for Releases or Events	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 03/09/2022

Additionally, if there is a release of contaminants to a wetland, or impacts to the beneficial values of a wetland, the EPC on-call representative will coordinate with other EPC SMEs for applicable federal and state notifications and required actions as outlined in Section 4.5.3.

Contact a Biological Resources SME through the EPC-ES group office at 505-665-8855 and <u>epc\_biologists@lanl.gov</u> as parallel contact information for Biological Resources.

Contact a Cultural Resources SME through the EPC-ES group office at 505-665-8855 or use cultural@lanl.gov.

## 4.5.6 Reporting a Release or Event

If a release or event is reportable (as determined by one or more of the previous sections), the Laboratory is required to meet certain reporting requirements. The emergency notification requirements must be followed upon determination that a release or event is reportable.

For informational purposes, a Summary of Emergency Release or Event Reporting Requirements is provided in Attachment 2. This document summarizes the primary statutes and the associated reporting requirements.

Maintain a notebook to record pertinent information about the release and to document the actions taken (see Section 6.0 *Records*).

Any release to the environment that has been determined to be reportable by the EPC on-call representative or SME shall be reported through the LANL management chain in accordance with PD1200, Emergency Management Program, and P322-4, Performance Improvement from Abnormal Events.

Triad management and DOE shall be notified if a release notification to state or federal regulatory agencies is required. Management approval is not required prior to completing environmental notifications to the regulatory agencies in order to assure that the deadline for reporting is not exceeded.

Perform the following steps immediately after establishing that reporting is required:

- 1) Compile release information including:
  - a) The source, cause, type and quantity of the release;
  - b) Time and duration of the release;
  - c) Extent of any protective and corrective actions taken;
  - d) Name, address, and telephone number of the person to contact for further information
  - e) Whether the substance is an HS or EHS
  - f) Associated health risks and medical attention necessary for exposed individuals;
  - g) If available, information concerning the release of any contaminants, hazardous and/or mixed waste that may endanger public or private drinking water supplies;

- h) Assessment health risks and medical attention necessary for exposed individuals;
- i) If available, estimated quantity and disposition of recovered material that resulted from the incident;
- j) Precautions to take due to the release/event, including, in the case of fire, those associated with special hazards due to the release of contaminants, hazardous and/or mixed waste;
- k) Any other information that may help emergency personnel responding to the incident; and
- I) Environmental media impacted from the release,
- 2) Notify LANL management, the Laboratory Tribal Liaison, and the respective FOD.

**NOTE:** Management approval is not required prior to completing environmental notifications to the regulatory agencies in order to assure that the deadline for reporting is not exceeded.

- Provide notification to the regulatory agency as required by the applicable regulation(s) detailed in Sections 4.5.1 – 4.5.4. Reference Attachment 2 for a summary of the applicable reporting requirements.
- 4) Notify programmatic SMEs that may be impacted or required to complete follow-up reporting.

## 4.5.7 Steps to Notify LANL Management, the Laboratory Tribal Liaison, and Department of Energy Los Alamos Field Office (NA-LA)

The EPC on-call representative will complete the following steps to provide notification to LANL Management and the Laboratory Tribal Liaison.

1) Determine that a release to the environment is reportable to state or federal entities as required under applicable regulations.

**NOTE:** Occurrence Reporting and Procession System (ORPS) reporting is a FOD and Responsible Associate Director (RAD) responsibility and commonly they will seek advisement from EPC SMEs.

- 2) Provide notification to the EPC-CP Water Quality Team Leader, the EPC-CP Group Leader, and the EPC-DO Division Leader of the release and the required external notifications.
- 3) Provide notification to the Laboratory Tribal Liaison (if release/event impacts or may potentially impact Pueblo lands) of the release and the required external notifications. Notification to the impacted Pueblo Environment Department will be coordinated through the Laboratory's Tribal Liaison (505-629-2198) who will contact and notify the Department of Energy (DOE) Los Alamos Field Office (NA-LA) Intergovernmental Specialist to notify the Pueblos pursuant to protocols.

- 4) Complete environmental reporting to state and federal agencies in accordance with all applicable regulations.
- 5) Notify the appropriate program SME that may be impacted or be required to complete follow-up release reporting.

After all the above notifications have been made, or when requested, the EPC on-call representative or SME will hand off responsibility for additional actions and follow-up to the affected environmental group. (The group that will be responsible will depend on the type and location of the release and the governing regulations or statutes.)

In order to communicate events at LANL that may impact the public and or the environment, EPC staff may provide a courtesy notification to NMED of events that may not require formal regulatory notification. Examples of such events in the past have been small wildland fires.

## 5.0 TRAINING

The training method for this procedure will be "self-study" (reading) and is documented in accordance with PD781, *Training Program Management*.

The following personnel require training before implementing this procedure:

• EPC managers, designated on-call representatives, and SMEs who may be asked to fulfill immediate reporting requirements during release-related exercises or during actual releases.

Annual retraining to this procedure is required.

## 6.0 RECORDS

EPC-CP is the Office of Record for this document and must be maintained in accordance with P1020-1, Laboratory Records Management. Records generated by this document will be submitted to the records management designated point-of-contact or document manager for document management.

- Field documentation of the release, include:
  - Time and date of the release
  - Time, date, and description of notifications
  - Location and source of the release
  - Type of material released
  - Quantity of material released
  - Impacted media
  - Time release was stopped
  - Any immediate mitigation actions taken to contain or control the release

- Documentation of any verbal notifications
- Samples taken
- Copies of any written notifications generated
- Documentation of any analytical results and quality assurance of results
- Contingency and/or emergency plan documentation
- Documentation of any RCRA permit non-compliance that threatens human health and environment
- Documentation of treatment of any RCRA unstable chemicals, leaking, or compromised gas cylinders

As a result of implementing this procedure, below are the records generated that are identified by title and type.

Record Title	QA Record	Non-QA Record
Copies of any written notifications generated	$\boxtimes$	
Documentation of any analytical results, and quality assurance of results	$\boxtimes$	
Contingency and / or emergency plan documentation	$\square$	
Documentation of any RCRA permit non-compliance that threatens human health and environment	$\boxtimes$	
Documentation of treatment of any RCRA unstable chemicals, leaking or compromised gas cylinders		

#### 7.0 DEFINITIONS AND ACRONYMS

#### 7.1 Definitions

**Continuous Release** – A release is continuous if it "occurs without interruption or abatement or if it is routine, anticipated, intermittent, and incidental to normal operations or treatment processes." The release must also be "stable in quantity and rate," which means that it must be predictable and regular in the amount and rate of emission. The response procedures for continuous releases are not covered by this document. See guidance in Reporting Continuous Releases of Hazardous and Extremely Hazardous Substances under CERCLA and EPCRA.

**Environment** – Includes "water, air, land, and the interrelationship that exists among and between water, air, land, and all living things." (40 CFR 355.20).

**Extremely Hazardous Substance (EHS)** – EPCRA establishes emergency reporting requirements for extremely hazardous substances in 40 CFR 355, Appendix A. All of these substances are also CWA and CERCLA "hazardous" substances.

**Hazardous Substance (HS)** – These substances are summarized in 40 CFR Part 302. As used in this context, this refers to:

- 1) any elements, compounds, mixtures, solutions, or substances specially designated by EPA under Section 311 of the Clean Water Act (CWA) (40 CFR 116.4);
- 2) any toxic pollutants listed under Section 307(a) of the CWA;
- 3) any hazardous substances regulated under Section 311 (b)(2)(A) of the CWA;
- 4) any listed or characteristic RCRA hazardous waste (40 CFR 261),
- 5) any hazardous air pollutants listed under Section 112 of the Clean Air Act (CAA); or
- 6) any imminently hazardous chemical substances or mixtures regulated under Section 7 of the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA).

**Release** – Any unpermitted spilling, leaking, pumping, pouring, emitting, emptying, discharging, injecting, escaping, leaching, dumping, or disposing of contaminants into the environment, excluding:

- 1) emissions from the engine exhaust of any vehicle,
- 2) certain releases of source, byproduct, or special nuclear material from a nuclear incident, or
- 3) normal application of fertilizer.

AOC	Area of Concern
AST	Aboveground Storage Tank
САА	Clean Air Act
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act
CMR	Chemistry and Metallurgy Research
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CWA	Clean Water Act
DDOPS	Deputy Directorate for Operations
DOE	Depart of Energy
DOE-LAFO	Department of Energy – Los Alamos Field Office
EHS	Extremely Hazardous Substance
EM	Office of Environmental Management
EOC	Emergency Operations Center
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
EPC-CP	Environmental Protection and Compliance – Compliance Programs Group
EPC-DO	Environmental Protection and Compliance Division
EPC-ES	Environmental Protection and Compliance – Environmental Stewardship Group

#### 7.2 Acronyms

Environmental Reporting	EPC-CP-QP-0903	Page 21 of 27
Requirements for Releases or Events	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 03/09/2022

EPCRA	Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know-Act	
EPC-WMP	Environmental Protection and Compliance – Waste Management Programs Group	
ESHQSS	Environment, Safety, Health, Quality, Safeguards and Security	
FOD	Facility Operations Director	
GWDP	Ground Water Discharge Permit	
HEWTF	High Explosives Waste Treatment Facility	
HS	Hazardous Substance	
IWD	Integrated Work Document	
IWD	Integrated Work Document	
LANS	Los Alamos National Security	
LANL or Laboratory	Los Alamos National Laboratory	
LEPC	Local Emergency Planning Committee	
MOA	Memorandum of Agreement	
NA-LA	Los Alamos Field Office	
NMAC	New Mexico Administrative Code	
NMED	New Mexico Environment Department	
NMWQA	New Mexico Water Quality Act	
NMWQCC	New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission	
NNSA	National Nuclear Security Administration	
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System	
NRC	National Response Center	
ORPS	Occurrence Reporting and Processing System	
OSC	On-Scene Commander	
PADOPS	Principal Associate Directorate Operations	
PCBs	Polychlorinated Biphenyls	
PGP	Pesticide General Permit	
PST	Petroleum Storage Tank	
PSTB	Petroleum Storage Tank Bureau	
QP	Quality Procedure	
RAD	Responsible Associate Director	
RAEM	Radioactive Air Emissions Management team within EPC-CP	
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act	
RLM	Responsible Line Manager	
RQ	Reportable Quantity	
SARA	Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act	
SDS	Safety Data Sheet	
SERC	State Emergency Response Commission	
SERF	Sanitary Effluent Reclamation Facility	

Environmental Reporting	EPC-CP-QP-0903	Page 22 of 27
Requirements for Releases or Events	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 03/09/2022

SEO-DO	Security and Emergency Operations Division	
SME	Subject Matter Expert	
SWMU	Solid Waste Management Unit	
SWWS	Sanitary Waste Water System	
TSCA	Toxic Substances Control Act	
UIC	Underground Injection Control	

#### 8.0 REFERENCES

40 CFR 261, Protection of Environmental, Identification and Listing of Hazardous Waste

40 CFR 302, Protection of Environment, EPA, Designation, Reportable Quantities, and Notification

40 CFR 302.4, Designation of Hazardous Substances

40.CFR.302.6, Notification of Requirements

40 CFR 355, Emergency Planning & Notification

40 CFR 761.125(a)(1), Requirements for PCB spill cleanup

40 CFR 110.6, Discharge of Oil

20.5.7 NMAC, Environmental Protection, Petroleum Storage Tanks - Reporting Investigations of Suspected and Confirmed Releases

DOE – Office of Environmental Guidance, CERCLA Information Brief, EH-231-001-0490 (April 1990)

Federal Register, Volume 67, No. 47, Notices FRL-7172-4, Guidance on the CERCLA Section 101(10)H, Federally Permitted Release Definition for Certain Air Emissions

PD1200, Emergency Management Program

P1020-1, Laboratory Records Management

P300, Integrated Work Management

PD781, Training Program Management

P322-3, Performance Improvement from Abnormal Events

LANL RCRA Permit No. NM0890010515-1

LANL NPDES Permit No. NM0028355

National Response Center (NRC) Web Site: http://www.nrc.uscg.mil/

NMWQCC Regulations, 20.6.2 NMAC, dated December 1, 2001

New Mexico Environment Department Groundwater Discharge Permit DP-857

New Mexico Environment Department Groundwater Discharge Permit DP-1132

Environmental Reporting	EPC-CP-QP-0903	Page 23 of 27
Requirements for Releases or Events	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 03/09/2022

New Mexico Environment Department Groundwater Discharge Permit DP-1589


New Mexico Administrative Code (NMAC) 20.5.7

## 9.0 APPENDICES

#### **10.0 ATTACHMENTS**

**Attachment 1:** *Emergency Notification Requirements for RCRA* **Attachment 2:** *Summary of Emergency Release or Event Reporting Requirements* 

Environmental Reporting	EPC-CP-QP-0903	Page 24 of 27
Requirements for Releases or Events	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 03/09/2022



#### Attachment 1: Emergency Notification Requirements for RCRA

Environmental Reporting	EPC-CP-QP-0903	Page 25 of 27
Requirements for Releases or Events	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 03/09/2022

## Attachment 2: Summary of Emergency Release or Event Reporting Requirements

**NOTE:** This is only a guide and does not cover all federal, state, or permit reporting requirements. Refer to the Code of Federal Regulations and the RCRA Permit for more details regarding these regulations.

STATUTE	REGULATIONS	INCIDENT	Immediate Reporting Requirements	Follow Up Reporting Requirements
Clean Water Act	40 CFR §110.6	Oil discharge (film/sheen/discoloration) to water surface or shoreline, or violation of water quality standards.	Immediately (within 15 minutes of discovery) notify the National Response Center.	Follow-up not required.
Clean Water Act	Part III of NPDES Permit No. NM0028355	Leak or unplanned release from an NPDES permitted outfall.	Notify the NPDES Outfall Permit Program Lead and EPC-CP Water Quality Team Leader upon discovery. The program lead or the EPC-CP Water Quality Team Leader will complete initial reporting requirements as required.	Required follow-up reporting will be completed by the NPDES Outfall Permit Program Lead and EPC-CP Water Quality Team Leader.
Clean Water Act (CWA)-NPDES Pesticide General Permit	40 CFR §122.28	Adverse incident that includes evidence that a person or non-target organism has been exposed to a pesticide residue or the person or non-target organism suffered a toxic or adverse effect.	Notify the EPA Region 6 Pesticide Permitting contact (214) 665-7500 within 24 hours.	Submit a 30 Day Adverse Incident Written Report to the EPA Regional Office.
New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission Regulations (NMWQCC Regulations)	20.6.2.1203 NMAC	Discharge from any facility of oil or other water contaminant, in such quantity as may with reasonable probability injure or be detrimental to human health, animal or plant life, or property, or unreasonably interfere with the public welfare or use of the property.	Notify the New Mexico Environment Department 505-827-9329 within 24 hours.	Submit 7 and 15 Day written follow up Corrective Action Reports (Copy EPA Region 6 on the 7 and 15 Day Reports).
New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission Regulations (NMWQCC Regulations)	20.6.2.3104 NMAC	Unplanned release of any volume from an activity or facility covered under an active Groundwater DP: DP-857: SWWS Plant, SERF, and Sigma Mesa Evaporation Basins DP-1589: Septic Tank/Disposal Systems DP-1132 Radioactive Liquid Waste Treatment Facility	Notify the New Mexico Environment Department 505-827-9329 within 24 hours.	Submit 7 and 15 Day written follow up Corrective Action Reports (Copy EPA Region 6 on the 7 and 15 Day Reports)

Environmental Reporting	EPC-CP-QP-0903	Page 26 of 27	l
Requirements for Releases or Events	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 03/09/2022	

STATUTE	REGULATIONS	INCIDENT	Immediate Reporting Requirements	Follow Up Reporting Requirements
New Mexico Petroleum Storage Tank Bureau Regulations	20.5.118 NMAC	A release of a petroleum product from regulated aboveground storage tank that exceeds 25 gallons, that causes a sheen on nearby surface water, or that creates a vapor hazard pursuant to 20.5.119.1902 NMAC	Contact the EPC-CP AST Program Lead and/or the EPC-CP Water Quality Team Leader prior to completing any external notifications. If required, the Petroleum Storage Tank Bureau (476- 4397) or NMED Emergency Spill Hotline (505-827-9329) must be contacted within 24 hours.	A written report describing the spill, release or suspected release and any investigation or follow-up action needs to be submitted to the PSTB within 7 days of the incident.
Federal Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasure Requirements	40 CFR 112.4	A discharge of more than 1000 gallons of oil or more than 42 gallons of oil in a 12-month period.	Contact the EPC-CP AST Program Lead and/or the EPC-CP Water Quality Team Leader prior to completing any external notifications.	A written report describing the cause of the release / discharge of oil, corrective actions, measure's to prevent recurrence shall be submitted to the EPA Regional Administrator within 60 days.
Comprehensive Environmental, Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA)	40 CFR §302.6(a)	Hazardous substance (listed in 40 CFR Table 302.4) release (Equal to or greater than an RQ).	Immediately (within 15 minutes of discovery) notify the National Response Center 1-800-424-8802.	Follow-up not required.
Emergency Planning and Community Right- to-Know Act (EPCRA)	40 CFR§ 355.40	Release of an extremely hazardous substance (listed in 40 CFR Part 355 Appendices A and B) or CERCLA hazardous substance (listed in 40 CFR Table 302.4) equal to or greater than RQ.	Immediately (within 15 minutes of discovery) notify the LEPC (505-662-8283) the SERC (505-476-9635). Immediately notify the 911 operator for a release that occurs during transportation or from storage incident to transportation. Notifying the LEPC/SERC is only required for a release of an Extremely Hazardous Substance.	A written follow-up emergency notice must be submitted to the LEPC and SERC as soon as practicable after the release.

Environmental Reporting	EPC-CP-QP-0903	Page 27 of 27
Requirements for Releases or Events	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 03/09/2022

STATUTE	REGULATIONS	INCIDENT	Immediate Reporting Requirements	Follow Up Reporting Requirements
Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA)	40 CFR 262.34, 263.30, 264.51, 264.56 & .196, 265.51, .56 & .196, 270.14, & .30, 273.17, .37 & .54, 279.43 & .53, 280.50, .52, .53, .60, &.61	Release of hazardous or mixed waste from a permitted unit, interim status, less than 90 day area or a satellite accumulation area which the RCRA Permit Contingency Plan was triggered.	Notify NMED Hazardous Waste Bureau within 24 hours (24 hour emergency phone number: 827-9329; Non-emergency phone number: 476-6000) See Attachment 1 for additional details.	Submit written report to NMED HWB within 5 days.
Clean Air Act/ Radionuclide NESHAP	40 CFR 61, Subpart H	Airborne release of radioactive material in excess of an RQ.	Notify the EPA Region 6 Health Physicist (Office- (214) 665-8541; Mobile- (214) 755-1530; Home – (972) 937-1900) immediately after providing notification to the NRC.	Follow-up will be coordinated by the EPC-CP RAEM team.
New Mexico Air Quality Regulation	20 NMAC 2.7	Incidents in which excess emissions exceed an air quality regulatory limit or air permit emission limit.	File initial report to NMED AQB no later than the end of the next business day after the exceedance was discovered. (Submitted on- line via NMED AQB Secure Extranet Portal (SEP)).	Submit final written report to NMED AQB within 10 business days.
Toxic Substance Control Act (TSCA)	40 CFR 761.120, 761.125	Over 1 pound by weight of PCBs (TSCA) or greater than 270 gallons of untested mineral oil suspected of containing PCBs.	Contact the National Response Center (1-800- 242-8802) and the EPA Region 6 Office of Prevention, Pesticides, and Toxic Substances Branch (1- 866-372-7745) as soon as	Within 24 hours. Follow-up: as required by agency.

ATTACHMENT 22: EPC-CP-QP-1007, UNPLANNED RELEASES

EPC-CP-QP-1007		Revision: <b>1</b>		
Effective Date: 06/06/2023		Next Review D	Date: 06/06/2026	LOS Alamos NATIONAL LABORATORY
Environment	t, Safety, Hea	alth, and Qua	lity Directorate	
Environment	t Protection	and Complia	nce – Compliance P	rograms Group
Quality Proc	edure			
		Unplann	ed Releases	
Hazard Grading: Usage Level: Status: Safety Basis:	── Review w/Nc	 ∑ Major Revision Changes ☐ USQ	Minor Revision     Other:	   Date:
Steve Pearson		EPC-CP	Signature on File	06/05/2023
	Derivative	Classifier: 🔀 I	Unclassified or	
Name:		Organization:	Signature:	Date:
Steve Wolfel		EPC-CP	Signature on File	06/06/2023
Approval Signatures:				
Responsible Line Man	-	Organization:	Signature:	Date:
Sarah Holcomb, Tea		EPC-CP	Signature on File	06/06/2023
Responsible Line Man	-	Organization:	Signature:	Date:
Steve Story, Group	Leader	EPC-CP	Signature on File	06/06/2023
This copy is uncontrolled. Users are responsible for ensuring they work to the latest approved version. To document a required read, Login to <u>UTrain</u> , and go to the Advanced Search.				

	No: EPC-CP-QP-1007	Page 2 of 19
Unplanned Releases	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 06/06/2023

### **REVISION HISTORY**

<b>Document Number and Revision</b> [Include revision number, beginning with Revision 0]	Effective Date [Document Control Coordinator inserts effective date]	<b>Description of Changes</b> [List specific changes made since the previous revision]
0	12/98	New Document.
1	06/00	Annual review, added Cerro Grande fire hazards
2	07/01	Annual review.
3	06/03	Annual review.
4	04/04	Annual review, changes to HCPs.
5	02/07	Annual review, changes to reflect organizational restructure.
6	07/08	Annual review.
7	09/10	Biennial Review and revision.
8	04/11	Removed prerequisites, added note re: on-call spill reporting.
9	07/13	Biennial review and revision, implemented new procedure format.
10	09/30/15	Biennial review and revision, implemented new procedure format. Controlled the updated LANL ENV-CP Unplanned Release Report.
EPC-CP-QP-1007, Rev. 0	06/03/2020	Format document into new template and update content. This document was formerly ENV-CP-QP-007 R10.
EPC-CP-QP-1007, R1	06/06/2023	Renaming of procedure from <i>Spill Investigations</i> to <i>Unplanned Releases</i> , to distinguish from planned releases; text changes made to reflect current operating practices; update of contact list due to personnel changes.

Unplanned Releases	No: EPC-CP-QP-1007	Page 3 of 19	
Onplaimed Releases	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 06/06/2023	

# **Table of Contents**

Revis	<i>v</i> ision History	
1.0	Introduction	
	1.1 Purpose	4
	1.2 Scope	
	1.3 Applicability	4
	1.4 Authority	4
2.0	Precautions and Limitations	5
	2.1 Precautions	5
	2.2 Limitations	5
3.0	Prerequisite Actions	6
	3.1 Planning and Coordination	
	3.2 Performance Documents	6
	3.3 Special Tools, Equipment, Parts, and Supplies	6
4.0	Performing UNPLANNED RELEASE Investigations	7
	4.1 Notification of an Unplanned Release	
	4.2 Notifications, Documentation, and Record Keeping	9
	4.2.1 Notifications	
	4.2.1.2 Reportable Releases	
	4.2.2 Documentation	
	4.2.2.1 Documentation for Non-Reportable Releases	10
	4.2.2.2 Documentation for Reportable Releases	
	4.2.3 Recordkeeping	
5.0	6	
6.0		
7.0		
	7.1 Definitions	
	7.2 Acronyms	
8.0		
9.0		
	Attachment 1: Contact List	
	Attachment 2: Unplanned Release Report, EPC-CP-QP-1007-Form 1	
	Attachment 3: 7/15 Day Release Report, EPC-CP-QP-1007-Form 2	

Unplanned Releases	No: EPC-CP-QP-1007	Page 4 of 19
	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 06/06/2023

### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

All unplanned releases that occur at Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) must be evaluated, remediated, and documented to ensure corrective actions are completed and reporting requirements are fulfilled. The investigation of unplanned releases and coordination of corrective actions are delegated to the Environmental Protection and Compliance Division's Compliance Programs Group (EPC-CP). An unplanned release, as used in this document, is defined as any unpermitted spilling, leaking, pumping, pouring, emitting, emptying, discharging, escaping, leaching, or unauthorized dumping of liquid or other material, including potable water, that may be a potential pollutant to water quality or that could result in adverse impact to the environment; excluding: 1) emissions from engine exhaust from any vehicle; 2) normal application of fertilizers, pesticides and deicing solutions.

### NOTE

For PLANNED discharges of potable water or steam condensate contact EPC-CP Water Quality Permitting/Compliance SME for NMWCC-Planned Releases to ensure proper procedures are followed and appropriate documentation is completed.

# 1.1 Purpose

This EPC-CP procedure describes the steps for performing unplanned release investigations throughout LANL.

# 1.2 Scope

The scope of this procedure is limited to the performance of unplanned release responses by EPC-CP personnel and/or authorized subcontractors. Activities include unscheduled site visits to any area of the Laboratory upon notification or discovery of an unplanned release as support staff for the on-scene Incident Response Commander (IRC), Deployed Environmental Professional (DEP) staff, or Facility Operations Directorate (FOD) designated facility representative. Support activities include evaluation and documentation of the unplanned release; guidance regarding remediation; and reporting to regulatory agencies.

# 1.3 Applicability

This procedure applies to all EPC-CP personnel and after hours on-call personnel responsible for conducting unplanned release investigations.

# 1.4 Authority

The EPC-CP Group Leader is the issuing authority for this document.

Linniannad Palaasas	No: EPC-CP-QP-1007	Page 5 of 19
Unplanned Releases	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 06/06/2023

### 2.0 PRECAUTIONS AND LIMITATIONS

A Hazard Analysis was performed for the tasks associated with this procedure. The hazard rating for the activities described in this procedure is **LOW** and does not require an Integrated Work Document.

### 2.1 Precautions

Precautions apply to abnormal conditions or hazards to personnel or equipment that can be encountered while performing this procedure. The following precautions shall be taken when performing work using this quality technical procedure:

- Personnel shall wear appropriate clothing (e.g., boots, long pants, gloves, etc.) to perform unplanned release investigations in the field. This may also include safety glasses, a hardhat, a safety vest, and/or safety shoes/boots as required by the location and area to be inspected.
- Work may be paused or discontinued due to conditions that make a location dangerous for worker safety or prevent personnel from safely accessing a site (i.e., flash floods, lightning, wildfires, hail, icy roads, deep snow, extreme temperatures, or hazardous LANL Operations such as firing shots, burns, or security).

### NOTE

SAFETY is a priority when responding to, and mitigating unplanned releases. If a safety issue exists that could potentially endanger personnel investigating the event or mitigating the release, contact the Emergency Operations Support Center (EOSC) at 667-2400 for support.

# 2.2 Limitations

Limitations are defined boundaries (i.e., training, hold points) that are NOT to be exceeded while preforming the activities defined in this procedure. The following limitations are applicable to performing work using this technical procedure:

- Perform field activities in accordance with EPC-DO-QP-100, General Field Safety, and/or be escorted by Emergency Management Operations (EM-OPS) personnel or site personnel at all times.
- Unplanned releases that occur on Department of Energy property due to activities performed by an organization not associated with Triad National Security, LLC (e.g., Los Alamos County, Newport News Nuclear BWXT Los Alamos (N3B), etc.,) are the responsibility of that organization. The respective organization is responsible for site remediation, completion of corrective actions, and fulfillment any external reporting requirements.

Unplanned Releases	No: EPC-CP-QP-1007	Page 6 of 19
Onplained Releases	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 06/06/2023

• Some unplanned releases have 15-minute and 24-hour verbal notification requirements. Personnel using this procedure must be familiar with the reporting requirements of <u>EPC-CP-QP-0903</u>, <u>Environmental Reporting Requirements for Releases or Events</u>.

#### Note

Unplanned releases that occur on Department of Energy property from an organization not associated with Triad National Security, LLC (e.g., Los Alamos County, N3B etc.) are the responsibility of that organization. The respective organization is responsible for site remediation, corrective actions, and external reporting requirements. If the owner of the release is not associated with Triad, refer the caller to the EOSC at 667-2400. If the release is determined to belong to N3B, a courtesy notification shall be made to the Prime Contract, Interface, and Policy Office – Division Office (PCIP-DO) regarding location and details of the release.

### 3.0 PREREQUISITE ACTIONS

### 3.1 Planning and Coordination

The response to unplanned releases requires frequent and unscheduled site visits to any area of the Laboratory. Certain facilities and Laboratory locations require additional training and have specific access requirements that must be followed. Specific activities may include one or more of the following:

- Site-Specific Training (e.g., WFO operational areas).
- Coordination with Access Control and/or Security for escort, keys, safety (e.g., explosives areas, burn grounds, between security fences), two-way radios.
- Security Clearance (i.e., TA-3-66, TA-55, TA-8, 9, 14, 15, 16, 39, 40, 49).

Site access for unplanned release response will require that the EPC-CP Investigator maintain a security clearance and multiple site-specific training requirements. It will also require that the Investigator coordinate with the EOSC, designated FOD representative, and/or Deployed Environmental Professional (DEP).

# 3.2 Performance Documents

The following documents are required to perform this procedure:

- EPC-CP-QP-1007 Form 1, Unplanned Release Report (Attachment 2).
- EPC-CP-QP-1007 Form 2, 7/15 Day Release Report (Attachment 3).
- EPC-CP-QP-0903, Environmental Reporting Requirements for Releases or Events.

# 3.3 Special Tools, Equipment, Parts, and Supplies

Ensure the following are available for responding to release notifications:

Unplanned Releases	No: EPC-CP-QP-1007	Page 7 of 19
onplained Releases	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 06/06/2023

- Personal protective equipment (PPE) as required by each specific site location (e.g., hardhat, safety vest, safety glasses, safety shoes, etc.)
- Cell phone (only government cell phones are allowed in secure areas.) See <a href="https://int.lanl.gov/policy/documents/P217.pdf">https://int.lanl.gov/policy/documents/P217.pdf</a> for requirements for using portable electronic devices on Laboratory property.
- EPC-CP Spills Pager the EPC-CP spills team maintains the 664-7722 pager; notifications can be configured to send alerts to wireless pagers, government cell phones and e-mail.
- External dosimeter (as required by site or facility).
- EPC-CP-QP-1007 Form 1 to record pertinent information about the release, i.e., time and date of release, location and source of release, type of material released, quantity of material released, impacted media, quantity of waste generated, time release was stopped, any immediate mitigation actions taken to contain or control the release, time, date and description of notifications, etc.).
- Physical or electronic maps (e.g., utility line locations, Solid Waste Management Unit (SWMU) / Area of Concern (AOC) boundaries, land ownership boundaries).

# 4.0 PERFORMING UNPLANNED RELEASE INVESTIGATIONS

### 4.1 Notification of an Unplanned Release

EPC-CP personnel that respond to, and conduct unplanned release investigations must: 1) ensure that the immediate mitigation of releases occurs (i.e., isolation of active leaks, deployment of absorbent controls or secondary containment, etc.); 2) provide timely notification to appropriate regulatory organizations in the event that the unplanned discharge meets reportable criteria as described in EPC-CP-QP-0903. EPC-CP provides 24-hr support and response to all notifications of an unplanned release; and 3) provide guidance to facility personnel on spill mitigation and cleanup.

# 4.1.1 Notification and Response to a 7-2400 (EOSC) PAGE

A **PAGE** from 7-2400 is a notification from the EOSC dispatcher of a release or event that may require EPC-CP presence on site. A **PAGE** from the EOSC will nearly always involve a response by HAZMAT personnel. The EPC-CP representative will need to perform the following steps when receiving this type of **PAGE**.

[1] Call 667-2400 and collect information of the event from the EOSC dispatcher. Note that this information should be regarded as preliminary, as more definitive information will be available when first responders arrive at the site and can fully assess the event. At a minimum, collect the following information from the EOSC dispatcher:

a) Verify if an EPC-CP on-site presence is requested. Some notifications (i.e., HAZMAT chemical characterizations or assessments) may not require an EPC-CP representative to be on site.

Linniannod Poloosos	No: EPC-CP-QP-1007	Page 8 of 19
Unplanned Releases	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 06/06/2023

b) Location of the release (i.e., Technical Area, building number, outside or inside of structure, mile post number, etc.). Based on location, determine what access requirements are applicable (i.e., Clearance Level, Site Specific training, escorting, etc.).

c) Type, volume and source of the release. Based upon the material spilled and location, determine the appropriate PPE for the site visit (i.e., steel toed boots, safety glasses, hard safety, safety vest, etc.).

d) Name and cell phone number of the IRC responding to the release.

[2] Travel to the release location and report to the IRC to receive a site-specific safety and security briefing.

[3] Assess and evaluate the nature and extent of the release. Inspect the site to ensure that the extent is adequately defined; identify any impacts to watercourses, SWMUs, and evidence of erosional impacts as a result of the release.

[4] Provide support and guidance to EM-OPS, HAZMAT and Facility personnel on release mitigation measures and requirements.

[5] Determine if samples will be required and coordinate with the WMC representative for preparation and submittal of a Request For Analysis (RFA). Note that for reportable releases where corrective actions involve significant removal of soil or surface materials, analytical confirmation samples may be required for submittal to regulators to justify administrative closure of the event.

[6] Provide the final inspection of the site to verify that corrective actions were adequate and are complete. Once the release has been mitigated, the IRC will release the site back to the controlling FOD.

# 4.1.2 Notification and Response to Non-EOSC PAGES and Phone Calls

Notifications may be received by PAGER or phone calls directly to the EPC-CP representative. Upon receiving this type of notification, the EPC-CP representative will need to perform the following steps:

[1] Call the phone number indicated on the PAGER or respond directly to the caller on the phone. Collect the following information:

a) identity of caller.

b) exact location of observed release.

c) material released and estimated volume, flow rate, or approximate surface area of release.

[2] Based on the preliminary information received, assess whether on-site resources will be sufficient to mitigate the release. Notify the EOSC if the assessment determines that the release volume or impacted area appears to be beyond the capability of on-site personnel and resources, or, if the release involves an unknown chemical or hazardous material, if the release involves a large volume of petroleum product, if the release threatens to migrate offsite or impact a watercourse or

Linniannad Palaasas	No: EPC-CP-QP-1007	Page 9 of 19
Unplanned Releases	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 06/06/2023

storm drain, if vehicular traffic control is needed to safely remediate the release. If the EOSC determines an IRC is needed on site, then return to section 4.1.1 and proceed with the response and investigation as outlined.

[3] Proceed to the site and provide guidance to the FOD designee, waste management coordinator (WMC), and/or DEP regarding the containment and/or cleanup of the release. Examples of the types of guidance to provide include the following:

a) Inspect the site to ensure that the extent of the unplanned release is adequately defined.

b) Recommend corrective actions.

c) Recommend how to stabilize the site for further remediation (i.e., BMPs, absorbent controls, secondary containment, etc.).

d) Identify watercourse boundaries, SWMUs, PRSs near or at the release site.

e) Provide the final inspection of the site to verify that the corrective actions were adequate and complete.

# NOTE

The Spill Investigator may respond to the unplanned release and determine that the containment and remediation is beyond the capability of the designated FOD representative, DEP, and/or WMC to respond. The EOSC should be contacted if additional technical expertise or materials are needed to remediate the release.

# NOTE

If the release is low volume of a known material, the delegated FOD representative, DEP or WMC may remediate the release without the EPC-CP spill representative being present. The designated FOD rep, DEP or WMC will complete the Unplanned Release Report (EPC-CP-QP-1007 Form 1) and submit a copy to the EPC-CP spill team lead for record keeping.

# 4.2 Notifications, Documentation, and Record Keeping

#### 4.2.1 Notifications

#### 4.2.1.1 Non-Reportable Releases

If the release is determined to be non-reportable, an e-mail notification shall be made by the EPC-CP investigator to the EPC-CP Water Quality Team Lead, EPC-CP Group Leader, and applicable internal stakeholders. Include the following information in the e-mail: date of release or discovery, location, quantity, and type of material, and corrective actions taken to mitigate and remediate the release.

Upplanned Palasses	No: EPC-CP-QP-1007	Page 10 of 19
Unplanned Releases	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 06/06/2023

### 4.2.1.2 Reportable Releases

If the release is determined to be reportable, the EPC-CP spill team lead, or delegated EPC-CP oncall representative shall make the following notifications in the order described. A current contact list is provided in Attachment 1 of this procedure.

[1] E-mail notification to EPC-CP Group and Division Management, and internal stakeholders (i.e., EPC-CP Water Quality Team Lead and Permit SMEs, FOD and/or designated FOD representative). include all pertinent facts:

- a) date, time of release or discovery, location of release
- b) quantity and type of material
- c) status of corrective actions
- d) criteria that were met to classify the release as reportable

[2] Verbal notification to the DOE-NNSA representative explaining that a reportable release has occurred and 24-hr verbal notifications will be made to the appropriate regulatory agencies.

[3] 24-hr verbal notifications to the New Mexico Environment Department (NMED) Ground Water Quality Bureau (GWQB), Surface Water Quality Bureau (SWQB), and Hazardous Waste Bureau (HWB). If the release is reportable and has occurred after-hours on Friday or Saturday, the On-Call EPC-CP representative is responsible for making the required regulatory verbal notifications. If the release occurs on Sunday or weekdays the 24-hr verbal notifications will be made by the EPC-CP spill team lead.

#### CAUTION

Unplanned releases may have EXTERNAL reporting requirements that must be completed within 15 minutes or 24-hours of discovery based upon EPC-CP-QP-0903, Environmental Reporting Requirements for Releases.

# 4.2.2 Documentation

#### 4.2.2.1 Documentation for Non-Reportable Releases

If the release is non-reportable, then EPC-CP-QP-1007 Form 1 must be completed in its entirety and submitted to the EPC-CP spill team lead within five working days for record keeping.

# 4.2.2.2 Documentation for Reportable Releases

If the release is reportable, the EPC-CP spill team lead will document the release on EPC-CP-QP-1007 Form 2, 7/15 Day Release Report. The completed form will be reviewed and assigned an LA-UR document release number and submitted to the appropriate regulatory agencies and internal

Unplanned Releases	No: EPC-CP-QP-1007	Page 11 of 19
Onplained Releases	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 06/06/2023

stakeholders within the required 7 and 15 day time frames. If corrective actions have been completed, administrative closure may be requested in the 7 or 15 day reports. Otherwise, closure will be requested via formal letter when corrective actions have been completed.

# 4.2.3 Recordkeeping

All unplanned release documentation, reportable and non-reportable, are electronically stored in an Access spills database located at ENV(\\dcstorage.lanl.gov):\CP\WQ\WQCC\_COMP\_PRPG\Spills. EPC-CP-QP-1007 Form 1 and Form 2, as well as all regulatory letter correspondence are linked to each unplanned release event and stored in the database. The database is maintained by the spill team leads who have access permission for data entry.

# 5.0 TRAINING

All EPC-CP personnel that execute the activities specified in this procedure must meet the minimum qualification and training requirements for their position as identified in <u>EPC-CP-PIP-1001, New</u> <u>Mexico Water Quality Control Commission (WQCC) Program Implementation Plan (PIP)</u>. This will include "self-study" (required reading) for this procedure as assigned and documented in accordance with <u>ADESH-TPP-301, ADESH Training Program Plan (TPP)</u>.

# 6.0 RECORDS

EPC-CP is the Office of Record for this document and must be maintained in accordance with <u>PD1020</u>, *Document Control and Records Management* and ESHQ-AP-006, R0 ESHQ *Records Management Procedure*. Records generated by this document will be submitted to the Records Management designated point of contact or document manager for document management. The following records are generated by this procedure.

Record Title	QA Record	Non-QA Record
EPC-CP-QP-1007 Form 1, EPC-CP Unplanned Release Report	$\square$	
EPC-CP-QP-1007 Form 2, EPC-CP 7/15 Day Release Report		
Correspondence (i.e., formal written communication to and from the New Mexico Environment Department)		
Correspondence - E-mail Submittals of 7/15 Day Release Reports to NMED		

# 7.0 DEFINITIONS AND ACRONYMS

# 7.1 Definitions

See LANL *Definition of Terms*.

Unplanned Releases	No: EPC-CP-QP-1007	Page 12 of 19	
	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 06/06/2023	

#### 7.2 Acronyms

See LANL Acronym Master List.

### 8.0 **REFERENCES**

ESHQ-AP-006 R0, ESHQ Records Management Procedure

ADESH-TPP-301, ADESH Training Program Plan (TPP)

EPC-CP-PIP-1001, New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission (WQCC) Program Implementation Plan

EPC-CP-QP-0903, Environmental Reporting Requirements for Releases

EPC-DO-QP-100, General Field Safety

P217, Controlled Portable Electronic Devices

# 9.0 ATTACHMENTS

Attachment 1: Contact List

Attachment 2: EPC-CP-QP-1007-Form 1, Unplanned Release Report

Attachment 3: EPC-CP-QP-1007-Form 2, 7/15 Day Release Report

Unplanned Releases	No: EPC-CP-QP-1007	Page 13 of 19
onplaimed Releases	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 06/06/2023

# Attachment 1: Contact List

LANL Primary			
POC	Phone No.	E-Mail	
Emergency Operations Support Center	(505) 667-2400		
EPC-CP Spills Pager	(505) 664-7722		
WM-WGS Response Pager	(505) 664-5864		
EPC-DO	(505) 667-5466	andiek@lanl.gov	
EPC-CP Group Lead	(505) 412-5659	story@lanl.gov	
EPC-CP WQ Team Lead	(505) 396-0866	sholcomb@lanl.gov	

Regulatory			
New Mexico Environment Department			
24/7 - Non-Emergency Hotline	(866) 428-6535		
24/7 - Emergency Hotline	(505) 827-9329		
Ground Water Quality Bureau	(505) 827-2900		
Gerald Knutson	(505) 660-7189	Gerald.Knutson@env.nm.gov	
Andrew Romero (DP 1132/any RLWTF	(505) 660-8624	AndrewC.Romero@env.nm.gov	
releases)			
Surface Water Quality Bureau	(505) 827-0187		
Levi Dean	(505) 365-3337	Levi.Dean@env.nm.gov	
Hazardous Waste Bureau	(505) 476-6000		
Stephen Connolly	(505) 470-8495	Stephen.connolly@env.nm.gov	
US EPA Region 6	(800) 887-6063		
Nancy Williams	(214) 665-7179	Williams.nancy@epa.gov	
24-hr National Response Center	(800) 424-8802		

Department of Energy - NNSA		
Karen Armijo	(505) 221-3664	Karen.armijo@nnsa.doe.gov
Robert Gallegos	(208) 569-0377	Robert.gallegos@nnsa.doe.gov

Unplanned Releases	No: EPC-CP-QP-1007	Page 14 of 19	
onplaimed Releases	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 06/06/2023	

Interface and Policy Office/Newport News Nuclear BWXT Los Alamos (N3B)		
Craig Douglass (Triad)	(505) 231-9478	craigd@lanl.gov
N3B Operations Center	(505) 551-2954	
Steve Maze (N3B) OPS Manager	(505) 309-1354	Steve.maze@em-la.doe.gov

Additional Resources
Environmental Contact List (SMEs)
https://int.lanl.gov/org/ddops/aldeshg/environmental-waste-
programs/ assets/docs/environmental-contacts.pdf
EPC-CP On-Call Schedule
https://int.lanl.gov/org/ddops/aldeshq/environmental-waste-programs/ assets/docs/EPC-
OnCall-Schedule.pdf
Attachment 1 - continued
WM-WGS On-Call Schedule
https://int.lanl.gov/org/ddops/aldeshq/environmental-waste-programs/waste-management-
programs/ assets/docs/emergency-on-call-WMC-calendar.pdf
Deployed Environmental Professional List
https://int.lanl.gov/org/ddops/aldeshq/environmental-waste-programs/compliance-
programs/env pros.shtml
RQ Calculator
https://rqcalculator.projectenhancement.com

Unplanned Releases	No: EPC-CP-QP-1007	Page 15 of 19
onplaimed Releases	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 06/06/2023

Additional LANL Support		
UI-OPS		
Randy Vigil (for potable and sanitary wastewater releases)	(505) 695-8900	<u>rvigil@lanl.gov</u>
David Trujillo (LOG vehicles; roadway releases)	(505) 412-9523	<u>dtrujillo@lanl.gov</u>
<b>LOG-HERG</b> (Roads and Grounds) Bernadette Lopez	(505) 695-3799	lopez_b@lanl.gov
IF-OPS Bill Gorman (building related releases)	(505) 695-8993	wgorman@lanl.gov

Unplanned Releases	No: EPC-CP-QP-1007	Page 16 of 19
onplained Releases	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 06/06/2023

# Attachment 2: Unplanned Release Report, EPC-CP-QP-1007-Form 1

		mental C	s National Laborat ompliance Progran ned Release Repor	n (EPC-C	P)		
Form Completed By:	And the second s		Telephone:		Group:		
Spill Owner Details (Specify):	TRIAD, LLC	NAD, LLC   Subcontractor:			Other:		
Date of Spill/Date Spill Discovere	d:						
Location:							
Material Spilled: Hydraulic Fluid Potable Water Diesel	000	Anti-freeze Steam Con Lubricants	idensate		Refrigerant Oil Gasoline Other:		·
Volume Spilled:			ume Generated:	4	other,		
Source of Spill: Vehicle ID: Equipment ID: Describe the spill response in chror used to clean it up. Please indicate it	iological order. In f corrective action	Potab     Fire St     Fuel T	le Water Líne uppression System ank 1se personnel, steps tak	en to conta	Radiator Condensate Line Other: ain the spill, and step en to prevent spill m	ps/spill ecurrer	control equipmen
Date Corrective Actions Complet Did the spill enter or impact any of t (Check as many as apply) RCRA Treatment Storage Disp RCRA Satellite Accumulation A RCRA <90 Day Storage Area	the following? osal Facility		Floor Drain, if so pla Watercourse/drain Solid Waste Manag	age area, if	so please indicate	so plea	se indicate
NPDES MSGP Facility							
Did the spill occur inside or outs	ide a building?		Outside				
Did the spill occur on: (Check as many as apply)	Concrete Carpeted F Tile Wooden F	No. V	0 0		Rocky Area tated Area		
Samples Collected:           None           Water	Soli Air Other:				collected, indicate a	nalytica	al suite:
Certification							
	bout the inform	ation on this	form. The informatio	n, to my k	nowledge, is true,		te, and complete
			Organization:	1		Date:	<u>, </u>
certify that I am knowledgeable a Name of Certifying Official: Certification:						Date:	n.

Unplanned Releases	No: EPC-CP-QP-1007	Page 17 of 19
	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 06/06/2023

# Attachment 3: 7/15 Day Release Report, EPC-CP-QP-1007-Form 2

NFDES OF	Operational Spill/Rel ER Spill/Rel Other Spill/Rel	lease 📃 -Indicat	e with "X" in appropria	te box.	Release ID Number
Release TA: Building: the release/disc	Facility/User Group: Contact Person Phone # /Discharge Location:	with a NPDES Outfal	I, Potential Release \$		d Waste Managemer
		SWMU: PRS es).	/SWMU Number:	scharge:	

Unplanned Releases	No: EPC-CP-QP-1007	Page 18 of 19
onplained keleases	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 06/06/2023

leather Conditions:		
Duration of Release/ Discharge, in HOURS: Corrective Actions Taken (ie, typ	Est. Volume released,in gallons: be of BMPs, etc):	Est. Volume Recovered, in gallons.
learest Watercourse (Canyon Na		
the release/discharge reached elease/discharge now in the wat	a watercourse, describe the estimate tercourse, and the media the release/	ed surface area affected, presence of /discharge was detected in:
Distance to Nearest Drinking Wa	OUR RELEASE / DISCHARG	Well ID#
24-H Conta	act Person Phone	Fax Date & Time (or Comme
EPA:	act Person Phone	
EPA: Conta EPA: NMED/SWQB:	act Person Phone	
Conta EPA: NMED/SWQB: NMED/GWQB:	act Person Phone	
EPA: Conta EPA: NMED/SWQB:	act Person Phone	
Conta EPA: NMED/SWQB: NMED/GWQB: NMED/HRMB:	act Person Phone	
Conta EPA: NMED/SWQB: NMED/GWQB: NMED/HRMB: NMED/DOE-OB:	OUR RELEASE / DISCHARG         act Person       Phone         Image: Im	
Conta EPA:	OUR RELEASE / DISCHARG         act Person       Phone         Image: Im	
Conta EPA:	OUR RELEASE / DISCHARG         act Person       Phone         Image: Im	
Conta EPA:	OUR RELEASE / DISCHARG         act Person       Phone         Image: Im	

Unplanned Releases	No: EPC-CP-QP-1007	Page 19 of 19
Onplainied Releases	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 06/06/2023

7 DAY RELEASE	DISCHARGE ACTIONS
7 Day Notice 7 Day Notice Date: Mark "X" when done.	7 Day Notice By:
Comments:	
15 DAY RELEASE	/ DISCHARGE ACTIONS
15 day Follow-up Due:	15-day Follow-Up By:
Comments:	
NMED 30 DAY APPR	ROVAL / DISAPPROVAL
NMED 30 Day Response Date:	
Comments:	
Peter Maggiore, Acting Assistant Manager National Security Missions	Jennifer Payne, EPC Division Director Triad National Security, LLC.
Los Alamos Field Office	Los Alamos National Laboratory
3747 West Jemez Road MS-A316 Los Alamos, New Mexico 87544 (505) 606-0397	P.O. Box 1663, MS K404 Los Alamos, New Mexico 87544 (505) 667-2211

EPC-CP-QP-1007 Form 2

ATTACHMENT 23: EPC-CP-QP-2110, MSGP STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN PREPARATION AND MAINTENANCE

				1
EPC-CP-QP-2110		Revisio	n: <b>1</b>	
Effective Date: 06/15/2023		Next Re	eview Date: 06/15/2026	LOS AIGINOS NATIONAL LABORATORY
Environmen	t, Safety, H	ealth, and	Quality Directorate	1
Environmen	t Protectio	n and Com	pliance – Compliance P	Programs Group
Quality Proc	edure			
MSGP	Stormwa	ater Pollu	ution Prevention P	lan Preparation
		and	Maintenance	
Hazard Grading:	🖂 Low	Moderate	High/Complex	
Usage Level:	🔀 Reference	UET	Mixed: UET Sections:	
Status:	New	🔀 Major Revi	sion 🗌 Minor Revision	
	Review w/N	Io Changes	Other:	
Safety Basis:	N/A			
		Document A	uthor/Subject Matter Expert:	
Name:		Organization:	Signature:	Date:
Holly L. Wheeler		EPC-CP	Signature on File	05-26-2023
		1		
	Derivat	ive Classifier:	Unclassified or	
Name:		Organization:	Signature:	Date:
Steven E. Wolfel		EPC-CP	Signature on File	05-30-2023
		۵r	proval Signatures:	
EPC-CP- Reviewer:		Organization:	Signature:	Date:
Alethea Banar		EPC-CP	Signature on File	05-30-2023
EPC-CP Reviewer:		Organization:	Signature:	Date:
Terrill W. Lemke, Tea	m Leader	EPC-CP	Signature on File	06-14-2023
EPC-CP RLM:		Organization:	Signature:	Date:
Steve Story, Group	Leader	EPC-CP	Signature on File	06-15-2023
				This copy is uncontrolled.
		Users aı	re responsible for ensuring thev wo	ork to the latest approved version.
				n, and go to the Advanced Search.

MSGP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Preparation and	No: EPC-CP-QP-2110	Page 2 of 76
Maintenance	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 06/15/2023

### **REVISION HISTORY**

Document Number and Revision	Effective Date	Description of Changes
EPC-CP-QP-2110, Rev. 0	01/07/2020	New document
EPC-CP-QP-2110 R1	06/15/2023	This document supersedes EPC-CP-QP- 2110 R0. Reviewed and revised to the new 2021 MSGP language and requirements. Updated Sections 1.0, 1.1, 1.2, 3.0, 3.2, 4.1, 4.2, 5.0, 6.0, 7.0, 8.1, 8.2, 9.0, Attachment 1 and Attachment 2. Permit section references and language updated in Sections 1.1, 4.2, 5.0, 8.1, 8.2, Attachment 1, and Attachment 2. Updated checklist to new MSGP sections and language.

#### **Table of Contents**

Revis	sion History	2
1.0	Introduction	
	1.1 Purpose	4
	1.2 Scope	4
	1.3 Applicability	4
2.0	Precautions and Limitations	4
3.0	Preparing an MSGP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan	4
	3.1 Gathering Information for the SWPPP	5
	3.2 Preparing the SWPPP	5
4.0	Maintaining the MSGP SWPPP	
	4.1 Availability of the MSGP SWPPP	7
	4.2 Additional Documentation Requirements	7
5.0	Revising the MSGP SWPPP	
6.0	Training	10
7.0	Records	10
8.0	Definitions and Acronyms	11
	8.1 Definitions	11
	8.2 Acronyms	11
9.0	References	11
10.0	Attachments	12
	Attachment 1: EPC-CP-QP-2110 R1 Form 1, MSGP SWPPP Template Example	13
	Attachment 2: MSGP SWPPP Review Guidance Checklist Example	66

# 1.0 INTRODUCTION

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Multi-Sector General Permit (MSGP), also referred to as the Permit, contains specific requirements for industrial activities at Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) covered by the Permit. One requirement is the preparation, maintenance, and routine revision of a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP).

# 1.1 Purpose

Active MSGP facilities must be documented in a SWPPP. The SWPPP is intended to document the selection, design, and installation of stormwater control measures (SCM) to meet permit effluent limits. Additional documentation required by the Permit is kept with the SWPPP (including inspection reports, maintenance activities, monitoring results, and conditions requiring corrective action and AIM responses) and is intended to document the implementation of permit requirements.

# 1.2 Scope

This procedure contains information and specific steps for preparing and revising a SWPPP and identifying and documenting conditions to meet Permit requirements. Part 6 of the Permit contains specific requirements for developing, maintaining, and revising a SWPPP for facilities with stormwater discharge associated with industrial activities permitted under an MSGP. Additional documentation required to be kept with the SWPPP can be found in Part 6.5 of the Permit.

# 1.3 Applicability

This procedure applies to Environmental Protection and Compliance-Compliance Programs (EPC-CP) technical staff, Deployed Environmental Professionals (DEPs), and subcontractor personnel (as applicable) who develop and maintain SWPPPs at MSGP regulated LANL facilities operated by Triad, LLC.

# 2.0 PRECAUTIONS AND LIMITATIONS

The hazard rating for the activities described in this procedure is <u>LOW</u> and does not require an Integrated Work Document.

# 3.0 PREPARING AN MSGP STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN

Part 6 of the Permit contains the specific requirements for developing, maintaining, and revising a SWPPP. At a minimum, the SWPPP will contain the following elements:

- Stormwater pollution prevention team (Stormwater PPT);
- Site description (including a site map);
- Summary of potential pollutant sources;

- Description of stormwater control measures;
- Schedules and procedures;
- Documentation to support eligibility considerations under other federal laws; and
- Signature requirements.

Where the SWPPP refers to procedures in other facility documents, such as a Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasure Plan or an Environmental Management System, copies of the relevant portions of those documents must be kept with the SWPPP.

The template provided in Attachment 1, EPC-CP-QP-2110 R1 Form 1, *MSGP SWPPP Template Example* contains the elements required in a LANL MSGP SWPPP. Contact the MSGP Program Lead for questions regarding content.

# 3.1 Gathering Information for the SWPPP

### **SWPPP Preparer**

- [1] Contact the MSGP Program Lead for a copy of the most current SWPPP template.
- [2] Obtain a copy of the previous year's SWPPP for reference (if one is available).
- [3] Review the SWPPP template.
  - [a] Identify information that will need to be included in the SWPPP (e.g., MSGP sector, operational areas, Pollution Prevention Team member names, etc.).
  - [b] Identify documents that will need to be attached to the SWPPP (e.g., certifications, memorandums, maps, data summaries, endangered species reports, etc.).
- [4] Identify documents and/or reports that are provided by EPC-CP.
  - [a] Contact the MSGP Program Lead with a request for needed information.
- [5] Obtain maps as specified in the SWPPP template.
  - [a] Request a new map or update to existing map from the MSGP Program Lead.
  - [b] Provide a draft or map markup with information as required in the Permit.

#### 3.2 Preparing the SWPPP

#### **SWPPP Preparer**

- [1] Use a copy of the most current SWPPP template.
- [2] Add information to the relevant sections.

- [3] Text highlighted in yellow indicate areas to be replaced with facility specific information.
  - [a] <u>IF</u> text is part of an instruction (e.g., <u>Insert site description text here</u>.)
     <u>THEN</u> delete the entire line and replace with the appropriate information.
  - [b] <u>IF</u> text is embedded as part of the line, <u>THEN</u> replace just the yellow highlighted text with appropriate information (e.g., delete <u>Sector XX- (Insert Sector Title)</u> and replace with Sector P – Land Transportation & Warehousing).
- [4] Delete attachments that are not applicable to the active facility specific SWPPP.
- [5] Attach other documentation (e.g., Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasure Plan, Environmental Management System, copies of relevant portions of documents) as necessary.
- [6] Send the draft SWPPP to the EPC-CP MSGP Program Lead and request a review.
  - **NOTE 1:** The EPC-CP MSGP Program Lead may delegate the review to personnel in the Stormwater Permitting/Compliance Team.

#### **MSGP** Program Lead or Designee

- [7] Review the SWPPP to ensure information required by the Permit is included.
  - [a] Encourage the use of the *MSGP SWPPP Review Guidance Checklist* as a best management practice to cross-check SWPPP content with the Permit. Refer to the checklist example in Attachment 2.
  - [b] Provide comments to the SWPPP Preparer.

# **SWPPP Preparer**

- [8] The Preparer must resolve review comments with the MSGP Program Lead.
- [9] Obtain the signature of a duly authorized representative (refer to Appendix B, Subpart 11 of the Permit) on the certification statements associated with the SWPPP and attachments (refer to Attachment 9 of the *MSGP SWPPP Template* Example).
  - **NOTE 2:** The Review & Approval System for Scientific and Technical Information (RASSTI) system requires upload of only PDF documents. It is highly recommended that all final certifications obtained contain a written signature rather than electronic signature or the author can print and scan an electronic signature as a pdf to insert it into the document. The RASSTI system adds a cover page to the document containing the Los Alamos Unlimited Release or LA-UR number, which obviates all electronic signatures due to the document change.

#### 4.0 MAINTAINING THE MSGP SWPPP

#### 4.1 Availability of the MSGP SWPPP

A complete copy of the current SWPPP is required to be kept at the active facility in an accessible format. The SWPPP must be immediately available to facility employees, EPA, and other entities identified in the Permit. The SWPPP must also be made available to the public. LANL meets this requirement by posting SWPPPs to the Electronic Public Reading Room internet web page. Refer to Part 6.4 of the Permit for more information.

#### **SWPPP Preparer**

- [1] Submit the final certified SWPPP in PDF format to the RASSTI system at *rassti.lanl.gov*.
  - [a] The SWPPP must be identified as Los Alamos Unlimited Release, or LA-UR, to be posted to the Public Reading Room.
  - [b] Specify that the document falls under the Environmental Designated Unclassified Subject Area, or DUSA, system.
  - **NOTE 1:** To take advantage of the DUSA, a DUSA trained author is required to submit the SWPPP. If this does not occur, the document will undergo **full classification review**, which takes a week. In this situation, a derivative classifier will need to be identified in the system.
  - [c] Identify a line manager for an approval signature.
  - [d] Identify the document for release to Public Reading Room.
- [2] Add the cover page containing the LA-UR number generated by the RASSTI system to the SWPPP.
- [3] Contact the RASSTI staff for questions and assistance using this system.

#### 4.2 Additional Documentation Requirements

The Permit requires additional documentation to be kept with the SWPPP that together keep records complete and up-to-date and demonstrate full compliance with the conditions of the Permit. Some documents may be generated when a SWPPP is first written (e.g., copy of the permit). Other documents may be generated on an ongoing basis throughout a calendar year (e.g., inspections). Refer to Part 6.5 of the Permit for additional information.

#### **SWPPP Preparer or Owner**

- [1] IF any of the following documents are generated,
  - <u>THEN</u> add the document to the facility SWPPP as soon as the document is generated and finalized (i.e., all signatures have been obtained).

- A copy of the Notice of Intent to Discharge (NOI) submitted to EPA and correspondence exchanged between Triad, LLC and EPA specific to coverage under the permit;
  - **NOTE:** There may be several modifications to the NOI during a permit term. Ensure you coordinate with the MSGP Program Lead to confirm all modifications are included in the SWPPP.
- A copy of the acknowledgement received from the EPA assigning the NPDES permit identification number;
- A copy of the permit;
- Documentation of maintenance and repairs of stormwater control measures (refer to Part 2.1.2.3 of the Permit);
- All inspection reports, including Routine Facility Inspection Reports (refer to Part 3.1.6 of the Permit) and Quarterly Visual Assessments (refer to Part 3.2.2 of the Permit);
- Description of any deviations from the schedule for visual assessments and/or monitoring, and the reason for the deviations (refer to Parts 3.2 and 4.1 of the Permit);
- Corrective action documentation (refer to Part 5.1 of the Permit);
- Documentation of any benchmark threshold exceedances, the AIM level triggered, and associated response (refer to Part 5.2 of the Permit);
- Rationale that SWPPP/SCM changes are unnecessary.
- Documentation required to meet any AIM exception (refer to Part 5.2.6 of the Permit);
- Documentation to support any determination that pollutants of concern are not expected to be present above natural background levels if stormwater is discharged directly to impaired waters; and
- Documentation to support any claim that the facility has changed its status from active to inactive and unstaffed with respect to the requirements to conduct routine facility inspections (refer to Part 3.1.5 of the Permit), quarterly visual assessments (refer to Part 3.2.4.4 of the Permit), benchmark monitoring (refer to Part 4.2.2.5 of the Permit), and/or impaired waters monitoring (refer to Part 4.2.5.2 of the Permit).

#### 5.0 REVISING THE MSGP SWPPP

The Permit specifies conditions that trigger a SWPPP review to ensure numeric and non-numeric effluent limits are met and to determine if modifications to stormwater controls are necessary (refer to Parts 5.1.1 and 5.1.2 of the Permit).

The SWPPP must also be modified based on corrective actions and deadlines required under Part 5 of the Permit and documented in accordance with Part 5.3 of the Permit.

At a minimum, the SWPPP must be reviewed and revised once per calendar year, and no later than 45 days after conducting the final routine facility inspection for the year.

#### **SWPPP Preparer or Owner**

- [1] The Stormwater PPT will review the SWPPP for the following at a minimum.
  - The selection, design, installation, and implementation of stormwater control measures.
  - Sources of pollution.
  - Spill and leak procedures.
  - Non-stormwater discharges (as applicable).
- [2] <u>IF</u> any of the following conditions occur or are detected during an inspection, monitoring or other means,

<u>THEN</u> the Stormwater PPT must **immediately** review the SWPPP as specified above.

- Unauthorized release or discharge (e.g., spill, leak, discharge of non-stormwater not authorized by the permit);
- A discharge violates a numeric effluent limit (refer to Table 2-1 and Part 8.D.6 of the Permit);
- Stormwater control measures are not stringent enough for discharge to be controlled as necessary such that the receiving water of the United States will meet applicable water quality standards or the non-numeric effluent limits in the permit;
- A required control measure was never installed, installed incorrectly, or not in accordance with Parts 2 and/or 8, or is not properly operated or maintained;
- Whenever a visual assessment shows evidence of stormwater pollution (e.g., foam, oil sheen, etc.);
- Construction or a change in design, operation, or maintenance at the facility that significantly changes the nature of pollutants discharged in stormwater from the facility, or significantly increases the quantity of pollutants discharged;
  - **NOTE 1:** Changes include building removal or replacement, SCM removal or installation, outfall removal or creating a new outfall, changing drainage pathways or the path of stormwater flow.
- If quarterly benchmark monitoring results indicate an AIM triggering event has occurred.

- [3] The Stormwater PPT must determine the modification(s) to be made to implement or maintain stormwater control measures and/or take corrective action.
- [4] The revision/modification(s) will be implemented at the facility.
- [5] The SWPPP will be revised/modified within 14 days of completion of a modification or corrective action to reflect the modification(s) made.
- [6] Obtain a signature and date from a duly authorized representative on all SWPPP revisions/modifications in accordance with Appendix B, Subpart 11 of the Permit.

# 6.0 TRAINING

The following personnel require training before implementing this procedure.

- EPC-CP MSGP stormwater compliance personnel
- DEPs
- Other LANL or subcontract personnel identified as being required to prepare and maintain MSGP SWPPPs as part of their job duties.

All EPC-CP personnel that execute the activities specified in this procedure must meet the minimum qualification and training requirements for their position as identified EPC-CP-PIP-2101, NPDES Multi-Sector General Permit Program. This will include "self-study" (required reading) for this procedure. Other participating LANL groups may require training documentation pursuant to local procedures. All training will be assigned and tracked using the LANL training management system, UTrain.

Contract personnel that execute the activities specified in this procedure will be qualified and trained as required by the Exhibit D and Exhibit F. In addition, contract personnel will be required to complete "self-study" (required reading) of this procedure.

# 7.0 RECORDS

MSGP SWPPPs are signed and certified by a duly authorized representative of the individual facilities. These completed documents are maintained at the permitted facility, managed by the facility's Records Management designated point-of-contact or document manager, and posted to the LANL public reading room. The MSGP team may retain a copy for reference purposes. Records must be maintained in accordance with P1020-1, *Laboratory Records Management*.

Below, are records generated as a result of implementing this procedure and are identified by title and type.

Record Title	QA Record	Non-QA Record
Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan	$\square$	
MSGP SWPPP Review Guidance Checklist	N/A	N/A

# 8.0 DEFINITIONS AND ACRONYMS

# 8.1 Definitions

See LANL *Definition of Terms*.

**Best Management Practice (BMP)** – Schedules of activities, prohibitions of practices, maintenance procedures, and other management practices to prevent or reduce the pollution of "waters of the United States." BMPs also include treatment requirements, operating procedures, and practices to control plant site runoff, spillage or leaks, sludge or waste disposal, or drainage from raw material storage (40 CFR Part 122.2).

**Control Measure** – Any stormwater control or other method (including narrative effluent limitations) used to prevent or reduce the discharge of pollutants to waters of the United States.

#### 8.2 Acronyms

#### See LANL Acronym Master List.

AIM	Additional Implementation Measures
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
EPC-CP	Environmental Protection and Compliance-Compliance Programs
DEP	Deployed Environmental Professional
DUSA	Designated Unclassified Subject Area
LANL or the Laboratory	Los Alamos National Laboratory
LA UR	Los Alamos Unlimited Release
MSGP or Permit	Multi-Sector General Permit
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
NOI	Notice of Intent to Discharge
RASSTI	Review and Approval System for Scientific and Technical Information
SCM	Stormwater Control Measure
SWPPP	Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan
PDF	Portable Document Format
РРТ	Pollution Prevention Team

#### 9.0 REFERENCES

Unites States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Multi-Sector General Permit for Stormwater Discharges Associated With Industrial Activity (MSGP), March 1, 2021

MSGP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Preparation and	No: EPC-CP-QP-2110	Page 12 of 76
Maintenance	Revision: 1	Effective Date: 06/15/2023

Federal Register, National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) 2021 Issuance of the Multi-Sector General Permit for Stormwater Discharges Associated With Industrial Activity. Federal Register: February 19, 2021, Volume 86, Number 32

Clean Water Act, Title 33 U.S.C. 1251

P1020-1, Laboratory Records Management

EPC-CP-PIP-2101, NPDES Multi-Sector General Permit Program

#### **10.0 ATTACHMENTS**

Attachment 1: EPC-CP-QP-2110 R1 Form 1, MSGP SWPPP Template Example

Attachment 2: MSGP SWPPP Review Guidance Checklist Example



# **MSGP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan**

# **Insert Facility Name**

Triad National Security, LLC Los Alamos National Laboratory

# XX/XX/XXXX

Revision X

# Attachment 1: EPC-CP-QP-2110 R1 Form 1, MSGP SWPPP Template Example (cont.)

(Page 2 of 53)



Page intentionally blank

3

# Attachment 1: EPC-CP-QP-2110 R1 Form 1, MSGP SWPPP Template Example (cont.)

(Page 3 of 53)

1.1       Facility Information       6         1.2       Stormwater Pollution Prevention Team (PPT)       7         1.3       Site Description       8         1.4       General Location Map.       8         1.5       Site Map.       8         2.0       POTENTIAL POLLUTANT SOURCES.       9         2.1       Potential Pollutants Associated with Industrial Activity       9         2.2       Spills and Leaks.       9         2.3       Unauthorized Non-Stormwater Discharges       10         2.4       Salt Storage       10         2.5       Historical Data Summary       10         3.0       STORMWATER CONTROL MEASURES.       11         3.1       Non-Numeric Technology-Based Effluent Limits       11         3.1.1       Minimize Exposure.       11         3.1.2       Good Housekeeping.       11         3.1.3       Maintenance.       12         3.1.4       Spill Prevention and Response.       12         3.1.5       Errosion and Sediment Control.       12         3.1.6       Management of Runoff.       13         3.1.7       Salt Storage Piles or Piles Containing Salt.       13         3.1.8       Dust Generation and V		Insert Facility Name MSGP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Document Reference Number Revision <mark>X, Date</mark>
1.0       FACILITY DESCRIPTION       6         1.1       Facility Information       6         1.2       Stormwater Pollution Prevention Team (PPT)       7         1.3       Site Description       8         1.4       General Location Map       8         1.5       Site Map       8         2.0       POTENTIAL POLLUTANT SOURCES       9         2.1       Potential Pollutants Associated with Industrial Activity       9         2.2       Spills and Leaks       9         2.3       Unauthorized Non-Stormwater Discharges       10         2.4       Salt Storage       10         2.5       Historical Data Summary       10         3.0       STORMWATER CONTROL MEASURES       11         3.1.1       Minimize Exposure       11         3.1.2       Good Housekeeping       11         3.1.3       Maintenance       11         3.1.4       Spill Prevention and Response       12         3.1.5       Erosion and Sediment Control       12         3.1.6       Management of Runoff       13         3.1.7       Salt Storage Piles or Piles Containing Salt       13         3.1.8       Dust Generation and Vehicle Tracking of Industrial Material	TABL	E OF CONTENTS
1.1       Facility Information       6         1.2       Stormwater Pollution Prevention Team (PPT)       7         1.3       Site Description       8         1.4       General Location Map.       8         1.5       Site Map.       8         2.0       POTENTIAL POLLUTANT SOURCES.       9         2.1       Potential Pollutants Associated with Industrial Activity       9         2.2       Spills and Leaks.       9         2.3       Unauthorized Non-Stormwater Discharges       10         2.4       Salt Storage       10         2.5       Historical Data Summary       10         3.0       STORMWATER CONTROL MEASURES.       11         3.1       Non-Numeric Technology-Based Effluent Limits       11         3.1.1       Minimize Exposure.       11         3.1.2       Good Housekeeping.       11         3.1.3       Maintenance.       12         3.1.4       Spill Prevention and Response.       12         3.1.5       Errosion and Sediment Control.       12         3.1.6       Management of Runoff.       13         3.1.7       Salt Storage Piles or Piles Containing Salt.       13         3.1.8       Dust Generation and V	PREF	ACE
1.2       Stormwater Pollution Prevention Team (PPT)       7         1.3       Site Description       8         1.4       General Location Map.       8         1.5       Site Map.       8         2.0       POTENTIAL POLLUTANT SOURCES.       9         2.1       Potential Pollutants Associated with Industrial Activity       9         2.2       Spills and Leaks.       9         2.3       Unauthorized Non-Stormwater Discharges       10         2.4       Salt Storage       10         2.5       Historical Data Summary       10         3.0       STORMWATER CONTROL MEASURES.       11         3.1.1       Moinimize Exposure.       11         3.1.2       Good Housekeeping.       11         3.1.3       Maintenance.       11         3.1.4       Spill Prevention and Response.       12         3.1.5       Frosion and Sed on Effluent Limitations Guidelines       13         3.1.4       Spill Prevention and Response.       13         3.1.5       Frosion and Sed on Effluent Sed Michelent Limitations Guidelines       13         3.1.8       Just Generation and Vehicle Tracking of Industrial Materials.       13         3.1.8       Just Generation and Vehicle Tracking of Ind	1.0	FACILITY DESCRIPTION
1.3       Site Description       8         1.4       General Location Map.       8         1.5       Site Map.       8         2.0       POTENTIAL POLLUTANT SOURCES.       9         2.1       Potential Pollutants Associated with Industrial Activity       9         2.2       Spills and Leaks.       9         2.3       Unauthorized Non-Stormwater Discharges       10         2.4       Salt Storage       10         2.5       Historical Data Summary       10         3.0       STORMWATER CONTROL MEASURES.       11         3.1.1       Minimize Exposure.       11         3.1.2       Good Housekeeping.       11         3.1.3       Maintenance.       11         3.1.4       Spill Prevention and Response.       12         3.1.5       Erosion and Sediment Control.       12         3.1.6       Management of Runoff.       13         3.1.7       Salt Storage Piles or Piles Containing Salt.       13         3.1.8       Dust Generation and Vehicle Tracking of Industrial Materials.       13         3.1.8       Just Generation and Vehicle Tracking of Industrial Materials.       13         3.1.8       Just Generation and Vehicle Tracking of Industrial Materials.	1.1	Facility Information
1.3       Site Description       8         1.4       General Location Map.       8         1.5       Site Map.       8         2.0       POTENTIAL POLLUTANT SOURCES.       9         2.1       Potential Pollutants Associated with Industrial Activity       9         2.2       Spills and Leaks.       9         2.3       Unauthorized Non-Stormwater Discharges       10         2.4       Salt Storage       10         2.5       Historical Data Summary       10         3.0       STORMWATER CONTROL MEASURES.       11         3.1.1       Minimize Exposure.       11         3.1.2       Good Housekeeping.       11         3.1.3       Maintenance.       11         3.1.4       Spill Prevention and Response.       12         3.1.5       Erosion and Sediment Control.       12         3.1.6       Management of Runoff.       13         3.1.7       Salt Storage Piles or Piles Containing Salt.       13         3.1.8       Dust Generation and Vehicle Tracking of Industrial Materials.       13         3.1.8       Just Generation and Vehicle Tracking of Industrial Materials.       13         3.1.8       Just Generation and Vehicle Tracking of Industrial Materials.	1.2	Stormwater Pollution Prevention Team (PPT)
1.4       General Location Map	13	
1.5       Site Map.       8         2.0       POTENTIAL POLLUTANT SOURCES.       9         2.1       Potential Pollutants Associated with Industrial Activity       9         2.2       Spills and Leaks.       9         2.3       Unauthorized Non-Stormwater Discharges       10         2.4       Salt Storage       10         2.5       Historical Data Summary       10         3.0       STORMWATER CONTROL MEASURES.       11         3.1       Non-Numeric Technology-Based Effluent Limits       11         3.1.1       Minimize Exposure.       11         3.1.2       Good Housekeeping.       11         3.1.3       Maintenance.       11         3.1.4       Spill Prevention and Response.       12         3.1.5       Erosion and Sediment Control.       12         3.1.6       Management of Runoff.       13         3.1.7       Salt Storage Piles Containing Salt.       13         3.1.8       Dust Generation on Nehicle Tracking of Industrial Materials.       13         3.2       Numeric Effluent Limitations Based on Effluent Limitations Guidelines.       13         3.3       Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations and Water Quality Standards.       13         3.3 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td></td<>		
2.0       POTENTIAL POLLUTANT SOURCES.       9         2.1       Potential Pollutants Associated with Industrial Activity       9         2.2       Spills and Leaks.       9         2.3       Unauthorized Non-Stormwater Discharges       10         2.4       Salt Storage       10         2.5       Historical Data Summary       10         3.0       STORMWATER CONTROL MEASURES       11         3.1       Non-Numeric Technology-Based Effluent Limits       11         3.1.1       Minimize Exposure       11         3.1.2       Good Housekeeping       11         3.1.3       Maintenance       11         3.1.4       Spill Prevention and Response       12         3.1.5       Erosion and Sediment Control       12         3.1.6       Management of Runoff.       13         3.1.7       Salt Storage Piles or Piles Containing Salt.       13         3.1.8       Dust Generation and Vehicle Tracking of Industrial Materials.       13         3.1.8       Dust Generation and Vehicle Tracking of Industrial Materials.       13         3.1.8       Dust Generation and Vehicle Tracking of Industrial Materials.       13         3.1.7       Salt Storage Piles or Piles Containing Salt		
2.1       Potential Pollutants Associated with Industrial Activity       9         2.2       Spills and Leaks       9         2.3       Unauthorized Non-Stormwater Discharges       10         2.4       Salt Storage       10         2.5       Historical Data Summary       10         3.0       STORMWATER CONTROL MEASURES       11         3.1       Non-Numeric Technology-Based Effluent Limits       11         3.1.1       Minimize Exposure       11         3.1.2       Good Housekeeping       11         3.1.3       Maintenance       11         3.1.4       Spill Prevention and Response       12         3.1.5       Erosion and Sediment Control       12         3.1.6       Maagement of Runoff       13         3.1.7       Salt Storage Piles or Piles Containing Salt       13         3.1.8       Dust Generation and Vehicle Tracking of Industrial Materials       13         3.2       Numeric Effluent Limitations Based on Effluent Limitations Guidelines       13         3.3       Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations and Water Quality Standards       13         3.3       Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations and Water Quality Standards       13         4.4       Maintenance       14 </td <td>1.5</td> <td>Site Map</td>	1.5	Site Map
2.2       Spills and Leaks.       .9         2.3       Unauthorized Non-Stormwater Discharges       .10         2.4       Salt Storage       .10         2.5       Historical Data Summary       .10         3.0       STORMWATER CONTROL MEASURES       .11         3.1       Non-Numeric Technology-Based Effluent Limits       .11         3.1.1       Minimize Exposure       .11         3.1.2       Good Housekeeping       .11         3.1.3       Maintenance       .11         3.1.4       Spill Prevention and Response       .12         3.1.5       Erosion and Sediment Control       .12         3.1.6       Management of Runoff       .13         3.1.7       Salt Storage Piles or Piles Containing Salt       .13         3.1.8       Dust Generation and Vehicle Tracking of Industrial Materials       .13         3.1.8       Numeric Effluent Limitations Based on Effluent Limitations Guidelines       .13         3.3       Water Quality-Based Effluent Lumitations and Water Quality Standards       .13         3.3       Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations and Water Quality Standards       .13         4.4       Maintenance       .14         4.5       Employee Training       .14	2.0	POTENTIAL POLLUTANT SOURCES
2.3       Unauthorized Non-Stormwater Discharges       10         2.4       Salt Storage       10         2.5       Historical Data Summary       10         3.0       STORMWATER CONTROL MEASURES       11         3.1       Non-Numeric Technology-Based Effluent Limits       11         3.1.1       Minimize Exposure       11         3.1.2       Good Housekeeping       11         3.1.3       Maintenance       11         3.1.4       Spill Prevention and Response       12         3.1.5       Erosion and Sediment Control       12         3.1.6       Management of Runoff       13         3.1.7       Salt Storage Piles or Piles Containing Salt       13         3.1.8       Dust Generation and Vehicle Tracking of Industrial Materials       13         3.1.8       Dust Generations Based on Effluent Limitations Guidelines       13         3.2       Numeric Effluent Limitations Based on Effluent Limitations Guidelines       13         3.3       Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations and Water Quality Standards       13         4.0       SCHEDULES AND PROCEDURES       13         4.1       Good Housekeeping       14         4.2       Maintenance       14         4.3	2.1	Potential Pollutants Associated with Industrial Activity
2.3       Unauthorized Non-Stormwater Discharges       10         2.4       Salt Storage       10         2.5       Historical Data Summary       10         3.0       STORMWATER CONTROL MEASURES       11         3.1       Non-Numeric Technology-Based Effluent Limits       11         3.1.1       Minimize Exposure       11         3.1.2       Good Housekeeping       11         3.1.3       Maintenance       11         3.1.4       Spill Prevention and Response       12         3.1.5       Erosion and Sediment Control       12         3.1.6       Management of Runoff       13         3.1.7       Salt Storage Piles or Piles Containing Salt       13         3.1.8       Dust Generation and Vehicle Tracking of Industrial Materials       13         3.1.8       Dust Generations Based on Effluent Limitations Guidelines       13         3.2       Numeric Effluent Limitations Based on Effluent Limitations Guidelines       13         3.3       Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations and Water Quality Standards       13         4.0       SCHEDULES AND PROCEDURES       13         4.1       Good Housekeeping       14         4.2       Maintenance       14         4.3	2.2	Spills and Leaks
2.4       Salt Storage.       10         2.5       Historical Data Summary       10         3.0       STORMWATER CONTROL MEASURES.       11         3.1       Non-Numeric Technology-Based Effluent Limits       11         3.1.1       Minimize Exposure.       11         3.1.2       Good Housekeeping.       11         3.1.3       Maintenance.       11         3.1.4       Spill Prevention and Response.       12         3.1.5       Erosion and Sediment Control.       12         3.1.6       Management of Runoff.       13         3.1.7       Salt Storage Piles or Piles Containing Salt.       13         3.1.8       Dust Generation and Vehicle Tracking of Industrial Materials.       13         3.1.8       Numeric Effluent Limitations Based on Effluent Limitations Guidelines       13         3.3       Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations and Water Quality Standards.       13         3.4.1       Good Housekeeping.       14         4.2       Maintenance.       14         4.3       Spill Prevention and Response.       14         4.4       Erosion and Sediment Control.       14	2.3	
2.5       Historical Data Summary       10         3.0       STORMWATER CONTROL MEASURES       11         3.1       Non-Numeric Technology-Based Effluent Limits       11         3.1.1       Minimize Exposure       11         3.1.2       Good Housekeeping       11         3.1.3       Maintenance       11         3.1.4       Spill Prevention and Response       12         3.1.5       Erosion and Sediment Control       12         3.1.6       Management of Runoff       13         3.1.7       Salt Storage Piles or Piles Containing Salt       13         3.1.8       Dust Generation and Vehicle Tracking of Industrial Materials       13         3.2       Numeric Effluent Limitations Based on Effluent Limitations Guidelines       13         3.3       Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations and Water Quality Standards       13         4.0       SCHEDULES AND PROCEDURES       13         4.1       Good Housekeeping       14         4.2       Maintenance       14         4.3       Spill Prevention and Response       14         4.4       Erosion and Sediment Control       14	2.4	San har see a fu 2 har a fer a fer an a see an a see an an a fundamental and a second a second a second a second
3.1       Non-Numeric Technology-Based Effluent Limits       11         3.1.1       Minimize Exposure       11         3.1.2       Good Housekeeping       11         3.1.3       Maintenance       11         3.1.4       Spill Prevention and Response       12         3.1.5       Erosion and Sediment Control       12         3.1.6       Management of Runoff.       13         3.1.7       Salt Storage Piles or Piles Containing Salt.       13         3.1.7       Salt Storage Piles or Piles Containing Salt.       13         3.1.7       Salt Storage Piles or Piles Containing Salt.       13         3.1.8       Dust Generation and Vehicle Tracking of Industrial Materials       13         3.2       Numeric Effluent Limitations Based on Effluent Limitations Guidelines       13         3.3       Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations and Water Quality Standards       13         4.0       SCHEDULES AND PROCEDURES       13         4.1       Good Housekeeping       14         4.2       Maintenance       14         4.3       Spill Prevention and Response       14         4.4       Erosion and Sediment Control       14         4.5       Employee Training       14	2.5	Historical Data Summary
3.1.1       Minimize Exposure	3.0	STORMWATER CONTROL MEASURES
3.1.2       Good Housekeeping	3.1	Non-Numeric Technology-Based Effluent Limits
3.1.2       Good Housekeeping		3.1.1 Minimize Exposure 11
3.1.4       Spill Prevention and Response.       12         3.1.5       Erosion and Sediment Control.       12         3.1.6       Management of Runoff.       13         3.1.7       Salt Storage Piles or Piles Containing Salt.       13         3.1.8       Dust Generation and Vehicle Tracking of Industrial Materials.       13         3.2       Numeric Effluent Limitations Based on Effluent Limitations Guidelines       13         3.3       Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations and Water Quality Standards.       13         4.0       SCHEDULES AND PROCEDURES       13         4.1       Good Housekeeping       14         4.2       Maintenance.       14         4.3       Spill Prevention and Response       14         4.4       Erosion and Sediment Control.       14		The second
3.1.5       Erosion and Sediment Control       12         3.1.6       Management of Runoff       13         3.1.7       Salt Storage Piles or Piles Containing Salt       13         3.1.8       Dust Generation and Vehicle Tracking of Industrial Materials       13         3.2       Numeric Effluent Limitations Based on Effluent Limitations Guidelines       13         3.3       Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations and Water Quality Standards       13         4.0       SCHEDULES AND PROCEDURES       13         4.1       Good Housekeeping       14         4.2       Maintenance       14         4.3       Spill Prevention and Response       14         4.4       Erosion and Sediment Control       14		3.1.3 Maintenance 11
3.1.5       Erosion and Sediment Control       12         3.1.6       Management of Runoff       13         3.1.7       Salt Storage Piles or Piles Containing Salt       13         3.1.8       Dust Generation and Vehicle Tracking of Industrial Materials       13         3.2       Numeric Effluent Limitations Based on Effluent Limitations Guidelines       13         3.3       Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations and Water Quality Standards       13         4.0       SCHEDULES AND PROCEDURES       13         4.1       Good Housekeeping       14         4.2       Maintenance       14         4.3       Spill Prevention and Response       14         4.4       Erosion and Sediment Control       14		3.1.4 Spill Prevention and Response
3.1.7       Salt Storage Piles or Piles Containing Salt       13         3.1.8       Dust Generation and Vehicle Tracking of Industrial Materials       13         3.2       Numeric Effluent Limitations Based on Effluent Limitations Guidelines       13         3.3       Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations and Water Quality Standards       13         4.0       SCHEDULES AND PROCEDURES       13         4.1       Good Housekeeping       14         4.2       Maintenance       14         4.3       Spill Prevention and Response       14         4.4       Erosion and Sediment Control       14		3.1.5 Erosion and Sediment Control
3.1.8       Dust Generation and Vehicle Tracking of Industrial Materials       13         3.2       Numeric Effluent Limitations Based on Effluent Limitations Guidelines       13         3.3       Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations and Water Quality Standards       13         4.0       SCHEDULES AND PROCEDURES       13         4.1       Good Housekeeping       14         4.2       Maintenance       14         4.3       Spill Prevention and Response       14         4.4       Erosion and Sediment Control       14         4.5       Employee Training       14		3.1.6 Management of Runoff
3.2       Numeric Effluent Limitations Based on Effluent Limitations Guidelines       13         3.3       Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations and Water Quality Standards       13         4.0       SCHEDULES AND PROCEDURES       13         4.1       Good Housekeeping       14         4.2       Maintenance       14         4.3       Spill Prevention and Response       14         4.4       Erosion and Sediment Control       14         4.5       Employee Training       14		3.1.7 Salt Storage Piles or Piles Containing Salt
3.3       Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations and Water Quality Standards.       13         4.0       SCHEDULES AND PROCEDURES       13         4.1       Good Housekeeping       14         4.2       Maintenance       14         4.3       Spill Prevention and Response       14         4.4       Erosion and Sediment Control       14         4.5       Employee Training       14		3.1.8 Dust Generation and Vehicle Tracking of Industrial Materials
4.0       SCHEDULES AND PROCEDURES       13         4.1       Good Housekeeping       14         4.2       Maintenance       14         4.3       Spill Prevention and Response       14         4.4       Erosion and Sediment Control       14         4.5       Employee Training       14	3.2	Numeric Effluent Limitations Based on Effluent Limitations Guidelines
4.1       Good Housekeeping       14         4.2       Maintenance       14         4.3       Spill Prevention and Response       14         4.4       Erosion and Sediment Control       14         4.5       Employee Training       14	3.3	Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations and Water Quality Standards
4.2       Maintenance	4.0	SCHEDULES AND PROCEDURES
4.3 Spill Prevention and Response	4.1	Good Housekeeping
4.4       Erosion and Sediment Control	4.2	Maintenance
4.4       Erosion and Sediment Control	4.3	Spill Prevention and Response
	4.4	
4.6 Routine Facility Inspections and Quarterly Visual Assessments	4.5	Employee Training
	4.6	

4

### Attachment 1: EPC-CP-QP-2110 R1 Form 1, MSGP SWPPP Template Example (cont.)

(Page 4 of 53)

		<mark>Insert Facility Name</mark> MSGP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Document Reference Number Revision X, Date
	4.6.1	Routine Facility Inspections
	4.6.2	Quarterly Visual Assessments
4.7	111111	oring
5.0	DOCU	MENTATION FOR ELIGIBILITY CONSIDERATIONS UNDER OTHER FEDERAL LAWS20
5.1	Endan	gered Species
5.2	Histor	ic Properties
6.0	CORR	ECTIVE ACTIONS AND DEADLINES
6.1	Imme	liate Actions
6.2	Subse	quent Actions
6.3	AIM B	aseline Status and Triggering Events
	6.3.1	AIM Level 1
	6.3.2	AIM Level 2
	6.3.3	AIM Level 3
	6.3.4	AIM Exceptions
6.4	Correc	tive Action and AIM Documentation
7.0	ACRO	NYMS
8.0	SWPP	P CERTIFICATION
FIGU	RE A:	GENERAL LOCATION MAP
FIGU	RE B:	MAP(S)
		T 1: NOTICE OF INTENT, SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION, AND UPDATES 29
ATTA	CHMEN	T 2: SWPPP AMENDMENTS
ATTA	CHMEN	T 3: CERTIFICATION OF NO UNAUTHORIZED STORMWATER DISCHARGES 31
ATTA	CHMEN	T 4: DULY AUTHORIZED SIGNATORY MEMORANDUM
ATTA	CHMEN	T 5: DISCHARGE MONITORING REPORTS
ATTA	CHMEN	T 6: ANNUAL REPORTS
ATTA	CHMEN	T 7: ROUTINE FACILITY INSPECTIONS
ATTA	CHMEN	
	CHMEN	
MITA	CHINEN	1 5. CONNECTIVE ACTION DOCOMENTATION AND CERTIFICATION

# Attachment 1: EPC-CP-QP-2110 R1 Form 1, MSGP SWPPP Template Example (cont.)

(Page 5 of 53)

Insert-Facility Nat MSGP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Pl Document Reference Numb Revision X, Da	an er
0: SCHEDULED MAINTENANCE LOG	8
1: TRAINING DOCUMENTATION	9
2: MSGP (OR ACTIVE URL) 4	0
3: THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES HABITAT MANAGEMENT PLAN ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY	
4: MSGP IPAC TRUST RESOURCES REPORT 4	2
5: EPC-CP-PIP-2101, NPDES MULTI-SECTOR GENERAL PERMIT 4	3
6: EPC-CP-QP-2108, MSGP ROUTINE FACILITY INSPECTIONS	4
7: EPC-CP-QP-2109, MSGP CORRECTIVE ACTIONS	5
8: EPC-CP-QP-2105, MSGP STORMWATER VISUAL ASSESSMENTS	6
9: EPC-CP-QP-2103, INSPECTING STORMWATER RUNOFF SAMPLERS AND	
NG SAMPLES FOR THE MSGP 4	7
0; EPC-CP-QP-2106, PROCESSING MSGP STORMWATER SAMPLES 4	8
1: EPC-DO-QP-0930, ENVIRONMENTAL REPORTING REQUIREMENTS FOR	
S OR EVENTS	9
2: EPC-CP-QP-1007, SPILL INVESTIGATIONS	0
3: EPC-CP-QP-2110, MSGP STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN	
TION AND MAINTENANCE	1
4: LOCAL PROCEDURE	2
5: LOCAL PROCEDURE	3

(Page 6 of 53)

Insert Facility Name MSGP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Document Reference Number Revision X, Date

Insert Name of Facility STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN

#### PREFACE

This Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) was developed in accordance with the provisions of the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. §§1251 et seq., as amended), and the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Multi-Sector General Permit for Stormwater Discharges Associated with Industrial Activity (MSGP) (U.S. EPA, January 2021) issued by EPA. The SWPPP uses the industry specific permit requirements for Sector XX-(Insert Sector Title) as a guide. The applicable stormwater discharge permit is EPA General Permit Identification Tracking Number NMR050013 MSGP 2021 [Triad National Security, LLC (Triad)]. Click here to view contents of the 2021 Multi-Sector General Permit.

This SWPPP applies to discharges of stormwater from the operational areas of (List the operational areas) at Los Alamos National Laboratory. Los Alamos National Laboratory (also referred to as LANL or the "Laboratory") is owned by the Department of Energy (DOE), and is operated by Triad. Throughout this document, the term "facility" refers to (Insert facility name). The current MSGP expires at midnight on February 28, 2026.

#### 1.0 FACILITY DESCRIPTION

#### 1.1 Facility Information

Name of Facility: (Insert facility name e.g., TA-03	3-0038 Metal Fabricatio	n Shops)
Street: P.O. Box 1663		
City: Los Alamos	State: NM	ZIP Code: 87545
County: Los Alamos		
NPDES ID (i.e., permit tracking number): NMR05	50013 MSGP 2021	
Primary Industrial Activity SIC code, and Sector a SIC <mark>XXXX, Sector X, Subsector XX</mark>	nd Subsector (2021 MS	GP, Appendix D and Part 8):
Estimated area of industrial activity at site expos	ed to stormwater: XX a	acres
Discharge Information		
Name(s) of surface water(s)/segment that receiv (Sigma Canyon to NPDES outfall 001), Mortanda Gage E256); or Arroyo de la Delphe (Above Kielir Grounds also add "and Mortandad Canyon (with delete Sandia Canyon information and insert onl	d Canyon (within LANL) ng Springs to headwate in LANL)". <b>NOTE:</b> For As	; Cañon de Valle (below LANI rs). <mark>NOTE: For Roads and sphalt Batch Plant alone,</mark>
Does this facility discharge industrial stormwater (see definition in 2021 MSGP, Appendix A)?	r directly into any segm ⊠Yes No	ent of an "impaired water"
Pollutants causing the impairment: (Insert pollut (NOI)	ants: list can be found i	n the Triad Notice of Intent

(Page 7 of 53)

Insert Facility Name MSGP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Document Reference Number Revision <mark>X, Date</mark>

Pollutants causing the impairment (see above) that may be present in industrial stormwater discharges from this Facility:

If yes, which guidelines apply? (NOTE: Asphalt Batch Plant is subject to ELGs) Not applicable.

### 1.2 Stormwater Pollution Prevention Team (PPT)

#### Insert a description of the team

The specific duties of individual team members of the PPT are listed in the table below.

Staff Names	Individual Responsibilities
Deployed Environmental Professional (DEP): Name or Title, Organization	Responsible for the support and oversight of all environmental programs and issues for the yards, buildings and facilities listed within this Plan. The DEP is responsible for training, recordkeeping, and SWPPP revision. The DEP ensures documentation of inspections and other required MSGP records relative to the SWPPP are managed in accordance with the Permit and established document control procedures and that the SWPPP is kept current. The DEP provides technical and regulatory support and regularly communicates with facility and operations personnel, as well as the PPT, regarding implementation of the MSGP and this SWPPP. Lastly, the DEP conducts routine facility inspections and if necessary, visual assessments, in accordance with the Permit. Identified conditions requiring corrective actions from routine facility inspections are entered into the Environmental Protection and Compliance-Compliance Programs (EPC-CP) Corrective Action Report (CAR) database. The DEP is responsible for tracking and updating the status of corrective actions that cannot be implemented immediately. The DEP is also responsible for immediate and timely communication to appropriate facility and operations management personnel to ensure that they are aware of non-compliant issues within the MSGP boundary and that they understand immediate action is required to correct the non-compliance.
Facility Operations Division (FOD) Manager: Name or Title, Organization	Responsible for managing the maintenance and operation of all aspects of the yards, buildings and facilities listed within this Plan. The manager shall provide review and ensure coordination with core personnel and the PPT, as appropriate, when tenants within the FOD propose new processes, operations, features, or a new site that may be subject to the MSGP. This Manager is key to ensuring adequate communication and coordination of issues regarding implementation of the MSGP and this Plan.

(Page 8 of 53)

	Insert Facility Nar MSGP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Pl
	Document Reference Numb Revision X, D:
EPC Core: Name or Title, Organization	The MSGP Program Lead is responsible for managing and administering the MSGP Program for all industrial facilities operated by Triad within Los Alamos National Laboratory. The MSGP Program Lead advises and provides guidance to facility or operations personnel on NPDES MSGP regulations/requirements. The Program Lead also acts as the institutional point of contact for all interactions with the regulatory authority (EPA) and supervises personnel implementing stormwater monitoring requirements for the facility.
Operations Manager(s): Name or Title, Organization	Responsible for day-to-day operations at the facility. Assists the DEP and EPC with inspections; spill reporting; implementing, installing and maintaining storm water controls (also known as Best Management Practices) (BMPs); and providing documentation as requested by other team members. The Operations Manager is key to ensuring adequate communication and coordination of issues regarding implementation of the MSGP and this Plan. Operations Managers also assist the DEP/EPC with SWPPP training and/or briefings, as requested.

#### 1.3 Site Description

Insert text with site description. Include information on type of operation(s), industrial operating equipment (associated with the Asphalt Batch Plant), main structures, activities, outfalls, and substantially identical discharge points.

#### 1.4 General Location Map

The general location map for the facility can be found in Figure A. Figure B-X (if you have more than one site map, list them all here) contains all site maps and identifies all receiving waters associated with stormwater discharges from the facility. X percent of the site flows to (Insert canyon name). The canyon at this location is a (Insert stream type e.g., perennial, ephemeral, intermittent) and eventually flows to the Rio Grande approximately X miles southeast of the site.

#### 1.5 Site Map

The site map is provided as Figure B-X (if you have more than one site map, list them all here) and illustrates the facility's activities: including facility boundary, structures, impervious surfaces, industrial activity areas, spills, operational areas, drainage patterns, stormwater controls, monitoring locations, outfalls and nearby receiving streams.

As required by the 2021 MSGP, the following information specific to the facility is either shown on the site map or contained with additional information provided in this SWPPP.

- Site boundaries and acreage. The site covers approximately X acres.
- Significant structures and impervious surfaces. The site is X percent impervious, primarily structures and paved lots.
- Direction of stormwater flow and site drainage. Direction of flow is indicated with arrows.
- Locations of stormwater control measures (SCMs).

(Page 9 of 53)

Insert Facility Name MSGP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Document Reference Number Revision X, Date

- Locations of all receiving waters. In the immediate vicinity of the facility, (Indicate if any of the waters are Impaired and, if so, whether the waters have TMDLs established for them. See paragraph below this list). Also, indicate if the receiving water includes a wetland. A map of nearby receiving waters is provided as Figure B-X.
- Locations of all stormwater conveyances. This includes all ditches, pipes, and swales.
- Locations of potential pollutant sources.
- Locations of significant spills or leaks.
- Locations of all stormwater monitoring points.
- Locations of stormwater inlets and outfalls. Of which each will require a unique identification code for each outfall (e.g., Outfall 005, etc.), indicating if you are treating one or more outfalls as "substantially identical" and an approximate outline of the areas draining to each outfall.
- This facility is not associated with a municipal separate storm sewer system (MS4).
- Areas of designated critical habitat for endangered or threatened species. There are (Insert "no areas" or a number of areas) in the direct vicinity of the facility. However, a map for threatened and endangered species within LANL property is included as Figure B-X.
- Locations of the following activities where such activities are exposed to precipitation:
  - Insert all facility activities exposed to stormwater (e.g., fueling locations; loading/unloading areas; locations used for the treatment, storage, or disposal of wastes; liquid storage tanks; processing and storage areas; machinery; location and sources of run-on to the site; transfer areas for substances in bulk; immediate access roads used or traveled by carriers of raw materials, manufactured products, waste material, or by-products used or created by the facility; and vehicle and equipment maintenance and/or cleaning areas. Only include the activity areas specific to the facility (for example, if you do not refuel within the active facility boundary, do not include "fueling locations" in this bulleted list). Use a secondary bullet list level in this section.

#### 2.0 POTENTIAL POLLUTANT SOURCES

Industrial activities that could potentially result in releases to the environment are summarized in 2.1 below. The site map for the facility is provided in Figure B-1.

Insert text describing structures and industrial activities that could potentially result in a release to the environment. Include information on location (e.g., inside, outside), associated containment, protection (e.g., roofed areas or coverings), and other devices or practices to prevent or contain spills, prevent runon and run-off.

#### 2.1 Potential Pollutants Associated with Industrial Activity

List specific areas and activities that could potentially result is a release to the environment and the constituents that may be released. Include a list of any Solid Waste Management Units and Areas of Concern (also known as Consent Order Sites or Potential Release Sites) with a description of each and associated potential pollutants/contaminants.

#### 2.2 Spills and Leaks

Spills and leaks that occurred after March 1, 2021, the issuance date of the 2021 MSGP, are summarized in Attachment 24. Spills and leaks that occurred prior to March 1, 2021, are documented in previous SWPPP revisions.

(Page 10 of 53)

Insert Facility Name MSGP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Document Reference Number Revision X, Date

Insert information on spill and leak history at the facility, if any. Text may be in table format as shown below or include this table in Attachment 24 (as stated in the text above).

Date	Description	Outfall(s
		Affected

Insert information on areas where spills and leaks could occur at the facility. Text may be in table format as shown below.

Specific Equipment/Industrial Activity Areas and Location	Outfall(s) Affected
Location	

In the event of any future spill or leak at any of the facility areas, a spill report, documenting the occurrence and the nature of the spill or leak, will be completed. The spill report will be filed promptly upon completion and documentation of the spill clean-up, and will be summarized in this section of the SWPPP. In addition, spills within MSGP facility boundaries will be entered as conditions requiring corrective action in the MSGP CAR database and will be updated as corrective action occurs, in accordance with EPC-CP-QP-2109, *MSGP Corrective Actions*.

The probability of spills or releases at the facility is minimized by (Insert information on how the facility will minimize spills and leaks).

#### 2.3 Unauthorized Non-Stormwater Discharges

Insert information describing any NPDES permitted non-stormwater discharges, unpermitted outfalls, or unauthorized discharges associated with the facility. Describe any potential sources of non-stormwater discharges (e.g., testing of fire hydrants) and where wastewater drains to. Include a reference to the "Non-Stormwater Discharge Assessment and Certification" and indicate that it is provided in Attachment 3.

#### 2.4 Salt Storage

Insert text describing salt storage areas at the facility, if present. If none exists, state salt is not stored at the facility.

#### 2.5 Historical Data Summary

All Triad sampling data collected at this facility during the previous permit term is contained in prior SWPPP revisions.

The following table provides monitoring data at the facility for the past year.

Permitted Facility: (insert facility name)

Calendar Year (CY) XXXX

Contact MSGP Program Lead to obtain this information formatted for insertion.

(Page 11 of 53)

Insert Facility Name MSGP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Document Reference Number Revision X, Date

NOTE: This information will be updated every year during the annual SWPPP update, to include the 3 most current years of monitoring data. If the current Permit cycle is under 3 years, include the available years of monitoring data.

#### 3.0 STORMWATER CONTROL MEASURES

Control measures at the facility are designed to minimize the potential release of pollutants that could adversely affect water quality. Insert text with stormwater control measure information.

#### 3.1 Non-Numeric Technology-Based Effluent Limits

Insert text with non-numeric technology-based effluent limits information. NOTE: This is specific to Sectors A, AA, N, O and P.

#### 3.1.1 Minimize Exposure

Insert text describing all structural controls (structures or covers) or practices used to minimize the exposure of industrial activities to precipitation. The SWPPP must describe where the controls or practices are being implemented at the facility. Examples of exposure-minimizing control measures include: location and extent of grading, berms, curbs used to contain contaminated stormwater or divert it around areas of industrial activity, materials stored within secondary containment, location of spill cleanup kits, schedule for employee spill abatement and cleanup training, procedure or practices for storage of leaky vehicles and equipment.

#### 3.1.2 Good Housekeeping

Good housekeeping practices specifically applicable to the prevention of stormwater contamination include the following measures: Insert text describing any practices implemented to keep exposed areas at the facility clean. Describe where each practice is being implemented at the facility. Examples of good housekeeping control measures include how workspaces are maintained; routine inspections of heavy equipment, other equipment and waste containers; inspections of material storage areas; identifying specific personnel/positions responsible for emptying drip pans, etc. Refer to Section 4.1 of this document for specific schedules for waste and recyclable material pickup and sweeping.

All site areas exposed to precipitation are walked down during daily operations and monthly routine facility inspections to ensure that the grounds are kept in an orderly condition. The outdoor metal storage areas are inspected to ensure all piping and metal raw material is off the ground on storage racks and covered, or stored inside buildings, sheds or transportable containers. Vehicle and forklift parking areas are inspected for leaks or spills as well as storage areas containing oil-filled equipment. The entire site, including loading areas and outfalls, are inspected for floatable debris, garbage, waste and all other potential pollutants. All dumpsters and roll-off bins are inspected to ensure they are closed.

#### 3.1.3 Maintenance

Control measures at the facility will be kept in effective operating condition by the implementation of scheduled preventive maintenance, standard operating procedures (SOPs), engineering guidance, and manufacturer's specifications as applicable. If control measures need to be replaced or repaired to maintain compliance with the 2021 MSGP, necessary modifications will be made according to the timelines specified in the *Corrective Action and Deadlines* requirements of Section 6.0 of this SWPPP.

(Page 12 of 53)

Insert Facility Name MSGP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Document Reference Number Revision X, Date

Deficient items identified during routine facility inspections, walk-downs, or by any other means of identification, will be documented on the routine facility inspection forms and entered into the MSGP CAR database. All reasonable steps are taken immediately to address any identified condition requiring corrective action. The condition requiring corrective action will remain open until proper maintenance or corrective action has been completed. CAR information, along with documentation of maintenance/repair of control measures, is in Attachment 9 of the SWPPP.

**NOTE:** "All reasonable steps" means that the permittee has responded to the condition(s) triggering the action, such as, cleaning up any exposed material that may be discharged in a storm event (e.g., through sweeping, vacuuming) or making arrangements (i.e., scheduling) for a new SCMs to be installed."

Insert text identifying how industrial equipment is maintained to avoid leaks or other releases. Also, include information on how site-specific control measures are maintained to ensure effective operating condition.

3.1.4 Spill Prevention and Response

Spills, leaks, or other releases will be prevented and minimized by (insert information on how the facility prevents and minimizes unauthorized releases).

#### Insert text describing the general facility approach to spill cleanup.

All spills or releases are reported to EPC-CP by using the spills pager (505) 664-7722. Although incidental spills may be cleaned up by facility personnel, all emergency spills or releases are reported to Emergency Management Division-Emergency Response (EMD-ER) and/or the Facility Duty Officer by calling 667-2400. If fire or explosion is present, or if the potential for such exists, the situation must be reported by dialing 911 from a non-cellular phone or by activating a fire pull box. In the event of a spill, EMD-ER will coordinate appropriate cleanup procedures and EPC-CP will notify the individuals or organizations responsible for completing spill reports and providing information needed to fulfill regulatory reporting requirements.

Unauthorized releases or discharges within industrial facility boundaries are entered into the MSGP Corrective Action Reporting database in accordance with EPC-CP-QP-2109, *MSGP Corrective Actions*. In addition, the completion of an Unplanned Release Report is required in the event of a spill. The report will be submitted to EPC-CP personnel and handled according to internal spill record keeping procedures. Spills may be "reportable" (requiring external agency notification) depending on the nature of the spilled material and the location of the release. External agency notification may consist of verbal and/or written notification to the National Response Center, Environmental Protection Agency Region VI, or the New Mexico Environment Department (NMED). EMD-ER, the FOD and EPC-CP, in accordance with Laboratory and DOE policies and federal and state regulatory reporting requirements, will make the determination for the type of reporting required. EPC-DO-QP-0930, *Environmental Reporting Requirements for Releases or Events* is used for this purpose (see Attachment 21).

Copies of internal spill reports are maintained by the responsible organization and in the EPC-CP database. The EPC-CP procedure for spill reporting and response, ENV-CP-QP-1007, *Spill Investigations*, can be found in Attachment 22 of this SWPPP.

#### 3.1.5 Erosion and Sediment Control

Insert text describing how erosion at the facility and sediment transport off the facility is prevented/minimized. Erosion control measures that prevent soil or sediment from becoming mobilized

(Page 13 of 53)

Insert Facility Name MSGP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Document Reference Number Revision X, Date

should be used as the primary line of defense. Sediment control measures that trap, infiltrate, or settle out mobilized sediments, should be used to back-up the erosion control measures.

#### 3.1.6 Management of Runoff

Insert text describing how the facility manages stormwater runoff. This will include a description of controls used to divert, infiltrate, reuse, contain, or otherwise reduce stormwater runoff. Installed or utilized control measures may be listed with a description of their function at the facility.

#### 3.1.7 Salt Storage Piles or Piles Containing Salt

Insert text describing how the facility manages salt storage piles or piles containing salt. Offloading operations should occur within contained areas with appropriate measures in place to prevent off-site migration or track out of salt from the contained area. Installed or utilized control measures may be listed with a description of their function at the facility. If none exists, state salt is not stored at the facility.

#### 3.1.8 Dust Generation and Vehicle Tracking of Industrial Materials

Insert text describing how the facility manages dust generation and vehicle tracking.

#### 3.2 Numeric Effluent Limitations Based on Effluent Limitations Guidelines

Insert information identifying the facility as meeting or not meeting the industrial category requirements for effluent monitoring as listed in Part 2.1.3 (*Table 2-1 Applicable Effluent Limitation Guidelines*) of the 2021 MSGP and if benchmark monitoring is or is not required.

If the permit does identify sector-specific requirements for the facility as listed in Part 8, insert a description of specific controls implemented at the facility to ensure numeric effluent limits are met.

#### 3.3 Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations and Water Quality Standards

Impaired waters monitoring is performed annually at the facility as listed in Section 4.7 of this SWPPP. The pollutants monitored can change yearly based on the requirements of the MSGP. The table in Section 4.7 lists the current year monitoring requirements and standards.

Stormwater from (insert facility name) discharges to (insert canyon name). Insert information on canyon reaches identified as impaired waters, pollutants causing the impairment, and approved or established TMDLs for the canyon. Also, insert specific information relative to the control measures used to ensure discharges from industrial activities meet the water quality standards.

Refer to Section 4.7 for specific actions that will be taken when a water quality standard is exceeded.

#### 4.0 SCHEDULES AND PROCEDURES

Preventative maintenance of control measures used to comply with the Permit effluent limits can avoid situations that result in discharges to the environment. Part 5.2.5 of the 2021 MSGP specifies control measures will have a schedule or frequency for maintenance and procedures specifying how maintenance is conducted. Part 5.5 requires documentation of maintenance and repairs including the date(s) of regular maintenance. See Attachment 10 for the Scheduled Maintenance Log.

(Page 14 of 53)

Insert Facility Name MSGP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Document Reference Number Revision X, Date

#### 4.1 Good Housekeeping

Insert a schedule for housekeeping activities such as waste and recyclable material (scrap metal, wood tires) pickup, street sweeping, etc. and identify any procedures used to ensure this occurs.

#### 4.2 Maintenance

Insert a discussion of and schedule for preventative or regular maintenance of equipment such as oil/water separators, culvert clean outs, other control measures, etc. **NOTE**: Industrial equipment will be maintained so that leaks and other releases are avoided. All control measures will be maintained in effective operation condition.

#### 4.3 Spill Prevention and Response

Insert a discussion of and schedule for preventing and responding to spills and leaks such as regular maintenance of equipment, placing pans under heavy equipment, and maintaining spill kits. Also, specify cleanup equipment, procedures and spill logs, and identify how often employees are trained in spill response procedures, as appropriate.

#### 4.4 Erosion and Sediment Control

Insert a discussion of and schedule for preventative or regular maintenance of erosion, sediment and velocity control measures. If polymers and/or other chemical treatments are used as erosion or sediment control measures, identify them and include a regular schedule for reapplication. Also, include a schedule for restocking these materials to ensure the facility does not run out.

#### 4.5 Employee Training

Employee training is essential for effective implementation of the SWPPP and MSGP requirements. The goals for the training program are to ensure that employees: (1) are aware of what happens when pollutants come in contact with stormwater; (2) are familiar with and will implement the requirements of this SWPPP; (3) are capable of preventing spills; (4) respond safely and effectively to an accident when one occurs; (5) recognize when there is an issue with a control measure; (6) recognize when additional control measure are necessary; and (7) identify situations that could lead to stormwater contamination.

Per Part 2.1.2.8 of the 2021 MSGP, training relevant to the SWPPP and MSGP is required for all workers at the facility that work in areas where industrial materials or activities are exposed to stormwater (MSGP sites); workers, managers, and supervisors who are responsible for implementing activities necessary to meet the conditions of this permit (e.g., inspectors, maintenance personnel); and all members of the PPT. Training is designed to ensure these personnel understand the MSGP and SWPPP requirements, as well as their specific responsibilities regarding these requirements.

Training provided and assigned to these personnel cover both the specific control measures used at the facility; along with monitoring, inspection, planning, reporting, and documentation requirements described in this SWPPP. Training will be conducted at least annually. The DEP and Pollution Prevention Team members are responsible for ensuring all appropriate personnel receive this training. It is suggested to add a list of job titles per facility that require training (e.g., Mechanics, Heavy Equipment Operators, PPT members, Operations Manager(s), etc.).

(Page 15 of 53)

Insert Facility Name MSGP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Document Reference Number Revision X, Date

Training activities are documented in accordance with LANL's Training Standards. In cases where training is formalized enough to require specific curricula and reoccurrence, the training activity will be recorded in LANL's official UTrain database. Informal briefings, such as those included in group safety meetings are not typically recorded in UTrain. In this case, sign-in sheets are used to document attendance. Under the current Management and Operation contract, Triad must manage this information as official use only (OUO), which requires special handling. All training records are managed in accordance with P204-1, *Controlled Unclassified Information*. Information on employees receiving training is available upon request.

The topics in this SWPPP that are covered in the latest version of the facility-specific annual MSGP training (see Attachment 11) include the following:

- Overview of the SWPPP contents;
- Spill response and cleanup procedures, good housekeeping, maintenance requirements, and material management practices to prevent stormwater pollution;
- The location of all controls on the site required by this permit and how they are maintained;
- The proper procedures to follow with respect to the permit's pollution prevention
- requirements; and
- When and how to conduct inspections, record applicable findings, and take corrective actions.

#### 4.6 Routine Facility Inspections and Quarterly Visual Assessments

Routine inspections at this facility are conducted and documented monthly in accordance with EPC-CP-QP-2108, MSGP Routine Facility Inspections (Attachment 16).

Visual assessments are conducted in accordance with EPC-CP-QP-2105, MSGP Stormwater Visual Assessments (Attachment 18).

#### 4.6.1 Routine Facility Inspections

At least once each calendar year, the routine facility inspection is conducted during a period when a stormwater discharge is occurring. A qualified member of the PPT (typically the DEP, a representative from the EPC-CP Storm Water Permitting/Compliance Team or EPC-CP Program Lead) performs the inspection. The 2021 MSGP consolidates the different and separate documentation requirements in the Comprehensive Site Inspection Procedures and Routine Facility Inspection Procedures from the 2008 MSGP. EPC-CP will perform at least one routine inspection per year in order to evaluate corrective action status for the Annual Report requirements.


Routine inspections will evaluate the following areas, at a minimum:

- Areas where industrial materials or activities are exposed to stormwater;
- Areas identified in the SWPPP and those that are potential pollutant sources;
- Areas where spills and leaks have occurred in the last three years;
- Discharge points (outfalls/substantially identical discharge points (SIDPs); and
- Control measures used to comply with the effluent limits contained in this permit.
- Specific areas of the facility to be inspected are described in Section 2.1.

During routine inspections, the following must be examined and looked for:

- Industrial materials, residue or trash that may have or could come into contact with stormwater;
- Leaks or spills from industrial equipment, drums, tanks and other containers;

(Page 16 of 53)



- Offsite tracking of industrial or waste materials, or sediment where vehicles enter or exit the site;
- Tracking or blowing of raw, final, or waste materials from areas of no exposure to exposed areas; and
- Control measures needing maintenance, repairs, or replacement.

Inspections performed by the PPT member are documented by completing the routine facility inspection form, which identifies all conditions requiring corrective action and other potential stormwater pollution issues that were encountered. All conditions requiring corrective actions identified during the inspection are addressed in accordance with Section 6.0 *Corrective Actions and Deadlines* of this plan. Facility personnel or the DEP may also perform daily, weekly, or other periodic facility surveys (walk downs) between monthly routine inspections to ensure compliance with the SWPPP and MSGP. Completed routine facility inspection forms are provided in Attachment 7 of this SWPPP and meet the requirements listed in the 2021 MSGP (Part 3.1.2.).

#### 4.6.2 Quarterly Visual Assessments

Once each quarter, (January-March, April-June, July-September, and October-December) a stormwater sample is obtained and visual assessment performed at each outfall, if a measurable storm event occurred. A qualified member of the PPT (DEP, EPC-CP field team member or MSGP Program Lead) conducts the visual assessment. The visual assessment will be:

- Of a sample in a clean, clear colorless glass or plastic container and examined in a well-lit area;
- On samples collected within the first 30 minutes of an actual discharge from a storm event or as soon as practicable thereafter. Alternatively, document why it was not possible to collect the sample within the first 30 minutes (i.e., adverse conditions, not enough flow, etc.); and
- Conducted at least 72 hours since the last storm event; or document that the 72-hour period is
  representative of local storm events during the sampling period.

NOTE: Snowmelt samples need only be collected during a period of measurable discharge.

The visual assessment will inspect for the following water quality characteristics: color, odor, clarity, floating solids, settled solids, suspended solids, foam, oil sheen, and other obvious indicators of stormwater pollution.

If a visual assessment is not conducted:

- Document rationale if a visual assessment is unable to be collected in a quarter (no precipitation event or adverse conditions, etc.);
- Perform an additional assessment during the next qualifying storm event if unable to perform in a particular quarter; and
- Perform one quarterly assessment during snowmelt discharge (taken during a measurable discharge from the site).

For facilities with SIDPs, quarterly visual assessments may be performed at only one of the outfalls, provided that you perform visual inspections on a rotating basis at each SIDP.

The PPT member performing the visual assessment documents potential stormwater pollution problems that were observed during the assessment on the quarterly visual assessment form. Any required corrective actions identified during the assessment are addressed in accordance with Section 6.0

(Page 17 of 53)

Insert Facility Name MSGP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Document Reference Number Revision X, Date

*Corrective Actions and Deadlines* of this plan. Completed quarterly visual assessments are provided in Attachment 8 of this SWPPP and meet the requirements listed in the 2021 MSGP (Part 3.2.2).

#### 4.7 Monitoring

Analytical monitoring for this site is comprised of Impaired Waters and [insert quarterly benchmark, indicator parameter (see Table 4-1 of the 2021 MSGP), and/or Effluent Limitation Guideline (for example for the Asphalt Batch Plant)] monitoring for industrial activity identified in Table 4-1 of the 2021 MSGP. Monitoring occurs when storm events result in an actual discharge from the site and follow the preceding measurable storm event by at least 72 hours (3 days), unless documented that the storm event is representative of local storm events during the sampling period. For runoff from snowmelt, the monitoring is performed at a time when a measurable discharge from the site occurs.

Monitoring is conducted according to test procedures approved under 40 CFR Part 136. Runoff samples are collected by taking a minimum of one grab sample from a discharge, collected within the first 30 minutes of a measurable storm event. If it is not possible to collect the sample within the first 30 minutes of a measurable storm event, the sample is collected as soon as practicable after the first 30 minutes and documentation is kept with the SWPPP explaining why it was not possible.

LANL is located in a high elevation, semi-arid climate where the majority of rainfall occurs during a period between July and September. Freezing conditions that would prevent runoff from occurring for extended periods may also occur during the winter months. If adverse weather conditions prevent the collection of a sample according to the relevant monitoring schedule, a sample will be collected during the next qualifying storm event or as soon as practicable.

Monitoring occurs at automated sampling station [insert automated sampler identifier (e.g., MSGP07501)] as identified in Section 1.5. Discharge from the facility is (insert cardinal direction) to (insert canyon name) (impaired waters), which is a tributary of the Rio Grande located approximately <mark>X</mark> miles east of the facility.

Outfall (insert substantially identical discharge point identification number) is "substantially identical" to. Outfall (insert monitored outfall identification number) based on (insert the following information: industrial activities conducted in the drainage area, description of control measures implemented in the drainage area of each outfall, description of exposed material located in the drainage area of each outfall that are likely to be significant contributors of pollutants to stormwater discharges, and an estimate of the runoff coefficient of the drainage areas). Outfall locations are shown on the site map provided in Figure B-1. NOTE: Delete this paragraph if the facility has no substantially identical discharge points. If the facility has multiple maps, reference them all.

For impaired waters pollutants, monitoring is required annually in the first and fourth year of permit coverage. If any pollutant associated with the impairment is detected, annual monitoring will continue. If the impaired water or benchmark constituent value exceeds the New Mexico Water Quality criterion (insert or ELG value is exceeded, if applicable), the Pollution Prevention Team will:

- Review the selection, design, installation, and implementation of control measures to determine
  if modifications are necessary to meet the effluent limits;
- Implement the necessary modifications within the timeframe specified for corrective action; and
- Continue benchmark or annual monitoring of the constituent (as required by Part 4.2.5 of the 2021 MSGP);

(Page 18 of 53)

Insert Facility Name MSGP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Document Reference Number Revision X, Date

If an ELG is exceeded, follow-up monitoring within 30 calendar days (or during the next
qualifying runoff event) of implementing corrective action(s) is required. When follow-up
monitoring exceeds the applicable effluent limitation, an exceedance report is submitted to EPA
and monitoring continues at least quarterly, until the discharge complies with the effluent limit.

For each monitoring event, except snowmelt monitoring, the following information will be recorded and maintained through work orders, LANL database systems, and Discharge Monitoring Records:

- The date, exact place, and time of sampling or measurements;
- The date and duration (in hours) of the rainfall event
- Rainfall total (in inches) for that rainfall event
- The individual(s) who performed the sampling or measurements;
- The date(s) analyses were performed
- · The individual(s) who performed the analyses;
- The analytical techniques or methods used; and
- The results of such analyses.

All records of monitoring information, including all calibration and maintenance records are maintained for a minimum period of at least three years from the date the permit expires.

LANL's applicable stormwater monitoring procedures can be found in the following Attachments:

- EPC-CP-QP-2103, Inspecting Stormwater Runoff Samplers and Retrieving Samples for the MSGP (Attachment 19)
- EPC-CP-QP-2106, Processing MSGP Stormwater Samples (Attachment 20).

The table on the following page lists the current Summary of Monitoring Requirements. The monitoring values have been modified to reflect New Mexico water quality standards and are based on the most protective water quality standards from the Standards for Interstate and Intrastate Surface Waters (effective on February 28, 2018), 20.6.4.900 NMAC; and as set forth in Part 9.6.2.2 of the 2021 MSGP.

MSGP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Document Reference Number Revision X, Date Required Monitoring for CY XXXX Outfalls: (insert outfall numbers) Contact MSGP Program Lead to obtain this information formatted for insertion. Filtered/ Industrial Monitoring **Regulatory Standard Regulatory Standard** Assessment Regulatory Analyte Unfiltere Units Requirement Sector Unit Standard Reference Type d Adjusted NM 2010 Livestock Impaired NM-20.6,4.900 NMAC -Gross UF 15 pCi/L Waters 128.A\_01 Watering Subpart J Alpha PFOA+ Annual ---0.07 ug/L ę . PFOS Indicator P COD, TSS, and pH Parameters Quarterly P No Benchmark Monitoring Required Benchmark NM=New Mexico UF=Unfiltered pCi=Picocurie L=Liter NMAC=New Mexico Administrative Code

PFOA=Perfluorooctanoic Acid

PFOS=Perfluorooctane Sulfonate

COD=Chemical Oxygen Demand

TSS=Total Suspended Solids

Insert Facility Nam

(Page 20 of 53)

Insert Facility Name MSGP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Document Reference Number Revision X, Oate

#### 5.0 DOCUMENTATION FOR ELIGIBILITY CONSIDERATIONS UNDER OTHER FEDERAL LAWS

#### 5.1 Endangered Species

The Final Site-Wide Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the Operation of Los Alamos National Laboratory (DOE/EIS-0380) was issued in May 2008, and a Record of Decision in September 2008. Stormwater issues and associated pollution prevention requirements and activities at LANL are analyzed in Chapters 4 and 5 of the 2008 Site-Wide EIS. These activities are integrated into environmental reviews on a project-specific level through LANL's Integrated Review Tool (IRT), which incorporates both the Excavation Permit (EX-ID) and Permit Requirements Identification (PR-ID) process. Stormwater issues are identified, and pollution prevention activities are implemented during the design and construction phases of all LANL projects, and as part of facility operations, including routine maintenance. LANL staff monitors stormwater pollution prevention compliance at MSGP sites in accordance with Section 4.7 *Monitoring* of this plan. Corrective actions are taken as necessary as described in Section 6.0 *Corrective Actions and Deadlines* of this plan.

Part 2.3 of the 2021 MSGP requires areas of designated critical habitat for endangered or threatened species, as applicable, be included in the SWPPP. The *Threatened and Endangered Species Habitat Management Plan for Los Alamos National Laboratory* (LA-UR-22-20556) was last updated in January 2022 (see Attachment 13). This document provides a management strategy for the protection of threatened and endangered species and their habitats on LANL property. The MSGP IPaC Trust Resource Report (see Attachment 14) is also attached for informational purposes.

#### 5.2 Historic Properties

In April 2021, August 2015 and December 2008, the Cultural Resources Team (using GPS spatial data as well as conducting visual inspections), reviewed the active Laboratory Industrial sites (see list below) and their associated outfalls and monitoring stations subject to the 2021 Multi-Sector General Permit (Permit #NMR050013 MSGP 2021) for effects on historic properties. All of these sites were found to be undertakings of no effect and in compliance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (i.e., Criterion B).

- TA-9-0214 Metals Fabrication Shop
- TA-3-0038 Metals Fabrication Shop
- TA-16 Stockpile Area
- TA-60 Asphalt Batch Plant
- TA-60-0001 Heavy Equipment Yard
- TA-60 Material Recycle Facility
- TA-60 Roads and Grounds
- TA-60-0002 Warehouse

#### 6.0 CORRECTIVE ACTIONS AND DEADLINES

When any of the following conditions occur or are detected during an inspection, monitoring or any other means, this SWPPP [e.g., sources of pollution; spill and leak procedures; non-stormwater discharges; the selection, design, installation and implementation of control measures; Level, 1, 2, or 3 additional implementation measures (AIM)] is reviewed and revised (as appropriate).

(Page 21 of 53)

Insert Facility Name MSGP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Document Reference Number Revision X, Date

- An unauthorized release or discharge (e.g., spill, leak, or discharge of non-stormwater not authorized by this or another NPDES permit to a water of the U.S.) occurs at the facility;
- A discharge violates a numeric effluent limit;
- SCMs are not stringent enough for stormwater discharge to be controlled as necessary such that
  the receiving water of the United States will meet applicable water quality standards or to meet
  the non-numeric effluent limits in the permit;
- An inspection identifies that a required control measure was never installed, was installed incorrectly or is not being properly operated or maintained; and
- Whenever a visual assessment shows evidence of stormwater pollution.

When any of the following conditions occur, a review of the selection, design, installation, and implementation of control measures is performed to determine if modifications are necessary to meet the effluent limits in this permit:

- Construction or a change in design, operation, or maintenance at the facility significantly changes the nature of pollutants discharged in stormwater from the facility, or significantly increases the quantity of pollutants discharged; or
- The average of 4 quarterly sampling results exceeds an applicable benchmark. If less than 4 benchmark samples have been taken, but the results are such that an exceedance of the 4 quarter average is mathematically certain (i.e., if the sum of quarterly sample results to date is more than 4 times the benchmark level) this is considered a benchmark exceedance, triggering this review (see Section 4.2); or
- If an impaired water constituent exceeds the NM Water Quality criterion (see Section 4.7).

If any of the AIM triggering events (i.e., an annual average exceeds an applicable benchmark threshold) in Parts 5.2.3, 5.2.4, or 5.2.5 occur, PPT members must follow the response procedures described in those parts, called "additional implementation measures" or "AIM." There are three AIM levels: AIM Level 1, Level 2, and Level 3. PPT members must respond, as required, to different AIM levels which prescribe sequential and increasingly robust responses when a benchmark exceedance occurs. The corresponding AIM level responses and deadlines described in Parts 5.2.3.1, 5.2.3.2, 5.2.4.1, 5.2.4.2, 5.2.5.1 and 5.2.5.2 must be followed unless the facility qualifies for an exception under Part 5.2.6.

When the review identifies the need to modify the SWPPP, it will be revised within 14 calendar days of completion of the associated condition requiring corrective action.

#### 6.1 Immediate Actions

When a condition requiring corrective action is identified, all reasonable steps necessary to minimize or prevent the discharge of pollutants are immediately taken (i.e., spill clean-up, scheduling repairs) until a permanent solution (if needed) can be implemented. Immediate action means all reasonable steps are taken the same workday or no later than the following workday (when it is too late in the day to take corrective action).

#### 6.2 Subsequent Actions

When additional corrective actions are required (e.g., installing or making operational a new or modified control, completing repairs, ordering BMPs) they will be completed by the next storm event, if possible, or within 14 calendar days (from initial discovery). When it is determined that it is infeasible to complete corrective actions within 14 days, documentation of infeasibility and a schedule for completion of the work is documented in the CAR database, which will be completed no later than 45 days (from initial

(Page 22 of 53)

Insert Facility Name MSGP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Document Reference Number Revision X, Date

discovery). When it is determined that corrective actions will exceed 45 days, EPA is notified and provided justification of why actions will exceed the time frame; and a minimal amount of additional time to complete the work may be approved.

#### 6.3 AIM Baseline Status and Triggering Events

Once the facility is authorized to discharge under the MSGP, it is considered to be in a baseline status for all applicable benchmark parameters required by that facility to be monitored. If an AIM triggering event occurs, the facility may return directly to baseline status once the corresponding AIM-level response and conditions are met.

An annual average exceedance for a benchmark parameter can occur if: 1) The four-quarter annual average for a parameter exceeds the benchmark threshold, or 2) Fewer than four quarterly samples are collected, but a single sample or the sum of any sample results within the sampling year exceeds the benchmark threshold by more than four times for a parameter.

#### 6.3.1 AIM Level 1

When an annual average exceeds an applicable benchmark threshold, the PPT must immediately review the MSGP SWPPP and the selection, design, installation, and implementation of SCMs to ensure the effectiveness of existing measures and determine if modifications are necessary to meet the benchmark threshold for the parameter that exceeded.

NOTE: An AIM triggering event is outfall and parameter specific. After reviewing the SWPPP, additional measures, considering good engineering practices, will be implemented, that will reasonably be expected to bring the exceedance below the parameter's benchmark threshold.

NOTE: If it is determined that nothing further is required to bring the exceedance below the parameter's benchmark threshold for the next 12-month period, document this in the MSGP Corrective Action Reporting (CAR) database.

All modifications and additional control measures required in response to AIM Level 1 will be implemented within 14 days of identification of an AIM Level 1 exceedance. If doing so within 14 days is infeasible, documentation is entered into the MSGP CAR database as to why it is infeasible. Completion of the response must occur within 45 days.

NOTE: There is no provision in the 2021 MSGP for exceeding the 45-day time frame for response to AIM Level 1.

An additional four quarters of Benchmark monitoring will occur at the outfall where the parameter exceeded the benchmark threshold for AIM Level 1. This monitoring will begin no later than the next full quarter after all responses and deadlines required by AIM Level 1 have been completed. After four quarters of monitoring, the parameter will either return to baseline (see Section 6.3) if it does not exceed the same benchmark threshold or, another annual average exceeds the benchmark threshold causing the facility to move to AIM Level 2.

#### 6.3.2 AIM Level 2

When a second benchmark threshold exceedance occurs at an outfall, the PPT will review the SWPPP and implement additional pollution prevention/good housekeeping SCMs, (considering good engineering practices), beyond those implemented in response to AIM Level 1.

(Page 23 of 53)

Insert Facility Name MSGP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Document Reference Number Revision X, Date

Additional control measures required in response to AIM Level 2 will be implemented within 14 days of identification of the AIM Level 2 exceedance. If it is feasible to implement a measure, but not within 14 days, facility personnel may take up to 45 days to implement the measure. In this case, documentation will be entered into the MSGP CAR database identifying why it was infeasible to implement the control measure within 14 days. EPA may grant an extension beyond 45 days, based on an appropriate demonstration by the operator.

An additional four quarters of benchmark monitoring will occur at the outfall where the parameter exceeded the benchmark threshold for AIM Level 2. This monitoring will begin no later than the next full quarter after all responses and deadlines required by AIM Level 2 have been completed. After four quarters of monitoring, the parameter will either return to baseline (see Section 6.3) if it does not exceed the same benchmark threshold or, the parameter continues to exceed the benchmark threshold causing the facility to move to AIM Level 3.

#### 6.3.3 AIM Level 3

When a third benchmark threshold exceedance occurs at an outfall, facility personnel will install structural source controls (e.g., permanent controls such as permanent cover, berms, and secondary containment), and/or treatment controls (e.g., sand filters, hydrodynamic separators, oil-water separators, retention ponds, and infiltration structures). The controls, treatment technologies, or treatment train installed will be appropriate for the pollutant that triggered AIM Level 3, will be sufficient to bring the exceedance below the benchmark threshold and, will be more rigorous that the SCMs implemented under AIM Level 2. These controls will be installed for the outfall that exceeded the benchmark threshold and SIDPs, unless monitoring of the SIDPs demonstrates AIM Level 3 requirements are not triggered at those discharge points.

A schedule for installing the structural source and/or treatment SCMs will be identified and documented in the MSGP CAR database within 14 days. Control measures in response to AIM Level 3 will be installed within 60 days unless it is not feasible to install them within 60 days. In this case, up to 90 days can be taken provided justification identifying why it is infeasible to install the measure within 60 days is documented in the MSGP CAR database. EPA may grant an extension beyond 90 days, based on an appropriate demonstration by the operator.

An additional four quarters of benchmark monitoring will occur at the outfall where the parameter exceeded the benchmark threshold for AIM Level 3. This monitoring will begin no later than the next full quarter after all responses and deadlines required by AIM Level 3 have been completed. After four quarters of monitoring, the parameter will either return to baseline (see Section 6.3) if it does not exceed the same benchmark threshold or, the facility will remain in AIM Level 3 and EPA may require the facility to apply for an individual permit.

#### 6.3.4 AIM Exceptions

Any AIM Level exceedance may qualify for an exception from specific AIM requirements and continued benchmark monitoring after four quarters of monitoring, provided the requirements to demonstrate qualification of the exception are followed (see Parts 5.2.6.1 through 5.2.6.5 of the permit). These exceptions include the following for benchmark exceedances: 1) Solely attributable to natural background pollutant levels; 2) Due to run-on; 3) Due to an abnormal event; 4) Demonstrated to not

(Page 24 of 53)

Insert Facility Name MSGP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Document Reference Number Revision X, Date

result in an exceedance of facility-specific value using the national recommended water quality criteria in-lieu of the applicable MSGP benchmark threshold (for aluminum and copper benchmark parameters only); or 5) Demonstrated to not result in any exceedance of water quality standards.

NOTE: There are very specific and complicated documentation requirements and time frames that have to be met to qualify for any of these exceptions. Therefore, any demonstration to qualify for an exception will be coordinated through a representative of the EPC-CP Stormwater Permitting/Compliance Team.

#### 6.4 Corrective Action and AIM Documentation

Upon discovery, conditions requiring corrective action are documented by the DEP or EPC-CP on a Routine Facility Inspection Form and/or entered into the CAR database. The action will be kept open in the database until the issue has been resolved. Documentation of maintenance and repairs of SCMs (also known as Best Management Practices or BMPs) will be kept in Attachment 10 of this SWPPP. Where corrective actions result in changes to procedures or controls documented in this SWPPP, modifications to the SWPPP are made accordingly within 14 calendar days of completing the corrective action(s). LANL procedure EPC-CP-QP-2109, *MSGP Corrective Actions* can be found in Attachment 17.

Any AIM Level triggering event will conform to the requirements and time frames provided in Sections 6.3 and 6.3.1 through 6.3.4.

AIM	Additional Implementation Measures
BMP	Best Management Practice
CAR	Corrective Action Report
DEP	Deployed Environmental Professional
DESH	Deployed Environmental Safety and Health
DOE	Department of Energy
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement
ELG	Effluent Limitation Guidelines
EMD-ER	Emergency Management Division-Emergency Response
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
EPC-CP	Environmental Protection and Compliance – Compliance Programs
FOD	Facility Operations Division
<mark>IPaC</mark>	Information for Planning and Consultation
LANL or the Laboratory	Los Alamos National Laboratory
MSGP or Permit	Multi-Sector General Permit
NOI	Notice of Intent
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
000	Official Use Only

#### 7.0 ACRONYMS

(Page 25 of 53)

	Insert Facility Name MSGP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan
	Document Reference Number Revision X, Date
PAH	Polyaromatic Hydrocarbons
PPT -	Pollution Prevention Team
SCM	Stormwater Control Measures
SIDP	Substantially Identical Discharge Point
SWPPP	Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan
URL	Uniform Resource Locator

(Page 26 of 53)

Insert Facility Name MSGP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Document Reference Number Revision X, Date

8.0 SWPPP CERTIFICATION

STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN (Insert Facility Name) Los Alamos National Laboratory


#### CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

(Signatory must meet definition in Section B.11.A, e.g., FOD, Ops Mgr., EPC Group or Team Leader)

Signature (Insert Printed Name) (Insert Title) Date\_

(Page 27 of 53)



(Page 28 of 53)

Insert Facility Name MSGP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Document Reference Number Revision X, Date

FIGURE B: MAP(S)

Label the figures as Figure B-1, Figure B-2, etc.

Insert maps in the following order:

- Facility specific site map(s),
- Receiving waters maps, and
- Threatened Endangered Species Map.

(Page 29 of 53)

Insert Facility Name MSGP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Document Reference Number Revision X, Date

ATTACHMENT 1: NOTICE OF INTENT, SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION, AND UPDATES

Insert the appropriate attachment and supporting documentation. NOTE: There may be several "Change NOIs" submitted to EPA within a permit term. Contact the MSGP Program Lead to ensure all are included in this attachment.

(Page 30 of 53)

ATTACHN			Revision X, Da
nsert tex	t documenting all o	changes or updates made to the SWPPP.	Text may be in table format as
nsert tex shown be Date		Reason for Amendment	Amendment

(Page 31 of 53)

Insert Facility Name MSGP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Document Reference Number Revision X, Date

ATTACHMENT 3: CERTIFICATION OF NO UNAUTHORIZED STORMWATER DISCHARGES

Insert the appropriate attachment.

(Page 32 of 53)

Insert Facility Name MSGP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Document Reference Number Revision X, Date

ATTACHMENT 4: DULY AUTHORIZED SIGNATORY MEMORANDUM

Insert the appropriate attachment.

(Page 33 of 53)

Insert Facility Name MSGP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Document Reference Number Revision X, Date

ATTACHMENT 5:

5: DISCHARGE MONITORING REPORTS

Insert the discharge monitoring reports for the current permit term.

(Page 34 of 53)

Insert Facility Name MSGP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Document Reference Number Revision <mark>X, Date</mark>

ATTACHMENT 6: ANNUAL REPORTS

Insert the annual reports for the current permit term. The MSGP Program Lead provides these.

(Page 35 of 53)

Insert Facility Name MSGP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Document Reference Number Revision X, Date

ATTACHMENT 7: ROUTINE FACILITY INSPECTIONS

Insert completed Routine Facility Inspection forms for the current permit term.

(Page 36 of 53)

Insert Facility Name MSGP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Document Reference Number Revision X, Date

ATTACHMENT 8: C

QUARTERLY VISUAL ASSESSMENTS

Insert completed Quarterly Visual Assessment forms for the current permit term. EPC-CP provides these by e-mail as they are certified.

(Page 37 of 53)

Insert Facility Name MSGP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Document Reference Number Revision <mark>X</mark>, Date

ATTACHMENT 9: CORRECTIVE ACTION DOCUMENTATION AND CERTIFICATION

Contact the EPC-CP MSGP Program Lead for an excel spreadsheet of all corrective actions and a certification statement for signature.

(Page 38 of 53)


MSGP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Document Reference Number Revision X, Date ATTACHMENT 10: SCHEDULED MAINTENANCE LOG SCHEDULED MAINTENANCE LOG Control Measure or **Equipment Description** Action Taken By Date (include location where appropriate) Action Taken/Comments (printed name & Z no.)

Page 1 of X

Insert Facility Name

38

(Page 39 of 53)



ATTACHMENT 11: TRAINING DOCUMENTATION

Information on employees receiving training is available upon request. Insert briefing or presentation.

Insert Facility Name MSGP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Document Reference Number Revision X, Date

ATTACHMENT 12: MSGP (OR ACTIVE URL)

Either insert a copy of the most current permit, or insert the URL address (see example below).

A copy of the 2021 MSGP is kept on file with the SWPPP in hard copy.

The active URL for the permit is https://www.epa.gov/npdes/final-2021-msgp-documents

(Page 41 of 53)


Insert Facility Name MSGP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Document Reference Number Revision X, Date

ATTACHMENT 13:

THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES HABITAT MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY

Insert the most current revision of the management plan for LANL.

(Page 42 of 53)



ATTACHMENT 14: MSGP IPAC TRUST RESOURCES REPORT

Contact the EPC-CP MSGP Program Lead for this information formatted for insertion.

NOTE: The Permit requires this information. However, LANL EPC-ES has completed consultation with U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Letters of Consultation are contained in the NOI (see Attachment

1). Refer to Attachment 13 for the species habitat management plan.

Insert Facility Name MSGP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Document Reference Number Revision X, Date

ATTACHMENT 15: EPC-CP-PIP-2101, NPDES MULTI-SECTOR GENERAL PERMIT

Insert the most current revision of the plan into this SWPPP.

(Page 44 of 53)

Insert Facility Name MSGP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Document Reference Number Revision X, Date

ATTACHMENT 16: EPC-CP-QP-2108, MSGP ROUTINE FACILITY INSPECTIONS

(Page 45 of 53)

Insert Facility Name MSGP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Document Reference Number Revision X, Date

ATTACHMENT 17: EPC-CP-QP-2109, MSGP CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

(Page 46 of 53)

Insert Facility Name MSGP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Document Reference Number Revision X, Date

ATTACHMENT 18: EPC-CP-QP-2105, MSGP STORMWATER VISUAL ASSESSMENTS

(Page 47 of 53)

Insert Facility Name MSGP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Document Reference Number Revision X, Date

ATTACHMENT 19: EPC-CP-QP-2103, INSPECTING STORMWATER RUNOFF SAMPLERS AND **RETRIEVING SAMPLES FOR THE MSGP** 

(Page 48 of 53)

Insert Facility Name MSGP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Document Reference Number Revision X, Date

ATTACHMENT 20: EPC-CP-QP-2106, PROCESSING MSGP STORMWATER SAMPLES

(Page 49 of 53)

Insert Facility Name MSGP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Document Reference Number Revision <mark>X, Date</mark>

#### ATTACHMENT 21: EPC-DO-QP-0930, ENVIRONMENTAL REPORTING REQUIREMENTS FOR RELEASES OR EVENTS

(Page 50 of 53)

Insert Facility Name MSGP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Document Reference Number Revision X, Date

ATTACHMENT 22: EPC-CP-QP-1007, SPILL INVESTIGATIONS

(Page 51 of 53)

insert Facility Name MSGP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Document Reference Number Revision X, Date

ATTACHMENT 23: EPC-CP-QP-2110, MSGP STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN PREPARATION AND MAINTENANCE

(Page 52 of 53)

Insert Facility Name MSGP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Document Reference Number Revision X, Date

ATTACHMENT 24: SPILL LOG

Insert a table containing the date, spill location, spilled substance, quantity, corrective action, and outfall affected if a table of spills and leaks is placed here. Delete section if not needed.

(Page 53 of 53)

Insert Facility Name MSGP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Document Reference Number Revision X, Date

ATTACHMENT 25: LOCAL PROCEDURE

Insert the most current revision of the appropriate procedure or parts of the procedure that pertain to this SWPPP. Change LOCAL PROCEDURE to the actual title of the procedure inserted here. Delete section if not needed. If more than one procedure is added, add additional attachment headers and name them the same way consecutively.

MSGP Stormwater Pollution No: E Prevention Plan Preparation and	PC-CP-QP-2110	Page 66 of 76
Maintenance Revisi	on: 1	Effective Date: 06/15/2023

#### Attachment 2: MSGP SWPPP Review Guidance Checklist Example

(Page 1 of 11)

REQUIREMENT	COMMENT	RESOLUTION
Stormwater Pollution Prevention Team		
Is the SWPPP being developed or updated by a qualified person?		
Does the SWPPP list Stormwater Pollution Prevention Team members (by name or title) and each individual's responsibilities?		
Is a copy of the SWPPP immediately available at the site and on-line?		
Contents of the SWPPP		
If the SWPPP refers to procedures or other documents, are copies of the relevant portions of		
these procedures of documents present in the SWFFFF		
Does the SWIPDP include the following information?		
<ul> <li>Identify a description of the nature of the industrial activities at the site</li> </ul>		
Provide a general location map (e.g., U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) quadrangle map) with enough detail to identify the location of the site and all receiving waters for industrial stormwater		
discharges.		
Site map showing the following:		
<ul> <li>Boundaries of the property and size of the property in acres</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Location and extent of significant structures and impervious surfaces</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Direction(s) of stormwater flow and site drainage (using arrows)</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Locations of all stormwater control measures</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Locations of all receiving waters, including wetlands, in the immediate vicinity of the site. Indicate which water bodies are listed as impaired and which are identified as Tier 2, Tier 2.5, or Tier 3 waters (for LANL, none)</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Locations of all stormwater conveyances including ditches, pipes, and swales</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Locations of potential pollutant sources associated with each industrial activity (see Part 6.2.3.2) that could be exposed to rainfall or snowmelt and could be discharged from the site</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Locations where significant spills or leaks have occurred (see Part 6.2.3.3)</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Location(s) of all stormwater monitoring points</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Location of each stormwater inlet and outfall, with a unique identification code for each outfall (i.e., 001, 002, 003, etc.), indicating if you are treating one or more outfalls as "substantially identical" (see Parts 3.2.4.5, 6.2.5.3, and 4.1.1)</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>If applicable, location of the MS4 and where your stormwater discharges to it.</li> <li>NOTE: Although LANL does not currently have an MS4, EPA has published a draft permit</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Areas of designated critical habitat for endangered or threatened species</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Locations of the following activities where such activities are exposed to precipitation:</li> </ul>		

Page 1 of 11

#### Attachment 2: MSGP SWPPP Review Guidance Checklist Example (cont.)

(Page 2 of 11)

REQUIREMENT	COMMENT	RESOLUTION
- Fueling station(s)		
<ul> <li>Vehicle and equipment maintenance and/or cleaning area</li> </ul>		
- Loading/unloading areas		
<ul> <li>Locations used for the treatment, storage, or disposal of wastes</li> </ul>		
- Liquid storage tanks		
- Processing and storage areas		
- Immediate access roads used by carriers of raw materials, manufactured products, waste		
material, or by-products used or created by the site		-
- Transfer areas for substances in bulk		
- Machinery		
- Locations and sources of run-on to the site from adjacent property that contains significant		
quantities of pollutants		
Potential Pollutant Sources		
Are areas described in the SWPPP where industrial material or activities are exposed to stormwater or from which allowable non-stormwater discharges originate? <b>NOTE 1:</b> Industrial material or activities include material handling equipment or activities;		
industrial machinery; raw material; industrial production and processes; and intermediate products; by-products; final products, and waste products. <i>Material handling activities</i> include the		
storage, loading and unloading, transportation, disposal or conveyance of any raw material, intermediate product, final product or waste product.		
Are all pollutants or pollutant constituents (e.g., zinc, sulfuric acid, cleaning solvents, motor oil, discel machine brake fluid atcleascociated with each activity identified?		
<b>NOTE 2:</b> The list must include all pollutants/materials that have been handled, treated, stored, or		
disposed and that have been exposed to stormwater in the three years prior to the date the SWPPP is prepared or amended.		
Are areas where potential spills and leaks could occur that could contribute pollutants to		
stormwater discharges and the corresponding outfall(s) that would be affected by such spills and leaks identified in the SWPPP?		
Are all significant spills and leaks of oil or toxic or hazardous substances identified that actually		
occurred at exposed areas, or that drained to a stormwater conveyance, in the three years prior to the date the SWPPD was prepared or amended?		
Has an evaluation for the presence of unauthorized non-stormwater discharges (see Part		
6.2.3.4) been done and does it include the following information?		-
Date of the evaluation		
A description of the evaluation criteria used		

Page 2 of 11

#### Attachment 2: MSGP SWPPP Review Guidance Checklist Example (cont.)

(Page 3 of 11)

REQUIREMENT	COMMENT	RESOLUTION
• A list of the outfall or onsite drainages points that were directly observed during the evaluation		
<ul> <li>The action(s) taken, such as a list of control measures used to eliminate unauthorized</li> </ul>		
discharge(s), or documentation that a floor drain was sealed, re-routed to sanitary, or an NPDFC permit application was cubmitted for an inputherized cooling water discharge		
Is there documentation of the location of any salt storage piles used for deicing or other		
commercial or industrial purposes?		
Is all stormwater discharge sampling data collected at the site during the precious permit term summarized in a narrative description? This may include data tables and figures		
Control Measures to Meet Effluent Limits		
Does the SWPPP indicate whether the following control measure selection and design criteria		
were considered?		
<ul> <li>Preventing stormwater from coming into contact with polluting materials is generally more effective, and less costly, than trying to remove pollutants from stormwater</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Using control measures in combination which may be more effective than using control measures in isolation for minimizing pollutants in stormwater discharge</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Assessing the type and quantity of pollutants, including their potential to impact receiving water quality, is critical to designing effective control measures that will achieve the limits in this permit</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Minimizing impervious areas at the facility and infiltrating runoff onsite (including bio- retention cells, green roofs, and impervious pavement, among other approaches) can reduce runoff and improve ground water recharge and stream base flows in local streams, although care must be taken to avoid ground water contamination</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Attenuating flow using open vegetated swales and natural depressions can reduce in-stream impacts of erosive flows</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Conserving and/or restoring riparian buffers will help protect streams from stormwater runoff and improve water quality</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Using treatment interceptors (e.g., swirl separators and sand filters) may be appropriate in some instances to minimize the discharge of pollutants.</li> </ul>		
Implementing structural improvements, enhanced/resilient pollution prevention measures, and other mitigation measures to minimize impacts from stormwater discharges from major storm events and flood events (see Part 2.1.1.8).		
Does the SWPPP indicate how the control measure addresses the potential pollutant sources?		
Are the selection and design considerations for control measures to meet the following non- numeric technology-based effluent limits (see Part 2.1.2) identified in the SWPPP?		

Page 3 of 11

#### Attachment 2: MSGP SWPPP Review Guidance Checklist Example (cont.)

(Page 4 of 11)

REQUIREMENT COL	COMMENT	RESOLUTION
<b>osure:</b> All manufacturing, processing and material storage areas (including nloading, storage, disposal, cleaning, maintenance, and fueling operations) must that minimize exposure to pollutant discharges by either locating these industrial activities inside or protecting them with storm resistant coverings.		
<ul> <li>Use grading, berming or curbing to prevent runoff of contaminated flows and divert run-on away from these areas;</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Locate materials, equipment, and activities so that potential leaks and spills are contained or able to be contained or diverted before discharge;</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Clean up spills and leaks promptly using dry methods (e.g., absorbents) to prevent the discharge of pollutants:</li> </ul>		
- Store leaky vehicles and equipment indoors or, if stored outdoors, use drip pans and		
- Use spill overflow protection equipment;		
<ul> <li>Perform all vehicle and/or equipment cleaning operations indoors, under cover, or in bermed areas that prevent runoff and run-on and also that capture any overspray; and</li> </ul>		
- Drain fluids from equipment and vehicles that will be decommissioned, and, for any		
least monthly for leaks.		
<ul> <li>Good housekeeping (all areas where potential pollutants are exposed to stormwater must be kept clean).</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Sweep or vacuum at regular intervals or wash down the area and collect and/or treat and properly dispose of the washdown water.</li> </ul>		
- Store materials in appropriate containers.		
<ul> <li>Keep all dumpster lids closed when not in use. For dumpsters and roll off boxes that do not have lids and could leak, ensure that discharges have a control (e.g., secondary</li> </ul>		
containment). Part 1.2.2 of the permit does not authorize dry weather discharges from		
* You may include extra information, or you may just "cut-and-paste" these effluent limits verbatim into the SWPPP		
w/out providing additional documentation.		
- Minimize the potential for waste, garbage, and floatable debris to be discharged by keeping exposed areas free of such materials.		
<ul> <li>Maintenance (All industrial equipment, systems and control measures must be maintained in effective operating condition in order to minimize pollutant discharges).</li> </ul>		
Perform inspections and preventive maintenance of stormwater drainage, source controls, treatment systems, and plant equipment and systems that could fail and result in contamination of stormwater.		

Effective Date: 06/15/2023

Attachment 2: MSGP SWPPP Review Guidance Checklist Example (cont.)

(Page 5 of 11)

ed two thirds (2/3) of the sump depth         ww the lowest outlet pipe.*         ction must be taken to minimize         ntenance?         ere control measures need repair or         sthereof) must immediately take all         it or minimize the discharge of         nted, including cleaning up any         harged during subsequent storm         should be completed as soon as         hed in Part 5.1 for corrective actions,         nust be taken (in accordance with         alled incorrectly or not in accordance         naintained?         .spills, and other release must be	<ul> <li>baghouse.*</li> <li>Cleaning catch basins when the depth of debris reached two thirds (2/3) of the sump depth and keeping the debris surface at least six inches below the lowest outlet pipe.*</li> <li>Does the SWPPP contain language indicating innerdiate action must be taken to minimize pollutant discharges if control measures need routine maintenance?</li> <li>Is there language in the SWPPP indicating in instances where control measures need repair or replacement that the facility (or associated representatives thereof) must immediate all repairs or pollutants until the final repair or replacement is implemented, including cleaning up any contaminated surfaces so that the material will not be discharged during subsequent storm events. Final repairs/replacement of stormwater controls should be completed as soon as feasible but must be no later than the timeframes established in Part 5.1 for corrective actions, i.e., within 14 days or, if that is infeasible, within 45 days.</li> <li>Is there language in the SWPPP indicating corrective action must be taken (in accordance with Part 5.2 and/or 8, or isn't being properly operated or maintained?</li> <li>Spill Prevention and Response - The potential for leaks, spills, and other release must be minimize pollutant discharges.</li> <li>Plainly label containers (e.g., "Used Oil," "Spent Solvents, "Tertilizers and Pesticides") that could be susceptible to spillage or leakage to encourage proper handling and facilitate rapid response if spills or leaks occur.*</li> <li>Implement procedures for material storage and handling including use of secondary containment and barriers between material storage and traffic areas.</li> <li>Develop training on the procedures for expeditiously stopping, containing, and cleaning up leaks, spills, and other release as soon as possible.</li> <li>Repering the spills or solate facility personnel when a leak, spill.</li> <li>Notify appropriate facility personnel when a leak, spill. or other release occurs. Where a leak.</li> </ul>
prevent the escape of dust from the Just at the base of the exterior	<ul> <li>Inspect and maintain baghouses at least quarterly to prevent the escape of dust from the system and immediately removing any accumulated dust at the base of the exterior</li> </ul>
e.g., keep spiil response supplies	<ul> <li>Diligently maintain non-structural control measures (e.g., keep spiil response supplies available, and personnel appropriately trained).</li> </ul>

MSGP SWPPP Review Guidance Checklist

RESOLUTION

#### Attachment 2: MSGP SWPPP Review Guidance Checklist Example (cont.)

(Page 6 of 11)

#### Attachment 2: MSGP SWPPP Review Guidance Checklist Example (cont.)

(Page 7 of 11)

		0 17 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
	Is the procedure identified for conducting routine facility inspections?	Is the procedure id
	Schedules and Procedures - Inspections and Assessments	Schedules and Pro
	Are records of completed training kept in accordance with LANL OUO guidelines?	Are records of com
	nedule of training	<ul> <li>Frequency/schedule of training</li> </ul>
	e training	<ul> <li>Content of the training</li> </ul>
	Are the following elements of the training plan documented?	Are the following (
	When and how to conduct inspections, record applicable findings, and take corrective actions	<ul> <li>When and how</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>The proper procedures to follow with respect to the permit's pollution prevention requirements</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The proper proc requirements</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>The location of all controls on the site required by this permit and how they are to be maintained</li> </ul>	The location of a maintained
	practices	management practices
	<ul> <li>Spill response procedures, good housekeeping, maintenance requirements, and material</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Spill response p</li> </ul>
	An overview of what is in the SWPPP	<ul> <li>An overview of</li> </ul>
	Are the following identified as elements of required training?	Are the following i
	Personnel who are responsible for taking and documenting corrective actions	<ul> <li>Personnel who a</li> </ul>
	Personnel who are responsible for conducting and documenting monitoring and inspections	<ul> <li>Personnel who a</li> </ul>
	Personnel responsible for the storage and handling of chemicals and materials that could become contaminants in stormwater discharges	<ul> <li>Personnel response</li> <li>become contam</li> </ul>
	controls (including pollution prevention measures)	controls (includi
	<ul> <li>Personnel who are responsible for the design, installation, maintenance and/or repair of</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Personnel who a</li> </ul>
	accordance with P204-1, Controlled Unclassified Information and only available upon request.	accordance with P:
	Are the following employees identified as requiring training? Information to be managed in	Are the following
	Schedules and Procedures - Employee Training	Schedules and Pro
	Are clean-up equipment, procedures and spill logs (i.e., reportable and non-reportable spill reports and the MSGP Corrective Action Reporting database) identified?	Are clean-up equip reports and the MS
	Are control measures for material handling and storage identified?	Are control measu
	edures?	notification procedures?
	Are procedures included in the SWPPP for preventing and responding to spills and leaks including	Are procedures inc
	Is there a schedule or frequency for maintaining all control measures?	Is there a schedule
	Are backup practices in place should a runoff event occur while a control measure is off line?	Are backup practic
	and repair) for all control measures included in the SWPPP to avoid situations that may result in leaks, spills, and other releases?	and repair) for all control measu leaks, spills, and other releases?
	Are preventative maintenance procedures (including regular inspections, testing, maintenance	Are preventative m
RESOLUTION	COMMENT	REQUIREMENT

#### Attachment 2: MSGP SWPPP Review Guidance Checklist Example (cont.)

(Page 8 of 11)

REQUIREMENT	RESOLUTION
For each type of inspection performed (i.e., routine inspection and visual assessments) does the SWPPP identify the positions of person(s) responsible for the inspection?	_
Does the SWPPP contain an alternative schedule for conducting visual assessments in climates with irregular stormwater runoff discharges (see Part 3, 2,4)?	
Are specific items to be covered by the inspection, including schedules for specific outfalls identified in the SWPPP?	
Is the facility claiming an exception as an inactive and unstaffed site? If yes, the facility must	
include information in the SWPPP that supports this claim as required by Parts 3.1.5, 3.2.4.4, 4.2.2.5 and 4.2.5.2. That is, the SWPPP must contain a signed certification indicating that there	
are no industrial materials or activities exposed to precipitation at the site and the NOI must be	
modified and re-certified.	
Schedules and Procedures - Monitoring	
Does the SWPPP contain documentation of procedures used to conduct benchmark, effluent	
Are locations where samples are collected, including any determination that two or more outfalls	
are substantially identical, in the SWPPPP?	
Are parameters for sampling and the frequency of sampling for each parameter listed?	
Does the SWPPP contain schedules for monitoring at the facility, including a schedule for	
alternate monitoring periods for climates with irregular stormwater runoff (see Part 4.2.1.2)?	
Are numeric control values (benchmark, effluent limitations guidelines, and water quality standards) applicable to discharges from each outfall identified?	
Does the SWPPP list procedures for gathering storm event data (see Part 4.1.3)?	
Schedules and Procedures - Substantially Identical Outfalls (SIPDs)	
Does the SWPPP contain the following relative to Substantial Identical Discharge Points (SIDPs)?	
Location of each of the SIDPs	
Description of the general industrial activities conducted in the drainage area of each outfall	
Description of the control measures implemented in the drainage area of each outfall	
Description of the exposed materials located in the drainage area of each outfall that are likely	
to be significant contributors of pollutants to stormwater discharges	
• An estimate of the runoff coefficient of the drainage areas (low = under 40%, medium = 40% to	
65%, high = above 65%	
<ul> <li>Justification as to why the outfalls are expected to discharge SIDPs</li> </ul>	
Do SIDPs identified on the SWPPP map match those identified in MDMRs?	
Is there language indicating quarterly visual assessments of SIDPs will be performed on a rotating	

Page 8 of 11

#### Attachment 2: MSGP SWPPP Review Guidance Checklist Example (cont.)

(Page 9 of 11)

<ul> <li>Is there language indicating quarterly visual assessment of the discharge at one SIDP will also apply to the other SIDPs?</li> <li>Corrective Action Documentation - If an event triggering corrective action is associated with an SIDP, did the review of the need for action encompass all related substantially identical outfalls?</li> <li>Documentation</li> <li>Copy of the solution the following up-to-date and complete inspection, monitoring, and certification records?</li> <li>Copy of NOI submitted to EPA along with any correspondence exchanged between the facility and EPA specific to coverage under this permit.</li> <li>Copy of the acknowledgement you receive from the EPA assigning your NPDES ID.</li> <li>Copy of the MSGP Permit (an electronic copy easily available to SWPPP personnel is also acceptable).</li> <li>Documentation of maintenance and repairs of control measures, including the date(s) of repairs, date(s) that the control measure(s) returned to full function, and the justification for any extended maintenance/repair schedules (see Part 2.1.2.3).</li> <li>All inspection reports, including the Routine Facility Inspection Reports (see Part 3.1.6) and Quarterly Visual Assessment Reports (see Part 3.2.3).</li> </ul>	
any extended maintenance/repair schedules (see Part 2, 1, 2, 3).	
Quarterly Visual Assessment Reports (see Part 3.2.3).	
<ul> <li>Description of any deviations from the schedule for visual assessments and/or monitoring, and the reason for the deviations (e.g., adverse weather or it was impracticable to collect samples</li> </ul>	
within the first 30 minutes of a measurable storm event) (see Parts 3.2.4 and 4.1.5)	
Corrective action documentation (see Part 5.1)	
<ul> <li>Documentation of any benchmark threshold exceedances, the associated AIM Level and response:</li> </ul>	
- Is rationale included that SCM changes are unnecessary?;	
<ul> <li>Documentation required to meet any AIM Exception (see Part 5.2.6);</li> <li>A determination from EPA that benchmark monitoring can be discontinued because the exceedance was due to run-on; OR</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>A finding that no further pollutant reductions were technologically available and economically practicable and achievable in light of best industry practice consistent with Part 2</li> </ul>	
• Documentation to support any determination that pollutants of concern are not expected to be present above natural background levels if you discharge directly to impaired waters and that such pollutants were not detected in your discharge or were solely attributable to natural background sources. (see Part 4.2.5.1)	

# MSGP SWPPP Review Guidance Checklist

Page 9 of 11

REQUIREMENT	COMMENT	RESOLUTION
<ul> <li>Documentation supporting that stormwater discharges, allowable non-stormwater discharges, and stormwater discharge-related activities are not likely to adversely affect any species that are federally listed as endangered or threatened ("listed") and are not likely to adversely affect habitat that is designated as "critical habitat" under the Endangered Species Act (see Part 1.1.4).</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Documentation supporting the determination that stormwater discharges, allowable non- stormwater discharges, and stormwater discharge-related activities meet one of the eligibility criteria for historic property preservation (Criterion A, B, C or D) (see Part 1.1.5).</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>All Discharge Monitoring Reports and Annual Reports</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Support for claim that facility has changed its status from active to inactive and is unstaffed</li> </ul>		
with respect to the requirements to conduct routine facility inspections (see Part 3.1.5), quarterly visual assessments (see Part 3.2.4.4), benchmark monitoring (see Part 4.2.2.5).		
and/or impaired waters monitoring (see Part 4.2.5.2).		
is the SWAPP signed and dated by a duly authorized representative (per Appendix B.11)?		
SWPPP Modifications		
Where a corrective action triggers a change in any of the control measures or procedures, has the SWPPP been updated within 14 calendar days of completing the corrective action (see Part 6.3)?		
Are SWPPP modifications signed and dated by a duly authorized representative?		
Has the SWPPP been reviewed and does documentation exist as to the modifications made or why none were needed under the following circumstances?		
<ul> <li>An unauthorized release or discharge (e.g., spill leak, or discharge of non-stormwater not authorized by this or another NPDES permit to a water of the U.S.) occurs at your facility.</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>A discharge violates a numeric effluent limit listed in Table 2-1 and in the sector specific requirements.</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>The control measures are not stringent enough for the discharge to meet applicable water quality standards or the non-numeric effluent limits in this permit.</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>A required control measure was never installed, was installed incorrectly, or not in accordance with Parts 2 and/or 8, or is not being properly operated or maintained.</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Whenever a visual assessment shows evidence of stormwater pollution (e.g., color, odor, floating solids, settled solids, suspended solids, foam, etc.).</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Construction or a change in design, operation, or maintenance at your facility that significantly changes the nature of pollutants discharged in stormwater from the facility, or significantly increases the quantity of pollutants discharged.</li> </ul>		

#### Attachment 2: MSGP SWPPP Review Guidance Checklist Example (cont.)

(Page 10 of 11)

# Page 10 of 11

#### Attachment 2: MSGP SWPPP Review Guidance Checklist Example (cont.)

(Page 11 of 11)

REQUIREMENT	COMMENT	RESOLUTION
<ul> <li>The average of four quarterly sampling results exceeds an applicable benchmark (see Part 4.2).</li> <li>If less than four benchmark samples have been taken, but the results are such that an</li> </ul>		1
exceedance of the four quarter average is mathematically certain (i.e., the sum of quarterly		
sample results to date is more than four times the benchmark levely this is considered a benchmark exceedance.		1
Public Accessibility of SWPPP		
Is your SWPPP uploaded to the URL provided in the NOI?		
Are subsequent SWPPP modifications (updates), records and all other reporting elements required for the previous year updated no later than 45 days after conducting the final routine		
facility inspection for the year?		
If you did not upload your SWPPPs to a URL, was the following information provided in the NOI and documented in the SWPPP?		
<ul> <li>Onsite industrial activities exposed to stormwater, including potential spill and leak areas (see Parts 6.2.3.1, 6.2.3.3 and 6.2.3.5);</li> </ul>		Ī
<ul> <li>Pollutants or pollutant constituents associated with each industrial activity exposed to stormwater that could be discharged in stormwater and/or any authorized non-stormwater discharges listed in Part 1.2.2.1 (see Part 6.2.3.2)</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Stormwater control measures employed to comply with the non-numeric technology-based effluent limits required in Part 2.1.2 and Part 8, and any other measures taken to comply with the requirements in Part 2.7. Water Quality Based Effluent limitations. If nonverse and/or</li> </ul>		
other chemical treatments are used as controls these must be identified and the purpose explained.		
<ul> <li>The schedule for good housekeeping and maintenance and schedule for all inspections required in Part 3.</li> </ul>		
Are modifications to the SWPPP information, required in the four bullets above, updated no later than 45 days after conducting the final routine facility inspection for the year and uploaded onto a		
Corrective Actions		-
Are corrective actions documented within 24 hours of becoming aware of such condition?		-
Is the condition triggering the need for the corrective action identified?		
Is the date the corrective action was identified captured?		
Was immediate action taken to minimize or prevent the discharge of pollutants?		
In the case of leaks and spills, were response actions, date/time of clean up, notification, etc. documented?		

# MSGP SWPPP Review Guidance Checklist

Page 11 of 11