

Validation of General Chemistry Analytical Data

Effective Date: 4/24/2017Next Review Date: 4/24/2020

Hazard Class: Low Moderate High/Complex
Usage Mode: Reference UET Both UET & Reference

The Responsible Manager has determined that the following organizations' review is required for initial procedure release as well as subsequent major revisions. Review documentation is contained in the Document History File.

Technical Leads

Quality Assurance

Classification Review: Unclassified UCNI Classified

Diana Hollis	/ 111125	/ /s/ Diana Hollis	/ 4/18/2017
--------------	----------	--------------------	-------------

Name (print)	Z#	Signature	Date
--------------	----	-----------	------

Responsible Manager, Division and Title

Nita Patel	/ 153003	/ /s/ Nita Patel	/ 4/20/2017
------------	----------	------------------	-------------

Name (print)	Z#	Signature	Date
--------------	----	-----------	------

REVISION HISTORY

Document No./Revision No.	Issue Date	Action	Description
OIO-TP-5167, Rev. 0.1	8/19/2015	Minor Revision	Periodic Review. Minor revision, changed document type and organization.
ER-AP-20315, R0	4/24/2017	Major Revision	Revised to reflect National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Methods Data Review, September 2016 holding time requirements and remove NNSA Model Validation.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
TITLE PAGE.....	1
REVISION HISTORY	2
TABLE OF CONTENTS	3
1. PURPOSE.....	4
2. SCOPE.....	4
3. BACKGROUND	4
4. PRECAUTIONS.....	4
5. PREREQUISITE ACTIONS	4
6. PERFORMANCE.....	5
6.1 Validation Process	5
6.2 Analyte Quantitation.....	6
6.3 Analyte Identification.....	7
6.4 Holding Times and Sample Preservation	7
6.5 Initial and Continuing Calibration	8
6.6 Blanks	8
6.7 Matrix Spike and Laboratory Control Samples	9
6.8 Sample Duplicate.....	9
7. RECORDS.....	10
8. REFERENCES	10
9. ATTACHMENTS	10
<u>Attachments</u>	
Attachment 1, Data Validation Cover Sheet	11
Attachment 2, General Chemistry Analytical Data Validation Checklist	12

1. PURPOSE

This procedure establishes guidance for the qualification of general chemistry analytical data. This document is intended to assist in the technical review of analytical data generated by environmental laboratories.

2. SCOPE

Qualification of data is the product of data validation, analytical laboratory analysis, and focused validation that describe validation anomalies and their consequences. General chemistry measurements are those that measure the physical characteristics of a sample such as mass, volume, conductivity, length, count, color, and odor, using tests that take advantage of these characteristics including ion chromatography, titration, and colorimetric spectrophotometry.

3. BACKGROUND

Data qualifiers and reason codes are assigned to analytical results from general chemistry analyses according to the specifications in this method-specific procedure. These guidelines are developed using the EPA method-specific data quality criteria and/or National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review.

4. PRECAUTIONS

Nothing in this procedure precludes the data validator from going beyond the minimum requirements specified within this procedure. If additional directions are required, the data validator shall reference EPA method-specific guidelines and/or National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review. Implementation of this procedure may be followed by a more focused and data use-specific evaluation of the data by the project chemist, especially if the implementation of this procedure indicates the data may contain technical deficiencies.

5. PREREQUISITE ACTIONS

Data Validators must:

- Possess a minimum of a bachelor's degree in chemistry, or one of the physical sciences and two (2) years of experience in generating analytical data in an environmental analytical laboratory or two (2) years of experience in data validation.
- Complete Attachment 1, Data Validation Cover Sheet, and Attachment 2, General Chemistry Analytical Data Validation Checklist, during data validation.

6. PERFORMANCE

6.1 Validation Process

EIM applies a subset of qualifiers described in this procedure to analytical data using auto-validation subroutines. EIM auto-validation applies qualification to analytical records using tests listed in Attachment 2 that have a Valid Reason Description containing "(AV)". When the project leader requests a focused validation the assigned data validator completes the following steps to assess all potential analytical data qualification:

- [1] **REVIEW** the qualifiers assigned during EIM auto-validation to verify that qualifiers were assigned consistently with this procedure. If auto-validation qualification is found to be inconsistent with this procedure then the validator initiates a change request using ER-AP-20304, Change Control for Data in the Environmental Information Management (EIM) Database.
- [2] **PRINT** Attachment 1 and **REVIEW** the data package for potential qualification using Attachment 2.
- [3] **NOTE** conditions causing recommendation for qualification and options for qualification.
- [4] **COMPLETE** Attachment 1 and **FORWARD** to the project leader with conditions and options.

The project leader is the responsible party for making the decision of record if validation qualifiers should be assigned and EIM validation records updated. This record of decision is added to comments section of Attachment 1.

Once the decision of record has been made, Attachment 1 is sent to the Sample Management Office (SMO) staff. The SMO staff re-print the data validation record from EIM and add Attachment 1 that includes the record of decision to the final records package.

6.2 Analyte Quantitation

The assignment of the detection status to analytical measurements is the first step of analytical data validation. Most validation qualifiers and validation reason codes are applied based on the measurement's initial detection status. Results that are less than the report method detection limit (RMDL) are qualified as nondetect with the U validation qualifier and U_LAB validation reason code. Results greater than or equal to the RMDL and less than the report detection limit (RDL) are qualified as detected and estimated with the J validation qualifier and J_LAB validation reason code. Results greater than or equal to the RDL are qualified as detected with the NQ validation qualifier.

Criteria	Validation Qualifier	Validation Reason Code
Target analyte result is < RMDL; a nondetect	U	U_LAB
Target analyte result is \geq RMDL and < RDL; a detect	J	J_LAB
Target analyte result is \geq RDL; a detect	NQ	NQ

Since a result can have only one validation qualifier and one validation reason code the sequencing of validation steps is important. Analyte quantitation occurs first, then analyte identification, because most other validation functions depend on the correct identification and quantitation of the analytical parameter. When two or more qualifiers can be applied to a record, the qualifier representing the more severe consequence to data usability supersedes the qualifier with less severe consequence. The R validation qualifier has the greatest impact on data usability and supersedes other validation qualifiers.

6.2 Analyte Quantitation (continued)

Order of Severity	Validation Qualifier	Description
1	R	The reported sample result is classified as rejected due to serious non-compliances regarding quality control acceptance criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be verified.
2	UJ	The analyte is classified as not detected, with an expectation that the reported result is more uncertain than usual.
3	U	The analyte is classified as not detected.
4	J	The analyte is classified as detected but the reported concentration value is expected to be more uncertain than usual.
5	NQ	No validation qualifier flag is associated with this result, and the analyte is classified as detected.

LANL project chemists may identify quality deficiencies in analytical results affecting analyte quantitation. These deficiencies can include analytical results with detection limits elevated above project data-quality objectives, concentrations above the calibration range of the instrument or method, results exhibiting carryover or detector contamination, chromatographic interference from another analyte, and other quality deficiencies. The reason code of I19 is applied to affected records by the project chemist to identify these quality deficiencies when they are identified.

6.3 Analyte Identification

Most inorganic methods do not have a separate validation for analyte identification. Identification of compounds measured using ion chromatography depend on the relative retention time of the compound of interest to the known retention time of the compound in the calibration standard. When relative retention time criteria are not met the I0 series of reason codes are applied to affected parameters.

6.4 Holding Times and Sample Preservation

Sample handling requirements are specified to ensure integrity and defensibility of analytical measurements. Samples are to be prepared and analyzed within specified time limits. Samples are also preserved chemically by addition of acids, bases, or stabilizers and physically by controlling temperature. When sample handling requirements are not met the I9 series of reason codes are applied to affected samples.

6.5 Initial and Continuing Calibration

Calibration is performed to set the operating range of the instrument and to ensure that the instrument is performing within specifications. The initial calibration and verification is performed prior to the start of analyses. Continuing calibration checks and instrument performance samples are performed periodically during analysis to ensure the instrument is providing accurate results. When initial calibration criteria are not met the I7 series of reason codes are applied to affected analytes in all samples analyzed after the unacceptable initial calibration to the next acceptable initial calibration for that instrument. When continuing calibration criteria are not met the I7 series of reason codes are applied to affected analytes in all samples analyzed after the unacceptable continuing calibration to the next acceptable continuing calibration for that instrument.

6.6 Blanks

The Method Blank is an analyte-free matrix that is prepared and analyzed in the laboratory with the samples. The method blank determines contamination from the analytical processes. Method blanks are prepared with every preparation batch. If more than one method blank is associated with a given sample, qualification is based upon a comparison with the associated blank having the highest concentration of the parameter. When method blank criteria are not met the I4 series of reason codes are applied affected samples.

The Field Blank is an analyte-free matrix opened to the atmosphere at the time of sample collection. Field blanks are used to determine if atmospheric conditions resulted in contamination of samples during sample collection. Samples collected the same day as a field blank that does not meet blank criteria are qualified with the I4 series of reason codes.

The Equipment Blank is an analyte-free matrix poured over or through sample collection equipment. Equipment blanks are used to determine the cleaning effectiveness of sampling equipment between samples. Samples collected using the same tools as the equipment blank that does not meet blank criteria are qualified with the I4 series of reason codes.

6.7 Matrix Spike and Laboratory Control Samples

The laboratory control sample is created by adding known amounts of parameters of interest to an aliquot of a blank matrix. The laboratory control sample is used to evaluate the effect of the analytical process of the recovery of analytes. When laboratory control sample criteria are not met the I12 series of reason codes are applied to all associated samples.

The matrix spike is created by adding known amounts of parameters of interest to an aliquot of a sample matrix. The matrix spike is used to evaluate the effect of the sample matrix on the recovery of analytes. When matrix spike criteria are not met the I6 series of reason codes are applied to all associated samples.

6.8 Sample Duplicate

Field duplicate samples are collected from the same material at the same time as the primary sample. The relative percent difference between the results of the parent sample and the field duplicate sample is used to determine the field and laboratory precision of the analytical measurement. When field duplicate precision criteria are not met the appropriate I10 reason code is applied to the parent sample.

7. RECORDS

Records generated by this procedure will be submitted to the Environmental Protection Records Management Office for document management in accordance with P1020-1, Laboratory Records Management and EP-AP-10003, Records Management.

- Completed Data Validation Cover Sheets (Attachment 1)
- Completed General Chemistry Analytical Data Validation Checklists (Attachment 2)

8. REFERENCES

EP-AP-10003, Records Management

ER-AP-20304, Change Control for Data in the Environmental Information Management (EIM) Database

P1020-1, Laboratory Records Management

9. ATTACHMENTS

Attachment 1: Data Validation Cover Sheet

Attachment 2: General Chemistry Analytical Data Validation Checklist

ATTACHMENT 1

Page 1 of 1

Data Validation Cover Sheet

Section I.							
Request Number: _____		Validation Date: _____		Lab Code: _____			
Contract Laboratory Name: _____							
Validator: _____				Organization: _____			
Analytical Suite (Check All That Apply):							
<input type="checkbox"/> TPH-GRO	<input type="checkbox"/> High Explosives	<input type="checkbox"/> Dioxin Furans	<input type="checkbox"/> LCMSMS Perchlorates				
<input type="checkbox"/> TPH-DRO	<input type="checkbox"/> Metals & Cyanide	<input type="checkbox"/> PCB Congeners	<input type="checkbox"/> Organochlorine Pesticides/Polychlorinated Biphenyls				
<input type="checkbox"/> General Chemistry	<input type="checkbox"/> Radiochemistry	<input type="checkbox"/> LCMSMS High Explosives					
<input type="checkbox"/> Other (Describe): _____							
Section II. Completeness Check							
YES	NO	N/A	(check one)	YES	NO	N/A	(check one)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	1. Chain-Of-Custody Form(S)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	6. Raw/BSS Data
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2. Case Narrative	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	7. Quality Control Forms
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	3. Sample Result Forms	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	8. Quantitation Reports
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	4. Sample Chromatograms	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	9. TICS Forms
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	5. Standard Chromatograms	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	10. TICS Mass Spectra
Comments/problems noted (include information about requests for further information submitted to the contract laboratory and agreed-upon date of resolution and contract laboratory point of contact):							
Validator's Signature: _____				Date: _____			
ER-AP-20315, R0				Los Alamos Environmental Safety & Health			
				(Attach additional comment sheets as necessary)			

ATTACHMENT 2

Page 1 of 4

General Chemistry Analytical Data Validation Checklist

Yes No N/A (Check One)				Assign Qualifier Listed Below If Criterion = Yes	
				Non-detected Analyte	Detected Analyte
Holding Times and Sample Preservation					
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	1. The affected analytes are regarded as rejected because the analytical holding time was exceeded. (AV)	R, I9b	J-, I9b
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2. Analyte is ammonia or iodide and temperature > 10°C upon receipt at the laboratory.	UJ, I9c	J-, I9c
Initial Calibration					
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	3. The affected results were not analyzed with a valid 5-point calibration curve and/or a standard at the reporting limit (RL).	UJ, R, I7	J, I7
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	4. The affected analytes were analyzed with an initial calibration curve that exceeded the percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) criteria and/or the associated multipoint calibration correlation coefficient is <0.995.	UJ, I7a	J, I7a
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	5. The initial calibration verification (ICV) and/or continuing calibration verification (CCV) were recovered outside the method specific limits.	UJ, I7c	J, I7c
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	6. The ICV and/or CCV were not analyzed at the appropriate method frequency.	UJ, I7d	J, I7d
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	7. Required calibration information is missing or samples were analyzed on an expired calibration. Contact the Sample Management Office (SMO) or external laboratory for information.	R, I7f	R, I7f

ATTACHMENT 2

Page 2 of 4

Yes No N/A (Check One)				Assign Qualifier Listed Below If Criterion = Yes	
				Non-detected Analyte	Detected Analyte
Analyte Identification					
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	8. Analyte retention time for ion chromatography analysis shifted by more than ± 10 percent in the calibration curve.	R, I0	J, I0
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	9. Analyte retention time for ion chromatography analysis is outside the retention time window.	R, I0	R, I0
Blanks					
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	10. The sample result is ≤ 5 times the concentration of the related analyte in the method blank. (AV)	N/A	U, I4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	11. The affected analytes are considered estimated and biased high because this analyte was identified in the method blank but was > 5 times. (AV)	N/A	J+, I4a
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	12. The sample result is ≤ 5 times the concentration of the related analyte in the instrument blank and continuing calibration blank. (AV)	N/A	U, I4b
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	13. Continuing calibration blanks were not analyzed at the appropriate method frequency.	UJ, I4c	J, I4c
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	14. The sample result is ≤ 5 times the concentration of the related analyte in the trip blank, rinsate blank, or equipment blank.	N/A	U, I4d
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	15. Required method blank information is missing. Data may not be acceptable for use. Contact the SMO or external laboratory for information.	R, I4e	R, I4e

ATTACHMENT 2

Page 3 of 4

Yes No N/A (Check One)				Assign Qualifier Listed Below If Criterion = Yes	
				Non-detected Analyte	Detected Analyte
Matrix Spike					
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	16. The associate matrix spike (MS) recovery was <10%. Follow the external laboratory limits located within the associated data package. (AV)	R, I6	R, I6
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	17. The associated MS recovery was below the lower acceptance limit (LAL) but >10%. Follow the external laboratory limits located within the associated data package. (AV)	UJ, I6a	J-, I6a
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	18. The associated MS recovery was above the upper acceptance limit (UAL). Follow the external laboratory limits located within the associated data package. (AV)	UJ, I6b	J+, I6b
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	19. Required MS information is missing. Data may not be acceptable for use. Contact the SMO or external laboratory for information. If laboratory control sample (LCS) information is present, do not reject. Qualify data based on LCS information.	R, I6c	R, I6c
Duplicate Samples					
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	20. The sample and the duplicate sample results were ≥ 5 times the RL and the duplicate relative percent difference (RPD) was >20% for water samples and >35% for soil samples. (AV)	UJ, I10a	J, I10a
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	21. The duplicate sample was not prepared and/or analyzed with the samples for unspecified reasons. The duplicate information is missing. Data may not be acceptable for use. Contact the SMO or external laboratory for information.	UJ, I10d	J, I10d

ATTACHMENT 2

Page 4 of 4

Yes No N/A (Check One)				Assign Qualifier Listed Below If Criterion = Yes	
				Non-detected Analyte	Detected Analyte
Laboratory Control Samples					
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	22. The laboratory control sample (LCS) percent recovery was <10%. Follow the external laboratory limits located within the associated data package. (AV)	R, I12	R, I12
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	23. The LCS percent recovery was < the LAL but >10%. Follow the external laboratory limits located within the associated data package. (AV)	UJ, I12a	J-, I12a
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	24. Follow the external laboratory limits located within the associated data package.	N/A	J+, I12b
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	25. The LCS documentation is missing. Data may not be acceptable for use. Contact the SMO or external laboratory for information. Do not reject if MS/MS duplicate (MSD) information is present. Qualify according to MS/MSD criteria.	R, I12c	R, I12c
Analyte Quantitation					
			26. The non-detected analytes have elevated detection limits and may not meet project data-quality objectives because the sample was diluted without any target analytes identified as a result of matrix interference. Reject non-detected results if the analytical laboratory cannot provide proof for matrix interference.	UJ, R, I15	NA
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	27. The LANL project chemist identified quality deficiencies in the reported data that require further qualification. This code can ONLY be used by and/or used under advisement of the LANL project chemist.	UJ, R, I19	J, R, I19
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	28. Qualification of data via data validation did occur, however no data quality control requirements in this procedure were applicable. Adhere to the external laboratory qualifiers found within the Form 1 analytical data summary sheets generated by the external laboratory. (AV)	U, U_LAB	J, J_LAB NQ, NQ (No qualification)